John M. Coleman
1952


Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2026

https://archive.org/details/macaulayshistori0000lord_x2m9

NEW CENTURY LIBRARY

MACAULAY'S HISTORICAL ESSAYS



T. B. Macaulay

Macaulay's Historical Essays

By

Lord Macaulay

Thomas Nelson and Sons, Ltd.
London, Edinburgh, and New York

1924

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN AT
THE PRESS OF THE PUBLISHERS

CONTENTS.

OUTHEY'S COLLOQUIES. (January 1830) . 3

OHN HAMPDEN. (December 1831) . . . 45

URLEIGH AND HIS TIMES. (April 1832) . . 97

AR OF THE SUCCESSION IN SPAIN. (Jan. 1833) . 124

ILLIAM PITT, EARL OF CHATHAM. (Jan. 1834) . 174

ORD CLIVE. (January 1840) 299

ARREN HASTINGS. (October 1841) . . . 378

REDERICK THE GREAT. (April 1842) . . . 490

MACAULAY'S HISTORICAL ESSAYS.

SOUTHEY'S COLLOQUIES. (JAN. 1830.)*

IT would be scarcely possible for a man of Mr Southey's talents and acquirements to write two volumes so large as those before us, which should be wholly destitute of information and amusement. Yet we do not remember to have read with so little satisfaction any equal quantity of matter, written by any man of real abilities. We have, for some time past, observed with great regret the strange infatuation which leads the Poet Laureate to abandon those departments of literature in which he might excel, and to lecture the public on sciences of which he has still the very alphabet to learn. He has now, we think, done his worst. The subject which he has at last undertaken to treat is one which demands all the highest intellectual and moral qualities of a philosophical statesman, an understanding at once comprehensive and acute, a heart at once upright and charitable. Mr Southey brings to the task two faculties which were never, we believe, vouchsafed in measure so copious to any human being—the faculty of believing without a reason, and the faculty of hating without a provocation.

It is, indeed, most extraordinary, that a mind like Mr Southey's, a mind richly endowed in many respects by nature, and highly cultivated by study, a mind which has

* *Sir Thomas More; or, Colloquies on the Progress and Prospects of Society.* By ROBERT SOUTHEY, Esq., LL.D., Poet Laureate. 2 vols. 8vo. London: 1829.

exercised considerable influence on the most enlightened generation of the most enlightened people that ever existed, should be utterly destitute of the power of discerning truth from falsehood. Yet such is the fact. Government is to Mr Southey one of the fine arts. He judges of a theory of a public measure, of a religion or a political party, of a peace or a war, as men judge of a picture or a statue, by the effect produced on his imagination. A chain of associations is to him what a chain of reasoning is to other men; and what he calls his opinions are in fact merely his tastes.

Part of this description might perhaps apply to a much greater man, Mr Burke. But Mr Burke assuredly possessed an understanding admirably fitted for the investigation of truth, an understanding stronger than that of any statesman, active or speculative, of the eighteenth century, stronger than every thing, except his own fierce and ungovernable sensibility. Hence he generally chose his side like a fanatic, and defended it like a philosopher. His conduct on the most important occasions of his life, at the time of the impeachment of Hastings for example, and at the time of the French Revolution, seems to have been prompted by those feelings and motives which Mr Coleridge has so happily described,

> "Stormy pity, and the cherish'd lure
> Of pomp, and proud precipitance of soul."

Hindostan, with its vast cities, its gorgeous pagodas, its infinite swarms of dusky population, its long descended dynasties, its stately etiquette, excited in a mind so capacious, so imaginative, and so susceptible, the most intense interest. The peculiarities of the costume, of the manners and of the laws, the very mystery which hung over the language and origin of the people, seized his imagination. To plead under the ancient arches of Westminster Hall, in the name of the English people, at the bar of the English nobles for great nations and kings separated from him by half the world, seemed to him the height of human glory. Again it is not difficult to perceive that his hostility to the French Revolution principally arose from the vexation which he felt at having all his old political associations disturbed, at seeing the well-known landmarks of states obliterated, and the names and distinctions with which the history of Europe

had been filled for ages at once swept away. He felt like an antiquary whose shield had been scoured, or a connoisseur who found his Titian retouched. But, however he came by an opinion, he had no sooner got it than he did his best to make out a legitimate title to it. His reason, like a spirit in the service of an enchanter, though spell-bound, was still mighty. It did whatever work his passions and his imagination might impose. But it did that work, however arduous, with marvellous dexterity and vigour. His course was not determined by argument; but he could defend the wildest course by arguments more plausible than those by which common men support opinions which they have adopted after the fullest deliberation. Reason has scarcely ever displayed, even in those well-constituted minds of which she occupies the throne, so much power and energy as in the lowest offices of that imperial servitude.

Now in the mind of Mr Southey reason has no place at all, as either leader or follower, as either sovereign or slave. He does not seem to know what an argument is. He never uses arguments himself. He never troubles himself to answer the arguments of his opponents. It has never occurred to him, that a man ought to be able to give some better account of the way in which he has arrived at his opinions than merely that it is his will and pleasure to hold them. It has never occurred to him that there is a difference between assertion and demonstration, that a rumour does not always prove a fact, that a single fact, when proved, is hardly foundation enough for a theory, that two contradictory propositions cannot be undeniable truths, that to beg the question is not the way to settle it, or that when an objection is raised, it ought to be met with something more convincing than "scoundrel" and "blockhead."

It would be absurd to read the works of such a writer for political instruction. The utmost that can be expected from any system promulgated by him is that it may be splendid and affecting, that it may suggest sublime and pleasing images. His scheme of philosophy is a mere day-dream, a poetical creation, like the Domdaniel cavern, the Swerga, or Padalon; and indeed it bears no inconsiderable resemblance to those gorgeous visions. Like them, it has something of invention, grandeur, and brilliancy. But, like them, it is grotesque and extravagant, and perpetually violates

even that conventional probability which is essential to the effect of works of art.

The warmest admirers of Mr Southey will scarcely, we think, deny that his success has almost always borne an inverse proportion to the degree in which his undertakings have required a logical head. His poems, taken in the mass, stand far higher than his prose works. His official Odes indeed, among which the Vision of Judgement must be classed, are, for the most part, worse than Pye's and as bad as Cibber's; nor do we think him generally happy in short pieces. But his longer poems, though full of faults, are nevertheless very extraordinary productions. We doubt greatly whether they will be read fifty years hence; but that, if they are read, they will be admired, we have no doubt whatever.

But, though in general we prefer Mr Southey's poetry to his prose, we must make one exception. The Life of Nelson is, beyond all doubt, the most perfect and the most delightful of his works. The fact is, as his poems most abundantly prove, that he is by no means so skilful in designing as in filling up. It was therefore an advantage to him to be furnished with an outline of characters and events, and to have no other task to perform than that of touching the cold sketch into life. No writer, perhaps, ever lived, whose talents so precisely qualified him to write the history of the great naval warrior. There were no fine riddles of the human heart to read, no theories to propound, no hidden causes to develope, no remote consequences to predict. The character of the hero lay on the surface. The exploits were brilliant and picturesque. The necessity of adhering to the real course of events saved Mr Southey from those faults which deform the original plan of almost every one of his poems, and which even his innumerable beauties of detail scarcely redeem. The subject did not require the exercise of those reasoning powers the want of which is the blemish of his prose. It would not be easy to find, in all literary history, an instance of a more exact hit between wind and water. John Wesley and the Peninsular War were subjects of a very different kind, subjects which required all the qualities of a philosophic historian. In Mr Southey's works on these subjects, he has, on the whole, failed. Yet there are charming specimens of the art of

narration in both of them. The Life of Wesley will probably live. Defective as it is, it contains the only popular account of a most remarkable moral revolution, and of a man whose eloquence and logical acuteness might have made him eminent in literature, whose genius for government was not inferior to that of Richelieu, and who, whatever his errors may have been, devoted all his powers, in defiance of obloquy and derision, to what he sincerely considered as the highest good of his species. The History of the Peninsular War is already dead: indeed, the second volume was deadborn. The glory of producing an imperishable record of that great conflict seems to be reserved for Colonel Napier.

The Book of the Church contains some stories very prettily told. The rest is mere rubbish. The adventure was manifestly one which could be achieved only by a profound thinker, and one in which even a profound thinker might have failed, unless his passions had been kept under strict control. But in all those works in which Mr Southey has completely abandoned narration, and has undertaken to argue moral and political questions, his failure has been complete and ignominious. On such occasions his writings are rescued from utter contempt and derision solely by the beauty and purity of the English. We find, we confess, so great a charm in Mr Southey's style that, even when he writes nonsense, we generally read it with pleasure, except indeed when he tries to be droll. A more insufferable jester never existed. He very often attempts to be humorous, and yet we do not remember a single occasion on which he has succeeded farther than to be quaintly and flippantly dull. In one of his works he tells us that Bishop Sprat was very properly so called, inasmuch as he was a very small poet. And in the book now before us he cannot quote Francis Bugg, the renegade Quaker, without a remark on his unsavoury name. A wise man might talk folly like this by his own fireside; but that any human being, after having made such a joke, should write it down, and copy it out, and transmit it to the printer, and correct the proof-sheets, and send it forth into the world, is enough to make us ashamed of our species.

The extraordinary bitterness of spirit which Mr Southey manifests towards his opponents is, no doubt, in a great measure to be attributed to the manner in which he forms

his opinions. Differences of taste, it has often been remarked, produce greater exasperation than differences on points of science. But this is not all. A peculiar austerity marks almost all Mr Southey's judgments of men and actions. We are far from blaming him for fixing on a high standard of morals, and for applying that standard to every case. But rigour ought to be accompanied by discernment; and of discernment Mr Southey seems to be utterly destitute. His mode of judging is monkish. It is exactly what we should expect from a stern old Benedictine, who had been preserved from many ordinary frailties by the restraints of his situation. No man out of a cloister ever wrote about love, for example, so coldly and at the same time so grossly. His descriptions of it are just what we should hear from a recluse who knew the passion only from the details of the confessional. Almost all his heroes make love either like Seraphim or like cattle. He seems to have no notion of any thing between the Platonic passion of the Glendoveer who gazes with rapture on his mistress's leprosy, and the brutal appetite of Arvalan and Roderick. In Roderick, indeed, the two characters are united. He is first all clay, and then all spirit. He goes forth a Tarquin, and comes back too ethereal to be married.

The only love scene, as far as we can recollect, in Madoc, consists of the delicate attentions which a savage, who has drunk too much of the Prince's excellent metheglin, offers to Goervyl. It would be the labour of a week to find, in all the vast mass of Mr Southey's poetry, a single passage indicating any sympathy with those feelings which have consecrated the shades of Vaucluse and the rocks of Meillerie.

Indeed, if we except some very pleasing images of paternal tenderness and filial duty, there is scarcely anything soft or humane in Mr Southey's poetry. What theologians call the spiritual sins are his cardinal virtues, hatred, pride, and the insatiable thirst of vengeance. These passions he disguises under the name of duties; he purifies them from the alloy of vulgar interests; he ennobles them by uniting them with energy, fortitude, and a severe sanctity of manners; and he then holds them up to the admiration of mankind. This is the spirit of Thalaba, of Ladurlad, of Adosinda, of Roderick after his conversion. It is the spirit which

n all his writings, Mr Southey appears to affect. "I do ell to be angry," seems to be the predominant feeling of his ind. Almost the only mark of charity which he vouchafes to his opponents is to pray for their reformation; nd this he does in terms not unlike those in which we can nagine a Portuguese priest interceding with Heaven for Jew, delivered over to the secular arm after a relapse.

We have always heard, and fully believe, that Mr outhey is a very amiable and humane man; nor do we inend to apply to him personally any of the remarks which re have made on the spirit of his writings. Such are the aprices of human nature. Even Uncle Toby troubled himelf very little about the French grenadiers who fell on the lacis of Namur. And Mr Southey, when he takes up his en, changes his nature as much as Captain Shandy, when e girt on his sword. The only opponents to whom the aureate gives quarter are those in whom he finds someiing of his own character reflected. He seems to have an nstinctive antipathy for calm, moderate men, for men who nun extremes, and who render reasons. He has treated Mr wen of Lanark, for example, with infinitely more respect nan he has shown to Mr Hallam or to Dr Lingard; and nis for no reason that we can discover, except that Mr wen is more unreasonably and hopelessly in the wrong nan any speculator of our time.

Mr Southey's political system is just what we might exect from a man who regards politics, not as matter of science, ut as matter of taste and feeling. All his schemes of governent have been inconsistent with themselves. In his youth e was a republican; yet, as he tells us in his preface to nese Colloquies, he was even then opposed to the Catholic laims. He is now a violent Ultra-Tory. Yet while he aintains, with vehemence approaching to ferocity, all the erner and harsher parts of the Ultra-Tory theory of overnment, the baser and dirtier part of that theory isgusts him. Exclusion, persecution, severe punishments r libellers and demagogues, proscriptions, massacres, vil war, if necessary, rather than any concession to a disntented people; these are the measures which he seems clined to recommend. A severe and gloomy tyranny, rushing opposition, silencing remonstrance, drilling the inds of the people into unreasoning obedience, has in it

something of grandeur which delights his imagination. But there is nothing fine in the shabby tricks and jobs of office; and Mr Southey, accordingly, has no toleration for them. When a Jacobin, he did not perceive that his system led logically, and would have led practically, to the removal of religious distinctions. He now commits a similar error. He renounces the abject and paltry part of the creed of his party, without perceiving that it is also an essential part of that creed. He would have tyranny and purity together; though the most superficial observation might have shown him that there could be no tyranny without corruption.

It is high time, however, that we should proceed to the consideration of the work which is our more immediate subject, and which, indeed, illustrates in almost every page our general remarks on Mr Southey's writings. In the preface, we are informed that the author, notwithstanding some statements to the contrary, was always opposed to the Catholic Claims. We fully believe this; both because we are sure that Mr Southey is incapable of publishing a deliberate falsehood, and because his assertion is in itself probable. We should have expected that, even in his wildest paroxysms of democratic enthusiasm, Mr Southey would have felt no wish to see a simple remedy applied to a great practical evil. We should have expected that the only measure which all the great statesmen of two generations have agreed with each other in supporting would be the only measure which Mr Southey would have agreed with himself in opposing. He has passed from one extreme of political opinion to another, as Satan in Milton went round the globe, contriving constantly to "ride with darkness." Wherever the thickest shadow of the night may at any moment chance to fall, there is Mr Southey. It is not every body who could have so dexterously avoided blundering on the daylight in the course of a journey to the antipodes.

Mr Southey has not been fortunate in the plan of any of his fictitious narratives. But he has never failed so conspicuously as in the work before us; except, indeed, in the wretched Vision of Judgement. In November 1817, it seems the Laureate was sitting over his newspaper, and meditating about the death of the Princess Charlotte. An

elderly person of very dignified aspect makes his appearance, announces himself as a stranger from a distant country, and apologises very politely for not having provided himself with letters of introduction. Mr Southey supposes his visitor to be some American gentleman who has come to see the lakes and the lake-poets, and accordingly proceeds to perform, with that grace which only long practice can give, all the duties which authors owe to starers. He assures his guest that some of the most agreeable visits which he has received have been from Americans, and that he knows men among them whose talents and virtues would do honour to any country. In passing we may observe, to the honour of Mr Southey, that though he evidently has no liking for the American institutions, he never speaks of the people of the United States with that pitiful affectation of contempt by which some members of his party have done more than wars or tariffs can do to excite mutual enmity between two communities formed for mutual friendship. Great as the faults of his mind are, paltry spite like this has no place in it. Indeed it is scarcely conceivable that a man of his sensibility and his imagination should look without pleasure and national pride on the vigorous and splendid youth of a great people, whose veins are filled with our blood, whose minds are nourished with our literature, and on whom is entailed the rich inheritance of our civilisation, our freedom, and our glory.

But we must return to Mr Southey's study at Keswick. The visitor informs the hospitable poet that he is not an American but a spirit. Mr Southey, with more frankness than civility, tells him that he is a very queer one. The stranger holds out his hand. It has neither weight nor substance. Mr Southey upon this becomes more serious; his hair stands on end; and he adjures the spectre to tell him what he is, and why he comes. The ghost turns out to be Sir Thomas More. The traces of martyrdom, it seems, are worn in the other world, as stars and ribands are worn in this. Sir Thomas shows the poet a red streak round his neck, brighter than a ruby, and informs him that Cranmer wears a suit of flames in Paradise, the right hand glove, we suppose, of peculiar brilliancy.

Sir Thomas pays but a short visit on this occasion, but

promises to cultivate the new acquaintance which he has formed, and, after begging that his visit may be kept secret from Mrs Southey, vanishes into air.

The rest of the book consists of conversations between Mr Southey and the spirit about trade, currency, Catholic emancipation, periodical literature, female nunneries, butchers, snuff, book-stalls, and a hundred other subjects. Mr Southey very hospitably takes an opportunity to escort the ghost round the lakes, and directs his attention to the most beautiful points of view. Why a spirit was to be evoked for the purpose of talking over such matters and seeing such sights, why the vicar of the parish, a blue-stocking from London, or an American, such as Mr Southey at first supposed the ærial visitor to be, might not have done as well, we are unable to conceive. Sir Thomas tells Mr Southey nothing about future events, and indeed absolutely disclaims the gift of prescience. He has learned to talk modern English. He has read all the new publications, and loves a jest as well as when he jested with the executioner, though we cannot say that the quality of his wit has materially improved in Paradise. His powers of reasoning, too, are by no means in as great vigour as when he sate on the woolsack; and though he boasts that he is "divested of all those passions which cloud the intellects and warp the understandings of men," we think him, we must confess, far less stoical than formerly. As to revelations, he tells Mr Southey at the outset to expect none from him. The Laureate expresses some doubts, which assuredly will not raise him in the opinion of our modern millenarians, as to the divine authority of the Apocalypse. But the ghost preserves an impenetrable silence. As far as we remember only one hint about the employment of disembodied spirits escapes him. He encourages Mr Southey to hope that there is a Paradise Press, at which all the valuable publications of Mr Murray and Mr Colburn are reprinted as regularly as at Philadelphia; and delicately insinuates that Thalaba and the Curse of Kehama are among the number. What a contrast does this absurd fiction present to those charming narratives which Plato and Cicero prefixed to their dialogues! What cost in machinery, yet what poverty of effect! A ghost brought in to say what any man might have said! The glorified spirit of a great statesman and

hilosopher dawdling, like a bilious old nabob at a water-
ng-place, over quarterly reviews and novels, dropping in
o pay long calls, making excursions in search of the pictur-
sque ! The scene of St George and St Dennis in the Pucelle
s hardly more ridiculous. We know what Voltaire meant.
Nobody, however, can suppose that Mr Southey means to
nake game of the mysteries of a higher state of existence.
The fact is that, in the work before us, in the Vision of
udgement, and in some of his other pieces, his mode of
reating the most solemn subjects differs from that of open
coffers only as the extravagant representations of sacred
ersons and things in some grotesque Italian paintings
iffer from the caricatures which Carlile exposes in the front
f his shop. We interpret the particular act by the general
haracter. What in the window of a convicted blasphemer
e call blasphemous, we call only absurd and ill judged in
n altar-piece.

We now come to the conversations which pass between
Ir Southey and Sir Thomas More, or rather between two
outheys, equally eloquent, equally angry, equally unreason-
ole, and equally given to talking about what they do not
nderstand.* Perhaps we could not select a better instance
f the spirit which pervades the whole book than the pas-
ages in which Mr Southey gives his opinion of the manu-
acturing system. There is nothing which he hates so
tterly. It is, according to him, a system more tyrannical
han that of the feudal ages, a system of actual servitude,
system which destroys the bodies and degrades the minds
those who are engaged in it. He expresses a hope that
he competition of other nations may drive us out of the
eld; that our foreign trade may decline; and that we
ay thus enjoy a restoration of national sanity and strength.
ut he seems to think that the extermination of the whole
anufacturing population would be a blessing, if the evil
ould be removed in no other way.

Mr Southey does not bring forward a single fact in support
these views; and, as it seems to us, there are facts which
ad to a very different conclusion. In the first place, the
oor-rate is very decidedly lower in the manufacturing

* A passage in which some expressions used by Mr Southey were
srepresented, certainly without any unfair intention, has been here
itted.

than in the agricultural districts. If Mr Southey will look over the Parliamentary returns on this subject, he will find that the amount of parochial relief required by the labourers in the different counties of England is almost exactly in inverse proportion to the degree in which the manufacturing system has been introduced into those counties. The returns for the years ending in March 1825, and in March 1828, are now before us. In the former year we find the poor-rate highest in Sussex, about twenty shillings to every inhabitant. Then come Buckinghamshire, Essex, Suffolk, Bedfordshire, Huntingdonshire, Kent, and Norfolk. In all these the rate is above fifteen shillings a head. We will not go through the whole. Even in Westmoreland and the North Riding of Yorkshire the rate is at more than eight shillings. In Cumberland and Monmouthshire, the most fortunate of all the agricultural districts, it is at six shillings. But in the West Riding of Yorkshire it is as low as five shillings; and when we come to Lancashire, we find it at four shillings, one fifth of what it is in Sussex. The returns of the year ending in March 1828 are a little, and but a little, more unfavourable to the manufacturing districts. Lancashire, even in that season of distress, required a smaller poor-rate than any other district, and little more than one fourth of the poor-rate raised in Sussex. Cumberland alone, of the agricultural districts, was as well off as the West Riding of Yorkshire. These facts seem to indicate that the manufacturer is both in a more comfortable and in a less dependent situation than the agricultural labourer.

As to the effect of the manufacturing system on the bodily health, we must beg leave to estimate it by a standard far too low and vulgar for a mind so imaginative as that of Mr Southey—the proportion of births and deaths. We know that, during the growth of this atrocious system, this new misery, to use the phrases of Mr Southey, this new enormity, this birth of a portentous age, this pest which no man can approve whose heart is not seared or whose understanding has not been darkened, there has been a great diminution of mortality, and that this diminution has been greater in the manufacturing towns than anywhere else. The mortality still is, as it always was, greater in towns than in the country. But the difference has dimin

ished in an extraordinary degree.' There is the best reason to believe that the annual mortality of Manchester, about the middle of the last century, was one in twenty-eight. It is now reckoned at one in forty-five. In Glasgow and Leeds a similar improvement has taken place. Nay, the rate of mortality in those three great capitals of the manufacturing districts is now considerably less than it was, fifty years ago, over England and Wales taken together, open country and all. We might with some plausibility maintain that the people live longer because they are better fed, better lodged, better clothed, and better attended in sickness, and that these improvements are owing to that increase of national wealth which the manufacturing system has produced.

Much more might be said on this subject. But to what end? It is not from bills of mortality and statistical tables that Mr Southey has learned his political creed. He cannot stoop to study the history of the system which he abuses, so to strike the balance between the good and evil which it has produced, to compare district with district, or generation with generation. We will give his own reason for his opinion, the only reason which he gives for it, in his own words :—

"We remained awhile in silence looking upon the assemblage of dwellings below. Here, and in the adjoining hamlet of Millbeck, the effects of manufactures and of agriculture may be seen and compared. The old cottages are such as the poet and the painter equally delight in beholding. Substantially built of the native stone without mortar, whitied with no white lime, and their long low roofs covered with slate, as they had been raised by the magic of some indigenous Amphion's music, the materials could not have adjusted themselves more beautifully in accord with the surrounding scene; and time has still further harmonized them with weather-stains, lichens, and moss, short grasses, and short fern, and stone-plants of various kinds. The ornamented chimneys, round or square, less adorned than those which, like little turrets, crest the houses of the Portuguese peasantry; and yet not less happily suited to their place, the hedge of clipt box beneath the windows, the rose-bushes beside the door, the little patch of flower-ground, with its tall holly-hocks in front; the garden beside, the bee-hives, and the orchard with its bank of daffodils and snow-drops, the earliest and the profusest in these parts, indicate in the owners some portion of ease and leisure, some regard to neatness and comfort, some sense of natural, and innocent, and healthful enjoyment. The new cottages of the manufacturers are upon the manufacturing pattern—naked, and in a row.

"'How is it,' said I, 'that every thing which is connected with manufactures presents such features of unqualified deformity? From the largest of Mammon's temples down to the poorest hovel in which his helotry are stalled, these edifices have all one character. Time will not mellow them; nature will neither clothe nor conceal them; and they will remain always as offensive to the eye as to the mind.'"

Here is wisdom. Here are the principles on which nations are to be governed. Rose-bushes and poor-rates, rather than steam-engines and independence. Mortality and cottages with weather-stains, rather than health and long life with edifices which time cannot mellow. We are told, that our age has invented atrocities beyond the imagination of our fathers; that society has been brought into a state compared with which extermination would be a blessing, and all because the dwellings of cotton-spinners are naked and rectangular. Mr Southey has found out a way, he tells us, in which the effects of manufactures and agriculture may be compared. And what is this way? To stand on a hill, to look at a cottage and a factory, and to see which is the prettier. Does Mr Southey think that the body of the English peasantry live, or ever lived, in substantial or ornamented cottages, with box-hedges, flower-gardens, bee-hives, and orchards? If not, what is his parallel worth? We despise those mock philosophers, who think that they serve the cause of science by depreciating literature and the fine arts. But if any thing could excuse their narrowness of mind, it would be such a book as this. It is not strange that, when one enthusiast makes the picturesque the test of political good, another should feel inclined to proscribe altogether the pleasures of taste and imagination.

Thus it is that Mr Southey reasons about matters with which he thinks himself perfectly conversant. We cannot therefore, be surprised to find that he commits extraordinary blunders when he writes on points of which he acknowledges himself to be ignorant. He confesses that he is not versed in political economy, and that he has neither liking nor aptitude for it; and he then proceeds to read the public a lecture concerning it which fully bears out his confession.

"All wealth," says Sir Thomas More, "in former times was tangible. It consisted in land, money, or chattels which were either of real or conventional value."

Montesinos, as Mr Southey somewhat affectedly calls imself, answers thus:

"Jewels, for example, and pictures, as in Holland, where ndeed at one time tulip bulbs answered the same purpose."

"That bubble," says Sir Thomas, "was one of those ontagious insanities to which communities are subject. All ealth was real, till the extent of commerce rendered a aper currency necessary; which differed from precious tones and pictures in this important point, that there as no limit to its production."

"We regard it," says Montesinos, "as the representative f real wealth; and, therefore, limited always to the amount f what it represents."

"Pursue that notion," answers the ghost, "and you will e in the dark presently. Your provincial bank-notes, hich constitute almost wholly the circulating medium of ertain districts, pass current to-day. To-morrow, tidings ay come that the house which issued them has stopt ayment, and what do they represent then? You will nd them the shadow of a shade."

We scarcely know at which end to begin to disentangle is knot of absurdities. We might ask, why it should be greater proof of insanity in men to set a high value on re tulips than on rare stones, which are neither more seful nor more beautiful? We might ask how it can be id that there is no limit to the production of paper-money, hen a man is hanged if he issues any in the name of another, nd is forced to cash what he issues in his own? But Mr outhey's error lies deeper still. "All wealth," says he, was tangible and real till paper currency was introduced." ow, was there ever, since men emerged from a state of tter barbarism, an age in which there were no debts? Is ot a debt, while the solvency of the debtor is undoubted, ways reckoned as part of the wealth of the creditor? Yet it tangible and real wealth? Does it cease to be wealth, ecause there is the security of a written acknowledgment r it? And what else is paper currency? Did Mr Southey er read a bank-note? If he did, he would see that it is written acknowledgment of a debt, and a promise to pay at debt. The promise may be violated: the debt may main unpaid: those to whom it was due may suffer: ut this is a risk not confined to cases of paper currency:

it is a risk inseparable from the relation of debtor and credito
Every man who sells goods for any thing but ready mon
runs the risk of finding that what he considered as part
his wealth one day is nothing at all the next day. M
Southey refers to the picture-galleries of Holland. T
pictures were undoubtedly real and tangible possessior
But surely it might happen that a burgomaster might ov
a picture-dealer a thousand guilders for a Teniers. Wh
in this case corresponds to our paper money is not the pictur
which is tangible, but the claim of the picture-dealer
his customer for the price of the picture; and this claim
not tangible. Now, would not the picture-dealer consid
this claim as part of his wealth? Would not a tradesm
who knew of the claim give credit to the picture-deal
the more readily on account of the claim? The burg
master might be ruined. If so, would not those consequenc
follow which, as Mr. Southey tells us, were never heard
till paper money came into use? Yesterday this claim w
worth a thousand guilders. To-day what is it? T
shadow of a shade.

It is true that, the more readily claims of this sort a
transferred from hand to hand, the more extensive will b
the injury produced by a single failure. The laws of a
nations sanction, in certain cases, the transfer of righ
not yet reduced into possession. Mr Southey woul
scarcely wish, we should think, that all endorsemen
of bills and notes should be declared invalid. Yet eve
if this were done, the transfer of claims would imperceptib
take place, to a very great extent. When the baker trus
the butcher, for example, he is in fact, though not in forn
trusting the butcher's customers. A man who owes larg
bills to tradesmen, and fails to pay them, almost alwa
produces distress through a very wide circle of people wi
whom he never dealt.

In short, what Mr Southey takes for a difference in kin
is only a difference of form, not degree. In every socie
men have claims on the property of others. In every socie
there is a possibility that some debtors may not be able
fulfil their obligations. In every society, therefore, there
wealth which is not tangible, and which may become t
shadow of a shade.

Mr Southey then proceeds to a dissertation on the nation

ebt, which he considers in a new and most consolatory light, a clear addition to the income of the country.

"You can understand," says Sir Thomas, "that it nstitutes a great part of the national wealth."

"So large a part," answers Montesinos, "that the interest nounted, during the prosperous times of agriculture, to much as the rental of all the land in Great Britain; and present to the rental of all lands, all houses, and all her fixed property put together."

The Ghost and the Laureate agree that it is very desirable at there should be so secure and advantageous a deposit r wealth as the funds afford. Sir Thomas then proceeds:—

"Another and far more momentous benefit must not be erlooked—the expenditure of an annual interest, equalling, you have stated, the present rental of all fixed property."

"That expenditure," quoth Montesinos, "gives employent to half the industry in the kingdom, and feeds half the ouths. Take, indeed, the weight of the national debt from is great and complicated social machine, and the wheels ust stop."

From this passage we should have been inclined to think at Mr Southey supposes the dividends to be a free gift riodically sent down from heaven to the fundholders, as ails and manna were sent to the Israelites; were it not at he has vouchsafed, in the following question and answer, give the public some information which, we believe, was y little needed.

"Whence comes the interest?" says Sir Thomas.

"It is raised," answers Montesinos, "by taxation."

Now, has Mr Southey ever considered what would be done h this sum if it were not paid as interest to the national editor? If he would think over this matter for a short time, suspect that the "momentous benefit" of which he ks would appear to him to shrink strangely in amount. fundholder, we will suppose, spends dividends amounting five hundred pounds a year; and his ten nearest neighurs pay fifty pounds each to the tax-gatherer, for the rpose of discharging the interest of the national debt. the debt were wiped out—a measure, be it understood, ich we by no means recommend—the fundholder would se to spend his five hundred pounds a year. He would longer give employment to industry, or put food into

the mouths of labourers. This Mr Southey thinks a fearfu evil. But is there no mitigating circumstance? Each o the ten neighbours of our fundholder has fifty pounds year more than formerly. Each of them will, as it seems t our feeble understandings, employ more industry and fee more mouths than formerly. The sum is exactly the same It is in different hands. But on what grounds does M Southey call upon us to believe that it is in the hands o men who will spend it less liberally or less judiciously He seems to think that nobody but a fundholder can emplo the poor; that, if a tax is remitted, those who formerl used to pay it proceed immediately to dig holes in the earth and to bury the sum which the government had been ac customed to take; that no money can set industry in motio till such money has been taken by the tax-gatherer out o one man's pocket and put into another man's pocket. W really wish that Mr Southey would try to prove this principle which is indeed the foundation of his whole theory of finance for we think it right to hint to him that our hard-hearte and unimaginative generation will expect some more satis factory reason than the only one with which he has ye favoured it, namely, a similitude touching evaporation an dew.

Both the theory and the illustration, indeed, are ol friends of ours. In every season of distress which we ca remember Mr Southey has been proclaiming that it is no from economy, but from increased taxation, that the countr must expect relief; and he still, we find, places the un doubting faith of a political Diafoirus in his

"Resaignare, repurgare, et reclysterizare."

"A people," he tells us, "may be too rich, but a gover ment cannot be so."

"A state," says he, "cannot have more wealth at i command than may be employed for the general goo a liberal expenditure in national works being one of th surest means of promoting national prosperity; and th benefit being still more obvious, of an expenditure direct to the purposes of national improvement. But a peop may be too rich."

We fully admit that a state cannot have at its comma

ore wealth than may be employed for the general good. ut neither can individuals, or bodies of individuals, have their command more wealth than may be employed for ne general good. If there be no limit to the sum which ay be usefully laid out in public works and national nprovement, then wealth, whether in the hands of private en or of the government, may always, if the possessors noose to spend it usefully, be usefully spent. The only round, therefore, on which Mr Southey can possibly main- in that a government cannot be too rich, but that a eople may be too rich, must be this, that governments e more likely to spend their money on good objects than ivate individuals.

But what is useful expenditure? "A liberal expenditure national works," says Mr Southey, "is one of the surest eans for promoting national prosperity." What does e mean by national prosperity? Does he mean the ealth of the state? If so, his reasoning runs thus: The ore wealth a state has the better; for the more wealth state has the more wealth it will have. This is surely mething like that fallacy which is ungallantly termed a dy's reason. If by national prosperity he means the ealth of the people, of how gross a contradiction is Mr uthey guilty. A people, he tells us, may be too rich: government cannot: for a government can employ its ches in making the people richer. The wealth of the ople is to be taken from them, because they have too ich, and laid out in works, which will yield them more. We are really at a loss to determine whether Mr Southey's ason for recommending large taxation is that it will ake the people rich, or that it will make them poor. ut we are sure that, if his object is to make them rich, he kes the wrong course. There are two or three principles specting public works, which, as an experience of vast tent proves, may be trusted in almost every case.

It scarcely ever happens that any private man or body men will invest property in a canal, a tunnel, or a bridge, t from an expectation that the outlay will be profitable them. No work of this sort can be profitable to private eculators, unless the public be willing to pay for the use it. The public will not pay of their own accord for what lds no profit or convenience to them. There is thus a

direct and obvious connection between the motive which induces individuals to undertake such a work, and the utility of the work.

Can we find any such connection in the case of a public work executed by a government? If it is useful, are the individuals who rule the country richer? If it is useless, are they poorer? A public man may be solicitous for his credit. But is not he likely to gain more credit by an useless display of ostentatious architecture in a great town than by the best road or the best canal in some remote province? The fame of public works is a much less certain test of their utility than the amount of toll collected at them. In a corrupt age, there will be direct embezzlement. In the purest age, there will be abundance of jobbing. Never were the statesmen of any country more sensitive to public opinion, and more spotless in pecuniary transactions, than those who have of late governed England. Yet we have only to look at the buildings recently erected in London for a proof of our rule. In a bad age, the fate of the public is to be robbed outright. In a good age, it is merely to have the dearest and the worst of every thing.

Buildings for state purposes the state must erect. And here we think that, in general, the state ought to stop. We firmly believe that five hundred thousand pounds subscribed by individuals for rail-roads or canals would produce more advantage to the public than five millions voted by Parliament for the same purpose. There are certain old saws about the master's eye and about everybody's business, in which we place very great faith.

There is, we have said, no consistency in Mr Southey's political system. But if there be in his political system any leading principle, any one error which diverges more widely and variously than any other, it is that of which his theory about national works is a ramification. He conceives that the business of the magistrate is, not merely to see that the persons and property of the people are secure from attack, but that he ought to be a jack-of-all-trades, architect, engineer, schoolmaster, merchant, theologian, a Lady Bountiful in every parish, a Paul Pry in every house, spying, eaves-dropping, relieving, admonishing, spending our money for us, and choosing our opinions for us. His principle is, if we understand it rightly, that no

nan can do any thing so well for himself as his rulers, e they who they may, can do it for him, and that a govern- nent approaches nearer and nearer to prefection, in pro- ortion as it interferes more and more with the habits nd notions of individuals.

He seems to be fully convinced that it is in the power f government to relieve all the distresses under which ne lower orders labour. Nay, he considers doubt on this ubject as impious. We cannot refrain from quoting his rgument on this subject. It is a perfect jewel of logic.

"'Many thousands in your metropolis,' says Sir Thomas More, rise every morning without knowing how they are to subsist during e day; as many of them, where they are to lay their heads at night. ll men, even the vicious themselves, know that wickedness leads to isery; but many, even among the good and the wise, have yet to arn that misery is almost as often the cause of wickedness.'

"'There are many,' says Montesinos, 'who know this, but believe at it is not in the power of human institutions to prevent this misery. ney see the effect, but regard the causes as inseparable from the con- tion of human nature.'

"'As surely as God is good,' replies Sir Thomas, 'so surely there is o such thing as necessary evil. For, by the religious mind, sickness, d pain, and death, are not to be accounted evils.'"

Now if sickness, pain, and death are not evils, we cannot nderstand why it should be an evil that thousands should se without knowing how they are to subsist. The only il of hunger is that it produces first pain, then sickness, nd finally death. If it did not produce these, it would e no calamity. If these are not evils, it is no calamity. 'e will propose a very plain dilemma: either physical pain an evil, or it is not an evil. If it is an evil, then there necessary evil in the universe: if it is not, why should e poor be delivered from it?

Mr Southey entertains as exaggerated a notion of the sdom of governments as of their power. He speaks th the greatest disgust of the respect now paid to public inion. That opinion is, according to him, to be dis- usted and dreaded; its usurpation ought to be vigorously sisted; and the practice of yielding to it is likely to in the country. To maintain police is, according to him, ly one of the ends of government. The duties of a ruler e patriarchal and paternal. He ought to consider the

moral discipline of the people as his first object, to establis
a religion, to train the whole community in that religio
and to consider all dissenters as his own enemies.

"'Nothing,' says Sir Thomas, 'is more certain, than that religio
is the basis upon which civil government rests; that from religion powe
derives its authority, laws their efficacy, and both their zeal and sanc
tion; and it is necessary that this religion be established as for th
security of the state, and for the welfare of the people, who woul
otherwise be moved to and fro with every wind of doctrine. A stat
is secure in proportion as the people are attached to its institutions
it is therefore the first and plainest rule of sound policy, that the peop
be trained up in the way they should go. The state that neglects th
prepares its own destruction; and they who train them in any oth
way are undermining it. Nothing in abstract science can be more certai
than these positions are.'

"'All of which,' answers Montesinos, 'are nevertheless denied b
our professors of the arts Babblative and Scribblative: some in th
audacity of evil designs, and others in the glorious assurance of impen
trable ignorance.'"

The greater part of the two volumes before us is merel
an amplification of these paragraphs. What does M
Southey mean by saying that religion is demonstrabl
the basis of civil government? He cannot surely mea
that men have no motives except those derived from religio
for establishing and supporting civil government, that n
temporal advantage is derived from civil governmen
that men would experience no temporal inconvenience fro
living in a state of anarchy? If he allows, as we thin
he must allow, that it is for the good of mankind in th
world to have civil government, and that the great majorit
of mankind have always thought it for their good in th
world to have civil government, we then have a basis f
government quite distinct from religion. It is true that th
Christian religion sanctions government, as it sanctio
every thing which promotes the happiness and virtue
our species. But we are at a loss to conceive in wh
sense religion can be said to be the basis of governmen
in which religion is not also the basis of the practices
eating, drinking, and lighting fires in cold weather. N
thing in history is more certain than that government h
existed, has received some obedience, and has given son
protection, in times in which it derived no support fro
religion, in times in which there was no religion that i

ienced the hearts and lives of men. It was not from dread Tartarus, or from belief in the Elysian fields, that an thenian wished to have some institutions which might ep Orestes from filching his cloak, or Midias from breaking s head. "It is from religion," says Mr Southey, "that wer derives its authority, and laws their efficacy." From nat religion does our power over the Hindoos derive its thority, or the law in virtue of which we hang Brahmins efficacy? For thousands of years civil government has isted in almost every corner of the world, in ages of iestcraft, in ages of fanaticism, in ages of Epicurean difference, in ages of enlightened piety. However pure impure the faith of the people might be, whether they ored a beneficent or a malignant power, whether they ought the soul mortal or immortal, they have, as soon they ceased to be absolute savages, found out their ed of civil government, and instituted it accordingly. is as universal as the practice of cookery. Yet, it is as rtain, says Mr Southey, as any thing in abstract science, at government is founded on religion. We should like know what notion Mr Southey has of the demonstrations abstract science. A very vague one, we suspect.

The proof proceeds. As religion is the basis of govern-nt, and as the state is secure in proportion as the people e attached to public institutions, it is therefore, says Southey, the first rule of policy, that the government ould train the people in the way in which they should go; d it is plain that those who train them in any other way e undermining the state.

Now it does not appear to us to be the first object that ople should always believe in the established religion d be attached to the established government. A religion y be false. A government may be oppressive. And atever support government gives to false religions, or re-on to oppressive governments, we consider as a clear evil. The maxim, that governments ought to train the people the way in which they should go, sounds well. But there any reason for believing that a government is more ely to lead the people in the right way than the people fall into the right way of themselves? Have there not en governments which were blind leaders of the blind? e there not still such governments? Can it be laid

down as a general rule that the movement of politica and religious truth is rather downwards from the goverr ment to the people than upwards from the people to th government? These are questions which it is of importanc to have clearly resolved. Mr Southey declaims agains public opinion, which is now, he tells us, usurping suprem power. Formerly, according to him, the laws governed now public opinion governs. What are laws but expressior of the opinion of some class which has power over the re of the community? By what was the world ever governe but by the opinion of some person or persons? By wha else can it ever be governed? What are all system religious, political, or scientific, but opinions resting o evidence more or less satisfactory? The question is nc between human opinion and some higher and more certai mode of arriving at truth, but between opinion and opinior between the opinions of one man and another, or of or class and another, or of one generation and another. Publ opinion is not infallible; but can Mr Southey construct an institutions which shall secure to us the guidance of a infallible opinion? Can Mr Southey select any famil any profession, any class, in short, distinguished by any plai badge from the rest of the community, whose opinion more likely to be just than this much abused public opinion Would he choose the peers, for example? Or the tw hundred tallest men in the country? Or the poor Knigh of Windsor? Or children who are born with cauls? C the seventh sons of seventh sons? We cannot suppos that he would recommend popular election; for that merely an appeal to public opinion. And to say tha society ought to be governed by the opinion of the wise and best, though true, is useless. Whose opinion is t decide who are the wisest and best?

Mr Southey and many other respectable people see to think that, when they have once proved the moral ar religious training of the people to be a most importar object, it follows, of course, that it is an object which th government ought to pursue. They forget that we hav to consider, not merely the goodness of the end, but al the fitness of the means. Neither in the natural nor the political body have all members the same office. The is surely no contradiction in saying that a certain secti

the community may be quite competent to protect the rsons and property of the rest, yet quite unfit to direct r opinions, or to superintend our private habits.

So strong is the interest of a ruler to protect his subjects ainst all depredations and outrages except his own, so ar and simple are the means by which this end is to be ected, that men are probably better off under the worst vernments in the world than they would be in a state anarchy. Even when the appointment of magistrates s been left to chance, as in the Italian Republics, things ve gone on far better than if there had been no magis- ates at all, and if every man had done what seemed right his own eyes. But we see no reason for thinking that e opinions of the magistrate on speculative questions e more likely to be right than those of any other man. ne of the modes by which a magistrate is appointed, pular election, the accident of the lot, or the accident of th, affords, as far as we can perceive, much security for being wiser than any of his neighbours. The chance of being wiser than all his neighbours together is still aller. Now we cannot understand how it can be laid wn that it is the duty and the right of one class to direct the nions of another, unless it can be proved that the former ss is more likely to form just opinions than the latter.

The duties of government would be, as Mr Southey says t they are, paternal, if a government were necessarily much superior is wisdom to a people as the most foolish her, for a time, is to the most intelligent child, and if government loved a people as fathers generally love ir children. But there is no reason to believe that a ernment will have either the paternal warmth of affection he paternal superiority of intellect. Mr Southey might as l say that the duties of the shoemaker are paternal, and t it is an usurpation in any man not of the craft to say t his shoes are bad and to insist on having better. The ision of labour would be no blessing, if those by whom hing is done were to pay no attention to the opinion those for whom it is done. The shoemaker, in the apse, tells Lord Foppington that his lordship is mistaken supposing that his shoe pinches. "It does not pinch; cannot pinch; I know my business; and I never made better shoe." This is the way in which Mr Southey

would have a government treat a people who usurp t privilege of thinking. Nay, the shoemaker of Vanbru has the advantage in the comparison. He content himself with regulating his customer's shoes, about whi he had peculiar means of information, and did not presu to dictate about the coat and hat. But Mr Southey wou have the rulers of a country prescribe opinions to the peop not only about politics, but about matters concerni which a government has no peculiar sources of informatio and concerning which any man in the streets may kn as much and think as justly as the King, namely, religi and morals.

Men are never so likely to settle a question rightly when they discuss it freely. A government can interfe in discussion only by making it less free than it would othe wise be. Men are most likely to form just opinions wh they have no other wish than to know the truth, and a exempt from all influence either of hope or fear. Gover ment, as government, can bring nothing but the influen of hopes and fears to support its doctrines. It carr on controversy, not with reasons, but with threats a bribes. If it employs reasons, it does so, not in virtue any powers which belong to it as a government. Th instead of a contest between argument and argume we have a contest between argument and force. Inste of a contest in which truth, from the natural constituti of the human mind, has a decided advantage over fal hood, we have a contest in which truth can be victorio only by accident.

And what, after all, is the security which this traini gives to governments ? Mr Southey would scarcely propo that discussion should be more effectually shackled, th public opinion should be more strictly disciplined in conformity with established institutions, than in Spain a Italy. Yet we know that the restraints which exist in Spa and Italy have not prevented atheism from spreadi among the educated classes, and especially among tho whose office it is to minister at the altars of God. All o readers know how, at the time of the French Revolutio priest after priest came forward to declare that his doctri his ministry, his whole life, had been a lie, a mumme during which he could scarcely compose his countenan

fficiently to carry on the imposture. This was the case a false, or at least of a grossly corrupted religion. Let take then the case of all others most favourable to r Southey's argument. Let us take that form of religion hich he holds to be the purest, the system of the Arminian rt of the Church of England. Let us take the form of vernment which he most admires and regrets, the govern- ent of England in the time of Charles the First. Would wish to see a closer connection between church and ate than then existed? Would he wish for more power- l ecclesiastical tribunals? for a more zealous king? r a more active primate? Would he wish to see a more mplete monoply of public instruction given to the stablished Church? Could any government do more to ain the people in the way in which he would have them ? And in what did all this training end? The Report the state of the Province of Canterbury, delivered by aud to his master at the close of 1639, represents the urch of England as in the highest and most palmy state. effectually had the government pursued that policy hich Mr Southey wishes to see revived that there was arcely the least appearance of dissent. Most of the shops stated that all was well among their flocks. Seven eight persons in the diocese of Peterborough had seemed fractory to the church, but had made ample submission. Norfolk and Suffolk all whom there had been reason suspect had made profession of conformity, and appeared observe it strictly. It is confessed that there was a tle difficulty in bringing some of the vulgar in Suffolk take the sacrament at the rails in the chancel. This s the only open instance of non-conformity which the gilant eye of Laud could detect in all the dioceses of his enty-one suffragans, on the very eve of a revolution which primate, and church, and monarch, and monarchy re to perish together.

At which time would Mr Southey pronounce the con- tution more secure; in 1639, when Laud presented s Report to Charles; or now, when thousands of meet- s openly collect millions of dissenters, when designs ainst the tithes are openly avowed, when books attacking only the Establishment, but the first principles of ristianity, are openly sold in the streets? The signs

of discontent, he tells us, are stronger in England now th
in France when the States-General met; and hence
would have us infer that a revolution like that of Fra
may be at hand. Does he not know that the danger
states is to be estimated, not by what breaks out of
public mind, but by what stays in it? Can he conce
anything more terrible than the situation of a governm
which rules without apprehension over a people of hy
crites, which is flattered by the press and cursed in the in
chambers, which exults in the attachment and obedie
of its subjects, and knows not that those subjects
leagued against it in a freemasonry of hatred, the s
of which is every day conveyed in the glance of ten th
sand eyes, the pressure of ten thousand hands, and the t
of ten thousand voices? Profound and ingenious poli
Instead of curing the disease, to remove those sympto
by which alone its nature can be known! To leave
serpent his deadly sting, and deprive him only of his warn
rattle!

When the people whom Charles had so assiduou
trained in the good way had rewarded his paternal c
by cutting off his head, a new kind of training came i
fashion. Another government arose which, like the form
considered religion as its surest basis, and the religi
discipline of the people as its first duty. Sanguinary la
were enacted against libertinism; profane pictures w
burned; drapery was put on indecorous statues;
theatres were shut up; fast-days were numerous; a
the Parliament resolved that no person should be admit
into any public employment, unless the House should
first satisfied of his vital godliness. We know what
the end of this training. We know that it ended in impie
in filthy and heartless sensuality, in the dissolution of
ties of honour and morality. We know that at this v
day scriptural phrases, scriptural names, perhaps sc
scriptural doctrines, excite disgust and ridicule, so
because they are associated with the austerity of t
period.

Thus has the experiment of training the people in est
lished forms of religion been twice tried in England c
large scale, once by Charles and Laud, and once by
Puritans. The High Tories of our time still enter

ny of the feelings and opinions of Charles and Laud, ough in a mitigated form; nor is it difficult to see that e heirs of the Puritans are still amongst us. It would desirable that each of these parties should remember w little advantage or honour it formerly derived from e closest alliance with power, that it fell by the support rulers, and rose by their opposition, that of the two tems that in which the people were at any time drilled s always at that time the unpopular system, that the ining of the High Church ended in the reign of the Puri- s, and that the training of the Puritans ended in the gn of the harlots.

This was quite natural. Nothing is so galling to a people broken in from the birth as a paternal, or, in other rds, a meddling government, a government which tells m what to read, and say, and eat, and drink, and wear. r fathers could not bear it two hundred years ago; l we are not more patient than they. Mr Southey nks that the yoke of the church is dropping off because s loose. We feel convinced that it is borne only because s easy, and that, in the instant in which an attempt is made tighten it, it will be flung away. It will be neither the t nor the strongest yoke that has been broken asunder l trampled under foot in the day of the vengeance of gland.

How far Mr Southey would have the government carry measures for training the people in the doctrines of the rch, we are unable to discover. In one passage Sir omas More asks with great vehemence,

Is it possible that your laws should suffer the unbelievers exist as a party? Vetitum est adeo sceleris nihil?"

Montesinos answers, "They avow themselves in defiance the laws. The fashionable doctrine which the press at time maintains is, that this is a matter in which the s ought not to interfere, every man having a right, both form what opinion he pleases upon religious subjects, to promulgate that opinion."

t is clear, therefore, that Mr Southey would not give full perfect toleration to infidelity. In another passage, vever, he observes with some truth, though too sweep- y, that "any degree of intolerance short of that full ent which the Papal Church exercises where it has the

power, acts upon the opinions which it is intended to sup press, like pruning upon vigorous plants; they grow the stronger for it." These two passages, put together, would lead us to the conclusion that, in Mr Southey's opinion, the utmost severity ever employed by the Roman Catholic Church in the days of its greatest power ought to be employed against unbelievers in England; in plain words, that Carlile and his shopmen ought to be burned in Smithfield, and that every person who, when called upon, should decline to make a solemn profession of Christianity ought to suffer the same fate. We do not, however, believe that Mr Southey would recommend such a course, though his language would, according to all the rules of logic, justify us in supposing this to be his meaning. His opinions form no system at all. He never sees at one glance, more of a question than will furnish matter for one flowing and well-turned sentence; so that it would be the height of unfairness to charge him personally with holding a doctrine merely because that doctrine is deducible, though by the closest and most accurate reasoning, from the premises which he has laid down. We are, therefore, left completely in the dark as to Mr Southey's opinions about toleration. Immediately after censuring the government for not punishing infidels, he proceeds to discuss the question of the Catholic disabilities, now, thank God, removed, and defends them on the ground that the Catholic doctrines tend to persecution, and that the Catholics persecuted when they had power.

"They must persecute," says he, "if they believe their own creed, for conscience sake; and if they do not believe it, they must persecute for policy; because it is only by intolerance that so corrupt and injurious a system can be upheld."

That unbelievers should not be persecuted is an instance of national depravity at which the glorified spirits stand aghast. Yet a sect of Christians is to be excluded from power because those who formerly held the same opinions were guilty of persecution. We have said that we do not very well know what Mr Southey's opinion about toleration is. But, on the whole, we take it to be this, that everybody is to tolerate him, and that he is to tolerate nobody.

We will not be deterred by any fear of misrepresentation from expressing our hearty approbation of the mild, wise, and eminently Christian manner in which the Church and the

Government have lately acted with respect to blasphemous publications. We praise them for not having thought it necessary to encircle a religion pure, merciful, and philosophical, a religion to the evidence of which the highest intellects have yielded, with the defences of a false and bloody superstition. The ark of God was never taken till it was surrounded by the arms of earthly defenders. In captivity its sanctity was sufficient to vindicate it from insult, and to lay the hostile fiend prostrate on the threshold of his own temple. The real security of Christianity is to be found in its benevolent morality, in its exquisite adaptation to the human heart, in the facility with which its scheme accommodates itself to the capacity of every human intellect, in the consolation which it bears to the house of mourning, in the light with which it brightens the great mystery of the grave. To such a system it can bring no addition of dignity or of strength, that it is part and parcel of the common law. It is not now for the first time left to rely on the force of its own evidences and the attractions of its own beauty. Its sublime theology confounded the Grecian schools in the fair conflict of reason with reason. The bravest and wisest of the Cæsars found their arms and their policy unavailing, when opposed to the weapons that were not carnal and the kingdom that was not of this world. The victory which Porphyry and Diocletian failed to gain is not, to all appearance, reserved for any of those who have, in this age, directed their attacks against the last restraint of the powerful and the last hope of the wretched. The whole history of Christianity shows, that she is in far greater danger of being corrupted by the alliance of power, than of being crushed by its opposition. Those who thrust temporal sovereignty upon her treat her as their prototypes treated her author. They bow the knee, and spit upon her; they cry "Hail!" and smite her on the cheek; they put a sceptre in her hand, but it is a fragile reed; they crown her, but it is with thorns; they cover with purple the wounds which their own hands have inflicted on her; and inscribe magnificent titles over the cross on which they have fixed her to perish in ignominy and pain.

The general view which Mr Southey takes of the prospects of society is very gloomy; but we comfort ourselves with the consideration that Mr Southey is no prophet. He fore-

told, we remember, on the very eve of the abolition of the Test and Corporation Acts, that these hateful laws were immortal, and that pious minds would long be gratified by seeing the most solemn religious rite of the Church profaned for the purpose of upholding her political supremacy. In the book before us, he says that Catholics cannot possibly be admitted into Parliament until those whom Johnson called "the bottomless Whigs" come into power. While the book was in the press, the prophecy was falsified; and a Tory of the Tories, Mr Southey's own favourite hero, won and wore that noblest wreath, "Ob cives servatos."

The signs of the times, Mr Southey tells us, are very threatening. His fears for the country would decidedly preponderate over his hopes, but for his firm reliance on the mercy of God. Now, as we know that God has once suffered the civilised world to be overrun by savages, and the Christian religion to be corrupted by doctrines which made it, for some ages, almost as bad as Paganism, we cannot think it inconsistent with his attributes that similar calamities should again befal mankind.

We look, however, on the state of the world, and of this kingdom in particular, with much greater satisfaction and with better hopes. Mr Southey speaks with contempt of those who think the savage state happier than the social. On this subject, he says, Rousseau never imposed on him even in his youth. But he conceives that a community which has advanced a little way in civilisation is happier than one which has made greater progress. The Britons in the time of Cæsar were happier, he suspects, than the English of the nineteenth century. On the whole, he selects the generation which preceded the Reformation as that in which the people of this country were better off than at any time before or since.

This opinion rests on nothing, as far as we can see, except his own individual associations. He is a man of letters; and a life destitute of literary pleasures seems insipid to him. He abhors the spirit of the present generation, the severity of its studies, the boldness of its inquiries, and the disdain with which it regards some old prejudices by which his own mind is held in bondage. He dislikes an utterly unenlightened age; he dislikes an investigating and reforming age. The first twenty years of the sixteenth century would have

exactly suited him. They furnished just the quantity of intellectual excitement which he requires. The learned few read and wrote largely. A scholar was held in high estimation. But the rabble did not presume to think; and even the most inquiring and independent of the educated classes paid more reverence to authority, and less to reason, than is usual in our time. This is a state of things in which Mr Southey would have found himself quite comfortable; and, accordingly, he pronounces it the happiest state of things ever known in the world.

The savages were wretched, says Mr Southey; but the people in the time of Sir Thomas More were happier than either they or we. Now we think it quite certain that we have the advantage over the contemporaries of Sir Thomas More, in every point in which they had any advantage over savages.

Mr Southey does not even pretend to maintain that the people in the sixteenth century were better lodged or clothed than at present. He seems to admit that in these respects there has been some little improvement. It is indeed a matter about which scarcely any doubt can exist in the most perverse mind that the improvements of machinery have lowered the price of manufactured articles, and have brought within the reach of the poorest some conveniences which Sir Thomas More or his master could not have obtained at any price.

The labouring classes, however, were, according to Mr Southey, better fed three hundred years ago than at present. We believe that he is completely in error on this point. The condition of servants in noble and wealthy families, and of scholars at the Universities, must surely have been better in those times than that of day-labourers; and we are sure that it was not better than that of our workhouse paupers. From the household book of the Northumberland family, we find that in one of the greatest establishments of the kingdom the servants lived very much as common sailors live now. In the reign of Edward the Sixth the state of the students at Cambridge is described to us, on the very best authority, as most wretched. Many of them dined on pottage made of a farthing's worth of beef with a little salt and oatmeal, and literally nothing else. This account we have from a contemporary master of St

John's. Our parish poor now eat wheaten bread. In the sixteenth century the labourer was glad to get barley, and was often forced to content himself with poorer fare. In Harrison's introduction to Hollinshed we have an account of the state of our working population in the "golden days," as Mr Southey calls them, "of good Queen Bess." "The gentilitie," says he, "commonly provide themselves sufficiently of wheat for their own tables, whylest their household and poore neighbours in some shires are inforced to content themselves with rye or barleie; yea, and in time of dearth many with bread made eyther of beanes, peason, or otes or of altogether, and some acornes among. I will not say that this extremity is oft so well to be seen in time of plentie as of dearth; but if I should I could easily bring my trial for albeit there be much more grounde eared nowe almost in everye place then hathe beene of late yeares, yet such a price of corne continueth in eache towne and market without any just cause, that the artificer and poore labouring man is not able to reach unto it, but is driven to content himself with horse-corne." We should like to see what the effect would be of putting any parish in England now on allowance of "horse-corne." The helotry of Mammon are not, in our day, so easily enforced to content themselves as the peasantry of that happy period, as Mr Southey considers it, which elapsed between the fall of the feudal and the rise of the commercial tyranny.

"The people," says Mr Southey, "are worse fed than when they were fishers." And yet in another place he complains that they will not eat fish. "They have contracted," says he, "I know not how, some obstinate prejudice against a kind of food at once wholesome and delicate, and every where to be obtained cheaply and in abundance, were the demand for it as general as it ought to be." It is true that the lower orders have an obstinate prejudice against fish. But hunger has no such obstinate prejudices. If what was formerly a common diet is now eaten only in times of severe pressure, the inference is plain. The people must be fed with what they at least think better food than that of their ancestors.

The advice and medicine which the poorest labourer can now obtain, in disease or after an accident, is far superior to what Henry the Eighth could have commanded. Scarcely

ny part of the country is out of the reach of practitioners who are probably not so far inferior to Sir Henry Halford s they are superior to Dr Butts. That there has been a reat improvement in this respect, Mr Southey allows. Indeed he could not well have denied it. "But," says he, the evils for which these sciences are the palliative, have ncreased since the time of the Druids, in a proportion that eavily overweighs the benefit of improved therapeutics." We know nothing either of the diseases or the remedies of he Druids. But we are quite sure that the improvement f medicine has far more than kept pace with the increase of isease during the last three centuries. This is proved by the est possible evidence. The term of human life is decidedly onger in England than in any former age, respecting which e possess any information on which we can rely. All the ants in the world about picturesque cottages and temples f Mammon will not shake this argument. No test of the hysical well-being of society can be named so decisive as hat which is furnished by bills of mortality. That the lives f the people of this country have been gradually lengthening during the course of several generations, is as certain as ny fact in statistics; and that the lives of men should become longer and longer, while their bodily condition during fe is becoming worse and worse, is utterly incredible.

Let our readers think over these circumstances. Let them ake into the account the sweating sickness and the plague. et them take into the account that fearful disease which rst made its appearance in the generation to which Mr outhey assigns the palm of felicity, and raged through urope with a fury at which the physician stood aghast, nd before which the people were swept away by myriads. et them consider the state of the northern counties, constantly the scene of robberies, rapes, massacres, and conagrations. Let them add to all this the fact that seventyvo thousand persons suffered death by the hands of the xecutioner during the reign of Henry the Eighth, and judge etween the nineteenth and the sixteenth century.

We do not say that the lower orders in England do not uffer severe hardships. But, in spite of Mr Southey's ssertions, and in spite of the assertions of a class of polians, who, differing from Mr Southey in every other point, gree with him in this, we are inclined to doubt whether the

labouring classes here really suffer greater physical distress than the labouring classes of the most flourishing countries of the Continent.

It will scarcely be maintained that the lazzaroni who sleep under the porticoes of Naples, or the beggars who besiege the convents of Spain, are in a happier situation than the English commonalty. The distress which has lately been experienced in the northern part of Germany, one of the best governed and most prosperous regions of Europe, surpasses, if we have been correctly informed, any thing which has of late years been known among us. In Norway and Sweden the peasantry are constantly compelled to mix bark with their bread; and even this expedient has not always preserved whole families and neighbourhoods from perishing together of famine. An experiment has lately been tried in the kingdom of the Netherlands, which has been cited to prove the possibility of establishing agricultural colonies on the waste lands of England, but which proves to our minds nothing so clearly as this, that the rate of subsistence to which the labouring classes are reduced in the Netherlands is miserably low, and very far inferior to that of the English paupers. No distress which the people here have endured for centuries approaches to that which has been felt by the French in our own time. The beginning of the year 1817 was a time of great distress in this island. But the state of the lowest classes here was luxury compared with that of the people of France. We find in Magendie's "Journal de Physiologie Expérimentale" a paper on a point of physiology connected with the distress of that season. It appears that the inhabitants of six departments, Aix, Jura, Doubs, Haute Saone, Vosges, and Saone-et-Loire, were reduced first to oatmeal and potatoes, and at last to nettles, bean-stalks, and other kinds of herbage fit only for cattle; that when the next harvest enabled them to eat barley-bread, many of them died from intemperate indulgence in what they thought an exquisite repast; and that a dropsy of a peculiar description was produced by the hard fare of the year. Dead bodies were found on the roads and in the fields. A single surgeon dissected six of these, and found the stomach shrunk, and filled with the unwholesome aliments which hunger had driven men to share with beasts. Such extremity of distress as this is never heard of in England,

or even in Ireland. We are, on the whole, inclined to think, though we would speak with diffidence on a point on which it would be rash to pronounce a positive judgment without a much longer and closer investigation than we have bestowed upon it, that the labouring classes of this island, though they have their grievances and distresses, some produced by their own improvidence, some by the errors of their rulers, are on the whole better off as to physical comforts than the inhabitants of any equally extensive district of the old world. For this very reason, suffering is more acutely felt and more loudly bewailed here than elsewhere. We must take into the account the liberty of discussion, and the strong interest which the opponents of a ministry always have to exaggerate the extent of the public disasters. There are countries in which the people quietly endure distress that here would shake the foundations of the state, countries in which the inhabitants of a whole province turn out to eat grass with less clamour than one Spitalfields weaver would make here, if the overseers were to put him on barley-bread. In those new commonwealths in which a civilised population has at its command a boundless extent of the richest soil, the condition of the labourer is probably happier than in any society which has lasted for many centuries. But in the old world we must confess ourselves unable to find any satisfactory record of any great nation, past or present, in which the working classes have been in a more comfortable situation than in England during the last thirty years. When this island was thinly peopled, it was barbarous: there was little capital; and that little was insecure. It is now the richest and the most highly civilised spot in the world; but the population is dense. Thus we have never known that golden age which the lower orders in the United States are now enjoying. We have never known an age of liberty, of order, and of education, an age in which the mechanical sciences were carried to a great height, yet in which the people were not sufficiently numerous to cultivate even the most fertile valleys. But, when we compare our own condition with that of our ancestors, we think it clear that the advantages arising from the progress of civilisation have far more than counterbalanced the disadvantages arising from the progress of population. While our numbers have increased tenfold, our wealth has increased

a hundredfold. Though there are so many more people to share the wealth now existing in the country than there were in the sixteenth century, it seems certain that a greater share falls to almost every individual than fell to the share of any of the corresponding class in the sixteenth century. The King keeps a more splendid court. The establishments of the nobles are more magnificent. The esquires are richer; the merchants are richer; the shopkeepers are richer. The serving-man, the artisan, and the husbandman, have a more copious and palatable supply of food, better clothing, and better furniture. This is no reason for tolerating abuses, or for neglecting any means of ameliorating the condition of our poorer countrymen. But it is a reason against telling them, as some of our philosophers are constantly telling them, that they are the most wretched people who ever existed on the face of the earth.

We have already adverted to Mr Southey's amusing doctrine about national wealth. A state, says he, cannot be too rich; but a people may be too rich. His reason for thinking this is extremely curious.

> "A people may be too rich, because it is the tendency of the commercial, and more especially of the manufacturing system, to collect wealth rather than to diffuse it. Where wealth is necessarily employed in any of the speculations of trade, its increase is in proportion to its amount. Great capitalists become like pikes in a fish-pond, who devour the weaker fish; and it is but too certain, that the poverty of one part of the people seems to increase in the same ratio as the riches of another. There are examples of this in history. In Portugal, when the high tide of wealth flowed in from the conquests in Africa and the East, the effect of that great influx was not more visible in the augmented splendour of the court, and the luxury of the higher ranks, than in the distress of the people."

Mr Southey's instance is not a very fortunate one. The wealth which did so little for the Portuguese was not the fruit either of manufactures or of commerce carried on by private individuals. It was the wealth, not of the people, but of the government and its creatures, of those who, as Mr Southey thinks, can never be too rich. The fact is, that Mr Southey's proposition is opposed to all history, and to the phænomena which surround us on every side. England is the richest country in Europe, the most commercial country, and the country in which manufactures flourish most. Rus-

ia and Poland are the poorest countries in Europe. They ave scarcely any trade, and none but the rudest manuactures. Is wealth more diffused in Russia and Poland than n England? There are individuals in Russia and Poland hose incomes are probably equal to those of our richest ountrymen. It may be doubted whether there are not, in nose countries, as many fortunes of eighty thousand a year s here. But are there as many fortunes of two thousand a ear, or of one thousand a year? There are parishes in ngland which contain more people of between three hundred nd three thousand pounds a year than could be found in l the dominions of the Emperor Nicholas. The neat and ommodious houses which have been built in London and s vicinity, for people of this class, within the last thirty ears, would of themselves form a city larger than the capitals f some European kingdoms. And this is the state of society which the great proprietors have devoured a smaller!

The cure which Mr Southey thinks that he has discovered worthy of the sagacity which he has shown in detecting ie evil. The calamities arising from the collection of wealth the hands of a few capitalists are to be remedied by colcting it in the hands of one great capitalist, who has no onceivable motive to use it better than other capitalists, the l-devouring state.

It is not strange that, differing so widely from Mr Southey to the past progress of society, we should differ from him so as to its probable destiny. He thinks, that to all outward ppearance, the country is hastening to destruction; but e relies firmly on the goodness of God. We do not see ther the piety or the rationality of thus confidently execting that the Supreme Being will interfere to disturb the ommon succession of causes and effects. We, too, rely on s goodness, on his goodness as manifested, not in extraorinary interpositions, but in those general laws which it as pleased him to establish in the physical and in the moral orld. We rely on the natural tendency of the human inllect to truth, and on the natural tendency of society to nprovement. We know no well authenticated instance of people which has decidedly retrograded in civilisation and osperity, except from the influence of violent and terrible lamities, such as those which laid the Roman empire in ins, or those which, about the beginning of the sixteenth

century, desolated Italy. We know of no country which, at the end of fifty years of peace and tolerably good government, has been less prosperous than at the beginning of that period. The political importance of a state may decline, as the balance of power is disturbed by the introduction of new forces. Thus the influence of Holland and of Spain is much diminished. But are Holland and Spain poorer than formerly? We doubt it. Other countries have outrun them. But we suspect that they have been positively, though not relatively, advancing. We suspect that Holland is richer than when she sent her navies up the Thames, that Spain is richer than when a French king was brought captive to the footstool of Charles the Fifth.

History is full of the signs of this natural progress of society. We see in almost every part of the annals of mankind how the industry of individuals, struggling up against wars, taxes, famines, conflagrations, mischievous prohibitions, and more mischievous protections, creates faster than governments can squander, and repairs whatever invaders can destroy. We see the wealth of nations increasing, and all the arts of life approaching nearer and nearer to perfection, in spite of the grossest corruption and the wildest profusion on the part of rulers.

The present moment is one of great distress. But how small will that distress appear when we think over the history of the last forty years; a war, compared with which all other wars sink into insignificance; taxation, such as the most heavily taxed people of former times could not have conceived; a debt larger than all the public debts that ever existed in the world added together; the food of the people studiously rendered dear; the currency imprudently debased, and imprudently restored. Yet is the country poorer than in 1790? We firmly believe that, in spite of all the misgovernment of her rulers, she has been almost constantly becoming richer and richer. Now and then there has been a stoppage, now and then a short retrogression; but as to the general tendency there can be no doubt. A single breaker may recede; but the tide is evidently coming in.

If we were to prophesy that in the year 1930 a population of fifty millions, better fed, clad and lodged than the English of our time, will cover these islands, that Sussex and Huntingdonshire will be wealthier than the wealthiest parts of

the West Riding of Yorkshire now are, that cultivation, rich as that of a flower-garden, will be carried up to the very tops of Ben Nevis and Helvellyn, that machines constructed on principles yet undiscovered, will be in every house, that there will be no highways but railroads, no travelling but by steam, that our debt, vast as it seems to us, will appear to our great-grandchildren a trifling incumbrance, which might easily be paid off in a year or two, many people would think us insane. We prophesy nothing; but this we say: If any person had told the Parliament which met in perplexity and terror after the crash in 1720 that in 1830 the wealth of England would surpass all their wildest dreams, that the annual revenue would equal the principal of that debt which they considered as an intolerable burden, that for one man of ten thousand pounds then living there would be five men of fifty thousand pounds, that London would be twice as large and twice as populous, and that nevertheless the rate of mortality would have diminished to one half of what it then was, that the post-office would bring more into the exchequer than the excise and customs had brought in together under Charles the Second, that stage-coaches would run from London to York in twenty-four hours, that men would be in the habit of sailing without wind, and would be beginning to ride without horses, our ancestors would have given as much credit to the prediction as they gave to Gulliver's Travels. Yet the prediction would have been true; and they would have perceived that it was not altogether absurd, if they had considered that the country was then raising every year a sum which would have purchased the fee-simple of the revenue of the Plantagenets, ten times what supported the government of Elizabeth, three times what, in the time of Oliver Cromwell, had been thought intolerably oppressive. To almost all men the state of things under which they have been used to live seems to be the necessary state of things. We have heard it said that five per cent. is the natural interest of money, that twelve is the natural number of a jury, that forty shillings is the natural qualification of a county voter. Hence it is that, though in every age every body knows that up to his own time progressive improvement has been taking place, nobody seems to reckon on any improvement during the next generation. We cannot absolutely prove that those are

in error who tell us that society has reached a turning point that we have seen our best days. But so said all who cam before us, and with just as much apparent reason. "A million a year will beggar us," said the patriots of 1640 "Two millions a year will grind the country to powder," was the cry in 1660. "Six millions a year, and a debt o fifty millions!" exclaimed Swift; "the high allies hav been the ruin of us." "A hundred and forty millions o debt!" said Junius; "well may we say that we owe Lor Chatham more than we shall ever pay, if we owe him suc a load as this." "Two hundred and forty millions of debt?" cried all the statesmen of 1783 in chorus; "what abilities or what economy on the part of a minister, can save a coun try so burdened?" We know that if, since 1783, no fres debt had been incurred, the increased resources of the coun try would have enabled us to defray that debt at whic Pitt, Fox, and Burke stood aghast, nay, to defray it over an over again, and that with much lighter taxation than wha we have actually borne. On what principle is it that, whe we see nothing but improvement behind us, we are to expec nothing but deterioration before us?

It is not by the intermeddling of Mr Southey's idol, th omniscient and omnipotent State, but by the prudence an energy of the people, that England has hitherto been carrie forward in civilisation; and it is to the same prudence an the same energy that we now look with comfort and goo hope. Our rulers will best promote the improvement o the nation by strictly confining themselves to their ow legitimate duties, by leaving capital to find its most lucra tive course, commodities their fair price, industry and inte ligence their natural reward, idleness and folly their natura punishment, by maintaining peace, by defending property by diminishing the price of law, and by observing stric economy in every department of the state. Let the Govern ment do this: the People will assuredly do the rest.

JOHN HAMPDEN. (December, 1831.)*

We have read this book with great pleasure, though not exactly with that kind of pleasure which we had expected. We had hoped that Lord Nugent would have been able to collect, from family papers and local traditions, much new and interesting information respecting the life and character of the renowned leader of the Long Parliament, the first of those great English commoners whose plain addition of Mister has, to our ears, a more majestic sound than the proudest of the feudal titles. In this hope we have been disappointed; but assuredly not from any want of zeal or diligence on the part of the noble biographer. Even at Hampden, there are, it seems, no important papers relating to the most illustrious proprietor of that ancient domain. The most valuable memorials of him which still exist, belong to the family of his friend, Sir John Eliot. Lord Eliot has furnished some very interesting letters. We shall probably make some extracts from them. They contain almost all the new information that Lord Nugent has been able to procure respecting the private pursuits of the great man whose memory he worships with an enthusiastic, but not extravagant, veneration.

The public life of Hampden is surrounded by no obscurity. His history, more particularly from the year 1640 to his death, is the history of England. These Memoirs must be considered as Memoirs of the history of England; and, as such, they well deserve to be attentively perused. They contain some curious facts, which, to us at least, are new, much spirited narrative, many judicious remarks, and much eloquent declamation.

* *Some Memorials of John Hampden, his Party, and his Times.* By Lord Nugent. 2 vols. 8vo. London: 1831.

We are not sure that even the want of information respecting the private character of Hampden is not in itself a circumstance as strikingly characteristic as any which the most minute chronicler, O'Meara, Mrs. Thrale, or Boswell himself, ever recorded, concerning their heroes. The celebrated Puritan leader is an almost solitary instance of a great man who neither sought nor shunned greatness, who found glory only because glory lay in the plain path of duty. During more than forty years he was known to his country neighbours as a gentleman of cultivated mind, of high principles, of polished address, happy in his family, and active in the discharge of local duties; and to political men, as an honest, industrious, and sensible member of Parliament, not eager to display his talents, stanch to his party, and attentive to the interests of his constituents. A great and terrible crisis came. A direct attack was made by an arbitrary government on a sacred right of Englishmen, on a right which was the chief security for all their other rights. The nation looked round for a defender. Calmly and unostentatiously the plain Buckinghamshire Esquire placed himself at the head of his countrymen, and right before the face and across the path of tyranny. The times grew darker and more troubled. Public service, perilous, arduous, delicate, was required; and to every service the intellect and the courage of this wonderful man were found equal. He became a debater of the first order, a most dexterous manager of the House of Commons, a negotiator, a soldier. He governed a fierce and turbulent assembly, abounding in able men, as easily as he had governed his family. He showed himself as competent to direct a campaign as to conduct the business of the petty sessions. We can scarcely express the admiration which we feel for a mind so great, and, at the same time, so healthful, and so well proportioned, so willingly contracting itself to the humblest duties, so easily expanding itself to the highest, so contented in repose, so powerful in action. Almost every part of this virtuous and blameless life which is not hidden from us in modest privacy is a precious and splendid portion of our national history. Had the private conduct of Hampden afforded the slightest pretence for censure, he would have been assailed by the same blind malevolence which, in defiance of the clearest proofs, still continues to call Sir John Eliot an assassin. Had there

been even any weak part in the character of Hampden, had his manners been in any respect open to ridicule, we may be sure that no mercy would have been shown to him by the writers of Charles's faction. Those writers have carefully preserved every little circumstance which could tend to make their opponents odious or contemptible. They have made themselves merry with the cant of injudicious zealots. They have told us that Pym broke down in a speech, that Ireton had his nose pulled by Hollis, that the Earl of Northumberland cudgelled Henry Marten, that St. John's manners were sullen, that Vane had an ugly face, that Cromwell had a red nose. But neither the artful Clarendon nor the scurrilous Denham could venture to throw the slightest imputation on the morals or the manners of Hampden. What was the opinion entertained respecting him by the best men of his time, we learn from Baxter. That eminent person, eminent not only for his piety and his fervid devotional eloquence, but for his moderation, his knowledge of political affairs, and his skill in judging of characters, declared in the Saints' Rest that one of the pleasures which he hoped to enjoy in heaven was the society of Hampden. In the editions printed after the Restoration, the name of Hampden was omitted. "But I must tell the reader," says Baxter, "that I did blot it out, not as changing my opinion of the person. . . . Mr. John Hampden was one that friends and enemies acknowledged to be most eminent for prudence, piety, and peaceable counsels, having the most universal praise of any gentleman that I remember of that age. I remember a moderate, prudent, aged gentleman, far from him, but acquainted with him, whom I have heard saying, that if he might choose what person he would be then in the world, he would be John Hampden." We cannot but regret that we have not fuller memorials of a man who, after passing through the most severe temptations by which human virtue can be tried, after acting a most conspicuous part in a revolution and a civil war, could yet deserve such praise as this from such authority. Yet the want of memorials is surely the best proof that hatred itself could find no blemish on his memory.

The story of his early life is soon told. He was the head of a family which had been settled in Buckinghamshire before the Conquest. Part of the estate which he inherited

had been bestowed by Edward the Confessor on Baldwyn de Hampden, whose name seems to indicate that he was one of the Norman favourites of the last Saxon king. During the contest between the houses of York and Lancaster, the Hampdens adhered to the party of the Red Rose, and were consequently, persecuted by Edward the Fourth, and favoured by Henry the Seventh. Under the Tudors, the family was great and flourishing. Griffith Hampden, high sheriff of Buckinghamshire, entertained Elizabeth with great magnificence at his seat. His son, William Hampden, sate in the Parliament which that queen summoned in the year 1593. William married Elizabeth Cromwell, aunt of the celebrated man who afterwards governed the British Islands with more than regal power; and from this marriage sprang John Hampden.

He was born in 1594. In 1597 his father died, and left him heir to a very large estate. After passing some years at the grammar-school of Thame, young Hampden was sent at fifteen, to Magdalene College, in the University of Oxford. At nineteen, he was admitted a student of the Inner Temple, where he made himself master of the principles of the English law. In 1619 he married Elizabeth Symeon, a lady to whom he appears to have been fondly attached. In the following year he was returned to parliament by a borough which had in our time obtained a miserable celebrity, the borough of Grampound.

Of his private life during his early years little is known beyond what Clarendon has told us. "In his entrance into the world," says that great historian, "he indulged himself in all the license in sports, and exercises, and company which were used by men of the most jolly conversation. A remarkable change, however, passed on his character. "On a sudden," says Clarendon, "from a life of great pleasure and license, he returned to extraordinary sobriety and strictness, to a more reserved and melancholy society." It is probable that this change took place when Hampden was about twenty-five years old. At that age he was united to a woman whom he loved and esteemed. At that age he entered into political life. A mind so happily constituted as his would naturally, under such circumstances, relinquish the pleasures of dissipation for domestic enjoyments and public duties.

His enemies have allowed that he was a man in whom virtue showed itself in its mildest and least austere form. With the morals of a Puritan, he had the manners of an accomplished courtier. Even after the change in his habits, "he preserved," says Clarendon, "his own natural cheerfulness and vivacity, and, above all, a flowing courtesy to all men." These qualities distinguished him from most of the members of his sect and his party, and, in the great crisis in which he afterwards took a principal part, were of scarcely less service to the country than his keen sagacity and his dauntless courage.

In January, 1621, Hampden took his seat in the House of Commons. His mother was exceedingly desirous that her son should obtain a peerage. His family, his possessions, and his personal accomplishments were such, as would, in any age, have justified him in pretending to that honour. But in the reign of James the First there was one short cut to the House of Lords. It was but to ask, and to pay, and to have. The sale of titles was carried on as openly as the sale of boroughs in our times. Hampden turned away with contempt from the degrading honours with which his family desired to see him invested, and attached himself to the party which was in opposition to the court.

It was about this time, as Lord Nugent has justly remarked, that parliamentary opposition began to take a regular form. From a very early age the English had enjoyed a far larger share of liberty than had fallen to the lot of any neighbouring people. How it chanced that a country conquered and enslaved by invaders, a country of which the soil had been portioned out among foreign adventurers, and of which the laws were written in a foreign tongue, a country given over to that worst tyranny, the tyranny of caste over caste, should have become the seat of civil liberty, the object of the admiration and envy of surrounding states, is one of the most obscure problems in the philosophy of history. But the fact is certain. Within a century and a half after the Norman Conquest, the Great Charter was conceded. Within two centuries after the Conquest, the first House of Commons met. Froissart tells us, what indeed his whole narrative sufficiently proves, that, of all the nations of the fourteenth century, the English were the least disposed to

endure oppression. "C'est le plus périlleux peuple qui soit au monde, et plus outrageux et orgueilleux." The good canon probably did not perceive that all the prosperity and internal peace which this dangerous people enjoyed were the fruits of the spirit which he designates as proud and outrageous. He has, however, borne ample testimony to the effect, though he was not sagacious enough to trace it to its cause. "En le royaume d'Angleterre," says he, "toutes gens, laboureurs et marchands, ont appris de vivre en paix, et à mener leurs marchandises paisiblement, et les laboureurs labourer." In the fifteenth century, though England was convulsed by the struggle between the two branches of the royal family, the physical and moral condition of the people continued to improve. Villenage almost wholly disappeared. The calamities of war were little felt, except by those who bore arms. The oppressions of the government were little felt, except by the aristocracy. The institutions of the country, when compared with the institutions of the neighbouring kingdoms, seem to have been not undeserving of the praises of Fortescue. The government of Edward the Fourth, though we call it cruel and arbitrary, was humane and liberal when compared with that of Louis the Eleventh, or that of Charles the Bold. Comines, who had lived amidst the wealthy cities of Flanders, and who had visited Florence and Venice, had never seen a people so well governed as the English. "Or selon mon advis," says he, "entre toutes les seigneuries du monde, dont j'ay connoissance, ou la chose publique est mieulx traitée, et ou regne moins de violence sur le peuple, et ou il n'y a nuls édifices abbatus ny demolis pour guerre, c'est Angleterre; et tombe le sort et le malheur sur ceulx qui font la guerre."

About the close of the fifteenth and the commencement of the sixteenth century, a great portion of the influence which the aristocracy had possessed passed to the Crown. No English king has ever enjoyed such absolute power as Henry the Eighth. But while the royal prerogatives were acquiring strength at the expense of the nobility, two great revolutions took place, destined to be the parents of many revolutions, the invention of Printing, and the reformation of the Church.

The immediate effect of the Reformation in England was by no means favourable to political liberty. The authority

which had been exercised by the Popes was transferred almost entire to the King. Two formidable powers which had often served to check each other were united in a single despot. If the system on which the founders of the Church of England acted could have been permanent, the Reformation would have been, in a political sense, the greatest curse that ever fell on our country. But that system carried within it the seeds of its own death. It was possible to transfer the name of Head of the Church from Clement to Henry; but it was impossible to transfer to the new establishment the veneration which the old establishment had inspired. Mankind had not broken one yoke in pieces only in order to put on another. The supremacy of the Bishop of Rome had been for ages considered as a fundamental principle of Christianity. It had for it everything that could make a prejudice deep and strong, venerable antiquity, high authority, general consent. It had been taught in the first lessons of the nurse. It was taken for granted in all the exhortations of the priest. To remove it was to break innumerable associations, and to give a great and perilous shock to the principles. Yet this prejudice, strong as it was, could not stand in the great day of the deliverance of the human reason. And it was not to be expected that the public mind, just after freeing itself by an unexampled effort, from a bondage which it had endured for ages, would patiently submit to a tyranny which could plead no ancient title. Rome had at least prescription on its side. But Protestant intolerance, despotism in an upstart sect, infallibility claimed by guides who acknowledged that they had passed the greater part of their lives in error, restraints imposed on the liberty of private judgment at the pleasure of rulers who could vindicate their own proceedings only by asserting the liberty of private judgment, these things could not long be borne. Those who had pulled down the crucifix could not long continue to persecute for the surplice. It required no great sagacity to perceive the inconsistency and dishonesty of men who, dissenting from almost all Christendom, would suffer none to dissent from themselves, who demanded freedom of conscience, yet refused to grant it, who execrated persecution, yet persecuted, who urged reason against the authority of one opponent, and authority against the reasons of another. Bonner acted at least in accordance with

his own principles. Cranmer could vindicate himself from the charge of being a heretic only by arguments which made him out to be a murderer.

Thus the system on which the English Princes acted with respect to ecclesiastical affairs for some time after the Reformation was a system too obviously unreasonable to be lasting. The public mind moved while the government moved, but would not stop where the government stopped. The same impulse which had carried millions away from the Church of Rome continued to carry them forward in the same direction. As Catholics had become Protestants, Protestants became Puritans; and the Tudors and Stuarts were as unable to avert the latter change as the Popes had been to avert the former. The dissenting party increased and became strong under every kind of discouragement and oppression. They were a sect. The government persecuted them; and they became an opposition. The old constitution of England furnished to them the means of resisting the sovereign without breaking the law. They were the majority in the House of Commons. They had the power of giving or withholding supplies; and, by a judicious exercise of this power, they might hope to take from the Church its usurped authority over the consciences of men, and from the Crown some part of the vast prerogative which it had recently acquired at the expense of the nobles and of the Pope.

The faint beginnings of this memorable contest may be discerned early in the reign of Elizabeth. The conduct of her last Parliament made it clear that one of those great revolutions which policy may guide but cannot stop was in progress. It was on the question of monopolies that the House of Commons gained its first great victory over the Throne. The conduct of the extraordinary woman who then governed England is an admirable study for politicians who live in unquiet times. It shows how thoroughly she understood the people whom she ruled, and the crisis in which she was called to act. What she held she held firmly. What she gave she gave graciously. She saw that it was necessary to make a concession to the nation; and she made it, not grudgingly, not tardily, not as a matter of bargain and sale, not, in a word, as Charles the First would have made it, but promptly and cordially. Before a bill

could be framed or an address presented, she applied a remedy to the evil of which the nation complained. She expressed in the warmest terms her gratitude to her faithful Commons for detecting abuses which interested persons had concealed from her. If her successors had inherited her wisdom with her crown, Charles the First might have died of old age, and James the Second would never have seen St Germains.

She died; and the kingdom passed to one who was, in his own opinion, the greatest master of king-craft that ever lived, but who was, in truth, one of those kings whom God seems to send for the express purpose of hastening revolutions. Of all the enemies of liberty whom Britain has produced, he was at once the most harmless and the most provoking. His office resembled that of the man who, in a Spanish bull-fight, goads the torpid savage to fury, by shaking a red rag in the air, and by now and then throwing a dart, sharp enough to sting, but too small to injure. The policy of wise tyrants has always been to cover their violent acts with popular forms. James was always obtruding his despotic theories on his subjects without the slightest necessity. His foolish talk exasperated them infinitely more than forced loans or benevolences would have done. Yet, in practice, no king ever held his prerogatives less tenaciously. He neither gave way gracefully to the advancing spirit of liberty nor took vigorous measures to stop it, but retreated before it with ludicrous haste, blustering and insulting as he retreated. The English people had been governed during near a hundred and fifty years by Princes who, whatever might be their frailties or their vices, had all possessed great force of character, and who, whether beloved or hated, had always been feared. Now, at length, for the first time since the day when the sceptre of Henry the Fourth dropped from the hand of his lethargic grandson, England had a king whom she despised.

The follies and vices of the man increased the contempt which was produced by the feeble policy of the sovereign. The indecorous gallantries of the Court, the habits of gross intoxication in which even the ladies indulged, were alone sufficient to disgust a people whose manners were beginning to be strongly tinctured with austerity. But these were trifles. Crimes of the most frightful kind had been dis-

covered; others were suspected. The strange story of the Gowries was not forgotten. The ignominious fondness of the King for his minions, the perjuries, the sorceries, the poisonings, which his chief favourites had planned within the walls of his palace, the pardon which, in direct violation of his duty and of his word, he had granted to the mysterious threats of a murderer, made him an object of loathing to many of his subjects. What opinion grave and moral persons residing at a distance from the Court entertained respecting him, we learn from Mrs Hutchinson's Memoirs. England was no place, the seventeenth century no time, for Sporus and Locusta. This was not all. The most ridiculous weaknesses seemed to meet in the wretched Solomon of Whitehall, pedantry, buffoonery, garrulity, low curiosity, the most contemptible personal cowardice. Nature and education had done their best to produce a finished specimen of all that a king ought not to be. His awkward figure, his rolling eye, his rickety walk, his nervous tremblings, his slobbering mouth, his broad Scotch accent, were imperfections which might have been found in the best and greatest man. Their effect, however, was to make James and his office objects of contempt, and to dissolve those associations which had been created by the noble bearing of preceding monarchs, and which were in themselves no inconsiderable fence to royalty.

The sovereign whom James most resembled was, we think, Claudius Cæsar. Both had the same feeble vacillating temper, the same childishness, the same coarseness, the same poltroonery. Both were men of learning; both wrote and spoke, not indeed well, but still in a manner in which it seems almost incredible that men so foolish should have written or spoken. The follies and indecencies of James are well described in the words which Suetonius uses respecting Claudius: "Multa talia, etiam privatis deformia, nedum principi, neque infacundo, neque indocto, immo etiam pertinaciter liberalibus studiis dedito." The description given by Suetonius of the manner in which the Roman prince transacted business exactly suits the Briton. "In cognoscendo ac decernendo mira varietate animi fuit, modo circumspectus et sagax, modo inconsultus ac præceps, nonnunquam frivolus amentique similis." Claudius was ruled successively by two bad women: James successively by

two bad men. Even the description of the person of Claudius, which we find in the ancient memoirs, might, in many points, serve for that of James. "Ceterum et ingredientem destituebant poplites minus firmi, et remisse quid vel serio agentem multa dehonestabant, risus indecens, ira turpior, spumante rictu, præterea linguæ titubantia."

The Parliament which James had called soon after his accession had been refractory. His second Parliament, called in the spring of 1614, had been more refractory still. It had been dissolved after a session of two months; and during six years the King had governed without having recourse to the legislature. During those six years, melancholy and disgraceful events, at home and abroad, had followed one another in rapid succession; the divorce of Lady Essex, the murder of Overbury, the elevation of Villiers, the pardon of Somerset, the disgrace of Coke, the execution of Raleigh, the battle of Prague, the invasion of the Palatinate by Spinola, the ignominious flight of the son-in-law of the English king, the depression of the Protestant interest all over the Continent. All the extraordinary modes by which James could venture to raise money had been tried. His necessities were greater than ever; and he was compelled to summon the Parliament in which Hampden first appeared as a public man.

This Parliament lasted about twelve months. During that time it visited with deserved punishment several of those who during the preceding six years had enriched themselves by peculation and monopoly. Michell, one of the grasping patentees who had purchased of the favourite the power of robbing the nation, was fined and imprisoned for life. Mompesson, the original, it is said, of Massinger's Overreach, was outlawed and deprived of his ill-gotten wealth. Even Sir Edward Villiers, the brother of Buckingham, found it convenient to leave England. A greater name is to be added to the ignominious list. By this Parliament was brought to justice that illustrious philosopher whose memory genius has half redeemed from the infamy due to servility, to ingratitude, and to corruption.

After redressing internal grievances, the Commons proceeded to take into consideration the state of Europe. The King flew into a rage with them for meddling with such matters, and, with characteristic judgment, drew them into

a controversy about the origin of their house and of its privileges. When he found that he could not convince them he dissolved them in a passion, and sent some of the leaders of the Opposition to ruminate on his logic in prison.

During the time which elapsed between this dissolution and the meeting of the next Parliament, took place the celebrated negotiation respecting the Infanta. The would-be despot was unmercifully brow-beaten. The would-be Solomon was ridiculously overreached. Steenie, in spite of the begging and sobbing of his dear dad and gossip, carried off baby Charles in triumph to Madrid. The sweet lads, as James called them, came back safe, but without their errand. The great master of king-craft, in looking for a Spanish match, had found a Spanish war. In February, 1624, a Parliament met, during the whole sitting of which, James was a mere puppet in the hands of his baby, and of his poor slave and dog. The Commons were disposed to support the King in the vigorous policy which his favourite urged him to adopt. But they were not disposed to place any confidence in their feeble sovereign and his dissolute courtiers, or to relax in their efforts to remove public grievances. They therefore lodged the money which they voted for the war in the hands of Parliamentary Commissioners. They impeached the treasurer, Lord Middlesex, for corruption, and they passed a bill by which patents of monopoly were declared illegal.

Hampden did not, during the reign of James, take any prominent part in public affairs. It is certain, however, that he paid great attention to the details of Parliamentary business, and to the local interests of his own country. It was in a great measure owing to his exertions that Wendover and some other boroughs on which the popular party could depend recovered the elective franchise, in spite of the opposition of the Court.

The health of the King had for some time been declining. On the twenty-seventh of March, 1625, he expired. Under his weak rule, the spirit of liberty had grown strong, and had become equal to a great contest. The contest was brought on by the policy of his successor. Charles bore no resemblance to his father. He was not a driveller, or a pedant, or a buffoon, or a coward. It would be absurd to deny that he was a scholar and a gentleman, a man of

exquisite taste in the fine arts, a man of strict morals in private life. His talents for business were respectable; his demeanour was kingly. But he was false, imperious, obstinate, narrow-minded, ignorant of the temper of his people, unobservant of the signs of his times. The whole principle of his government was resistance to public opinion; nor did he make any real concession to that opinion till it mattered not whether he resisted or conceded, till the nation, which had long ceased to love him or to trust him, had at last ceased to fear him.

His first Parliament met in June, 1625. Hampden sat in it as burgess for Wendover. The King wished for money. The Commons wished for the redress of grievances. The war, however, could not be carried on without funds. The plan of the Opposition was, it should seem, to dole out supplies by small sums, in order to prevent a speedy dissolution. They gave the King two subsidies only, and proceeded to complain that his ships had been employed against the Huguenots in France, and to petition in behalf of the Puritans who were persecuted in England. The King dissolved them, and raised money by Letters under his Privy Seal. The supply fell far short of what he needed; and, in the spring of 1626, he called together another Parliament. In this Parliament, Hampden again sat for Wendover.

The Commons resolved to grant a very liberal supply, but to defer the final passing of the act for that purpose till the grievances of the nation should be redressed. The struggle which followed far exceeded in violence any that had yet taken place. The Commons impeached Buckingham. The King threw the managers of the impeachment into prison. The Commons denied the right of the King to levy tonnage and poundage without their consent. The King dissolved them. They put forth a remonstrance. The King circulated a declaration vindicating his measures, and committed some of the most distinguished members of the Opposition to close custody. Money was raised by a forced loan, which was apportioned among the people according to the rate at which they had been respectively assessed to the last subsidy. On this occasion it was that Hampden made his first stand for the fundamental principle of the English constitution. He positively refused to lend a far-

thing. He was required to give his reasons. He answered "that he could be content to lend as well as others, but feared to draw upon himself that curse in Magna Charta which should be read twice a year against those who infringe it." For this spirited answer, the Privy Council committed him close prisoner to the Gate House. After some time, he was again brought up; but he persisted in his refusal, and was sent to a place of confinement in Hampshire.

The government went on, oppressing at home, and blundering in all its measures abroad. A war was foolishly undertaken against France, and more foolishly conducted. Buckingham led an expedition against Rhé, and failed ignominiously. In the mean time soldiers were billeted on the people. Crimes of which ordinary justice should have taken cognisance were punished by martial law. Near eighty gentlemen were imprisoned for refusing to contribute to the forced loan. The lower people who showed any sign of insubordination were pressed into the fleet, or compelled to serve in the army. Money, however, came in slowly, and the King was compelled to summon another Parliament. In the hope of conciliating his subjects, he set at liberty the persons who had been imprisoned for refusing to comply with his unlawful demands. Hampden regained his freedom, and was immediately re-elected burgess for Wendover.

Early in 1628 the Parliament met. During its first session, the Commons prevailed on the King, after many delays and much equivocation, to give, in return for five subsidies, his full and solemn assent to that celebrated instrument, the second great charter of the liberties of England known by the name of the Petition of Right. By agreeing to this act, the King bound himself to raise no taxes without the consent of Parliament, to imprison no man except by legal process, to billet no more soldiers on the people, and to leave the cognisance of offences to the ordinary tribunals.

In the summer, this memorable Parliament was prorogued. It met again in January 1629. Buckingham was no more. That weak, violent and dissolute adventurer, who, with no talents or acquirements but those of a mere courtier, had, in a great crisis of foreign and domestic politics, ventured on the part of prime minister, had fallen, during the recess,

arliament, by the hand of an assassin. Both before and fter his death the war had been feebly and unsuccessfully onducted. The King had continued, in direct violation f the Petition of Right, to raise tonnage and poundage ithout the consent of Parliament. The troops had again een billeted on the people; and it was clear to the Com- ons that the five subsidies which they had given as the price f the national liberties had been given in vain.

They met accordingly in no complying humour. They ook into their most serious consideration the measures of ie government concerning tonnage and poundage. They ummoned the officers of the custom-house to their bar. hey interrogated the barons of the exchequer. They ommitted one of the sheriffs of London. Sir John Eliot, distinguished member of the Opposition, and an intimate iend of Hampden, proposed a resolution condemning the nconstitutional imposition. The Speaker said that the ing had commanded him to put no such question to the ote. This decision produced the most violent burst of eling ever seen within the walls of Parliament. Hayman monstrated vehemently against the disgraceful language hich had been heard from the chair. Eliot dashed the aper which contained his resolution on the floor of the ouse. Valentine and Hollis held the Speaker down in his at by main force, and read the motion amidst the loudest outs. The door was locked. The key was laid on the ble. Black Rod knocked for admittance in vain. After ssing several strong resolutions, the House adjourned. n the day appointed for its meeting it was dissolved by the ing, and several of its most eminent members, among hom were Hollis and Sir John Eliot, were committed to ison.

Though Hampden had as yet taken little part in the de- ates of the House, he had been a member of many very portant committees, and had read and written much ncerning the law of Parliament. A manuscript volume Parliamentary cases, which is still in existence, contains any extracts from his notes.

He now retired to the duties and pleasures of a rural life. uring the eleven years which followed the dissolution of e Parliament of 1628, he resided at his seat in one of the ost beautiful parts of the county of Buckingham. The

house, which has since his time been greatly altered, and which is now, we believe, almost entirely neglected, was an old English mansion built in the days of the Plantagenets and the Tudors. It stood on the brow of a hill which overlooks a narrow valley. The extensive woods which surround it were pierced by long avenues. One of those avenues the grandfather of the great statesman had cut for the approach of Elizabeth; and the opening, which is still visible for many miles, retains the name of the Queen's Gap. In this delightful retreat Hampden passed several years, performing with great activity all the duties of a landed gentleman and a magistrate, and amusing himself with books and with field sports.

He was not in his retirement unmindful of his persecuted friends. In particular, he kept up a close correspondence with Sir John Eliot, who was confined in the Tower. Lord Nugent has published several of the Letters. We may perhaps be fanciful; but it seems to us that every one of them is an admirable illustration of some part of the character of Hampden which Clarendon has drawn.

Part of the correspondence relates to the two sons of Sir John Eliot. These young men were wild and unsteady, and their father, who was now separated from them, was naturally anxious about their conduct. He at length resolved to send one of them to France, and the other to serve a campaign in the Low Countries. The letter which we subjoin shows that Hampden, though rigorous towards himself, was not uncharitable towards others, and that his puritanism was perfectly compatible with the sentiments and the tastes of an accomplished gentleman. It also illustrates admirably what has been said of him by Clarendon: "He was of that rare affability and temper in debate, and of that seeming humility and submission of judgment, as if he brought no opinion of his own with him, but a desire of information and instruction. Yet he had so subtle a way of interrogating, and, under cover of doubts, insinuating his objections, that he infused his own opinions into those from whom he pretended to learn and receive them."

The letter runs thus: "I am so perfectly acquainted with your clear insight into the dispositions of men, and ability to fit them with courses suitable, that, had you bestowed sons of mine as you have done your own, my judgment durst

hardly have called it into question, especially when, in laying he design, you have prevented the objections to be made gainst it. For if Mr Richard Eliot will, in the intermissions f action, add study to practice, and adorn that lively spirit ith flowers of contemplation, he will raise our expectations f another Sir Edward Vere, that had this character—all ummer in the field, all winter in his study—in whose fall ame makes this kingdom a great loser; and, having taken his resolution from counsel with the highest wisdom, as I loubt not you have, I hope and pray that the same power will rown it with a blessing answerable to our wish. The way you ake with my other friend shows you to be none of the Bishop f Exeter's converts; * of whose mind neither am I super-titiously. But had my opinion been asked, I should, as ulgar conceits use me to do, have showed my power rather o raise objections than to answer them. A temper between rance and Oxford might have taken away his scruples, ith more advantage to his years. . . . . For although he e one of those that, if his age were looked for in no other ook but that of the mind, would be found no ward if you hould die to-morrow, yet it is a great hazard, methinks, o see so sweet a disposition guarded with no more, amongst people whereof many make it their religion to be superstiious in impiety, and their behaviour to be affected in ill anners. But God, who only knoweth the periods of life nd opportunities to come, hath designed him, I hope, for is own service betime, and stirred up your providence to usband him so early for great affairs. Then shall he be ure to find Him in France that Abraham did in Sechem nd Joseph in Egypt, under whose wing alone is perfect afety."

Sir John Eliot employed himself during his imprisonment, n writing a treatise on government, which he transmitted o his friend. Hampden's criticisms are strikingly characeristic. They are written with all that "flowing courtesy" hich is ascribed to him by Clarendon. The objections are nsinuated with so much delicacy that they could scarcely all the most irritable author. We see too how highly Hampden valued in the writings of others that conciseness hich was one of the most striking peculiarities of his own

* Hall, Bishop of Exeter, had written strongly, both in verse and in rose, against the fashion of sending young men of quality to travel.

eloquence. Sir John Eliot's style was, it seems, too diffuse, and it is impossible not to admire the skill with which this is suggested. "The piece," says Hampden, "is as complete an image of the pattern as can be drawn by lines, a lively character of a large mind, the subject, method, and expression, excellent and homogeneal, and, to say truth, sweetheart, somewhat exceeding my commendations. My words cannot render them to the life. Yet, to show my ingenuity rather than wit, would not a less model have given a full representation of that subject, not by diminution but by contraction of parts? I desire to learn. I dare not say. The variations upon each particular seem many; all, I confess, excellent. The fountain was full, the channel narrow; that may be the cause; or that the author resembled Virgil, who made more verses by many than he intended to write. To extract a just number, had I seen all his, I could easily have bid him make fewer; but if he had bade me tell him which he should have spared, I had been posed."

This is evidently the writing not only of a man of good sense and natural good taste, but of a man of literary habits. Of the studies of Hampden little is known. But, as it was at one time in contemplation to give him the charge of the education of the Prince of Wales, it cannot be doubted that his acquirements were considerable. Davila, it is said, was one of his favourite writers. The moderation of Davila's opinions and the perspicuity and manliness of his style could not but recommend him to so judicious a reader. It is not improbable that the parallel between France and England, the Huguenots and the Puritans, had struck the mind of Hampden, and that he already found within himself powers not unequal to the lofty part of Coligni.

While he was engaged in these pursuits, a heavy domestic calamity fell on him. His wife, who had borne him nine children, died in the summer of 1634. She lies in the parish church of Hampden, close to the manor-house. The tender and energetic language of her epitaph still attests the bitterness of her husband's sorrow, and the consolation which he found in a hope full of immortality.

In the meantime, the aspect of public affairs grew darker and darker. The health of Eliot had sunk under an unlawful imprisonment of several years. The brave sufferer refused

purchase liberty, though liberty would to him have been
fe, by recognising the authority which had confined him.
consequence of the representations of the physicians, the
verity of restraint was somewhat relaxed. But it was in
in. He languished and expired a martyr to that good
use for which his friend Hampden was destined to meet a
ore brilliant, but not a more honourable death.

All the promises of the King were violated without scruple
shame. The Petition of Right, to which he had, in con-
deration of moneys duly numbered, given a solemn assent,
as set at nought. Taxes were raised by the royal authority.
atents of monopoly were granted. The old usages of
udal times were made pretexts for harassing the people
th exactions unknown during many years. The Puritans
ere persecuted with cruelty worthy of the Holy Office.
ney were forced to fly from the country. They were im-
isoned. They were whipped. Their ears were cut off.
neir noses were slit. Their cheeks were branded with
d-hot iron. But the cruelty of the oppressor could not
e out the fortitude of the victims. The mutilated de-
nders of liberty again defied the vengeance of the Star
namber, came back with undiminished resolution to the
ace of their glorious infamy, and manfully presented the
umps of their ears to be grubbed out by the hangman's
ife. The hardy sect grew up and flourished in spite of
ery thing that seemed likely to stunt it, struck its roots
ep into a barren soil, and spread its branches wide to an
clement sky. The multitude thronged round Prynne in
e pillory with more respect than they paid to Mainwaring
the pulpit, and treasured up the rags which the blood of
rton had soaked, with a veneration such as mitres and
rplices had ceased to inspire.

For the misgovernment of this disastrous period, Charles
nself is principally responsible. After the death of
ckingham, he seems to have been his own prime minister.
e had, however, two counsellors who seconded him, or
nt beyond him, in intolerance and lawless violence; the
e a superstitious driveller, as honest as a vile temper
uld suffer him to be, the other a man of great valour and
pacity, but licentious, faithless, corrupt, and cruel.

Never were faces more strikingly characteristic of the
lividuals to whom they belonged, than those of Laud and

Strafford, as they still remain portrayed by the most skilful hand of that age. The mean forehead, the pinched features, the peering eyes of the prelate, suit admirably with his disposition. They mark him out as a lower kind of Saint Dominic, differing from the fierce and gloomy enthusiast who founded the Inquisition, as we might imagine the familiar imp of a spiteful witch to differ from an archangel of darkness. When we read His Grace's judgments, when we read the report which he drew up, setting forth that he had sent some separatists to prison, and imploring the royal aid against others, we feel a movement of indignation. We turn to his Diary, and we are at once as cool as contempt can make us. There we learn how his picture fell down, and how fearful he was lest the fall should be an omen; how he dreamed that the Duke of Buckingham came to bed to him, that King James walked past him, that he saw Thomas Flaxney in green garments, and the Bishop of Worcester with his shoulders wrapped in linen. In the early part of 1627, the sleep of this great ornament of the church seems to have been much disturbed. On the fifth of January, he saw a merry old man with a wrinkled countenance, named Grove, lying on the ground. On the fourteenth of the same memorable month, he saw the Bishop of Lincoln jump on a horse and ride away. A day or two after this he dreamed that he gave the King a drink in a silver cup, and that the King refused it, and called for glass. Then he dreamed that he had turned Papist; of all his dreams, the only one, we suspect, which came through the gate of horn. But of these visions our favourite is that which, as he has recorded, he enjoyed on the night of Friday, the ninth of February, 1627. "I dreamed," says he, "that I had the scurvy; and that forthwith all my teeth became loose. There was one in especial in my lower jaw, which I could scarcely keep in with my finger till I had called for help." Here was a man to have the superintendence of the opinions of a great nation!

But Wentworth,—who ever names him without thinking of those harsh dark features, ennobled by their expression into more than the majesty of an antique Jupiter; of that brow, that eye, that cheek, that lip, wherein, as in a chronicle, are written the events of many stormy and disastrous years, high enterprise accomplished, frightful dangers braved,

power unsparingly exercised, suffering unshrinkingly borne; of that fixed look, so full of severity, of mournful anxiety, of deep thought, of dauntless resolution, which seems at once to forebode and to defy a terrible fate, as it lowers on us from the living canvas of Vandyke? Even at this day the naughty earl overawes posterity as he overawed his contemporaries, and excites the same interest when arraigned before the tribunal of history which he excited at the bar of the House of Lords. In spite of ourselves, we sometimes feel towards his memory a certain relenting similar to that relenting which his defence, as Sir John Denham tells us, produced in Westminster Hall.

This great, brave, bad man entered the House of Commons at the same time with Hampden, and took the same side with Hampden. Both were among the richest and most powerful commoners in the kingdom. Both were equally distinguished by force of character, and by personal courage. Hampden had more judgment and sagacity than Wentworth. But no orator of that time equalled Wentworth in force and brilliancy of expression. In 1626 both these eminent men were committed to prison by the King—Wentworth, who was among the leaders of the Opposition, on account of his Parliamentary conduct, Hampden, who had not as yet taken a prominent part in debate, for refusing to pay taxes illegally imposed.

Here their path separated. After the death of Buckingham, the King attempted to seduce some of the chiefs of the Opposition from their party; and Wentworth was among those who yielded to the seduction. He abandoned his associates, and hated them ever after with the deadly hatred of a renegade. High titles and great employments were heaped upon him. He became Earl of Strafford, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, President of the Council of the North; and he employed all his power for the purpose of crushing those liberties of which he had been the most distinguished champion. His counsels respecting public affairs were fierce and arbitrary. His correspondence with Laud abundantly proves that government without parliaments, government by the sword, was his favourite scheme. He was angry even that the course of justice between man and man should be unrestrained by the royal prerogative. He grudged to the Courts of King's Bench and Common Pleas even

that measure of liberty which the most absolute of th Bourbons allowed to the Parliaments of France. In Irelan where he stood in the place of the King, his practice was i strict accordance with his theory. He set up the authorit of the executive government over that of the courts of law He permitted no person to leave the island without h license. He established vast monopolies for his own privat benefit. He imposed taxes arbitrarily. He levied them b military force. Some of his acts are described even by th partial Clarendon as powerful acts, acts which marked nature excessively imperious, acts which caused dislike an terror in sober and dispassionate persons, high acts o oppression. Upon a most frivolous charge, he obtained capital sentence from a court-martial against a man of hig rank who had given him offence. He debauched th daughter-in-law of the Lord Chancellor of Ireland, and the commanded that nobleman to settle his estate accordin to the wishes of the lady. The Chancellor refused. Th Lord Lieutenant turned him out of office, and threw hi into prison. When the violent acts of the Long Parliamen are blamed, let it not be forgotten from what a tyranny the rescued the nation.

Among the humbler tools of Charles were Chief-Justi Finch and Noy the Attorney-General. Noy had, lik Wentworth, supported the cause of liberty in Parliamen and had, like Wentworth, abandoned that cause for the sak of office. He devised, in conjunction with Finch, a schem of exaction which made the alienation of the people fro the throne complete. A writ was issued by the King, com manding the city of London to equip and man ships of w for his service. Similar writs were sent to the towns alon the coast. These measures, though they were dire violations of the Petition of Right, had at least some sho of precedent in their favour, But, after a time, the gover ment took a step for which no precedent could be pleade and sent writs of ship-money to the inland counties. Th was a stretch of power on which Elizabeth herself had n ventured, even at a time when all laws might with proprie have been made to bend to that highest law, the safety the state. The inland counties had not been required furnish ships, or money in the room of ships, even when th Armada was approaching our shores. It seemed intolerab

at a prince who, by assenting to the Petition of Right, d relinquished the power of levying ship-money even in the t-ports, should be the first to levy it on parts of the king- m where it had been unknown under the most absolute his predecessors.

Clarendon distinctly admits that this tax was intended, t only for the support of the navy, but "for a spring and gazine that should have no bottom, and for an everlasting ply of all occasions." The nation well understood this; d from one end of England to the other the public mind s strongly excited.

Buckinghamshire was assessed at a ship of four hundred d fifty tons, or a sum of four thousand five hundred pounds. e share of the tax which fell to Hampden was very small; small, indeed, that the sheriff was blamed for setting so althy a man at so low a rate. But, though the sum de- nded was a trifle, the principle involved was fearfully portant. Hampden, after consulting the most eminent stitutional lawyers of the time, refused to pay the few llings at which he was assessed, and determined to incur the certain expense, and the probable danger, of bringing a solemn hearing this great controversy between the ple and the Crown. "Till this time," says Clarendon, e was rather of reputation in his own country than of blic discourse or fame in the kingdom; but then he grew argument of all tongues, every man inquiring who and at he was, that durst, at his own charge, support the rty and prosperity of the kingdom."

Towards the close of the year 1636, this great cause came in the Exchequer Chamber before all the judges of Eng- d. The leading counsel against the writ was the brated Oliver St John, a man whose temper was melan- ly, whose manners were reserved, and who was as yet e known in Westminster Hall, but whose great talents not escaped the penetrating eye of Hampden. The torney-General and Solicitor-General appeared for the wn.

he arguments of the counsel occupied many days; and Exchequer Chamber took a considerable time for delib- ion. The opinion of the bench was divided. So clearly the law in favour of Hampden that, though the judges their situations only during the royal pleasure, the

majority against him was the least possible. Five of th twelve pronounced in his favour. The remaining seve gave their voices for the writ.

The only effect of this decision was to make the publi indignation stronger and deeper. "The judgment," say Clarendon, "proved of more advantage and credit to th gentleman condemned than to the King's service." Th courage which Hampden had shown on this occasion, as th same historian tells us, "raised his reputation to a grea height generally throughout the kingdom." Even courtier and crown-lawyers spoke respectfully of him. "His carriage, says Clarendon, "throughout that agitation, was wit that rare temper and modesty, that they who watched hir narrowly to find some advantage against his person, t make him less resolute in his cause, were compelled to giv him a just testimony." But his demeanour, though it im pressed Lord Falkland with the deepest respect, though drew forth the praises of Solicitor-General Herbert, onl kindled into a fiercer flame the ever-burning hatred o Strafford. That minister, in his letters to Laud, murmure against the lenity with which Hampden was treated. "I good faith," he wrote, "were such men rightly serve they should be whipped into their right wits." Again h says, "I still wish Mr Hampden, and others to his likenes were well whipped into their right senses. And if the rod h so used that it smart not, I am the more sorry."

The person of Hampden was now scarcely safe. H prudence and moderation had hitherto disappointed thos who would gladly have had a pretence for sending him to th prison of Eliot. But he knew that the eye of a tyrant wa on him. In the year 1637 misgovernment had reached i height. Eight years had passed without a Parliamen The decision of the Exchequer Chamber had placed at th disposal of the Crown the whole property of the Englis people. About the time at which that decision was pro nounced, Prynne, Bastwick, and Burton were mutilate by the sentence of the Star Chamber, and sent to rot i remote dungeons. The estate and the person of every ma who had opposed the Court were at its mercy.

Hampden determined to leave England. Beyond th Atlantic Ocean, a few of the persecuted Puritans had forme in the wilderness of Connecticut, a settlement which has sin

come a prosperous commonwealth, and which, in spite
f the lapse of time and of the change of government, still
tains something of the character given to it by its first
unders. Lord Saye and Lord Brooke were the original
rojectors of this scheme of emigration. Hampden had been
rly consulted respecting it. He was now, it appears,
esirous to withdraw himself beyond the reach of oppressors
ho, as he probably suspected, and as we know, were bent
n punishing his manful resistance to their tyranny. He was
ccompanied by his kinsman Oliver Cromwell, over whom
e possessed great influence, and in whom he alone had
iscovered, under an exterior appearance of coarseness and
xtravagance, those great and commanding talents which
ere afterwards the admiration and the dread of Europe.

The cousins took their passage in a vessel which lay in
he Thames, and which was bound for North America.
hey were actually on board, when an order of council
ppeared, by which the ship was prohibited from sailing.
even other ships, filled with emigrants, were stopped at
he same time.

Hampden and Cromwell remained; and with them re-
ained the Evil Genius of the House of Stuart. The tide
public affairs was even now on the turn. The King had
solved to change the ecclesiastical constitution of Scot-
nd, and to introduce into the public worship of that kingdom
remonies which the great body of the Scots regarded as
pish. This absurd attempt produced, first discontents,
en riots, and at length open rebellion. A provisional
vernment was established at Edinburgh, and its authority
as obeyed throughout the kingdom. This government
ised an army, appointed a general, and summoned an
ssembly of the Kirk. The famous instrument called the
ovenant was put forth at this time, and was eagerly
bscribed by the people.

The beginnings of this formidable insurrection were
rangely neglected by the King and his advisers. But
wards the close of the year 1638 the danger became pressing.
n army was raised; and early in the following spring
harles marched northward at the head of a force sufficient,
it seemed, to reduce the Covenanters to submission.

But Charles acted at this conjuncture as he acted at every
portant conjuncture throughout his life. After oppressing,

threatening, and blustering, he hesitated and failed. H was bold in the wrong place, and timid in the wrong plac He would have shown his wisdom by being afraid before th liturgy was read in St. Giles's church. He put off his fea till he had reached the Scottish border with his troop Then, after a feeble campaign, he concluded a treaty wit the insurgents, and withdrew his army. But the terms of th pacification were not observed. Each party charged th other with foul play. The Scots refused to disarm. Th King found great difficulty in re-assembling his forces. Hi late expedition had drained his treasury. The revenue of the next year had been anticipated. At another time he might have attempted to make up the deficiency b illegal expedients; but such a course would clearly hav been dangerous when part of the island was in rebellion. I was necessary to call a Parliament. After eleven year of suffering, the voice of the nation was to be heard onc more.

In April, 1640, the Parliament met; and the King ha another chance of conciliating his people. The new Hous of Commons, was, beyond all comparison, the least refractor House of Commons that had been known for many years Indeed, we have never been able to understand how, afte so long a period of misgovernment, the representatives o the nation should have shown so moderate and so loyal disposition. Clarendon speaks with admiration of thei dutiful temper. "The House, generally," says he, "wa exceedingly disposed to please the King, and to do hir service." "It could never be hoped," he observes elsewhere "that more sober or dispassionate men would ever mee together in that place, or fewer who brought ill purpose with them."

In this Parliament Hampden took his seat as member fo Buckinghamshire, and thenceforward, till the day of hi death, gave himself up, with scarcely any intermission, t public affairs. He took lodgings in Gray's Inn Lane, nea the house occupied by Pym, with whom he lived in habit of the closest intimacy. He was now decidedly the mos popular man in England. The Opposition looked to him a their leader, and the servants of the King treated him wit marked respect.

Charles requested the Parliament to vote an immediat

upply, and pledged his word that, if they would gratify
m in this request, he would afterwards give them time to
present their grievances to him. The grievances under
hich the nation suffered were so serious, and the royal
ord had been so shamefully violated, that the Commons
ould hardly be expected to comply with this request.
uring the first week of the session, the minutes of the pro-
edings against Hampden were laid on the table by Oliver
John, and a committee reported that the case was matter
grievance. The King sent a message to the Commons,
fering, if they would vote him twelve subsidies, to give up
e prerogative of ship-money. Many years before, he had
ceived five subsidies in consideration of his assent to the
etition of Right. By assenting to that petition, he had
ven up the right of levying ship-money, if he ever possessed
How he had observed the promises made to his third
arliament, all England knew; and it was not strange that
e Commons should be somewhat unwilling to buy from
m, over and over again, their own ancient and undoubted
heritance.

His message, however, was not unfavourably received.
he Commons were ready to give a large supply; but they
ere not disposed to give it in exchange for a prerogative
which they altogether denied the existence. If they
ceded to the proposal of the King, they recognised the
gality of the writs of ship-money.

Hampden, who was a greater master of parliamentary
ctics than any man of his time, saw that this was the pre-
iling feeling, and availed himself of it with great dexterity.
e moved that the question should be put, "Whether the
ouse would consent to the proposition made by the King,
contained in the message." Hyde interfered, and pro-
sed that the question should be divided; that the sense
the House should be taken merely on the point whether
ere should be a supply or no supply; and that the manner
d the amount should be left for subsequent consideration.
The majority of the House was for granting a supply,
t against granting it in the manner proposed by the King.
the House had divided on Hampden's question, the Court
ould have sustained a defeat; if on Hyde's, the Court would
ve gained an apparent victory. Some members called
Hyde's motion, others for Hampden's. In the midst

of the uproar, the secretary of state, Sir Harry Vane, rose and stated that the supply would not be accepted unless it were voted according to the tenor of the message. Vane was supported by Herbert, the Solicitor-General. Hyde's motion was therefore no further pressed, and the debate on the general question was adjourned till the next day.

On the next day the King came down to the House of Lords, and dissolved the Parliament with an angry speech. His conduct on this occasion has never been defended by any of his apologists. Clarendon condemns it severely. "No man," says he, "could imagine what offence the Commons had given." The offence which they had given is plain. They had, indeed, behaved most temperately and most respectfully. But they had shown a disposition to redress wrongs and to vindicate the laws; and this was enough to make them hateful to a king whom no law could bind, and whose whole government was one system of wrong.

The nation received the intelligence of the dissolution with sorrow and indignation. The only persons to whom this event gave pleasure were those few discerning men who thought that the maladies of the state were beyond the reach of gentle remedies. Oliver St John's joy was too great for concealment. It lighted up his dark and melancholy features, and made him, for the first time, indiscreetly communicative. He told Hyde that things must be worse before they could be better, and that the dissolved Parliament would never have done all that was necessary. St John, we think, was in the right. No good could then have been done by any Parliament which did not fully understand that no confidence could safely be placed in the King, and that, while he enjoyed more than the shadow of power, the nation would never enjoy more than the shadow of liberty.

As soon as Charles had dismissed the Parliament, he threw several members of the House of Commons into prison. Ship-money was exacted more rigorously than ever, and the Mayor and Sheriffs of London were prosecuted before the Star Chamber for slackness in levying it. Wentworth, it is said, observed with characteristic insolence and cruelty, that things would never go right till the Aldermen were hanged. Large sums were raised by force on those counties in which the troops were quartered. All the

wretched shifts of a beggared exchequer were tried. Forced loans were raised. Great quantities of goods were bought on long credit and sold for ready money. A scheme for debasing the currency was under consideration. At length, in August, the King again marched northward.

The Scots advanced into England to meet him. It is by no means improbable that this bold step was taken by the advice of Hampden, and of those with whom he acted; and this has been made matter of grave accusation against the English Opposition. It is said that to call in the aid of foreigners in a domestic quarrel is the worst of treasons, and that the Puritan leaders, by taking this course, showed that they were regardless of the honour and independence of the nation, and anxious only for the success of their own faction. We are utterly unable to see any distinction between the case of the Scotch invasion in 1640, and the case of the Dutch invasion in 1688; or rather, we see distinctions which are to the advantage of Hampden and his friends. We believe Charles to have been a worse and more dangerous king than his son. The Dutch were strangers to us, the Scots a kindred people, speaking the same language, subjects of the same prince, not aliens in the eye of the law. If, indeed, it had been possible that a Scotch army or a Dutch army could have enslaved England, those who persuaded Leslie to cross the Tweed, and those who signed the invitation to the Prince of Orange, would have been traitors to their country. But such a result was out of the question. All that either a Scotch or a Dutch invasion could do was to give the public feeling of England an opportunity to show itself. Both expeditions would have ended in complete and ridicrous discomfiture, had Charles and James been supported by their soldiers and their people. In neither case, therefore, was the independence of England endangered; in both cases her liberties were preserved.

The second campaign of Charles against the Scots was short and ignominious. His soldiers, as soon as they saw the enemy, ran away as English soldiers have never run either before or since. It can scarcely be doubted that their flight was the effect, not of cowardice, but of disaffection. The four northern counties of England were occupied by the Scotch army, and the King retired to York.

The game of tyranny was now up. Charles had risked

and lost his last stake. It is not easy to retrace the mortifications and humiliations which the tyrant now had to endure, without a feeling of vindictive pleasure. His army was mutinous; his treasury was empty; his people clamoured for a Parliament; addresses and petitions against the government were presented. Strafford was for shooting the petitioners by martial law; but the King could not trust the soldiers. A great council of Peers was called at York; but the King could not trust even the Peers. He struggled, evaded, hesitated, tried every shift, rather than again face the representatives of his injured people. At length no shift was left. He made a truce with the Scots, and summoned a Parliament.

The leaders of the popular party had, after the late dissolution, remained in London for the purpose of organizing a scheme of opposition to the Court. They now exerted themselves to the utmost. Hampden, in particular, rode from county to county, exhorting the electors to give their votes to men worthy of their confidence. The great majority of the returns was on the side of the Opposition. Hampden was himself chosen member both for Wendover and Buckinghamshire. He made his election to serve for the county.

On the third of November, 1640, a day to be long remembered, met that great Parliament, destined to every extreme of fortune, to empire and to servitude, to glory and to contempt; at one time the sovereign of its sovereign, at another time the servant of its servants. From the first day of meeting the attendance was great; and the aspect of the members was that of men not disposed to do the work negligently. The dissolution of the late Parliament had convinced most of them that half measures would no longer suffice. Clarendon tells us, that "the same men who, six months before, were observed to be of very moderate tempers, and to wish that gentle remedies might be applied, talked now in another dialect both of kings and persons; and said that they must now be of another temper than they were the last Parliament." The debt of vengeance was swollen by all the usury which had been accumulating during many years; and payment was made to the full.

This memorable crisis called forth parliamentary abilities such as England had never before seen. Among the most distinguished members of the House of Commons were

Falkland, Hyde, Digby, young Harry Vane, Oliver St John, Denzil Hollis, Nathaniel Fiennes. But two men exercised a paramount influence over the legislature and the country. Pym and Hampden; and, by the universal consent of friends and enemies, the first place belonged to Hampden.

On occasions which required set speeches Pym generally took the lead. Hampden very seldom rose till late in a debate. His speaking was of that kind which has, in every age, been held in the highest estimation by English Parliaments, ready, weighty, perspicuous, condensed. His perception of the feelings of the House was exquisite, his temper unalterably placid, his manner eminently courteous and gentlemanlike. "Even with those," says Clarendon, who were able to preserve themselves from his infusions, and who discerned those opinions to be fixed in him with which they could not comply, he always left the character of an ingenious and conscientious person. His talents for business were as remarkable as his talents for debate. He was," says Clarendon, "of an industry and vigilance not to be tired out or wearied by the most laborious, and of parts not to be imposed upon by the most subtle and sharp." Yet it was rather to his moral than to his intellectual qualities that he was indebted for the vast influence which he possessed. "When this Parliament began,"—we again quote Clarendon,—"the eyes of all men were fixed upon him, as their *patriæ pater*, and the pilot that must steer the vessel through the tempests and rocks which threatened it. And I am persuaded his power and interest at that time were greater to do good or hurt than any man's in the kingdom, or than any man of his rank hath had in any time; for his reputation of honesty was universal, and his affections seemed so publicly guided, that no corrupt or private ends could bias them. . . . He was indeed a very wise man, and of great parts, and possessed with the most absolute spirit of popularity, and the most absolute faculties to govern the people, of any man I ever knew."

It is sufficient to recapitulate shortly the acts of the Long Parliament, during its first session. Strafford and Laud were impeached and imprisoned. Strafford was afterwards attainted by Bill, and executed. Lord Keeper Finch fled to Holland, Secretary Windebank to France. All those

whom the King had, during the last twelve years, employed for the oppression of his people, from the servile judges who had pronounced in favour of the Crown against Hampden down to the sheriffs who had distrained for ship-money, and the custom-house officers who had levied tonnage and poundage, were summoned to answer for their conduct. The Star Chamber, the High Commission Court, the Council of York, were abolished. Those unfortunate victims of Laud who, after undergoing ignominious exposure and cruel manglings, had been sent to languish in distant prisons, were set at liberty, and conducted through London in triumphant procession. The King was compelled to give the judges patents for life or during good behaviour. He was deprived of those oppressive powers which were the last relics of the old feudal tenures. The Forest Courts and the Stannary Courts were reformed. It was provided that the Parliament then sitting should not be prorogued or dissolved without its own consent, and that a Parliament should be held at least once every three years.

Many of these measures Lord Clarendon allows to have been most salutary; and few persons will, in our times, deny that, in the laws passed during this session, the good greatly preponderated over the evil. The abolition of those three hateful courts, the Northern Council, the Star Chamber, and the High Commission, would alone entitle the Long Parliament to the lasting gratitude of Englishmen.

The proceeding against Strafford undoubtedly seems hard to people living in our days. It would probably have seemed merciful and moderate to people living in the sixteenth century. It is curious to compare the trial of Charles's minister with the trial, if it can be so called, of Lord Seymour of Sudeley, in the blessed reign of Edward the Sixth. None of the great reformers of our Church doubted the propriety of passing an act of Parliament for cutting off Lord Seymour's head without a legal conviction. The pious Cranmer voted for that act; the pious Latimer preached for it; the pious Edward returned thanks for it; and all the pious Lords of the Council together exhorted their victim to what they were pleased facetiously to call "the quiet and patient suffering of justice."

But it is not necessary to defend the proceedings against Strafford by any such comparison. They are justified, in

our opinion, by that which alone justifies capital punishment or any punishment, by that which alone justifies war, by the public danger. That there is a certain amount of public danger which will justify a legislature in sentencing a man to death by retrospective law, few people, we suppose, will deny. Few people, for example, will deny that the French Convention was perfectly justified in placing Robespierre, St Just, and Couthon under the ban of the law, without a trial. This proceeding differed from the proceeding against Strafford only in being much more rapid and violent. Strafford was fully heard. Robespierre was not suffered to defend himself. Was there, then, in the case of Strafford, a danger sufficient to justify an act of attainder? We believe that there was. We believe that the contest in which the Parliament was engaged against the King was a contest for the security of our property, for the liberty of our persons, for every thing which makes us to differ from the subjects of Don Miguel. We believe that the cause of the Commons was such as justified them in resisting the King, in raising an army, in sending thousands of brave men to kill and to be killed. An act of attainder is surely not more a departure from the ordinary course of law than a civil war. An act of attainder produces much less suffering than a civil war. We are, therefore, unable to discover on what principle it can be maintained that a cause which justifies a civil war will not justify an act of attainder.

Many specious arguments have been urged against the retrospective law by which Strafford was condemned to death. But all these arguments proceed on the supposition that the crisis was an ordinary crisis. The attainder was, in truth, a revolutionary measure. It was part of a system of resistance which oppression had rendered necessary. It is as unjust to judge of the conduct pursued by the Long Parliament towards Strafford on ordinary principles, as it would have been to indict Fairfax for murder because he cut down a cornet at Naseby. From the day on which the Houses met, there was a war waged by them against the King, a war for all that they held dear, a war carried on at first by means of parliamentary forms, at last by physical force; and, as in the second stage of that war, so in the first, they were entitled to do many things which, in quiet times, would have been culpable.

We must not omit to mention that those who were after wards the most distinguished ornaments of the King's party supported the bill of attainder. It is almost certain that Hyde voted for it. It is quite certain that Falkland both voted and spoke for it. The opinion of Hampden, as far as it can be collected from a very obscure note of one of his speeches, seems to have been that the proceeding by Bill was unnecessary, and that it would be a better course to obtain judgment on the impeachment.

During this year the Court opened a negotiation with the leaders of the Opposition. The Earl of Bedford was invited to form an administration on popular principles. St John was made solicitor-general. Hollis was to have been secretary of state, and Pym chancellor of the exchequer. The post of tutor to the Prince of Wales was designed for Hampden. The death of the Earl of Bedford prevented this arrangement from being carried into effect; and it may be doubted whether, even if that nobleman's life had been prolonged, Charles would ever have consented to surround himself with counsellors whom he could not but hate and fear.

Lord Clarendon admits that the conduct of Hampden during this year was mild and temperate, that he seemed disposed rather to soothe than to excite the public mind, and that, when violent and unreasonable motions were made by his followers, he generally left the House before the division, lest he should seem to give countenance to their extravagance. His temper was moderate. He sincerely loved peace. He felt also great fear lest too precipitate a movement should produce a reaction. The events which took place early in the next session clearly showed that this fear was not unfounded.

During the autumn the Parliament adjourned for a few weeks. Before the recess, Hampden was despatched to Scotland by the House of Commons, nominally as a commissioner, to obtain security for a debt which the Scots had contracted during the late invasion; but in truth that he might keep watch over the King, who had now repaired to Edinburgh, for the purpose of finally adjusting the points of difference which remained between him and his northern subjects. It was the business of Hampden to dissuade the Covenanters from making their peace with the Court, at the expense of the popular party in England.

While the King was in Scotland, the Irish rebellion broke
t. The suddenness and violence of this terrible explosion
cited a strange suspicion in the public mind. The Queen
as a professed Papist. The King and the Archbishop of
nterbury had not indeed been reconciled to the See of
ome; but they had, while acting towards the Puritan
rty with the utmost rigour, and speaking of that party
th the utmost contempt, shown great tenderness and
spect towards the Catholic religion and its professors.
spite of the wishes of successive Parliaments, the Pro-
stant separatists had been cruelly persecuted. And at
e same time, in spite of the wishes of those very Parlia-
ents, laws which were in force against the Papists, and
hich, unjustifiable as they were, suited the temper of that
e, had not been carried into execution. The Protestant
nconformists had not yet learned toleration in the school
suffering. They reprobated the partial lenity which the
vernment showed towards idolaters, and, with some show
reason, ascribed to bad motives, conduct which, in such a
g as Charles, and such a prelate as Laud, could not
ssibly be ascribed to humanity or to liberality of senti-
nt. The violent Arminianism of the Archbishop, his
ldish attachment to ceremonies, his superstitious venera-
n for altars, vestments, and painted windows, his bigoted
l for the constitution and the privileges of his order, his
own opinions respecting the celibacy of the clergy, had
cited great disgust throughout that large party which was
ery day becoming more and more hostile to Rome, and
re and more inclined to the doctrines and the discipline
Geneva. It was believed by many that the Irish rebellion
d been secretly encouraged by the Court; and, when the
rliament met again in November, after a short recess,
e Puritans were more intractable than ever.

But that which Hampden had feared had come to pass.
reaction had taken place. A large body of moderate
d well-meaning men, who had heartily concurred in the
ong measures adopted before the recess, were inclined
pause. Their opinion was that, during many years, the
ntry had been grievously misgoverned, and that a great
orm had been necessary; but that a great reform had
n made, that the grievances of the nation had been fully
ressed, that sufficient vengeance had been exacted for

the past, that sufficient security had been provided for the future, and that it would, therefore, be both ungrateful and unwise to make any further attacks on the royal prerogative. In support of this opinion many plausible arguments have been used. But to all these arguments there is one short answer. The King could not be trusted.

At the head of those who may be called the Constitutional Royalists were Falkland, Hyde, and Culpeper. All these eminent men had, during the former year, been in very decided opposition to the Court. In some of those very proceedings with which their admirers reproach Hampden, they had taken a more decided part than Hampden. They had all been concerned in the impeachment of Strafford. They had all, there is reason to believe, voted for the Bill of Attainder. Certainly none of them voted against it. They had all agreed to the act which made the consent of the Parliament necessary to a dissolution or prorogation. Hyde had been among the most active of those who attacked the Council of York. Falkland had voted for the exclusion of the bishops from the Upper House. They were now inclined to halt in the path of reform, perhaps to retrace a few of their steps.

A direct collision soon took place between the two parties into which the House of Commons, lately at almost perfect unity with itself, was now divided. The opponents of the government moved that celebrated address to the King which is known by the name of the Grand Remonstrance. In this address all the oppressive acts of the preceding fifteen years were set forth with great energy of language; and, in conclusion, the King was entreated to employ no ministers in whom the Parliament could not confide.

The debate on the Remonstrance was long and stormy. It commenced at nine in the morning of the twenty-first of November, and lasted till after midnight. The division showed that a great change had taken place in the temper of the House. Though many members had retired from exhaustion, three hundred voted; and the Remonstrance was carried by a majority of only nine. A violent debate followed, on the question whether the minority should be allowed to protest against this decision. The excitement was so great that several members were on the point of proceeding to personal violence. "We had sheathed our

words in each other's bowels," says an eye-witness, "had not the sagacity and great calmness of Mr Hampden, by a short speech, prevented it." The House did not rise till two in the morning.

The situation of the Puritan leaders was now difficult and full of peril. The small majority which they still had might soon become a minority. Out of doors, their supporters in the higher and middle classes were beginning to fall off. There was a growing opinion that the King had been hardly used. The English are always inclined to side with a weak party which is in the wrong, rather than with a strong party which is in the right. This may be seen in all contests, from contests of boxers to contests of faction. Thus it was that a violent reaction took place in favour of Charles the Second against the Whigs in 1681. Thus it was that an equally violent reaction took place in favour of George the Third against the coalition in 1784. A similar reaction was beginning to take place during the second year of the Long Parliament. Some members of the Opposition had resumed," says Clarendon, "their old resolution of leaving the kingdom." Oliver Cromwell openly declared that he and many others would have emigrated if they had been left in a minority on the question of the Remonstrance.

Charles had now a last chance of regaining the affection of his people. If he could have resolved to give his confidence to the leaders of the moderate party in the House of Commons, and to regulate his proceedings by their advice, he might have been, not, indeed, as he had been, a despot, but the powerful and respected king of a free people. The nation might have enjoyed liberty and repose under a government with Falkland at its head, checked by a constitutional opposition under the conduct of Hampden. It was not necessary that, in order to accomplish this happy end, the King should sacrifice any part of his lawful prerogative, or submit to any conditions inconsistent with his dignity. It was necessary only that he should abstain from treachery, from violence, from gross breaches of the law. This was all that the nation was then disposed to require of him. And even this was too much.

For a short time he seemed inclined to take a wise and temperate course. He resolved to make Falkland secretary of state, and Culpeper chancellor of the exchequer. He

declared his intention of conferring in a short time some important office on Hyde. He assured these three persons that he would do nothing relating to the House of Commons without their joint advice, and that he would communicate all his designs to them in the most unreserved manner. This resolution, had he adhered to it, would have averted many years of blood and mourning. But "in very few days," says Clarendon, "he did fatally swerve from it."

On the third of January, 1642, without giving the slightest hint of his intention to those advisers whom he had solemnly promised to consult, he sent down the attorney-general to impeach Lord Kimbolton, Hampden, Pym, Hollis, and two other members of the House of Commons, at the bar of the Lords, on a charge of High Treason. It is difficult to find in the whole history of England such an instance of tyranny, perfidy, and folly. The most precious and ancient rights of the subject were violated by this act. The only way in which Hampden and Pym could legally be tried for treason at the suit of the King, was by a petty jury on a bill found by a grand jury. The attorney-general had no right to impeach them. The House of Lords had no right to try them.

The Commons refused to surrender their members. The Peers showed no inclination to usurp the unconstitutional jurisdiction which the King attempted to force on them. A contest began, in which violence and weakness were on the one side, law and resolution on the other. Charles sent an officer to seal up the lodgings and trunks of the accused members. The Commons sent their sergeant to break the seals. The tyrant resolved to follow up one outrage by another. In making the charge, he had struck at the institution of juries. In executing the arrest, he struck at the privileges of Parliament. He resolved to go to the House in person with an armed force, and there to seize the leaders of the Opposition, while engaged in the discharge of their parliamentary duties.

What was his purpose? Is it possible to believe that he had no definite purpose, that he took the most important step of his whole reign without having for one moment considered what might be its effects? Is it possible to believe that he went merely for the purpose of making himself a laughing-stock, that he intended, if he had found the

ccused members, and if they had refused, as it was their ight and duty to refuse, the submission which he illegally emanded, to leave the House without bringing them away ? f we reject both these suppositions, we must believe, and ve certainly do believe, that he went fully determined to arry his unlawful design into effect by violence ; and, if ecessary, to shed the blood of the chiefs of the Opposition n the very floor of the Parliament House.

Lady Carlisle conveyed intelligence of the design to Pym. The five members had time to withdraw before the arrival f Charles. They left the House as he was entering New Palace Yard. He was accompanied by about two undred halberdiers of his guard, and by many gentlemen f the Court armed with swords. He walked up Westminster Hall. At the southern end of the Hall his attendants divided o the right and left, and formed a lane to the door of the House of Commons. He knocked, entered, darted a look owards the place which Pym usually occupied, and, seeing empty, walked up to the table. The Speaker fell on his nee. The members rose and uncovered their heads in rofound silence, and the King took his seat in the chair. He looked round the house, but the five members were nowhere to be seen. He interrogated the Speaker. The peaker answered, that he was merely the organ of the ouse, and had neither eyes to see, nor tongue to speak, ut according to their direction. The King muttered a w feeble sentences about his respect for the laws of the ealm, and the privileges of Parliament, and retired. As he assed along the benches, several resolute voices called out udibly " Privilege ! " He returned to Whitehall with his ompany of bravoes, who, while he was in the House, had een impatiently waiting in the lobby for the word, cocking heir pistols, and crying " Fall on." That night he put orth a proclamation, directing that the ports should be opped, and that no person should, at his peril, venture to arbour the accused members.

Hampden and his friends had taken refuge in Coleman treet. The city of London was indeed the fastness of public berty, and was, in those times, a place of at least as much nportance as Paris during the French Revolution. The ty, properly so called, now consists in a great measure immense warehouses and counting-houses, which are

frequented by traders and their clerks during the day, and left in almost total solitude during the night. It was then closely inhabited by three hundred thousand persons, to whom it was not merely a place of business, but a place of constant residence. This great capital had as complete a civil and military organisation as if it had been an independent republic. Each citizen had his company; and the companies, which now seem to exist only for the sake of epicures and of antiquaries, were then formidable brotherhoods, the members of which were almost as closely bound together as the members of a Highland clan. How strong these artificial ties were, the numerous and valuable legacies anciently bequeathed by citizens to their corporations abundantly prove. The municipal offices were filled by the most opulent and respectable merchants of the kingdom. The pomp of the magistracy of the capital was inferior only to that which surrounded the person of the sovereign. The Londoners loved their city with that patriotic love which is found only in small communities, like those of ancient Greece, or like those which arose in Italy during the middle ages. The numbers, the intelligence, the wealth of the citizens, the democratical form of their local government and their vicinity to the Court and to the Parliament made them one of the most formidable bodies in the kingdom. Even as soldiers they were not to be despised. In an age in which war is a profession, there is something ludicrous in the idea of battalions composed of apprentices and shopkeepers, and officered by aldermen. But, in the early part of the seventeenth century, there was no standing army in the island; and the militia of the metropolis was not inferior in training to the militia of other places. A city which could furnish many thousands of armed men, abounding in natural courage, and not absolutely untinctured with military discipline, was a formidable auxiliary in times of internal dissension. On several occasions during the civil war, the trainbands of London distinguished themselves highly; and at the battle of Newbury, in particular, they repelled the fiery onset of Rupert, and saved the army of the Parliament from destruction.

The people of this great city had long been thoroughly devoted to the national cause. Many of them had signed a protestation in which they declared their resolution to defend

ne privileges of Parliament. Their enthusiasm had, indeed, f late, begun to cool. But the impeachment of the five iembers, and the insult offered to the House of Commons, nflamed them to fury. Their houses, their purses, their ikes were at the command of the representatives of the ation. London was in arms all night. The next day the iops were closed; the streets were filled with immense rowds; the multitude pressed round the King's coach, and isulted him with opprobrious cries. The House of Commons, the mean time, appointed a committee to sit in the City, or the purpose of inquiring into the circumstances of the te outrage. The members of the committee were welcomed y a deputation of the common council. Merchant Taylors all, Goldsmiths' Hall, and Grocers' Hall were fitted up or their sittings. A guard of respectable citizens, duly elieved twice a day, was posted at their doors. The heriffs were charged to watch over the safety of the accused iembers, and to escort them to and from the committee ith every mark of honour.

A violent and sudden revulsion of feeling both in the ouse and out of it, was the effect of the late proceedings of ne King. The Opposition regained in a few hours all the scendency which it had lost. The constitutional royalists ere filled with shame and sorrow. They saw that they had een cruelly deceived by Charles. They saw that they were, njustly, but not unreasonably, suspected by the nation. larendon distinctly says that they perfectly detested the ounsels by which the King had been guided, and were so uch displeased and dejected at the unfair manner in which e had treated them that they were inclined to retire from s service. During the debates on the breach of privilege, ey preserved a melancholy silence. To this day the adocates of Charles take care to say as little as they can about s visit to the House of Commons, and, when they cannot oid mention of it, attribute to infatuation an act which, any other supposition, they must admit to have been a ghtful crime.

The Commons, in a few days, openly defied the King, and dered the accused members to attend in their places at estminster, and to resume their parliamentary duties. The izens resolved to bring back the champions of liberty in iumph before the windows of Whitehall. Vast prepara-

tions were made both by land and water for this great festival.

The King had remained in his palace, humbled, dismayed, and bewildered, "feeling," says Clarendon, "the trouble and agony which usually attend generous and magnanimous minds upon their having committed errors;" feeling, we should say, the despicable repentance which attends the man who, having attempted to commit a crime, finds that he has only committed a folly. The populace hooted and shouted all day before the gates of the royal residence. The tyrant could not bear to see the triumph of those whom he had destined to the gallows and the quartering-block. On the day preceding that which was fixed for their return, he fled, with a few attendants, from that palace which he was never to see again till he was led through it to the scaffold.

On the eleventh of January, the Thames was covered with boats, and its shores with the gazing multitude. Armed vessels, decorated with streamers, were ranged in two lines from London Bridge to Westminster Hall. The members returned upon the river in a ship manned by sailors who had volunteered their services. The trainbands of the city, under the command of the sheriffs, marched along the Strand, attended by a vast crowd of spectators, to guard the avenues to the House of Commons; and thus, with shouts and loud discharges of ordnance, the accused patriots were brought back by the people whom they had served and for whom they had suffered. The restored members, as soon as they had entered the House, expressed, in the warmest terms, their gratitude to the citizens of London. The sheriffs were warmly thanked by the Speaker in the name of the Commons; and orders were given that a guard, selected from the trainbands of the city, should attend daily to watch over the safety of the Parliament.

The excitement had not been confined to London. When intelligence of the danger to which Hampden was exposed reached Buckinghamshire, it excited the alarm and indignation of the people. Four thousand freeholders of that county, each of them wearing in his hat a copy of the protestation in favour of the privileges of Parliament, rode up to London to defend the person of their beloved representative. They came in a body to assure Parliament of their

ill resolution to defend its privileges. Their petition was ouched in the strongest terms. "In respect," said they, of that latter attempt upon the honourable House of ommons, we are now come to offer our service to that end, ad resolved, in their just defence, to live and die."

A great struggle was clearly at hand. Hampden had eturned to Westminster much changed. His influence ad hitherto been exerted rather to restrain than to animate ie zeal of his party. But the treachery, the contempt of w, the thirst for blood, which the King had now shown, ft no hope for a peaceable adjustment. It was clear that harles must be either a puppet or a tyrant, that no obliga- on of law or of honour could bind him, and that the only ay to make him harmless was to make him powerless.

The attack which the King had made on the five members as not merely irregular in manner. Even if the charges ad been preferred legally, if the Grand Jury of Middlesex ad found a true bill, if the accused persons had been arrested nder a proper warrant and at a proper time and place, ere would still have been in the proceeding enough of erfidy and injustice to vindicate the strongest measures hich the Opposition could take. To impeach Pym and ampden was to impeach the House of Commons. It was otoriously on account of what they had done as members that House that they were selected as objects of vengeance; id in what they had done as members of that House the ajority had concurred. Most of the charges brought ainst them were common between them and the Parlia- ent. They were accused, indeed, and it may be with ason, of encouraging the Scotch army to invade England. doing this, they had committed what was, in strictness law, a high offence, the same offence which Devonshire d Shrewsbury committed in 1688. But the King had omised pardon and oblivion to those who had been the incipals in the Scotch insurrection. Did it then consist th his honour to punish the accessaries? He had bestowed arks of his favour on the leading Covenanters. He had ven the great seal of Scotland to one chief of the rebels, a arquisate to another, an earldom to Leslie, who had ought the Presbyterian army across the Tweed. On what inciple was Hampden to be attainted for advising what slie was ennobled for doing? In a court of law, of course,

no Englishman could plead an amnesty granted to t
Scots. But, though not an illegal, it was surely an inco
sistent and a most unkingly course, after pardoning a
promoting the heads of the rebellion in one kingdom, to han
draw, and quarter their accomplices in another.

The proceedings of the King against the five membe
or rather against that Parliament which had concurred
almost all the acts of the five members, was the cause of t
civil war. It was plain that either Charles or the House
Commons must be stripped of all real power in the stat
The best course which the Commons could have taken wou
perhaps have been to depose the King, as their ancesto
had deposed Edward the Second and Richard the Secon
and as their children afterwards deposed James. Had th
done this, had they placed on the throne a prince who
character and whose situation would have been a pledge f
his good conduct, they might safely have left to that prin
all the old constitutional prerogatives of the Crown, t
command of the armies of the state, the power of maki
peers, the power of appointing ministers, a veto on bi
passed by the two Houses. Such a prince, reigning by th
choice, would have been under the necessity of acting
conformity with their wishes. But the public mind was n
ripe for such a measure. There was no Duke of Lancast
no Prince of Orange, no great and eminent person, near
blood to the throne, yet attached to the cause of the peop
Charles was then to remain King; and it was therefo
necessary that he should be king only in name. A Willia
the Third, or a George the First, whose title to the crown w
identical with the title of the people to their liberty, mig
safely be trusted with extensive powers. But new freedo
could not exist in safety under the old tyrant. Since he w
not to be deprived of the name of king, the only course whi
was left was to make him a mere trustee, nominally seis
of prerogatives of which others had the use, a Grand Lam
a *Roi Fainéant*, a phantom resembling those Dagobe
and Childeberts who wore the badges of royalty, wh
Ebroin and Charles Martel held the real sovereignty of t
state.

The conditions which the Parliament propounded we
hard, but, we are sure, not harder than those which even t
Tories, in the Convention of 1689, would have imposed

mes, if it had been resolved that James should continue be king. The chief condition was that the command of e militia and the conduct of the war in Ireland should be t to the Parliament. On this point was that great issue ined, whereof the two parties put themselves on God and the sword.

We think, not only that the Commons were justified in manding for themselves the power to dispose of the mili- ry force, but that it would have been absolute insanity in em to leave that force at the disposal of the King. From e very beginning of his reign, it had evidently been his ject to govern by an army. His third Parliament had mplained, in the Petition of Right, of his fondness for mar- l law, and of the vexatious manner in which he billeted s soldiers on the people. The wish nearest the heart of ratford was, as his letters prove, that the revenue might be ought into such a state as would enable the King to keep standing military establishment. In 1640, Charles had pported an army in the northern counties by lawless actions. In 1641 he had engaged in an intrigue, the object which was to bring that army to London for the purpose overawing the Parliament. His late conduct had proved at, if he were suffered to retain even a small body-guard of own creatures near his person, the Commons would be in nger of outrage, perhaps of massacre. The Houses were ll deliberating under the protection of the militia of London. uld the command of the whole armed force of the realm ve been, under these circumstances, safely confided to the ng? Would it not have been frenzy in the Parliament to se and pay an army of fifteen or twenty thousand men for e Irish war, and to give to Charles the absolute control of s army, and the power of selecting, promoting, and dis- ssing officers at his pleasure? Was it not probable that s army might become, what it is the nature of armies to come, what so many armies formed under much more ourable circumstances have become, what the army of e Roman republic became, what the army of the French ublic became, an instrument of despotism? Was it t probable that the soldiers might forget that they were o citizens, and might be ready to serve their general against ir country? Was it not certain that, on the very first y on which Charles could venture to revoke his concessions,

and to punish his opponents, he would establish an arbitrary government, and exact a bloody revenge?

Our own times furnish a parallel case. Suppose that a revolution should take place in Spain, that the Constitution of Cadiz should be re-established, that the Cortes should meet again, that the Spanish Prynnes and Burtons, who are now wandering in rags round Leicester Square, should be restored to their country. Ferdinand the Seventh would, in that case, of course repeat all the oaths and promises which he made in 1820, and broke in 1823. But would it not be madness in the Cortes, even if they were to leave him the name of King, to leave him more than the name? Would not all Europe scoff at them, if they were to permit him to assemble a large army for an expedition to America, to model that army at his pleasure, to put it under the command of officers chosen by himself? Should we not say that every member of the Constitutional party who might concur in such a measure would most richly deserve the fate which he would probably meet, the fate of Riego and of the Empecinado? We are not disposed to pay compliments to Ferdinand; nor do we conceive that we pay him any compliment, when we say that, of all sovereigns in history, he seems to us most to resemble, in some very important points, King Charles the First. Like Charles, he is pious after a certain fashion; like Charles, he had made large concessions to his people after a certain fashion. It is well for him that he has had to deal with men who bore very little resemblance to the English Puritans.

The Commons would have the power of the sword; the King would not part with it; and nothing remained but to try the chances of war. Charles still had a strong party in the country. His august office, his dignified manners, his solemn protestations that he would for the time to come respect the liberties of his subjects, pity for fallen greatness, fear of violent innovation, secured to him many adherents. He had with him the Church, the Universities, a majority of the nobles and of the old landed gentry. The austerity of the Puritan manners drove most of the gay and dissolute youth of that age to the royal standard. Many good, brave, and moderate men, who disliked his former conduct, and who entertained doubts touching his present sincerity, espoused his cause unwillingly and with many painful

misgivings, because, though they dreaded his tyranny much, they dreaded democratic violence more.

On the other side was the great body of the middle orders of England, the merchants, the shopkeepers, the yeomanry, headed by a very large and formidable minority of the peerage and of the landed gentry. The Earl of Essex, a man of respectable abilities and of some military experience, was appointed to the command of the parliamentary army.

Hampden spared neither his fortune nor his person in the cause. He subscribed two thousand pounds to the public service. He took a colonel's commission in the army, and went into Buckinghamshire to raise a regiment of infantry. His neighbours eagerly enlisted under his command. His men were known by their green uniform, and by their standard, which bore on one side the watchward of the Parliament, "God with us," and on the other the device of Hampden, "Vestigia nulla retrorsum." This motto well described the line of conduct which he pursued. No member of his party had been so temperate, while there remained a hope that legal and peaceable measures might save the country. No member of his party showed so much energy and vigour when it became necessary to appeal to arms. He made himself thoroughly master of his military duty, and "performed it," to use the words of Clarendon, "upon all occasions most punctually." The regiment which he had raised and trained was considered as one of the best in the service of the Parliament. He exposed his person in every action, with an intrepidity which made him conspicuous even among thousands of brave men. "He was," says Clarendon, "of a personal courage equal to his best parts; so that he was an enemy not to be wished wherever he might have been made a friend, and as much to be apprehended where he was so, as any man could deserve to be." Though his military career was short, and his military situation subordinate, he fully proved that he possessed the talents of a great general, as well as those of a great statesman.

We shall not attempt to give a history of the war. Lord Nugent's account of the military operations is very animated and striking. Our abstract would be dull, and probably unintelligible. There was, in fact, for some time no great and connected system of operations on either side. The war of the two parties was like the war of Arimanes and

Oromasdes, neither of whom, according to the Eastern theologians, has any exclusive domain, who are equally omnipresent, who equally pervade all space, who carry on their eternal strife within every particle of matter. There was a petty war in almost every county. A town furnished troops to the Parliament, while the manor-house of the neighbouring peer was garrisoned for the King. The combatants were rarely disposed to march far from their own homes. It was reserved for Fairfax and Cromwell to terminate this desultory warfare, by moving one overwhelming force successively against all the scattered fragments of the royal party.

It is a remarkable circumstance that the officers who had studied tactics in what were considered as the best schools, under Vere in the Netherlands, and under Gustavus Adolphus in Germany, displayed far less skill than those commanders who had been bred to peaceful employments, and who never saw even a skirmish till the civil war broke out. An unlearned person might hence be inclined to suspect that the military art is no very profound mystery, that its principles are the principles of plain good sense, and that a quick eye, a cool head, and a stout heart, will do more to make a general than all the diagrams of Jomini. This, however, is certain, that Hampden showed himself a far better officer than Essex, and Cromwell than Leslie.

The military errors of Essex were probably in some degree produced by political timidity. He was honestly, but not warmly, attached to the cause of the Parliament; and next to a great defeat he dreaded a great victory. Hampden, on the other hand, was for vigorous and decisive measures. When he drew the sword, as Clarendon has well said, he threw away the scabbard. He had shown that he knew better than any public man of his time how to value and how to practise moderation. But he knew that the essence of war is violence, and that moderation in war is imbecility. On several occasions, particularly during the operations in the neighbourhood of Brentford, he remonstrated earnestly with Essex. Wherever he commanded separately, the boldness and rapidity of his movements presented a striking contrast to the sluggishness of his superior.

In the Parliament he possessed boundless influence. His employments towards the close of 1642 have been described by Denham in some lines which, though intended to be

arcastic, convey in truth the highest eulogy. Hampden is escribed in this satire as perpetually passing and repassing etween the military station at Windsor and the House of ommons at Westminster, as overawing the general, and as iving law to that Parliament which knew no other law. It as at this time that he organised that celebrated association f counties, to which his party was principally indebted for s victory over the King.

In the early part of 1643, the shires lying in the neighourhood of London, which were devoted to the cause of the arliament, were incessantly annoyed by Rupert and his avalry. Essex had extended his lines so far that almost very point was vulnerable. The young prince who, though ot a great general, was an active and enterprising partisan, equently surprised posts, burned villages, swept away cattle, id was again at Oxford before a force sufficient to encounter im could be assembled.

The languid proceedings of Essex were loudly condemned y the troops. All the ardent and daring spirits in the arliamentary party were eager to have Hampden at their ead. Had his life been prolonged, there is every reason to elieve that the supreme command would have been intrusted to him. But it was decreed that, at this conjuncture, ngland should lose the only man who united perfect disterestedness to eminent talents, the only man who, being apable of gaining the victory for her, was incapable of busing that victory when gained.

In the evening of the seventeenth of June, Rupert darted ut of Oxford with his cavalry on a predatory expedition. t three in the morning of the following day, he attacked id dispersed a few parliamentary soldiers who lay at ostcombe. He then flew to Chinnor, burned the village, lled or took all the troops who were quartered there, and epared to hurry back with his booty and his prisoners to xford.

Hampden had, on the preceding day, strongly represented Essex the danger to which this part of the line was exposed. s soon as he received intelligence of Rupert's incursion, he nt off a horseman with a message to the General. The valiers, he said, could return only by Chiselhampton idge. A force ought to be instantly despatched in that rection for the purpose of intercepting them. In the mean

time, he resolved to set out with all the cavalry that he could muster, for the purpose of impeding the march of the enemy till Essex could take measures for cutting off their retreat. A considerable body of horse and dragoons volunteered to follow him. He was not their commander. He did not even belong to their branch of the service. But "he was," says Lord Clarendon, "second to none but the General himself in the observance and application of all men." On the field of Chalgrove he came up with Rupert. A fierce skirmish ensued. In the first charge, Hampden was struck in the shoulder by two bullets, which broke the bone, and lodged in his body. The troops of the Parliament lost heart and gave way. Rupert, after pursuing them for a short time, hastened to cross the bridge, and made his retreat unmolested to Oxford.

Hampden, with his head drooping, and his hands leaning on his horse's neck, moved feebly out of the battle. The mansion which had been inhabited by his father-in-law, and from which in his youth he had carried home his bride Elizabeth, was in sight. There still remains an affecting tradition that he looked for a moment towards that beloved house, and made an effort to go thither to die. But the enemy lay in that direction. He turned his horse towards Thame, where he arrived almost fainting with agony. The surgeons dressed his wounds. But there was no hope. The pain which he suffered was most excruciating. But he endured it with admirable firmness and resignation. His first care was for his country. He wrote from his bed several letters to London concerning public affairs, and sent a last pressing message to the head-quarters, recommending that the dispersed forces should be concentrated. When his public duties were performed, he calmly prepared himself to die. He was attended by a clergyman of the Church of England, with whom he had lived in habits of intimacy, and by the chaplain of the Buckinghamshire Green-coats, Dr Spurton, whom Baxter describes as a famous and excellent divine.

A short time before Hampden's death the sacrament was administered to him. He declared that, though he disliked the government of the Church of England, he yet agreed with that church as to all essential matters of doctrine. His intellect remained unclouded. When all was nearly over, he

y murmuring faint prayers for himself, and for the cause which he died. "Lord Jesus," he exclaimed, in the moent of the last agony, "receive my soul. O Lord, save my untry. O Lord, be merciful to ——." In that broken aculation passed away his noble and fearless spirit.

He was buried in the parish church of Hampden. His ldiers, bareheaded, with reversed arms and muffled drums d colours, escorted his body to the grave, singing, as they archèd, that lofty and melancholy psalm in which the gility of human life is contrasted with the immutability Him to whom a thousand years are as yesterday when it passed, and as a watch in the night.

The news of Hampden's death produced as great a conernation in his party, according to Clarendon, as if their hole army had been cut off. The journals of the time amply ove that the Parliament and all its friends were filled with ef and dismay. Lord Nugent has quoted a remarkable ssage from the next Weekly Intelligencer. "The loss Colonel Hampden goeth near the heart of every man that es the good of his king and country, and makes some nceive little content to be at the army now that he is ne. The memory of this deceased colonel is such, that in age to come but it will more and more be had in honour d esteem; a man so religious, and of that prudence, dgment, temper, valour, and integrity, that he hath left y his like behind."

He had indeed left none his like behind him. There still mained, indeed, in his party many acute intellects, many quent tongues, many brave and honest hearts. There l remained a rugged and clownish soldier, half fanatic, f buffoon, whose talents, discerned as yet only by one netrating eye, were equal to all the highest duties of the dier and the prince. But in Hampden, and in Hampden ne, were united all the qualities which, at such a crisis, re necessary to save the state, the valour and energy of omwell, the discernment and eloquence of Vane, the hunity and moderation of Manchester, the stern integrity Hale, the ardent public spirit of Sydney. Others might sess the qualities which were necessary to save the pular party in the crisis of danger; he alone had both the ver and the inclination to restrain its excesses in the hour riumph. Others could conquer; he alone could reconcile.

A heart as bold as his brought up the cuirassiers who turne
the tide of battle on Marston Moor. As skilful an eye as h
watched the Scotch army descending from the heights ove
Dunbar. But it was when to the sullen tyranny of Lau
and Charles had succeeded the fierce conflict of sects an
factions, ambitious of ascendency and burning for revenge
it was when the vices and ignorance which the old tyrann
had generated threatened the new freedom with destructio
that England missed the sobriety, the self-command, th
perfect soundness of judgment, the perfect rectitude o
intention, to which the history of revolutions furnishes n
parallel, or furnishes a parallel in Washington alone.

BURLEIGH AND HIS TIMES.

(April, 1832.)*

HE work of Dr Nares has filled us with astonishment milar to that which Captain Lemuel Gulliver felt when rst he landed in Brobdingnag, and saw corn as high as the aks in the New Forest, thimbles as large as buckets, and rens of the bulk of turkeys. The whole book, and every mponent part of it, is on a gigantic scale. The title is as ng as an ordinary preface: the prefatory matter would rnish out an ordinary book; and the book contains as uch reading as an ordinary library. We cannot sum up the erits of the stupendous mass of paper which lies before us etter than by saying that it consists of about two thousand osely printed quarto pages, that it occupies fifteen hundred ches cubic measure, and that it weighs sixty pounds voirdupois. Such a book might, before the deluge, have een considered as light reading by Hilpa and Shalum. But nhappily the life of man is now threescore years and ten; id we cannot but think it somewhat unfair in Dr Nares demand from us so large a portion of so short an exist-ce.

Compared with the labour of reading through these lumes, all other labour, the labour of thieves on the

* *Memoirs of the Life and Administration of the Right Honourable illiam Cecil Lord Burghley, Secretary of State in the Reign of King ward the Sixth, and Lord High Treasurer of England in the Reign of een Elizabeth. Containing an Historical View of the Times in which he ed, and of the many eminent and illustrious Persons with whom he was nnected; with Extracts from his Private and Official Correspondence d other Papers, now first published from the Originals.* By the Reverend WARD NARES, D.D., Regius Professor of Modern History in the iversity of Oxford. 3 vols. 4to. London: 1828, 1832.

treadmill, of children in factories, of negroes in sugar plan tations, is an agreeable recreation. There was, it is said a criminal in Italy, who was suffered to make his choic between Guicciardini and the galleys. He chose the history But the war of Pisa was too much for him. He changed hi mind, and went to the oar. Guicciardini, though certainly not the most amusing of writers, is a Herodotus or a Froissart when compared with Dr Nares. It is not merely in bulk but in specific gravity also, that these memoirs exceed al other human compositions. On every subject which th Professor discusses, he produces three times as many page as another man; and one of his pages is as tedious a another man's three. His book is swelled to its vast dimen sions by endless repetitions, by episodes which have nothin to do with the main action, by quotations from books whic are in every circulating library, and by reflections which when they happen to be just, are so obvious that they mus necessarily occur to the mind of every reader. He employ more words in expounding and defending a truism than an other writer would employ in supporting a paradox. C the rules of historical perspective, he has not the faintes notion. There is neither foreground nor background i his delineation. The wars of Charles the Fifth in German are detailed at almost as much length as in Robertson' life of that prince. The troubles of Scotland are related a fully as in M'Crie's Life of John Knox. It would be mos unjust to deny that Dr Nares is a man of great industr and research; but he is so utterly incompetent to arrang the materials which he has collected that he might as we have left them in their original repositories.

Neither the facts which Dr Nares has discovered, nor th arguments which he urges, will, we apprehend, materiall alter the opinion generally entertained by judicious reader of history concerning his hero. Lord Burleigh can hardl be called a great man. He was not one of those whos genius and energy change the fate of empires. He was b nature and habit one of those who follow, not one of thos who lead. Nothing that is recorded, either of his words o of his actions, indicates intellectual or moral elevation But his talents, though not brilliant, were of an eminentl useful kind; and his principles, though not inflexibl were not more relaxed than those of his associates and com

titors. He had a cool temper, a sound judgment, great
wers of application, and a constant eye to the main chance.
his youth he was, it seems, fond of practical jokes. Yet
en out of these he contrived to extract some pecuniary
ofit. When he was studying the law at Gray's Inn, he
t all his furniture and books at the gaming table to one
his friends. He accordingly bored a hole in the wall
hich separated his chambers from those of his associate,
d at midnight bellowed through this passage threats of
mnation and calls to repentance in the ears of the vic-
ious gambler, who lay sweating with fear all night, and
unded his winnings on his knees next day. "Many
ner the like merry jests," says his old biographer, "I have
ard him tell, too long to be here noted." To the last,
rleigh was somewhat jocose; and some of his sportive
ings have been recorded by Bacon. They show much
re shrewdness than generosity, and are, indeed, neatly
pressed reasons for exacting money rigorously, and for
eping it carefully. It must, however, be acknowledged
t he was rigorous and careful for the public advantage
well as for his own. To extol his moral character as Dr
res has extolled it is absurd. It would be equally absurd
represent him as a corrupt, rapacious, and bad-hearted
n. He paid great attention to the interests of the state,
l great attention also to the interest of his own family.
never deserted his friends till it was very inconvenient
stand by them, was an excellent Protestant when it was
very advantageous to be a Papist, recommended a
erant policy to his mistress as strongly as he could re-
mend it without hazarding her favour, never put to the
k any person from whom it did not seem probable that
ful information might be derived, and was so moderate
is desires that he left only three hundred distinct landed
ates, though he might, as his honest servant assures us,
e left much more, "if he would have taken money out
the Exchequer for his own use, as many Treasurers have
e."

Burleigh, like the old Marquess of Winchester, who
ceded him in the custody of the White Staff, was of the
ow, and not of the oak. He first rose into notice by
ending the supremacy of Henry the Eighth. He was
sequently favoured and promoted by the Duke of

Somerset. He not only contrived to escape unhurt when hi patron fell, but became an important member of the ac ministration of Northumberland. Dr Nares assures us ove and over again that there could have been nothing base i Cecil's conduct on this occasion ; for, says he, Cecil contin ue to stand well with Cranmer. This, we confess, hardly sa isfie us. We are much of the mind of Falstaff's tailor. We mus have better assurance for Sir John than Bardolph's. We lik not the security.

Through the whole course of that miserable intrigu which was carried on round the dying bed of Edward th Sixth, Cecil so bemeaned himself as to avoid, first, the dis pleasure of Northumberland, and afterwards the displeasur of Mary. He was prudently unwilling to put his hand t the instrument which changed the course of the succession But the furious Dudley was master of the palace. Ceci therefore, according to his own account, excused himse from signing as a party, but consented to sign as a witnes It is not easy to describe his dexterous conduct at this mos perplexing crisis, in language more appropriate than tha which is employed by old Fuller. "His hand wrote it a secretary of state," says that quaint writer; "but h heart consented not thereto. Yea, he openly opposed it though at last yielding to the greatness of Northumberland in an age when it was present drowning not to swim wit the stream. But as the philosopher tells us, that, thoug the planets be whirled about daily from east to west, by th motion of the *primum mobile*, yet have they also a contrar proper motion of their own from west to east, which the slowly, though surely, move at their leisure ; so Cecil ha secret counter-endeavours against the strain of the cou herein, and privately advanced his rightful intention against the foresaid duke's ambition."

This was undoubtedly the most perilous conjuncture Cecil's life. Wherever there was a safe course, he was saf But here every course was full of danger. His situatio rendered it impossible for him to be neutral. If he acted o either side, if he refused to act at all, he ran a fearful risk He saw all the difficulties of his position. He sent his mone and plate out of London, made over his estates to his so and carried arms about his person. His best arms, howeve were his sagacity and his self-command. The plot in whic

e had been an unwilling accomplice ended, as it was natural
iat so odious and absurd a plot should end, in the ruin of
s contrivers. In the mean time, Cecil quietly extricated
imself, and, having been successively patronised by Henry,
y Somerset, and by Northumberland, continued to flourish
nder the protection of Mary.

He had no aspirations after the crown of martyrdom. He
onfessed himself, therefore, with great decorum, heard mass
Wimbledon Church at Easter, and, for the better ordering
his spiritual concerns, took a priest into his house. Dr
ares, whose simplicity passes that of any casuist with
hom we are acquainted, vindicates his hero by assuring
that this was not superstition, but pure unmixed hypoc-
sy. "That he did in some manner conform, we shall not
able, in the face of existing documents, to deny; while
e feel in our own minds abundantly satisfied, that, during
is very trying reign, he never abandoned the prospect
another revolution in favour of Protestantism." In an-
her place, the Doctor tells us, that Cecil went to mass "with
idolatrous intention." Nobody, we believe, ever accused
m of idolatrous intentions. The very ground of the charge
ainst him is that he had no idolatrous intentions. We
ver should have blamed him if he had really gone to
imbledon Church, with the feelings of a good Catholic,
worship the host. Dr Nares speaks in several places
th just severity of the sophistry of the Jesuits, and with
st admiration of the incomparable letters of Pascal.
is somewhat strange, therefore, that he should adopt,
the full extent, the jesuitical doctrine of the direction of
tentions.

We do not blame Cecil for not choosing to be burnt. The
ep stain upon his memory is that, for differences of opinion
which he would risk nothing himself, he, in the day of
s power, took away without scruple the lives of others.
ne of the excuses suggested in these Memoirs for his con-
rming, during the reign of Mary, to the Church of Rome,
that he may have been of the same mind with those Ger-
an Protestants who were called Adiaphorists, and who
nsidered the popish rites as matters indifferent. Melanc-
on was one of these moderate persons, and "appears,"
ys Dr Nares, "to have gone greater lengths than any
puted to Lord Burleigh." We should have thought this

not only an excuse, but a complete vindication, if Cecil had been an Adiaphorist for the benefit of others as well as for his own. If the popish rites were matters of so little moment that a good Protestant might lawfully practise them for his safety, how could it be just or humane that a Papist should be hanged, drawn, and quartered, for practising them from a sense of duty? Unhappily these non-essentials soon became matters of life and death. Just at the very time at which Cecil attained the highest point of power and favour, an Act of Parliament was passed by which the penalties of high treason were denounced against persons who should do in sincerity what he had done from cowardice.

Early in the reign of Mary, Cecil was employed in a mission scarcely consistent with the character of a zealous Protestant. He was sent to escort the Papal Legate, Cardinal Pole, from Brussels to London. That great body of moderate persons who cared more for the quiet of the realm than for the controverted points which were in issue between the Churches seem to have placed their chief hope in the wisdom and humanity of the gentle Cardinal. Cecil, it is clear, cultivated the friendship of Pole with great assiduity, and received great advantage from the Legate's protection.

But the best protection of Cecil, during the gloomy and disastrous reign of Mary, was that which he derived from his own prudence and from his own temper, a prudence which could never be lulled into carelessness, a temper which could never be irritated into rashness. The Papists could find no occasion against him. Yet he did not lose the esteem even of those sterner Protestants who had preferred exile to recantation. He attached himself to the persecuted heiress of the throne, and entitled himself to her gratitude and confidence. Yet he continued to receive marks of favour from the Queen. In the House of Commons, he put himself at the head of the party opposed to the Court. Yet, so guarded was his language that, even when some of those who acted with him were imprisoned by the Privy Council, he escaped with impunity.

At length Mary died: Elizabeth succeeded; and Cecil rose at once to greatness. He was sworn in Privy-councillor and Secretary of State to the new sovereign before he left her prison of Hatfield; and he continued to serve her

uring forty years, without intermission, in the highest mployments. His abilities were precisely those which eep men long in power. He belonged to the class of the Valpoles, the Pelhams, and the Liverpools, not to that f the St Johns, the Carterets, the Chathams, and the annings. If he had been a man of original genius and of n enterprising spirit, it would have been scarcely possible or him to keep his power or even his head. There was not oom in one government for an Elizabeth and a Richelieu. What the haughty daughter of Henry needed, was a moderate, autious, flexible minister, skilled in the details of business, ompetent to advise, but not aspiring to command. And uch a minister she found in Burleigh. No arts could shake ne confidence which she reposed in her old and trusty ervant. The courtly graces of Leicester, the brilliant talents nd accomplishments of Essex, touched the fancy, perhaps ne heart, of the woman; but no rival could deprive the reasurer of the place which he possessed in the favour of ne Queen. She sometimes chid him sharply; but he was ne man whom she delighted to honour. For Burleigh, she orgot her usual parsimony both of wealth and of dignities. or Burleigh, she relaxed that severe etiquette to which ne was unreasonably attached. Every other person to hom she addressed her speech, or on whom the glance of er eagle eye fell, instantly sank on his knee. For Burleigh one, a chair was set in her presence; and there the old inister, by birth only a plain Lincolnshire esquire, took his ase, while the haughty heirs of the Fitzalans and the De eres humbled themselves to the dust around him. At ngth, having survived all his early coadjutors and rivals, e died full of years and honours. His royal mistress visited im on his deathbed, and cheered him with assurances of er affection and esteem; and his power passed, with little minution, to a son who inherited his abilites, and whose ind had been formed by his counsels.

The life of Burleigh was commensurate with one of the ost important periods in the history of the world. It xactly measures the time during which the House of ustria held decided superiority and aspired to universal ominion. In the year in which Burleigh was born, Charles e Fifth obtained the imperial crown. In the year in which urleigh died, the vast designs which had, during near a

century, kept Europe in constant agitation, were buried in the same grave with the proud and sullen Philip.

The life of Burleigh was commensurate also with the period during which a great moral revolution was effected, a revolution the consequences of which were felt, not only in the cabinets of princes, but at half the firesides in Christendom. He was born when the great religious schism was just commencing. He lived to see that schism complete, and to see a line of demarcation, which, since his death, has been very little altered, strongly drawn between Protestant and Catholic Europe.

The only event of modern times which can be properly compared with the Reformation is the French Revolution, or, to speak more accurately, that great revolution of political feeling which took place in almost every part of the civilised world during the eighteenth century, and which obtained in France its most terrible and signal triumph. Each of these memorable events may be described as a rising up of the human reason against a Caste. The one was a struggle of the laity against the clergy for intellectual liberty; the other was a struggle of the people against princes and nobles for political liberty. In both cases, the spirit of innovation was at first encouraged by the class to which it was likely to be most prejudicial. It was under the patronage of Frederic, of Catherine, of Joseph, and of the grandees of France, that the philosophy which afterwards threatened all the thrones and aristocracies of Europe with destruction first became formidable. The ardour with which men betook themselves to liberal studies, at the close of the fifteenth and the beginning of the sixteenth century, was zealously encouraged by the heads of that very church to which liberal studies were destined to be fatal. In both cases, when the explosion came, it came with a violence which appalled and disgusted many of those who had previously been distinguished by the freedom of their opinions. The violence of the democratic party in France made Burke a Tory and Alfieri a courtier. The violence of the chiefs of the German schism made Erasmus a defender of abuses, and turned the author of Utopia into a persecutor. In both cases, the convulsion which had overthrown deeply seated errors, shook all the principles on which society rests to their very foundations. The minds of men were unsettled

It seemed for a time that all order and morality were about to perish with the prejudices with which they had been long and intimately associated. Frightful cruelties were committed. Immense masses of property were confiscated. Every part of Europe swarmed with exiles. In moody and turbulent spirits zeal soured into malignity, or foamed into madness. From the political agitation of the eighteenth century sprang the Jacobins. From the religious agitation of the sixteenth century sprang the Anabaptists. The partisans of Robespierre robbed and murdered in the name of fraternity and equality. The followers of Kniperdoling robbed and murdered in the name of Christian liberty. The feeling of patriotism was, in many parts of Europe, almost wholly extinguished. All the old maxims of foreign policy were changed. Physical boundaries were superseded by moral boundaries. Nations made war on each other with new arms, with arms which no fortifications, however strong by nature or by art, could resist, with arms before which rivers parted like the Jordan, and ramparts fell down like the walls of Jericho. The great masters of fleets and armies were often reduced to confess, like Milton's warlike angel, how hard they found it

> "To exclude
> Spiritual substance with corporeal bar."

Europe was divided, as Greece had been divided during the period concerning which Thucydides wrote. The conflict was not, as it is in ordinary times, between state and state, but between two omnipresent factions, each of which was in some places dominant and in other places oppressed, but which, openly or covertly, carried on their strife in the bosom of every society. No man asked whether another belonged to the same country with himself, but whether he belonged to the same sect. Party-spirit seemed to justify and consecrate acts which, in any other times, would have been considered as the foulest of treasons. The French emigrant saw nothing disgraceful in bringing Austrian and Prussian hussars to Paris. The Irish or Italian democrat saw no impropriety in serving the French Directory against his own native government. So, in the sixteenth century, the fury of theological factions suspended all national animosities and jealousies.

The Spaniards were invited into France by the League; th English were invited into France by the Huguenots.

We by no means intend to underrate or to palliate th crimes and excesses which, during the last generation, wer produced by the spirit of democracy. But, when we hea men zealous for the Protestant religion constantly repre sent the French Revolution as radically and essentiall evil on account of those crimes and excesses, we cannot bu remember that the deliverance of our ancestors from th house of their spiritual bondage was effected "by plague and by signs, by wonders and by war." We cannot bu remember that, as in the case of the French Revolution, s also in the case of the Reformation, those who rose u against tyranny were themselves deeply tainted with th vices which tyranny engenders. We cannot but remembe that libels scarcely less scandalous than those of Heber mummeries scarcely less absurd than those of Clootz, an crimes scarcely less atrocious than those of Marat, disgrac the early history of Protestantism. The Reformation an event long past. That volcano has spent its rage. Th wide waste produced by its outbreak is forgotten. The land marks which were swept away have been replaced. Th ruined edifices have been repaired. The lava has covered wit a rich incrustation the fields which it once devastated, and after having turned a beautiful and fruitful garden into desert, has again turned the desert into a still more beau tiful and fruitful garden. The second great eruption is no yet over. The marks of its ravages are still all around us The ashes are still hot beneath our feet. In some direction the deluge of fire still continues to spread. Yet experienc surely entitles us to believe that this explosion, like tha which preceded it, will fertilise the soil which it has devas tated. Already, in those parts which have suffered mos severely, rich cultivation and secure dwellings have begu to appear amidst the waste. The more we read of the his tory of past ages, the more we observe the signs of our ow times, the more do we feel our hearts filled and swelled u by a good hope for the future destinies of the human rac

The history of the Reformation in England is full o strange problems. The most prominent and extraordinar phænomenon which it presents to us is the gigantic strengt of the government contrasted with the feebleness of th

ligious parties. During the twelve or thirteen years which llowed the death of Henry the Eighth, the religion of ate was thrice changed. Protestantism was established r Edward; the Catholic Church was restored by Mary; rotestantism was again established by Elizabeth. The ith of the nation seemed to depend on the personal in- nations of the sovereign. Nor was this all. An established urch was then, as a matter of course, a persecuting church. dward persecuted Catholics. Mary persecuted Protes- nts. Elizabeth persecuted Catholics again. The father those three sovereigns had enjoyed the pleasure of per- cuting both sects at once, and had sent to death, on the me hurdle, the heretic who denied the real presence, and e traitor who denied the royal supremacy. There was thing in England like that fierce and bloody opposition hich, in France, each of the religious factions in its turn fered to the government. We had neither a Coligny nor Mayenne, neither a Moncontour nor an Ivry. No English y braved sword and famine for the reformed doctrines th the spirit of Rochelle, or for the Catholic doctrines th the spirit of Paris. Neither sect in England formed League. Neither sect extorted a recantation from the vereign. Neither sect could obtain from an adverse vereign even a toleration. The English Protestants, after veral years of domination, sank down with scarcely a uggle under the tyranny of Mary. The Catholics, after ving regained and abused their old ascendency, submitted tiently to the severe rule of Elizabeth. Neither Protes- nts nor Catholics engaged in any great and well organized heme of resistance. A few wild and tumultuous risings, pressed as soon as they appeared, a few dark conspiracies which only a small number of desperate men engaged, ch were the utmost efforts made by these two parties to ert the most sacred of human rights, attacked by the most ous tyranny.

The explanation of these circumstances which has gener- y been given is very simple, but by no means satisfactory. e power of the crown, it is said, was then at its height, d was in fact despotic. This solution, we own, seems to to be no solution at all. It has long been the fashion, a hion introduced by Mr Hume, to describe the English narchy in the sixteenth century as an absolute monarchy.

And such undoubtedly it appears to a superficial observer. Elizabeth, it is true, often spoke to her parliaments in language as haughty and imperious as that which the Great Turk would use to his divan. She punished with great severity members of the House of Commons who, in her opinion, carried the freedom of debate too far. She assumed the power of legislating by means of proclamations. She imprisoned her subjects without bringing them to a legal trial. Torture was often employed, in defiance of the laws of England, for the purpose of extorting confessions from those who were shut up in her dungeons. The authority of the Star Chamber and of the Ecclesiastical Commission was at its highest point. Severe restraints were imposed on political and religious discussion. The number of presses was at one time limited. No man could print without a license; and every work had to undergo the scrutiny of the Primate, or the Bishop of London. Persons whose writings were displeasing to the Court were cruelly mutilated, like Stubbs, or put to death, like Penry. Nonconformity was severely punished. The Queen prescribed the exact rule of religious faith and discipline; and whoever departed from that rule, either to the right or to the left, was in danger of severe penalties.

Such was this government. Yet we know that it was loved by the great body of those who lived under it. We know that, during the fierce contests of the seventeenth century, both the hostile parties spoke of the time of Elizabeth as of a golden age. That great Queen has now been lying two hundred and thirty years in Henry the Seventh's chapel. Yet her memory is still dear to the hearts of a free people.

The truth seems to be that the government of the Tudors was, with a few occasional deviations, a popular government, under the forms of despotism. At first sight, it may seem that the prerogatives of Elizabeth were not less ample than those of Louis the Fourteenth, and her parliaments were as obsequious as his parliaments, that her warrant had as much authority as his *lettre-de-cachet*. The extravagance with which her courtiers eulogized her personal and mental charms went beyond the adulation of Boileau and Molière. Louis would have blushed to receive from those who composed the gorgeous circles of Marli and Versailles such outward marks of servitude as the haughty Britoness exacted

of all who approached her. But the authority of Louis rested on the support of his army. The authority of Elizabeth rested solely on the support of her people. Those who say that her power was absolute do not sufficiently consider in what her power consisted. Her power consisted in the willing obedience of her subjects, in their attachment to her person and to her office, in their respect for the old line from which she sprang, in their sense of the general security which they enjoyed under her government. These were the means, and the only means, which she had at her command for carrying her decrees into execution, for resisting foreign enemies, and for crushing domestic treason. There was not a ward in the city, there was not a hundred in any shire in England, which could not have overpowered the handful of armed men who composed her household. If a hostile sovereign threatened invasion, if an ambitious noble raised the standard of revolt, she could have recourse only to the trainbands of her capital and the array of her counties, to the citizens and yeomen of England, commanded by the merchants and esquires of England.

Thus, when intelligence arrived of the vast preparations which Philip was making for the subjugation of the realm, the first person to whom the government thought of applying for assistance was the Lord Mayor of London. They sent to ask him what force the city would engage to furnish for the defence of the kingdom against the Spaniards. The Mayor and Common Council, in return, desired to know what force the Queen's Highness wished them to furnish. The answer was, fifteen ships and five thousand men. The Londoners deliberated on the matter, and, two days after, "humbly intreated the council, in sign of their perfect love and loyalty to prince and country, to accept ten thousand men, and thirty ships amply furnished."

People who could give such signs as these of their loyalty were by no means to be misgoverned with impunity. The English in the sixteenth century were, beyond all doubt, a free people. They had not, indeed, the outward show of freedom; but they had the reality. They had not as good a constitution as we have; but they had that without which the best constitution is as useless as the king's proclamation against vice and immorality, that which, without any constitution, keeps rulers in awe, force, and the spirit to use

it. Parliaments, it is true, were rarely held, and were not very respectfully treated. The great charter was often violated. But the people had a security against gross and systematic misgovernment, far stronger than all the parchment that was ever marked with the sign manual, and than all the wax that was ever pressed by the great seal.

It is a common error in politics to confound means with ends. Constitutions, charters, petitions of right, declarations of right, representative assemblies, electoral colleges, are not good government; nor do they, even when most elaborately constructed, necessarily produce good government. Laws exist in vain for those who have not the courage and the means to defend them. Electors meet in vain where want makes them the slaves of the landlord, or where superstition makes them the slaves of the priest. Representative assemblies sit in vain unless they have at their command, in the last resort, the physical power which is necessary to make their deliberations free, and their votes effectual.

The Irish are better represented in parliament than the Scotch, who indeed are not represented at all.* But are the Irish better governed than the Scotch? Surely not. This circumstance has of late been used as an argument against reform. It proves nothing against reform. It proves only this, that laws have no magical, no supernatural virtue; that laws do not act like Aladdin's lamp or Prince Ahmed's apple; that priestcraft, that ignorance, that the rage of contending factions, may make good institutions useless; that intelligence, sobriety, industry, moral freedom, firm union, may supply in a great measure the defects of the worst representative system. A people whose eduction and habits are such, that, in every quarter of the world, they rise above the mass of those with whom they mix, as surely as oil rises to the top of water, a people of such temper and self-government that the wildest popular excesses recorded in their history partake of the gravity of judicial proceedings, and of the solemnity of religious rites, a people whose national pride and mutual attachment have passed into a proverb, a people whose high and fierce spirit, so forcibly described in the haughty motto which encircles their thistle, preserved their independence, during a struggle of centuries, from the

* It must be remembered that this was written before the passing of the Reform Act.

ncroachments of wealthier and more powerful neighbours, uch a people cannot be long oppressed. Any government, owever constituted, must respect their wishes and tremble t their discontents. It is indeed most desirable that such a eople should exercise a direct influence on the conduct of ffairs, and should make their wishes known through con- titutional organs. But some influence, direct or indirect, ney will assuredly possess. Some organ, constitutional or nconstitutional, they will assuredly find. They will be etter governed under a good constitution than under a ad constitution. But they will be better governed under ne worst constitution than some other nations under the est. In any general classification of constitutions, the onstitution of Scotland must be reckoned as one of ne worst, perhaps as the worst, in Christian Europe. Yet ne Scotch are not ill governed. And the reason is simply nat they will not bear to be ill governed.

In some of the Oriental monarchies, in Afghanistan for xample, though there exists nothing which an European ublicist would call a Constitution, the sovereign generally overns in conformity with certain rules established for the ublic benefit ; and the sanction of those rules is, that every fghan approves them, and that every Afghan is a soldier. The monarchy of England in the sixteenth century was a onarchy of this kind. It is called an absolute monarchy, ecause little respect was paid by the Tudors to those in- itutions which we have been accustomed to consider as ne sole checks on the power of the sovereign A modern nglishman can hardly understand how the people can have ad any real security for good government under kings ho levied benevolences, and chid the House of Commons ; they would have chid a pack of dogs. People do not fficiently consider that, though the legal checks were eble, the natural checks were strong. There was one reat and effectual limitation on the royal authority, the nowledge that, if the patience of the nation were severely ied, the nation would put forth its strength, and that its rength would be found irresistible. If a large body of nglishmen became thoroughly discontented, instead of esenting requisitions, holding large meetings, passing solutions, signing petitions, forming associations, and ions, they rose up ; they took their halberds and their

bows; and, if the sovereign was not sufficiently popular to find among his subjects other halberds and other bows to oppose to the rebels, nothing remained for him but a repetition of the horrible scenes of Berkeley and Pomfret. He had no regular army which could, by its superior arms and its superior skill, overawe or vanquish the sturdy Commons of his realm, abounding in the native hardihood of Englishmen, and trained in the simple discipline of the militia.

It has been said that the Tudors were as absolute as the Cæsars. Never was parallel so unfortunate. The government of the Tudors was the direct opposite to the government of Augustus and his successors. The Cæsars ruled despotically, by means of a great standing army, under the decent forms of a republican constitution. They called themselves citizens. They mixed unceremoniously with other citizens. In theory they were only the elective magistrates of a free commonwealth. Instead of arrogating to themselves despotic power, they acknowledged allegiance to the senate. They were merely the lieutenants of that venerable body. They mixed in debate. They even appeared as advocates before the courts of law. Yet they could safely indulge in the wildest freaks of cruelty and rapacity, while their legions remained faithful. Our Tudors, on the other hand, under the titles and forms of monarchical supremacy, were essentially popular magistrates. They had no means of protecting themselves against the public hatred; and they were therefore compelled to court the public favour. To enjoy all the state and all the personal indulgencies of absolute power, to be adored with Oriental prostrations, to dispose at will of the liberty and even of the life of ministers and courtiers, this the nation granted to the Tudors. But the condition on which they were suffered to be the tyrants of Whitehall was that they should be the mild and paternal sovereigns of England. They were under the same restraints with regard to their people under which a military despot is placed with regard to his army. They would have found it as dangerous to grind their subjects with cruel taxation as Nero would have found it to leave his prætorians unpaid. Those who immediately surrounded the royal person, and engaged in the hazardous game of ambition, were exposed to the most fearful dangers. Buckingham, Cromwell, Surrey, Seymour of Sudely, Somerset, Northumberland, Suffolk,

orfolk, Essex, perished on the scaffold. But in general
e country gentleman hunted and the merchant traded in
eace. Even Henry, as cruel as Domitian, but far more
olitic, contrived, while reeking with the blood of the Lamiæ,
be a favourite with the cobblers.

The Tudors committed very tyrannical acts. But in their
dinary dealings with the people they were not, and could
ot safely be, tyrants. Some excesses were easily pardoned.
or the nation was proud of the high and fiery blood of its
agnificent princes, and saw, in many proceedings which a
wyer would even then have condemned, the outbreak of
e same noble spirit which so manfully hurled foul scorn at
arma and at Spain. But to this endurance there was a
nit. If the government ventured to adopt measures which
e people really felt to be oppressive, it was soon compelled
change its course. When Henry the Eighth attempted to
ise a forced loan of unusual amount by proceedings of
usual rigour, the opposition which he encountered was
ch as appalled even his stubborn and imperious spirit.
ne people, we are told, said that, if they were treated thus,
hen were it worse than the taxes of France; and England
ould be bond, and not free." The county of Suffolk rose
arms. The King prudently yielded to an opposition
nich, if he had persisted, would, in all probability, have
ken the form of a general rebellion. Towards the close of
e reign of Elizabeth, the people felt themselves aggrieved
the monopolies. The Queen, proud and courageous as
e was, shrank from a contest with the nation, and, with
mirable sagacity, conceded all that her subjects had de-
anded, while it was yet in her power to concede with dignity
d grace.

It cannot be imagined that a people who had in their own
nds the means of checking their princes would suffer any
nce to impose upon them a religion generally detested.
is absurd to suppose that, if the nation had been decidedly
tached to the Protestant faith, Mary could have re-estab-
hed the Papal supremacy. It is equally absurd to suppose
at, if the nation had been zealous for the ancient religion,
izabeth could have restored the Protestant Church.
e truth is, that the people were not disposed to engage
a struggle either for the new or for the old doctrines.
undance of spirit was shown when it seemed likely that

Mary would resume her father's grants of Church property, or that she would sacrifice the interests of England to the husband whom she regarded with unmerited tenderness. That Queen found that it would be madness to attempt the restoration of the abbey lands. She found that her subjects would never suffer her to make her hereditary kingdom a fief of Castile. On these points she encountered a steady resistance, and was compelled to give way. If she was able to establish the Catholic worship and to persecute those who would not conform to it, it was evidently because the people cared far less for the Protestant religion than for the rights of property and for the independence of the English crown. In plain words, they did not think the difference between the hostile sects worth a struggle. There was undoubtedly a zealous Protestant party and a zealous Catholic party. But both these parties were, we believe, very small. We doubt whether both together made up, at the time of Mary's death, the twentieth part of the nation. The remaining nineteen twentieths halted between the two opinions, and were not disposed to risk a revolution in the government, for the purpose of giving to either of the extreme factions an advantage over the other.

We possess no data which will enable us to compare with exactness the force of the two sects. Mr Butler asserts that, even at the accession of James the First, a majority of the population of England were Catholics. This is pure assertion; and it is not only unsupported by evidence, but, we think, completely disproved by the strongest evidence. Dr Lingard is of opinion that the Catholics were one half of the nation in the middle of the reign of Elizabeth. Rushton says that, when Elizabeth came to the throne, the Catholics were two thirds of the nation, and the Protestants only one third. The most judicious and impartial of English historians, Mr Hallam, is, on the contrary, of opinion that two thirds were Protestants, and only one third Catholics. To us, we must confess, it seems incredible that, if the Protestants were really two to one, they should have borne the government of Mary, or that, if the Catholics were really two to one, they should have borne the government of Elizabeth. We are at a loss to conceive how a sovereign who has no standing army, and whose power rests solely on the loyalty of his subjects, can continue for years to persecute

religion to which the majority of his subjects are sincerely ttached. In fact, the Protestants did rise up against one ister, and the Catholics against the other. Those risings learly showed how small and feeble both the parties were. Both in the one case and in the other the nation ranged tself on the side of the government, and the insurgents ere speedily put down and punished. The Kentish entlemen who took up arms for the reformed doctrines gainst Mary, and the great Northern Earls who displayed ne banner of the Five Wounds against Elizabeth, were like considered by the great body of their countrymen as icked disturbers of the public peace.

The account which Cardinal Bentivoglio gave of the state f religion in England well deserves consideration. The ealous Catholics he reckoned at one thirtieth part of the ation. The people who would without the least scruple ecome Catholics, if the Catholic religion were established, e estimated at four fifths of the nation. We believe this ccount to have been very near the truth. We believe that ne people, whose minds were made up on either side, who ere inclined to make any sacrifice or run any risk for either eligion, were very few. Each side had a few enterprising hampions, and a few stout-hearted martyrs; but the nation, ndetermined in its opinions and feelings, resigned itself nplicitly to the guidance of the government, and lent to ne sovereign for the time being an equally ready aid against ther of the extreme parties.

We are very far from saying that the English of that eneration were irreligious. They held firmly those doctrines hich are common to the Catholic and to the Protestant eology. But they had no fixed opinion as to the matters dispute between the churches. They were in a situation sembling that of those Borderers whom Sir Walter Scott as described with so much spirit,

> "Who sought the beeves that made their broth
> In England and in Scotland both."

nd who

> "Nine times outlawed had been
> By England's king and Scotland's queen."

ney were sometimes Protestants, sometimes Catholics; metimes half Protestants, half Catholics.

The English had not, for ages, been bigoted Papists. In the fourteenth century, the first and perhaps the greatest of the reformers, John Wickliffe, had stirred the public mind to its inmost depths. During the same century, a scandalous schism in the Catholic Church had diminished, in many parts of Europe, the reverence in which the Roman Pontiffs were held. It is clear that, a hundred years before the time of Luther, a great party in this kingdom was eager for a change at least as extensive as that which was subsequently effected by Henry the Eighth. The House of Commons, in the reign of Henry the Fourth, proposed a confiscation of ecclesiastical property, more sweeping and violent even than that which took place under the administration of Thomas Cromwell; and, though defeated in this attempt, they succeeded in depriving the clerical order of some of its most oppressive privileges. The splendid conquests of Henry the Fifth turned the attention of the nation from domestic reform. The Council of Constance removed some of the grossest of those scandals which had deprived the Church of the public respect. The authority of that venerable synod propped up the sinking authority of the Popedom. A considerable reaction took place. It cannot, however, be doubted, that there was still some concealed Lollardism in England; or that many who did not absolutely dissent from any doctrine held by the Church of Rome were jealous of the wealth and power enjoyed by her ministers. At the very beginning of the reign of Henry the Eighth, a struggle took place between the clergy and the courts of law, in which the courts of law remained victorious. One of the bishops, on that occasion, declared that the common people entertained the strongest prejudices against his order, and that a clergyman had no chance of fair play before a lay tribunal. The London juries, he said, entertained such a spite to the Church, that, if Abel were a priest, they would find him guilty of the murder of Cain. This was said a few months before the time when Martin Luther began to preach at Wittenburg against indulgences.

As the Reformation did not find the English bigoted Papists, so neither was it conducted in such a manner as to make them zealous Protestants. It was not under the direction of men like the fiery Saxon who swore that he would go to Worms, though he had to face as many devils as there

ere tiles on the houses, or like that brave Switzer who was truck down while praying in front of the ranks at Zurich. o preacher of religion had the same power here which alvin had at Geneva and Knox in Scotland. The governent put itself early at the head of the movement, and thus cquired power to regulate, and occasionally to arrest, the ovement.

To many persons it appears extraordinary that Henry the ighth should have been able to maintain himself so long in n intermediate position between the Catholic and Protestant arties. Most extraordinary it would indeed be, if we were o suppose that the nation consisted of none but decided atholics and decided Protestants. The fact is that the reat mass of the people was neither Catholic nor Protestant, ut was, like its sovereign, midway between the two sects. Ienry, in that very part of his conduct which has been repreented as most capricious and inconsistent, was probably llowing a policy far more pleasing to the majority of his ubjects than a policy like that of Edward, or a policy like hat of Mary, would have been. Down even to the very ose of the reign of Elizabeth, the people were in a state omewhat resembling that in which, as Machiavelli says, the habitants of the Roman empire were, during the transition om heathenism to christianity: "sendo la maggior parte loro incerti a quale Dio dovessero ricorrere." They were enerally, we think, favourable to the royal supremacy. hey disliked the policy of the Court of Rome. Their pirit rose against the interference of a foreign priest with eir national concerns. The bull which pronounced sennce of deposition against Elizabeth, the plots which were rmed against her life, the usurpation of her titles by the ueen of Scotland, the hostility of Philip, excited their rongest indignation. The cruelties of Bonner were reembered with disgust. Some parts of the new system, e use of the English language, for example, in public orship, and the communion in both kinds, were unoubtedly popular. On the other hand, the early lessons the nurse and the priest were not forgotten. The ancient remonies were long remembered with affectionate revence. A large portion of the ancient theology lingered the last in the minds which had been imbued with it in ildhood.

The best proof that the religion of the people was of this mixed kind is furnished by the Drama of that age. No man would bring unpopular opinions prominently forward in a play intended for representation. And we may safely conclude, that feelings and opinions which pervade the whole Dramatic Literature of a generation, are feelings and opinions of which the men of that generation generally partook.

The greatest and most popular dramatists of the Elizabethan age treat religious subjects in a very remarkable manner. They speak respectfully of the fundamental doctrines of Christianity. But they speak neither like Catholics nor like Protestants, but like persons who are wavering between the two systems, or who have made a system for themselves out of parts selected from both. They seem to hold some of the Romish rites and doctrines in high respect. They treat the vow of celibacy, for example, so tempting, and, in later times, so common a subject for ribaldry, with mysterious reverence. Almost every member of a religious order whom they introduce is a holy and venerable man. We remember in their plays nothing resembling the coarse ridicule with which the Catholic religion and its ministers were assailed, two generations later, by dramatists who wished to please the multitude. We remember no Friar Dominic, no Father Foigard, among the characters drawn by those great poets. The scene at the close of the Knight of Malta might have been written by a fervent Catholic. Massinger shows a great fondness for ecclesiastics of the Romish Church, and has even gone so far as to bring a virtuous and interesting Jesuit on the stage. Ford, in that fine play which it is painful to read and scarcely decent to name, assigns a highly creditable part to the Friar. The partiality of Shakspeare for Friars is well known. In Hamlet, the ghost complains that he died without extreme unction, and, in defiance of the article which condemns the doctrine of purgatory, declares that he is

> "Confined to fast in fires,
> Till the foul crimes, done in his days of nature,
> Are burnt and purged away."

These lines, we suspect, would have raised a tremendous storm in the theatre at any time during the reign of Charles

e Second. They were clearly not written by a zealous rotestant, or for zealous Protestants. Yet the author of ing John and Henry the Eighth was surely no friend to pal supremacy.

There is, we think, only one solution of the phænomena nich we find in the history and in the drama of that age. ne religion of the English was a mixed religion, like that the Samaritan settlers, described in the second book of ings, who "feared the Lord and served their graven ages;" like that of the Judaizing Christians who blended e ceremonies and doctrines of the synagogue with those the church; like that of the Mexican Indians, who, dur- g many generations after the subjugation of their race, ntinued to unite with the rites learned from their con- erors the worship of the grotesque idols which had been ored by Montezuma and Guatemozin.

These feelings were not confined to the populace. Eliza- th herself was by no means exempt from them. A crucifix, th wax lights burning round it, stood in her private chapel. e always spoke with disgust and anger of the marriage of ests. "I was in horror," says Archbishop Parker, "to ar such words to come from her mild nature and Christian rned conscience, as she spake concerning God's holy linance and institution of matrimony." Burleigh pre- iled on her to connive at the marriages of churchmen. t she would only connive; and the children sprung m such marriages were illegitimate till the accession of mes the First.

That which is, as we have said, the great stain on the aracter of Burleigh is also the great stain on the character Elizabeth. Being herself an Adiaphorist, having no uple about conforming to the Romish Church when nformity was necessary to her own safety, retaining to e last moment of her life a fondness for much of the ctrine and much of the ceremonial of that church, she subjected that church to a persecution even more odious an the persecution with which her sister had harassed e Protestants. We say more odious. For Mary had at st the plea of fanaticism. She did nothing for her re- on which she was not prepared to suffer for it. She d held it firmly under persecution. She fully believed to be essential to salvation. If she burned the bodies

of her subjects, it was in order to rescue their souls. Eliza beth had no such pretext. In opinion, she was little mor than half a Protestant. She had professed, when it suite her, to be wholly a Catholic. There is an excuse, a wretche excuse, for the massacres of Piedmont and the *Autos d fe* of Spain. But what can be said in defence of a rule who is at once indifferent and intolerant?

If the great Queen, whose memory is still held in jus veneration by Englishmen, had possessed sufficient virtu and sufficient enlargement of mind to adopt those principl which More, wiser in speculation than in action, had avowe in the preceding generation, and by which the excellen L'Hospital regulated his conduct in her own time, ho different would be the colour of the whole history of th last two hundred and fifty years! She had the happie opportunity ever vouchsafed to any sovereign of establish ing perfect freedom of conscience throughout her dominion without danger to her government, without scandal any large party among her subjects. The nation, as it wa clearly ready to profess either religion, would, beyond a doubt, have been ready to tolerate both. Unhappily fo her own glory and for the public peace, she adopted policy from the effects of which the empire is still sufferin The yoke of the Established Church was pressed down o the people till they would bear it no longer. Then a rea tion came. Another reaction followed. To the tyranr of the Establishment succeeded the tumultuous conflict sects, infuriated by manifold wrongs, and drunk with u wonted freedom. To the conflict of sects succeeded aga the cruel domination of one persecuting church. At leng oppression put off its most horrible form, and took a mild aspect. The penal laws which had been framed for th protection of the Established Church were abolished. B exclusions and disabilities still remained. These exclusio and disabilities, after having generated the most fearf discontents, after having rendered all government in o part of the kingdom impossible, after having brought th state to the very brink of ruin, have, in our times, be removed, but, though removed, have left behind them rankling which may last for many years. It is melancho to think with what ease Elizabeth might have united conflicting sects under the shelter of the same impart

rs and the same paternal throne, and thus have placed
e nation in the same situation, as far as the rights of
science are concerned, in which we at last stand, after
the heart-burnings, the persecutions, the conspiracies,
seditions, the revolutions, the judicial murders, the
il wars, of ten generations.

This is the dark side of her character. Yet she surely
s a great woman. Of all the sovereigns who exercised
power which was seemingly absolute, but which in fact
pended for support on the love and confidence of their
jects, she was by far the most illustrious. It has often
en alleged as an excuse for the misgovernment of her
cessors that they only followed her example, that pre-
ents might be found in the transactions of her reign
persecuting the Puritans, for levying money without
sanction of the House of Commons, for confining men
hout bringing them to trial, for interfering with the
erty of parliamentary debate. All this may be true.
t it is no good plea for her successors: and for this plain
son, that they were her successors. She governed one
eration, they governed another; and between the two
erations there was almost as little in common as between
people of two different countries. It was not by looking
the particular measures which Elizabeth had adopted,
by looking at the great general principles of her govern-
nt, that those who followed her were likely to learn the
of managing untractable subjects. If, instead of search-
the records of her reign for precedents which might
n to vindicate the mutilation of Prynne and the impris-
nent of Eliot, the Stuarts had attempted to discover
fundamental rules which guided her conduct in all her
lings with her people, they would have perceived that
ir policy was then most unlike to hers, when to a super-
al observer it would have seemed most to resemble hers.
n, haughty, sometimes unjust and cruel, in her proceed-
s towards individuals or towards small parties, she
ided with care, or retracted with speed, every measure
ch seemed likely to alienate the great mass of the people.
gained more honour and more love by the manner in
ch she repaired her errors than she would have gained
never committing errors. If such a man as Charles the
st had been in her place when the whole nation was

crying out against the monopolies, he would have refused all redress. He would have dissolved the Parliament, and imprisoned the most popular members. He would have called another Parliament. He would have given some vague and delusive promises of relief in return for subsidies. When entreated to fulfil his promises, he would have again dissolved the Parliament, and again imprisoned his leading opponents. The country would have become more agitated than before. The next House of Commons would have been more unmanageable than that which preceded it. The tyrant would have agreed to all that the nation demanded. He would have solemnly ratified an act abolishing monopolies for ever. He would have received a large supply in return for this concession; and within half a year new patents, more oppressive than those which had been cancelled, would have been issued by scores. Such was the policy which brought the heir of a long line of kings, in early youth the darling of his countrymen, to a prison and a scaffold.

Elizabeth, before the House of Commons could address her, took out of their mouths the words which they were about to utter in the name of the nation. Her promises went beyond their desires. Her performance followed close upon her promise. She did not treat the nation as an adverse party, as a party which had an interest opposed to hers, as a party to which she was to grant as few advantages as possible, and from which she was to extort as much money as possible. Her benefits were given, not sold; and, when once given, they were never withdrawn. She gave them too with a frankness, an effusion of heart, a princely dignity, a motherly tenderness, which enhanced their value. They were received by the sturdy country gentlemen, who had come up to Westminster full of resentment, with tears of joy, and shouts of "God save the Queen." Charles the First gave up half the prerogative of his crown to the Commons; and the Commons sent him in return the Grand Remonstrance.

We had intended to say something concerning that illustrious group of which Elizabeth is the central figure, that group which the last of the bards saw in vision from the top of Snowdon, encircling the Virgin Queen,

> "Many a baron bold,
> And gorgeous dames, and statesmen old
> In bearded majesty."

'e had intended to say something concerning the dexter-
is Walsingham, the impetuous Oxford, the graceful Sack-
lle, the all-accomplished Sydney; concerning Essex, the
nament of the court and of the camp, the model of chivalry,
e munificent patron of genius, whom great virtues, great
urage, great talents, the favour of his sovereign, the love
his countrymen, all that seemed to ensure a happy and
orious life, led to an early and an ignominious death;
ncerning Raleigh, the soldier, the sailor, the scholar, the
urtier, the orator, the poet, the historian, the philosopher,
iom we picture to ourselves sometimes reviewing the
ueen's guard, sometimes giving chase to a Spanish galleon,
en answering the chiefs of the country party in the House
Commons, then again murmuring one of his sweet love-
ngs too near the ears of her Highness's maids of honour,
d soon after poring over the Talmud, or collating Polybius
th Livy. We had intended also to say something con-
rning the literature of that splendid period, and especially
ncerning those two incomparable men, the Prince of
ets, and the Prince of Philosophers, who have made the
izabethan age a more glorious and important era in the
story of the human mind than the age of Pericles, of
igustus, or of Leo. But subjects so vast require a space
r larger than we can at present afford. We therefore
op here, fearing that, if we proceed, our article may swell
a bulk exceeding that of all other reviews, as much as
Nares's book exceeds the bulk of all other histories.

WAR OF THE SUCCESSION IN SPAIN.

(JANUARY, 1833.)*

THE days when Miscellanies in Prose and Verse by a Person of Honour, and Romances of M. Scuderi, done into English by a Person of Quality, were attractive to readers and profitable to booksellers, have long gone by. The literary privileges once enjoyed by lords are as obsolete as their right to kill the King's deer on their way to Parliament, or as their old remedy of *scandalum magnatum*. Yet we must acknowledge that, though our political opinions are by no means aristocratical, we always feel kindly disposed towards noble authors. Industry and a taste for intellectual pleasures are peculiarly respectable in those who can afford to be idle and who have every temptation to be dissipated. It is impossible not to wish success to a man who, finding himself placed, without any exertion or any merit on his part, above the mass of society, voluntarily descends from his eminence in search of distinctions which he may justly call his own.

This is, we think, the second appearance of Lord Mahon in the character of an author. His first book was creditable to him, but was in every respect inferior to the work which now lies before us. He has undoubtedly some of the most valuable qualities of a historian, great diligence in examining authorities, great judgment in weighing testimony, and great impartiality in estimating characters. We are not aware that he has in any instance forgotten the duties belonging to his literary functions in the feelings of a kinsman. He does no more than justice to his ancestor Stan-

* *History of the War of the Succession in Spain.* By Lord MAHON. 8vo. London: 1832.

ope; he does full justice to Stanhope's enemies and rivals. is narrative is very perspicuous, and is also entitled to the raise, seldom, we grieve to say, deserved by modern writers, being very concise. It must be admitted, however, that, ith many of the best qualities of a literary veteran, he as some of the faults of a literary novice. He has not et acquired a great command of words. His style is seldom sy, and is now and then unpleasantly stiff. He is so goted a purist that he transforms the Abbé d'Estrées into Abbot. We do not like to see French words introuced into English composition; but, after all, the first w of writing, that law to which all other laws are subdinate, is this, that the words employed shall be such as nvey to the reader the meaning of the writer. Now an bbot is the head of a religious house; an Abbé is quite different sort of person. It is better undoubtedly to use English word than a French word; but it is better to e a French word than to misuse an English word.

Lord Mahon is also a little too fond of uttering moral flections in a style too sententious and oracular. We will ve one instance: "Strange as it seems, experience shows at we usually feel far more animosity against those whom e have injured than against those who injure us: and is remark holds good with every degree of intellect, with ery class of fortune, with a prince or a peasant, a stripling an elder, a hero or a prince." This remark might have emed strange at the court of Nimrod or Chedorlaomer; t it has now been for many generations considered as a uism rather than a paradox. Every boy has written on e thesis "*Odisse quem læseris.*" Scarcely any lines in nglish Poetry are better known than that vigorous couplet,

> "Forgiveness to the injured does belong;
> But they ne'er pardon who have done the wrong."

e historians and philosophers have quite done with this axim, and have abandoned it, like other maxims which ve lost their gloss, to bad novelists, by whom it will very on be worn to rags.

It is no more than justice to say that the faults of Lord ahon's book are precisely the faults which time seldom ls to cure, and that the book, in spite of those faults, is valuable addition to our historical literature.

Whoever wishes to be well acquainted with the morbid anatomy of governments, whoever wishes to know how great states may be made feeble and wretched, should study the history of Spain. The empire of Philip the Second was undoubtedly one of the most powerful and splendid that ever existed in the world. In Europe, he ruled Spain, Portugal, the Netherlands on both sides of the Rhine, Franche Comté, Roussillon, the Milanese, and the Two Sicilies. Tuscany, Parma, and the other small states of Italy, were as completely dependent on him as the Nizam and the Rajah of Berar now are on the East India Company. In Asia, the King of Spain was master of the Philippines and of all those rich settlements which the Portuguese had made on the coasts of Malabar and Coromandel, in the Peninsula of Malacca, and in the Spice-islands of the Eastern Archipelago. In America, his dominions extended on each side of the equator into the temperate zone. There is reason to believe that his annual revenue amounted, in the season of his greatest power, to a sum near ten times as large as that which England yielded to Elizabeth. He had a standing army of fifty thousand excellent troops, at a time when England had not a single battalion in constant pay. His ordinary naval force consisted of a hundred and forty galleys. He held, what no other prince in modern times has held, the dominion both of the land and of the sea. During the greater part of his reign he was supreme on both elements. His soldiers marched up to the capital of France; his ships menaced the shores of England.

It is no exaggeration to say that, during several years his power over Europe was greater than even that of Napoleon. The influence of the French conqueror never extended beyond low-water mark. The narrowest strait was to his power what it was of old believed that a running stream was to the sorceries of a witch. While his army entered every metropolis from Moscow to Lisbon, the English fleets blockaded every port from Dantzic to Trieste. Sicily, Sardinia, Majorca, Guernsey, enjoyed security through the whole course of a war which endangered every throne on the Continent. The victorious and imperial nation which had filled its museums with the spoils of Antwerp, of Florence, and of Rome, was suffering painfully from the want of luxuries which use had made necessaries. While

lars and arches were rising to commemorate the French nquests, the conquerors were trying to manufacture ffee out of succory, and sugar out of beet-root. The luence of Philip on the Continent was as great as that Napoleon. The Emperor of Germany was his kinsman. ance, torn by religious dissensions, was never a formidable ponent, and was sometimes a dependent ally. At the ne time, Spain had what Napoleon desired in vain, ships, onies, and commerce. She long monopolised the trade America and of the Indian Ocean. All the gold of the st, and all the spices of the East, were received and dis- buted by her. During many years of war, her commerce s interrupted only by the predatory enterprises of a few ing privateers. Even after the defeat of the Armada, glish statesmen continued to look with great dread on the ritime power of Philip. "The King of Spain," said the rd Keeper to the two Houses in 1593, "since he hath rped upon the kingdom of Portugal, hath thereby grown ghty by gaining the East Indies: so as, how great soever was before, he is now thereby manifestly more great: . He keepeth a navy armed to impeach all trade of rchandise from England to Gascoigne and Guienne, ch he attempted to do this last vintage; so as he is become as a frontier enemy to all the west of England, well as all the south parts, as Sussex, Hampshire, and Isle of Wight. Yea, by means of his interest in St oes, a port full of shipping for the war, he is a dangerous ghbour to the Queen's isles of Jersey and Guernsey, ient possessions of this crown, and never conquered in greatest wars with France."

he ascendency which Spain then had in Europe was, in sense, well deserved. It was an ascendency which had n gained by unquestioned superiority in all the arts of cy and of war. In the sixteenth century, Italy was not e decidedly the land of the fine arts, Germany was not e decidedly the land of bold theological speculation, n Spain was the land of statesmen and of soldiers. The racter which Virgil has ascribed to his countrymen might e been claimed by the grave and haughty chiefs, who ounded the throne of Ferdinand the Catholic, and of immediate successors. That majestic art, "regere erio populos," was not better understood by the Romans

in the proudest days of their republic, than by Gonsal and Ximenes, Cortes and Alva. The skill of the Spani diplomatists was renowned throughout Europe. In Engla the name of Gondomar is still remembered. The soverei nation was unrivalled both in regular and irregular warfa The impetuous chivalry of France, the serried phalanx Switzerland, were alike found wanting when brought fa to face with the Spanish infantry. In the wars of the N World, where something different from ordinary strate was required in the general and something different fr ordinary discipline in the soldier, where it was every d necessary to meet by some new expedient the varying tact of a barbarous enemy, the Spanish adventurers, sprung fr the common people, displayed a fertility of resource, an talent for negotiation and command, to which history scarc affords a parallel.

The Castilian of those times was to the Italian what t Roman, in the days of the greatness of Rome, was to t Greek. The conqueror had less ingenuity, less taste, l delicacy of perception than the conquered: but far m pride, firmness, and courage, a more solemn demeano a stronger sense of honour. The subject had more subtl in speculation, the ruler more energy in action. The vi of the former were those of a coward; the vices of the lat were those of a tyrant. It may be added that the Spania like the Roman, did not disdain to study the arts and t language of those whom he oppressed. A revolution to place in the literature of Spain, not unlike that revolut which, as Horace tells us, took place in the poetry of Latiu "Capta ferum victorem cepit." The slave took prisoner t enslaver. The old Castilian ballads gave place to sonn in the style of Petrarch, and to heroic poems in the sta of Ariosto, as the national songs of Rome were driven out imitations of Theocritus, and translations from Menande

In no modern society, not even in England during t reign of Elizabeth, has there been so great a number of m eminent at once in literature and in the pursuits of act life, as Spain produced during the sixteenth century. Alm every distinguished writer was also distinguished as a sold or a politician. Boscan bore arms with high reputati Garcilaso de Vega, the author of the sweetest and m graceful pastoral poem of modern times, after a short l

lendid military career, fell sword in hand at the head of storming party. Alonzo de Ercillá bore a conspicuous part that war of Arauco, which he afterwards celebrated in e of the best heroic poems that Spain has produced. urtado de Mendoza, whose poems have been compared those of Horace, and whose charming little novel is idently the model of Gil Blas, has been handed down to by history as one of the sternest of those iron proconsuls ho were employed by the House of Austria to crush the gering public spirit of Italy. Lope sailed in the Armada; rvantes was wounded at Lepanto.

It is curious to consider with how much awe our ancestors those times regarded a Spaniard. He was, in their prehension, a kind of dæmon, horribly malevolent, but thal most sagacious and powerful. "They be verye rse and politicke," says an honest Englishman, in a emorial addressed to Mary, "and can, thorowe ther rsdome, reform and brydell theyr owne natures for a me, and applye their conditions to the maners of those en with whom they meddell gladlye by friendshippe; ose mischievous maners a man shall never knowe untyll come under ther subjection: but then shall he parfectlye rceyve and fele them: which thynge I praye God England ver do: for in dissimulations untyll they have ther purses, and afterwards in oppression and tyrannye, when ey can obtayne them, they do exceed all other nations on the earthe." This is just such language as Arminius uld have used about the Romans, or as an Indian statesn of our times might use about the English. It is the nguage of a man burning with hatred, but cowed by ose whom he hates; and painfully sensible of their periority, not only in power, but in intelligence.

But how art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son the morning! How art thou cut down to the ground, at didst weaken the nations! If we overleap a hundred ars, and look at Spain towards the close of the seventeenth ntury, what a change do we find! The contrast is as eat as that which the Rome of Gallienus and Honorius esents to the Rome of Marius and Cæsar. Foreign nquest had begun to eat into every part of that gigantic narchy on which the sun never set. Holland was gone, d Portugal, and Artois, and Roussillon, and Franche

Comté. In the East, the empire founded by the Dut
far surpassed in wealth and splendour that which the
old tyrants still retained. In the West, England had seize
and still held, settlements in the midst of the Mexican sea

The mere loss of territory was, however, of little momen
The reluctant obedience of distant provinces general
costs more than it is worth. Empires which branch o
widely are often more flourishing for a little timely prunin
Adrian acted judiciously when he abandoned the conques
of Trajan; and England was never so rich, so great,
formidable to foreign princes, so absolutely mistress
the sea, as since the loss of her American colonies. T
Spanish empire was still, in outward appearance, great a
magnificent. The European dominions subject to the la
feeble Prince of the House of Austria were far more e
tensive than those of Louis the Fourteenth. The America
dependencies of the Castilian crown still extended f
to the North of Cancer and far to the South of Capricor
But within this immense body there was an incurab
decay, an utter want of tone, an utter prostration of strengt
An ingenious and diligent population, eminently skill
in arts and manufactures, had been driven into exile
stupid and remorseless bigots. The glory of the Spani
pencil had departed with Velasquez and Murillo. T
splendid age of Spanish literature had closed with So
and Calderon. During the seventeenth century ma
states had formed great military establishments. B
the Spanish army, so formidable under the command
Alva and Farnese, had dwindled away to a few thousa
men, ill paid and ill disciplined. England, Holland, a
France had great navies. But the Spanish navy w
scarcely equal to the tenth part of that mighty force whic
in the time of Philip the Second, had been the terror
the Atlantic and the Mediterranean. The arsenals we
deserted. The magazines were unprovided. The front
fortresses were ungarrisoned. The police was utterly i
efficient for the protection of the people. Murders we
committed in the face of day with perfect impunity. Bravo
and discarded serving-men, with swords at their sid
swaggered every day through the most public streets a
squares of the capital, disturbing the public peace, a
setting at defiance the ministers of justice. The financ

ere in frightful disorder. The people paid much. The overnment received little. The American viceroys and e farmers of the revenue became rich, while the merchants oke, while the peasantry starved, while the body-servants the sovereign remained unpaid, while the soldiers of e royal guard repaired daily to the doors of convents, d battled there with the crowd of beggars for a porringer broth and a morsel of bread. Every remedy which as tried aggravated the disease. The currency was altered; d this frantic measure produced its never-failing effects. destroyed all credit, and increased the misery which was intended to relieve. The American gold, to use e words of Ortiz, was to the necessities of the state but a drop of water to the lips of a man raging with thirst. aps of unopened despatches accumulated in the offices, ile the Ministers were concerting with bedchamber- omen and Jesuits the means of tripping up each other. ery foreign power could plunder and insult with impunity e heir of Charles the Fifth. Into such a state had the ghty kindgom of Spain fallen, while one of its smallest pendencies, a country not so large as the province of tremadura or Andalusia, situated under an inclement sky, d preserved only by artificial means from the inroads of the ean, had become a power of the first class, and treated on ms of equality with the courts of London and Versailles. The manner in which Lord Mahon explains the financial uation of Spain by no means satisfies us. "It will be nd," says he, "that those individuals deriving their ef income from mines, whose yearly produce is un- tain and varying, and seems rather to spring from tune than to follow industry, are usually careless, un- ifty, and irregular in their expenditure. The example Spain might tempt us to apply the same remark to tes." Lord Mahon would find it difficult, we suspect, make out his analogy. Nothing could be more uncertain d varying than the gains and losses of those who were the habit of putting into the state lotteries. But no part the public income was more certain than that which s derived from the lotteries. We believe that this case very similar to that of the American mines. Some ns of ore exceeded expectation; some fell below it. ne of the private speculators drew blanks, and others

gained prizes. But the revenue of the state depended not on any particular vein, but on the whole annual produc of two great continents. This annual produce seems t have been almost constantly on the increase during th seventeenth century. The Mexican mines were, throug the reigns of Philip the Fourth and Charles the Second in a steady course of improvement; and in South America though the district of Potosi was not so productive a formerly, other places more than made up for the deficiency We very much doubt whether Lord Mahon can prov that the income which the Spanish government derive from the mines of America fluctuated more than the incom derived from the internal taxes of Spain itself.

All the causes of the decay of Spain resolve themselve into one cause, bad government. The valour, the intell gence, the energy which, at the close of the fifteenth an the beginning of the sixteenth century, had made th Spaniards the first nation in the world, were the fruit o the old institutions of Castile and Arragon, institution eminently favourable to public liberty. These institution the first Princes of the House of Austria attacked an almost wholly destroyed. Their successors expiated th crime. The effects of a change from good governmen to bad government is not fully felt for some time afte the change has taken place. The talents and the virtue which a good constitution generates may for a time surviv that constitution. Thus the reigns of princes who hav established absolute monarchy on the ruins of popula forms of government often shine in history with a peculia brilliancy. But when a generation or two has passed away then comes signally to pass that which was written b Montesquieu, that despotic governments resemble thos savages who cut down the tree in order to get at the frui During the first years of tyranny, is reaped the harves sown during the last years of liberty. Thus the Augusta age was rich in great minds formed in the generation o Cicero and Cæsar. The fruits of the policy of Augustu were reserved for posterity. Philip the Second was th heir of the Cortes and of the Justiza Mayor; and the left him a nation which seemed able to conquer all th world. What Philip left to his successors is well known.

The shock which the great religious schism of the sixteent

ntury gave to Europe, was scarcely felt in Spain. In
ngland, Germany, Holland, France, Denmark, Switzerland,
veden, that shock had produced, with some temporary
il, much durable good. The principles of the Reforma-
on had triumphed in some of those countries. The
atholic Church had maintained its ascendency in others.
ut though the event had not been the same in all, all
d been agitated by the conflict. Even in France, in
outhern Germany, and in the Catholic cantons of Switzer-
nd, the public mind had been stirred to its inmost depths.
ne hold of ancient prejudice had been somewhat loosened.
he Church of Rome, warned by the danger which she had
rrowly escaped, had, in those parts of her dominion,
sumed a milder and more liberal character. She some-
nes condescended to submit her high pretensions to the
rutiny of reason, and availed herself more sparingly
an in former times of the aid of the secular arm. Even
hen prosecution was employed, it was not persecution
the worst and most frightful shape. The severities
Louis the Fourteenth, odious as they were, cannot be
mpared with those which, at the first dawn of the Re-
rmation, had been inflicted on the heretics in many parts
Europe.

The only effect which the Reformation had produced
Spain had been to make the Inquisition more vigilant
d the commonalty more bigoted. The times of refresh-
g came to all neighbouring countries. One people alone
nained, like the fleece of the Hebrew warrior, dry in the
dst of that benignant and fertilising dew. While other
tions were putting away childish things, the Spaniard
ll thought as a child and understood as a child. Among
e men of the seventeenth century, he was the man of the
eenth century or of a still darker period, delighted to
hold an *Auto da fe*, and ready to volunteer on a Crusade.
The evils produced by a bad government and a bad
igion, seemed to have attained their greatest height
ring the last years of the seventeenth century. While
e kingdom was in this deplorable state, the King, Charles,
ond of the name, was hastening to an early grave. His
ys had been few and evil. He had been unfortunate
all his wars, in every part of his internal administration,
l in all his domestic relations. His first wife, whom

he tenderly loved, died very young. His second wif exercised great influence over him, but seems to hav been regarded by him rather with fear than with lov He was childless; and his constitution was so completel shattered that, at little more than thirty years of age, h had given up all hopes of posterity. His mind was eve more distempered than his body. He was sometime sunk in listless melancholy, and sometimes harassed b the wildest and most extravagant fancies. He was no however, wholly destitute of the feelings which becam his station. His sufferings were aggravated by the thoug that his own dissolution might not improbably be followe by the dissolution of his empire.

Several princes laid claim to the succession. The King eldest sister had married Louis the Fourteenth. Th Dauphin would therefore, in the common course of inheri ance, have succeeded to the crown. But the Infant had, at the time of her espousals, solemnly renounced, i her own name, and in that of her posterity, all claim t the succession. This renunciation had been confirmed i due form by the Cortes. A younger sister of the Kin had been the first wife of Leopold, Emperor of German She too had at her marriage renounced her claims to th Spanish crown; but the Cortes had not sanctioned the r nunciation, and it was therefore considered as invalid b the Spanish jurists. The fruit of this marriage was daughter, who had espoused the Elector of Bavaria. Th Electoral Prince of Bavaria inherited her claim to th throne of Spain. The Emperor Leopold was son of daughter of Philip the Third, and was therefore first cous to Charles. No renunciation whatever had been exacte from his mother at the time of her marriage.

The question was certainly very complicated. Tha claim which, according to the ordinary rules of inheritanc was the strongest, had been barred by a contract execute in the most binding form. The claim of the Elector Prince of Bavaria was weaker. But so also was th contract which bound him not to prosecute his clai The only party against whom no instrument of renunci tion could be produced was the party who, in respect blood, had the weakest claim of all.

As it was clear that great alarm would be excited throug

t Europe if either the Emperor or the Dauphin should come King of Spain, each of these Princes offered to ive his pretensions in favour of his second son; the mperor, in favour of the Archduke Charles, the Dauphin, favour of Philip Duke of Anjou.

Soon after the peace of Ryswick, William the Third and uis the Fourteenth determined to settle the question the succession without consulting either Charles or Emperor. France, England, and Holland, became rties to a treaty by which it was stipulated that the ctoral Prince of Bavaria should succeed to Spain, the lies, and the Netherlands. The imperial family were be bought off with the Milanese; and the Dauphin s to have the Two Sicilies.

The great object of the King of Spain and of all his nsellors was to avert the dismemberment of the monhy. In the hope of attaining this end, Charles deterned to name a successor. A will was accordingly framed which the crown was bequeathed to the Bavarian Prince. happily, this will had scarcely been signed when the nce died. The question was again unsettled, and pre-ted greater difficulties than before.

A new Treaty of Partition was concluded between France, gland, and Holland. It was agreed that Spain, the ies, and the Netherlands, should descend to the Arch-e Charles. In return for this great concession made the Bourbons to a rival house, it was agreed that France uld have the Milanese, or an equivalent in a more mmodious situation. The equivalent in view was the vince of Lorraine.

rbuthnot, some years later, ridiculed the Partition aty with exquisite humour and ingenuity. Every body st remember his description of the paroxysm of rage which poor old Lord Strutt fell, on hearing that his away servant Nick Frog, his clothier John Bull, and old enemy Lewis Baboon, had come with quadrants, es, and inkhorns, to survey his estate, and to draw his for him. Lord Mahon speaks of the arrangement with re severity. He calls it, "an iniquitous compact, con-ed without the slightest reference to the welfare of states so readily parcelled and allotted; insulting to pride of Spain, and tending to strip that country of

its hard-won conquests." The most serious part of this charge would apply to half the treaties which have been concluded in Europe quite as strongly as to the Partition Treaty. What regard was shown in the treaty of the Pyrenees to the welfare of the people of Dunkirk and Roussillon, in the treaty of Nimeguen to the welfare of the people of Franche Comté, in the treaty of Utrecht to the welfare of the people of Flanders, in the treaty of 1735 to the welfare of the people of Tuscany? All Europe remembers, and our latest posterity will, we fear, have reason to remember how coolly, at the last great pacification of Christendom, the people of Poland, of Norway, of Belgium, and of Lombardy, were allotted to masters whom they abhorred. The statesmen who negotiated the Partition Treaty were not so far beyond their age and ours in wisdom and virtue as to trouble themselves much about the happiness of the people whom they were apportioning among foreign rulers. But it will be difficult to prove that the stipulations which Lord Mahon condemns were in any respect unfavourable to the happiness of those who were to be transferred to new sovereigns. The Neapolitans would certainly have lost nothing by being given to the Dauphin, or to the Great Turk. Addison, who visited Naples about the time at which the Partition Treaty was signed, has left us a frightful description of the misgovernment under which that part of the Spanish Empire groaned. As to the people of Lorraine, an union with France would have been the happiest event which could have befallen them. Louis was already their sovereign for all purposes of cruelty and exaction. He had kept their country during many years in his own hands. At the peace of Ryswick, indeed, their Duke had been allowed to return. But the conditions which had been imposed on him made him a mere vassal of France.

We cannot admit that the Treaty of Partition was objectionable because it "tended to strip Spain of hard-won conquests." The inheritance was so vast, and the claimants so mighty that without some dismemberment it was scarcely possible to make a peaceable arrangement. If any dismemberment was to take place, the best way of effecting it surely was to separate from the monarchy those provinces which were at a great distance from Spain, which were

not Spanish in manners, in language, or in feelings, which were both worse governed and less valuable than the old kingdoms of Castile and Arragon, and which, having always been governed by foreigners, would not be likely to feel acutely the humiliation of being turned over from one master to another.

That England and Holland had a right to interfere is plain. The question of the Spanish succession was not an internal question, but an European question. And this Lord Mahon admits. He thinks that, when the evil had been done, and a French Prince was reigning at the Escurial, England and Holland were justified in attempting, not merely to strip Spain of its remote dependencies, but to conquer Spain itself; that they were justified in attempting to put, not merely the passive Flemings and Italians, but the reluctant Castilians and Asturians, under the dominion of a stranger. The danger against which the Partition Treaty was intended to guard was precisely the same danger which afterwards was made the ground of war. It will be difficult to prove that a danger which was sufficient to justify the war was insufficient to justify the provisions of the treaty. If, as Lord Mahon contends, it was better that Spain should be subjugated by main force than that she should be governed by a Bourbon, it was surely better that she should be deprived of Sicily and the Milanese than that she should be governed by a Bourbon.

Whether the treaty was judiciously framed is quite another question. We disapprove of the stipulations. But we disapprove of them, not because we think them bad, but because we think that there was no chance of their being executed. Louis was the most faithless of politicians. He hated the Dutch. He hated the Government which the Revolution had established in England. He had every disposition to quarrel with his new allies. It was quite certain that he would not observe his engagements, if it should be for his interest to violate them. Even if it should be for his interest to observe them, it might well be doubted whether the strongest and clearest interest would induce a man so haughty and self-willed to co-operate heartily with two governments which had always been the objects of his scorn and aversion.

When intelligence of the second Partition Treaty arrived

at Madrid, it roused to momentary energy the languishing ruler of a languishing state. The Spanish ambassador at the court of London was directed to remonstrate with the government of William; and his remonstrances were so insolent that he was commanded to leave England. Charles retaliated by dismissing the English and Dutch ambassadors. The French King, though the chief author of the Partition Treaty, succeeded in turning the whole wrath of Charles and of the Spanish people from himself, and in directing it against the two maritime powers. Those powers had now no agent at Madrid. Their perfidious ally was at liberty to carry on his intrigues unchecked; and he fully availed himself of this advantage.

A long contest was maintained with varying success by the factions which surrounded the miserable King. On the side of the Imperial family was the Queen, herself a Princess of that family. With her were allied the confessor of the King, and most of the ministers. On the other side were two of the most dexterous politicians of that age, Cardinal Porto Carrero, Archbishop of Toledo, and Harcourt the ambassador of Louis.

Harcourt was a noble specimen of the French aristocracy in the days of its highest splendour, a finished gentleman, a brave soldier, and a skilful diplomatist. His courteous and insinuating manners, his Parisian vivacity tempered with Castilian gravity, made him the favourite of the whole court. He became intimate with the grandees. He caressed the clergy. He dazzled the multitude by his magnificent style of living. The prejudices which the people of Madrid had conceived against the French character, the vindictive feelings generated during centuries of national rivalry, gradually yielded to his arts; while the Austrian ambassador, a surly, pompous, niggardly German, made himself and his country more and more unpopular every day.

Harcourt won over the court and the city; Porto Carrero managed the King. Never were knave and dupe better suited to each other. Charles was sick, nervous, and extravagantly superstitious. Porto Carrero had learned in the exercise of his profession the art of exciting and soothing such minds; and he employed that art with the calm and demure cruelty which is the characteristic of wicked and ambitious priests.

He first supplanted the confessor. The state of the poor ing, during the conflict between his two spiritual advisers, as horrible. At one time he was induced to believe that is malady was the same with that of the wretches de- ribed in the New Testament, who dwelt among the tombs, hom no chains could bind, and whom no man dared to pproach. At another time a sorceress who lived in the ountains of the Asturias was consulted about his malady. everal persons were accused of having bewitched him. orto Carrero recommended the appalling rite of exorcism, hich was actually performed. The ceremony made the poor ing more nervous and miserable than ever. But it served e turn of the Cardinal, who, after much secret trickery, cceeded in casting out, not the devil, but the confessor.

The next object was to get rid of the ministers. Madrid as supplied with provisions by a monopoly. The govern- ent looked after this most delicate concern as it looked ter everything else. The partisans of the House of ourbon took advantage of the negligence of the administra- on. On a sudden the supply of food failed. Exorbitant ices were demanded. The people rose. The royal sidence was surrounded by an immense multitude. The ueen harangued them. The priests exhibited the host. l was in vain. It was necessary to awaken the King om his uneasy sleep, and to carry him to the balcony. here a solemn promise was given that the unpopular advisers the crown should be forthwith dismissed. The mob ft the palace and proceeded to pull down the houses the ministers. The adherents of the Austrian line were us driven from power, and the government was intrusted the creatures of Porto Carrero. The King left the city which he had suffered so cruel an insult for the magni- ent retreat of the Escurial. Here his hypochondriac ncy took a new turn. Like his ancestor Charles the fth, he was haunted by a strange curiosity to pry into e secrets of that grave to which he was hastening. In e cemetery which Philip the Second had formed beneath e pavement of the church of St Lawrence, reposed three nerations of Castilian princes. Into these dark vaults e unhappy monarch descended by torch-light, and pene- ted to that superb and gloomy chamber where, round e great black crucifix, were ranged the coffins of the kings

and queens of Spain. There he commanded his attendants to open the massy chests of bronze in which the relics of his predecessors decayed. He looked on the ghastly spectacle with little emotion till the coffin of his first wife was unclosed, and she appeared before him—such was the skill of the embalmer—in all her well-remembered beauty. He cast one glance on those beloved features, unseen for eighteen years, those features over which corruption seemed to have no power, and rushed from the vault, exclaiming "She is with God; and I shall soon be with her." The awful sight completed the ruin of his body and mind. The Escurial became hateful to him; and he hastened to Aranjuez. But the shades and waters of that delicious island-garden, so fondly celebrated in the sparkling verse of Calderon, brought no solace to their unfortunate master. Having tried medicine, exercise, and amusement in vain, he returned to Madrid to die.

He was now beset on every side by the bold and skilful agents of the House of Bourbon. The leading politicians of his court assured him that Louis, and Louis alone, was sufficiently powerful to preserve the Spanish monarchy undivided, and that Austria would be utterly unable to prevent the Treaty of Partition from being carried into effect. Some celebrated lawyers gave it as their opinion that the act of renunciation executed by the late Queen of France ought be to construed according to the spirit, and not according to the letter. The letter undoubtedly excluded the French Princes. The spirit was merely this, that ample security should be taken against the union of the French and Spanish crowns on one head.

In all probability, neither political nor legal reasonings would have sufficed to overcome the partiality which Charles felt for the House of Austria. There had always been a close connection between the two great royal lines which sprang from the marriage of Philip and Juana. Both had always regarded the French as their natural enemies. It was necessary to have recourse to religious terrors; and Porto Carrero employed those terrors with true professional skill. The King's life was drawing to a close. Would the most Catholic prince commit a great sin on the brink of the grave? And what could be a greater sin than, from an unreasonable attachment to a family name, from an

nchristian antipathy to a rival house, to set aside the right-
ul heir of an immense monarchy? The tender conscience
nd the feeble intellect of Charles were strongly wrought
pon by these appeals. At length Porto Carrero ventured
n a master-stroke. He advised Charles to apply for counsel
o the Pope. The King, who, in the simplicity of his heart,
onsidered the successor of St Peter as an infallible guide
n spiritual matters, adopted the suggestion; and Porto
arrero, who knew that his Holiness was a mere tool of
rance, awaited with perfect confidence the result of the
ppli ation. In the answer which arrived from Rome, the
ing was solemnly reminded of the great account which he
as soon to render, and cautioned against the flagrant in-
ustice which he was tempted to commit. He was assured
hat the right was with the House of Bourbon, and reminded
hat his own salvation ought to be dearer to him than the
louse of Austria. Yet he still continued irresolute. His
ttachment to his family, his aversion to France, were not
o be overcome even by Papal authority. At length he
hought himself actually dying. Then the Cardinal re-
oubled his efforts. Divine after divine, well tutored for
he occasion, was brought to the bed of the trembling
enitent. He was dying in the commission of known sin.
e was defrauding his relatives. He was bequeathing
vil war to his people. He yielded, and signed that memo-
ible Testament, the cause of many calamities to Europe.
s he affixed his name to the instrument, he burst into
ears. "God," he said, "gives kingdoms and takes them
way. I am already one of the dead."

The will was kept secret during the short remainder of
s life. On the third of November 1700 he expired. All
adrid crowded to the palace. The gates were thronged.
he antechamber was filled with ambassadors and grandees,
ger to learn what dispositions the deceased sovereign had
ade. At length the folding doors were flung open. The
uke of Abrantes came forth, and announced that the whole
panish monarchy was bequeathed to Philip Duke of Anjou.
harles had directed that, during the interval which might
apse between his death and the arrival of his successor,
e government should be administered by a council, of
hich Porto Carrero was the chief member.

Louis acted, as the English ministers might have guessed

that he would act. With scarcely the show of hesitation, he broke through all the obligations of the Partition Treaty, and accepted for his grandson the splendid legacy of Charles. The new sovereign hastened to take possession of his dominions. The whole court of France accompanied him to Sceaux. His brothers escorted him to that frontier which, as they weakly imagined, was to be a frontier no longer. "The Pyrenees," said Louis, "have ceased to exist." Those very Pyrenees, a few years later, were the theatre of a war between the heir of Louis and the prince whom France was now sending to govern Spain.

If Charles had ransacked Europe to find a successor whose moral and intellectual character resembled his own, he could not have chosen better. Philip was not so sickly as his predecessor, but he was quite as weak, as indolent, and as superstitious; he very soon became quite as hypochondriacal and eccentric; and he was even more uxorious. He was indeed a husband of ten thousand. His first object, when he became King of Spain, was to procure a wife. From the day of his marriage to the day of her death, his first object was to have her near him, and to do what she wished. As soon as his wife died, his first object was to procure another. Another was found, as unlike the former as possible. But she was a wife; and Philip was content. Neither by day nor by night, neither in sickness nor in health, neither in time of business nor in time of relaxation, did he ever suffer her to be absent from him for half an hour. His mind was naturally feeble; and he had received an enfeebling education. He had been brought up amidst the dull magnificence of Versailles. His grandfather was as imperious and as ostentatious in his intercourse with the royal family as in public acts. All those who grew up immediately under the eye of Louis had the manners of persons who had never known what it was to be at ease. They were all taciturn, shy, and awkward. In all of them except the Duke of Burgundy, the evil went further than the manners. The Dauphin, the Duke of Berri, Philip of Anjou, were men of insignificant characters. They had no energy, no force of will. They had been so little accustomed to judge or to act for themselves that implicit dependence had become necessary to their comfort. The new King of Spain, emancipated from control, resembled that wretched

German captive who, when the irons which he had worn or years were knocked off, fell prostrate on the floor of his prison. The restraints which had enfeebled the mind of he young Prince were required to support it. Till he had wife he could do nothing; and when he had a wife he did whatever she chose.

While this lounging, moping boy was on his way to Madrid, his grandfather was all activity. Louis had no reason to fear a contest with the Empire single-handed. He made vigorous preparations to encounter Leopold. He overawed the States-General by means of a great army. He attempted to soothe the English government by fair professions. William was not deceived. He fully returned he hatred of Louis; and if he had been free to act according to his own inclinations, he would have declared war as soon as the contents of the will were known. But e was bound by constitutional restraints. Both his person nd his measures were unpopular in England. His secluded fe and his cold manners disgusted a people accustomed to he graceful affability of Charles the Second. His foreign ccent and his foreign attachments were offensive to the ational prejudices. His reign had been a season of distress, ollowing a season of rapidly increasing prosperity. The urdens of the late war and the expense of restoring the urrency had been severely felt. Nine clergymen out of en were Jacobites at heart, and had sworn allegiance to he new dynasty, only in order to save their benefices. large proportion of the country gentlemen belonged to he same party. The whole body of agricultural proprietors as hostile to that interest which the creation of the national ebt had brought into notice, and which was believed to e peculiarly favoured by the Court, the monied interest. he middle classes were fully determined to keep out James nd his family. But they regarded William only as the less f two evils; and, as long as there was no imminent danger f a counter-revolution, were disposed to thwart and mortify he sovereign by whom they were, nevertheless, ready to and, in case of necessity, with their lives and fortunes. hey were sullen and dissatisfied. "There was," as Somers xpressed it in a remarkable letter to William, "a deadness nd want of spirit in the nation universally."

Every thing in England was going on as Louis could

have wished. The leaders of the Whig party had retired from power, and were extremely unpopular on account of the unfortunate issue of the Partition Treaty. The Tories, some of whom still cast a lingering look toward St Germains, were in office, and had a decided majority in the House of Commons. William was so much embarrassed by the state of parties in England that he could not venture to make war on the House of Bourbon. He was suffering under a complication of severe and incurable diseases. There was every reason to believe that a few months would dissolve the fragile tie which bound up that feeble body with that ardent and unconquerable soul. If Louis could succeed in preserving peace for a short time, it was probable that all his vast designs would be securely accomplished. Just at this crisis, the most important crisis of his life, his pride and his passions hurried him into an error, which undid all that forty years of victory and intrigue had done, which produced the dismemberment of the kindgom of his grandson, and brought invasion, bankruptcy, and famine on his own.

James the Second died at St Germains. Louis paid him a farewell visit, and was so much moved by the solemn parting, and by the grief of the exiled queen, that, losing sight of all considerations of policy, and actuated, as it should seem, merely by compassion and by a not ungenerous vanity, he acknowledged the Prince of Wales as King of England.

The indignation which the Castilians had felt when they heard that three foreign powers had undertaken to regulate the Spanish succession was nothing to the rage with which the English learned that their good neighbour had taken the trouble to provide them with a king. Whigs and Tories joined in condemning the proceedings of the French Court. The cry for war was raised by the city of London, and echoed and re-echoed from every corner of the realm. William saw that his time was come. Though his wasted and suffering body could hardly move without support, his spirit was as energetic and resolute as when, at twenty-three, he bade defiance to the combined forces of England and France. He left the Hague, where he had been engaged in negotiating with the States and the Emperor a defensive treaty against the ambitious designs of the Bourbons. He flew

o London. He remodelled the ministry. He dissolved he Parliament. The majority of the new House of Commons vas with the King; and the most vigorous preparations vere made for war.

Before the commencement of active hostilities William vas no more. But the Grand Alliance of the European Princes against the Bourbons was already constructed. "The master workman died," says Mr Burke; "but the vork was formed on true mechanical principles, and it was as ruly wrought." On the fifteenth of May, 1702, war was proclaimed by concert at Vienna, at London, and at the Hague.

Thus commenced that great struggle by which Europe, rom the Vistula to the Atlantic Ocean, was agitated during welve years. The two hostile coalitions were, in respect of territory, wealth, and population, not unequally matched. On the one side were France, Spain, and Bavaria; on the other, England, Holland, the Empire, and a crowd of inferior Powers.

That part of the war which Lord Mahon has undertaken o relate, though not the least important, is certainly the east attractive. In Italy, in Germany, and in the Netherands, great means were at the disposal of great generals. Mighty battles were fought. Fortress after fortress was ubdued. The iron chain of the Belgian strongholds was roken. By a regular and connected series of operations xtending through several years, the French were driven ack from the Danube and the Po into their own provinces. The war in Spain, on the contrary, is made up of events vhich seem to have no dependence on each other. The urns of fortune resemble those which take place in a dream. Victory and defeat are not followed by their usual consequences. Armies spring out of nothing, and melt into nothing. Yet, to judicious readers of history, the Spanish conflict is perhaps more interesting than the campaigns of Marlborough and Eugene. The fate of the Milanese and of the Low Countries was decided by military skill. The fate of Spain was decided by the peculiarities of the national character.

When the war commenced, the young King was in a most deplorable situation. On his arrival at Madrid he found Porto Carrero at the head of affairs, and he did not think it to displace the man to whom he owed his crown. The

Cardinal was a mere intriguer, and in no sense a statesman. He had acquired, in the Court and in the Confessional, a rare degree of skill in all the tricks by which weak minds are managed. But of the noble science of government, of the sources of national prosperity, of the causes of national decay, he knew no more than his master. It is curious to observe the contrast between the dexterity with which he ruled the conscience of a foolish valetudinarian, and the imbecility which he showed when placed at the head of an empire. On what grounds Lord Mahon represents the Cardinal as a man "of splendid genius," "of vast abilities," we are unable to discover. Louis was of a very different opinion, and Louis was very seldom mistaken in his judgment of character. "Every body," says he, in a letter to his ambassador, "knows how incapable the Cardinal is. He is an object of contempt to his countrymen."

A few miserable savings were made, which ruined individuals without producing any perceptible benefit to the state. The police became more and more inefficient. The disorders of the capital were increased by the arrival of French adventurers, the refuse of Parisian brothels and gaming-houses. These wretches considered the Spaniards as a subjugated race whom the countrymen of the new sovereign might cheat and insult with impunity. The King sate eating and drinking all night, lay in bed all day, yawned at the council table, and suffered the most important papers to lie unopened for weeks. At length he was roused by the only excitement of which his sluggish nature was susceptible. His grandfather consented to let him have a wife. The choice was fortunate. Maria Louisa, Princess of Savoy, a beautiful and graceful girl of thirteen, already a woman in person and mind, at an age when the females of colder climates are still children, was the person selected. The King resolved to give her the meeting in Catalonia. He left his capital, of which he was already thoroughly tired. At setting out he was mobbed by a gang of beggars. He, however, made his way through them, and repaired to Barcelona.

Louis was perfectly aware that the Queen would govern Philip. He accordingly looked about for somebody to govern the Queen. He selected the Princess Orsini to be first lady of the bedchamber, no insignificant post in the

household of a very young wife, and a very uxorious husband. The princess was the daughter of a French peer, and the widow of a Spanish grandee. She was, therefore, admirably fitted by her position to be the instrument of the Court of Versailles at the Court of Madrid. The Duke of Orleans called her, in words too coarse for translation, the Lieutenant of Captain Maintenon; and the appellation was well deserved. She aspired to play in Spain the part which Madame de Maintenon had played in France. But, though at least equal to her model in wit, information, and talents for intrigue, she had not that self-command, that patience, that imperturbable evenness of temper, which had raised the widow of a buffoon to be the consort of the proudest of kings. The Princess was more than fifty years old, but was still vain of her fine eyes, and her fine shape; she still dressed in the style of a girl; and she still carried her flirtations so far as to give occasion for scandal. She was, however, polite, eloquent, and not deficient in strength of mind. The bitter Saint Simon owns that no person whom she wished to attach could long resist the graces of her manners and of her conversation.

We have not time to relate how she obtained, and how she preserved her empire over the young couple in whose household she was placed, how she became so powerful, that neither minister of Spain nor ambassador from France could stand against her, how Louis himself was compelled to court her, how she received orders from Versailles to retire, how the Queen took part with her favourite attendant, how the King took part with the Queen, and how, after much squabbling, lying, shuffling, bullying, and coaxing, the dispute was adjusted. We turn to the events of the war.

When hostilities were proclaimed at London, Vienna, and the Hague, Philip was at Naples. He had been with great difficulty prevailed upon, by the most urgent representations from Versailles, to separate himself from his wife, and to repair without her to his Italian dominions, which were then menaced by the Emperor. The Queen acted as Regent, and, child as she was, seems to have been quite as competent to govern the kingdom as her husband or any of his ministers.

In August, 1702, an armament, under the command of the Duke of Ormond, appeared off Cadiz. The Spanish

authorities had no funds and no regular troops. The national spirit, however, supplied in some degree what was wanting. The nobles and farmers advanced money. The peasantry were formed into what the Spanish writers call bands of heroic patriots, and what General Stanhope calls a "rascally foot militia." If the invaders had acted with vigour and judgment, Cadiz would probably have fallen. But the chiefs of the expedition were divided by national and professional feelings, Dutch against English, and land against sea. Sparre, the Dutch general, was sulky and perverse; Bellasys, the English general, embezzled the stores. Lord Mahon imputes the ill-temper of Sparre to the influence of the republican institutions of Holland. By parity of reason, we suppose that he would impute the peculations of Bellasys to the influence of the monarchical and aristocratical institutions of England. The Duke of Ormond, who had the command of the whole expedition, proved on this occasion, as on every other, destitute of the qualities which great emergencies require. No discipline was kept; the soldiers were suffered to rob and insult those whom it was most desirable to conciliate. Churches were robbed; images were pulled down; nuns were violated. The officers shared the spoil instead of punishing the spoilers; and at last the armament loaded, to use the words of Stanhope, "with a great deal of plunder and infamy," quitted the scene of Essex's glory, leaving the only Spaniard of note who had declared for them to be hanged by his countrymen.

The fleet was off the coast of Portugal, on the way back to England, when the Duke of Ormond received intelligence that the treasure-ships from America had just arrived in Europe, and had, in order to avoid his armament, repaired to the harbour of Vigo. The cargo consisted, it was said, of more than three millions sterling in gold and silver, besides much valuable merchandise. The prospect of plunder reconciled all disputes. Dutch and English, admirals and generals, were equally eager for action. The Spaniards might with the greatest ease have secured the treasure by simply landing it; but it was a fundamental law of Spanish trade that the galleons should unload at Cadiz, and at Cadiz only. The Chamber of Commerce at Cadiz, in the true spirit of monopoly, refused, even at this conjuncture, to bate one jot of its privilege. The matter was referred to

the Council of the Indies. That body deliberated and hesitated just a day too long. Some feeble preparations for defence were made. Two towers at the mouth of the bay of Vigo were garrisoned by a few ill-armed and untrained rustics; a boom was thrown across the entrance of the basin; and a few French ships of war, which had convoyed the galleons from America, were moored within. But all was to no purpose. The English ships broke the boom; Ormond and his soldiers scaled the forts; the French burned their ships, and escaped to the shore. The conquerors shared some millions of dollars; some millions more were sunk. When all the galleons had been captured or destroyed came an order in due form allowing them to unload.

When Philip returned to Madrid in the beginning of 1703, he found the finances more embarrassed, the people more discontented, and the hostile coalition more formidable than ever. The loss of the galleons had occasioned a great deficiency in the revenue. The Admiral of Castile, one of the greatest subjects in Europe, had fled to Lisbon and sworn allegiance to the Archduke. The King of Portugal soon after acknowledged Charles as King of Spain, and prepared to support the title of the House of Austria by arms.

On the other side, Louis sent to the assistance of his grandson an army of 12,000 men, commanded by the Duke of Berwick. Berwick was the son of James the Second and Arabella Churchill. He had been brought up to expect the highest honours which an English subject could enjoy; but the whole course of his life was changed by the revolution which overthrew his infatuated father. Berwick became an exile, a man without a country; and from that time forward his camp was to him in the place of a country, and professional honour was his patriotism. He ennobled his wretched calling. There was a stern, cold, Brutus-like virtue in the manner in which he discharged the duties of a soldier of fortune. His military fidelity was tried by the strongest temptations, and was found invincible. At one time he fought against his uncle; at another time he fought against the cause of his brother; yet he was never suspected of treachery, or even of slackness.

Early in 1704 an army, composed of English, Dutch, and Portuguese, was assembled on the western frontier of

Spain. The Archduke Charles had arrived at Lisbon, and appeared in person at the head of his troops. The military skill of Berwick held the Allies, who were commanded by Lord Galway, in check through the whole campaign. On the south, however, a great blow was struck. An English fleet, under Sir George Rooke, having on board several regiments commanded by the Prince of Hesse Darmstadt, appeared before the rock of Gibraltar. That celebrated stronghold which nature has made all but impregnable, and against which all the resources of the military art have been employed in vain, was taken as easily as if it had been an open village in a plain. The garrison went to say their prayers instead of standing on their guard. A few English sailors climbed the rock. The Spaniards capitulated; and the British flag was placed on those ramparts from which the combined armies and navies of France and Spain have never been able to pull it down. Rooke proceeded to Malaga, gave battle in the neighbourhood of that port to a French squadron, and after a doubtful action returned to England.

But greater events were at hand. The English government had determined to send an expedition to Spain, under the command of Charles Mordaunt Earl of Peterborough. This man was, if not the greatest, yet assuredly the most extraordinary character of that age, the King of Sweden himself not excepted. Indeed, Peterborough may be described as a polite, learned, and amorous Charles the Twelth. His courage had all the French impetuosity, and all the English steadiness. His fertility and activity of mind were almost beyond belief. They appeared in every thing that he did, in his campaigns, in his negotiations, in his familiar correspondence, in his lightest and most unstudied conversation. He was a kind friend, a generous enemy, and in deportment a thorough gentleman. But his splendid talents and virtues were rendered almost useless to his country, by his levity, his restlessness, his irritability, his morbid craving for novelty and for excitement. His weaknesses had not only brought him, on more than one occasion, into serious trouble, but had impelled him to some actions altogether unworthy of his humane and noble nature. Repose was insupportable to him. He loved to fly round Europe faster than a travelling courier. He

was at the Hague one week, at Vienna the next. Then he took a fancy to see Madrid; and he had scarcely reached Madrid, when he ordered horses and set off for Copenhagen. No attendants could keep up with his speed. No bodily infirmities could confine him. Old age, disease, imminent death, produced scarcely any effect on his intrepid spirit. Just before he underwent the most horrible of surgical operations, his conversation was as sprightly as that of a young man in the full vigour of health. On the day after the operation, in spite of the entreaties of his medical advisers, he would set out on a journey. His figure was that of a skeleton. But his elastic mind supported him under fatigues and sufferings which seemed sufficient to bring the most robust man to the grave. Change of employment was as necessary to him as change of place. He loved to dictate six or seven letters at once. Those who had to transact business with him complained that though he talked with great ability on every subject, he could never be kept to the point. "Lord Peterborough," said Pope, "would say very pretty and lively things in his letters, but they would be rather too gay and wandering; whereas, were Lord Bolingbroke to write to an emperor, or to a statesman, he would fix on that point which was the most material, would set it in the strongest and finest light, and manage it so as to make it the most serviceable to his purpose." What Peterborough was to Bolingbroke as a writer, he was to Marlborough as a general. He was, in truth, the last of the knights-errant, brave to temerity, liberal to profusion, courteous in his dealings with enemies, the protector of the oppressed, the adorer of women. His virtues and vices were those of the Round Table. Indeed, his character can hardly be better summed up, than in the lines in which the author of that clever little poem, *Monks and Giants*, has described Sir Tristram.

> "His birth, it seems, by Merlin's calculation,
> Was under Venus, Mercury, and Mars;
> His mind with all their attributes was mixed,
> And, like those planets, wandering and unfixed.
>
> "From realm to realm he ran, and never staid:
> Kingdoms and crowns he won, and gave away:
> It seemed as if his labours were repaid
> By the mere noise and movement of the fray:

No conquests nor acquirements had he made;
His chief delight was, on some festive day
To ride triumphant, prodigal, and proud,
And shower his wealth amidst the shouting crowd.

"His schemes of war were sudden, unforeseen,
Inexplicable both to friend and foe;
It seemed as if some momentary spleen
Inspired the project, and impelled the blow;
And most his fortune and success were seen
With means the most inadequate and low;
Most master of himself, and least encumbered,
When overmatched, entangled, and outnumbered."

In June, 1705, this remarkable man arrived in Lisbon with five thousand Dutch and English soldiers. There the Archduke embarked with a large train of attendants, whom Peterborough entertained magnificently during the voyage at his own expense. From Lisbon the armament proceeded to Gibraltar, and, having taken the Prince of Hesse Darmstadt on board, steered towards the north-east along the coast of Spain.

The first place at which the expedition touched, after leaving Gibraltar, was Altea in Valencia. The wretched misgovernment of Philip had excited great discontent throughout this province. The invaders were eagerly welcomed. The peasantry flocked to the shore, bearing provisions, and shouting, "Long live Charles the Third." The neighbouring fortress of Denia surrendered without a blow.

The imagination of Peterborough took fire. He conceived the hope of finishing the war at one blow. Madrid was but a hundred and fifty miles distant. There was scarcely one fortified place on the road. The troops of Philip were either on the frontiers of Portugal or on the coast of Catalonia. At the capital there was no military force, except a few horse who formed a guard of honour round the person of Philip. But the scheme of pushing into the heart of a great kingdom with an army of only seven thousand men, was too daring to please the Archduke. The Prince of Hesse Darmstadt, who, in the reign of the late King of Spain, had been Governor of Catalonia, and who overrated his own influence in that province, was of opinion that they ought instantly to proceed thither, and to attack

Barcelona. Peterborough was hampered by his instructions, nd found it necessary to submit.

On the sixteenth of August the fleet arrived before Barcelona; and Peterborough found that the task assigned o him by the Archduke and the Prince was one of almost nsuperable difficulty. One side of the city was protected y the sea, the other by the strong fortifications of Monuich. The walls were so extensive, that thirty thousand nen would scarcely have been sufficient to invest them. The garrison was as numerous as the besieging army. The est officers in the Spanish service were in the town. The opes which the Prince of Darmstadt had formed of a eneral rising in Catalonia were grievously disappointed. he invaders were joined only by about fifteen hundred rmed peasants, whose services cost more than they were orth.

No general was ever in a more deplorable situation than nat in which Peterborough was now placed. He had always bjected to the scheme of besieging Barcelona. His objecons had been overruled. He had to execute a project which e had constantly represented as impracticable. His camp as divided into hostile factions, and he was censured by ll. The Archduke and the Prince blamed him for not proeeding instantly to take the town; but suggested no plan y which seven thousand men could be enabled to do the ork of thirty thousand. Others blamed their general for iving up his own opinion to the childish whims of Charles, nd for sacrificing his men in an attempt to perform what as impossible. The Dutch commander positively declared nat his soldiers should not stir: Lord Peterborough might ve what orders he chose; but to engage in such a siege as madness; and the men should not be sent to certain eath when there was no chance of obtaining any advantage.

At length, after three weeks of inaction, Peterborough nnounced his fixed determination to raise the siege. The eavy cannon were sent on board. Preparations were made r re-embarking the troops. Charles and the Prince of Hesse ere furious; but most of the officers blamed their general r having delayed so long the measure which he had at st found it necessary to take. On the twelfth of Septemer there were rejoicings and public entertainments in Barlona for this great deliverance. On the following morning

the English flag was flying on the ramparts of Monjuich. The genius and energy of one man had supplied the place of forty battalions.

At midnight Peterborough had called on the Prince of Hesse, with whom he had not for some time been on speaking terms. "I have resolved, sir," said the Earl, "to attempt an assault; you may accompany us, if you think fit, and see whether I and my men deserve what you have been pleased to say of us." The Prince was startled. The attempt, he said, was hopeless; but he was ready to take his share; and, without further discussion, he called for his horse.

Fifteen hundred English soldiers were assembled under the Earl. A thousand more had been posted as a body of reserve, at a neighbouring convent, under the command of Stanhope. After a winding march along the foot of the hills, Peterborough and his little army reached the walls of Monjuich. There they halted till daybreak. As soon as they were descried, the enemy advanced into the outer ditch to meet them. This was the event on which Peterborough had reckoned, and for which his men were prepared. The English received the fire, rushed forward, leaped into the ditch, put the Spaniards to flight, and entered the works together with the fugitives. Before the garrison had recovered from their first surprise, the Earl was master of the outworks, had taken several pieces of cannon, and had thrown up a breastwork to defend his men. He then sent off for Stanhope's reserve. While he was waiting for this reinforcement, news arrived that three thousand men were marching from Barcelona towards Monjuich. He instantly rode out to take a view of them; but no sooner had he left his troops than they were seized with a panic. Their situation was indeed full of danger; they had been brought into Monjuich, they scarcely knew how; their numbers were small; their general was gone: their hearts failed them and they were proceeding to evacuate the fort. Peterborough received information of these occurrences in time to stop the retreat. He galloped up to the fugitives, addressed a few words to them, and put himself at their head. The sound of his voice and the sight of his face restored all their courage, and they marched back to their former position.

The Prince of Hesse had fallen in the confusion of the assault; but every thing else went well. Stanhope arrived; the detachment which had marched out of Barcelona retreated; the heavy cannon were disembarked, and brought to bear on the inner fortifications of Monjuich, which speedily fell. Peterborough, with his usual generosity, rescued the Spanish soldiers from the ferocity of his victorious army, and paid the last honours with great pomp to his rival the Prince of Hesse.

The reduction of Monjuich was the first of a series of brilliant exploits. Barcelona fell; and Peterborough had the glory of taking, with a handful of men, one of the largest and strongest towns of Europe. He had also the glory, not less dear to his chivalrous temper, of saving the life and honour of the beautiful Duchess of Popoli, whom he met flying with dishevelled hair from the fury of the soldiers. He availed himself dexterously of the jealousy with which the Catalonians regarded the inhabitants of Castile. He guaranteed to the province in the capital of which he was now quartered all its ancient rights and liberties, and thus succeeded in attaching the population to the Austrian cause.

The open country now declared in favour of Charles. Tarragona, Tortosa, Gerona, Lerida, San Mateo, threw open their gates. The Spanish government sent the Count of Las Torres with seven thousand men to reduce San Mateo. The Earl of Peterborough, with only twelve hundred men, raised the siege. His officers advised him to be content with this extraordinary success. Charles urged him to return to Barcelona; but no remonstrances could stop such a spirit in the midst of such a career. It was the depth of winter. The country was mountainous. The roads were almost impassable. The men were ill-clothed. The horses were knocked up. The retreating army was far more numerous than the pursuing army. But difficulties and dangers vanished before the energy of Peterborough. He pushed on, driving Las Torres before him. Nules surrendered to the mere terror of his name; and, on the fourth of February, 1706, he arrived in triumph at Valencia. There he learned that a body of four thousand men was on the march to join Las Torres. He set out at dead of night from Valencia, passed the Xucar, came unexpectedly on the encampment

of the enemy, and slaughtered, dispersed, or took the whole reinforcement. The Valencians could scarcely believe their eyes when they saw the prisoners brought in.

In the mean time the Courts of Madrid and Versailles, exasperated and alarmed by the fall of Barcelona and by the revolt of the surrounding country, determined to make a great effort. A large army, nominally commanded by Philip, but really under the orders of Marshal Tessé, entered Catalonia. A fleet under the Count of Toulouse, one of the natural children of Louis the Fourteenth, appeared before the port of Barcelona. The city was attacked at once by sea and land. The person of the Archduke was in considerable danger. Peterborough, at the head of about three thousand men, marched with great rapidity from Valencia. To give battle, with so small a force, to a great regular army under the conduct of a Marshal of France, would have been madness. The Earl therefore made war after the fashion of the Minas and Empecinados of our own time. He took his post on the neighbouring mountains, harassed the enemy with incessant alarms, cut off their stragglers, intercepted their communications with the interior, and introduced supplies, both of men and provisions, into the town. He saw, however, that the one hope of the besieged was on the side of the sea. His commission from the British government gave him supreme power not only over the army, but, whenever he should be actually on board, over the navy also. He put out to sea at night in an open boat without communicating his design to any person. He was picked up, several leagues from the shore, by one of the ships of the English squadron. As soon as he was on board he announced himself as first in command, and sent a pinnace with his orders to the Admiral. Had these orders been given a few hours earlier, it is probable that the whole French fleet would have been taken. As it was, the Count of Toulouse put out to sea. The port was open. The town was relieved. On the following night the enemy raised the siege and retreated to Roussillon. Peterborough returned to Valencia, a place which he preferred to every other in Spain; and Philip, who had been some weeks absent from his wife, could endure the misery of separation no longer and flew to rejoin her at Madrid.

At Madrid, however, it was impossible for him or for her

remain. The splendid success which Peterborough had obtained on the eastern coast of the Peninsula had inspired the sluggish Galway with emulation. He advanced into the heart of Spain. Berwick retreated. Alcantara, Ciudad Rodrigo, and Salamanca fell, and the conquerors marched towards the capital.

Philip was earnestly pressed by his advisers to remove the seat of government to Burgos. The advanced guard of the allied army was already seen on the heights above Madrid. It was known that the main body was at hand. The unfortunate Prince fled with his Queen and his household. The royal wanderers, after travelling eight days on bad roads, under a burning sun, and sleeping eight nights in miserable hovels, one of which fell down and nearly crushed them both to death, reached the metropolis of Old Castile. In the mean time the invaders had entered Madrid in triumph, and had proclaimed the Archduke in the streets of the imperial city. Arragon, ever jealous of the Castilian ascendency, followed the example of Catalonia. Saragossa revolted without seeing an enemy. The governor whom Philip had set over Carthagena betrayed his trust, and surrendered to the Allies the best arsenal and the last ships which Spain possessed.

Toledo had been for some time the retreat of two ambitious, turbulent, and vindictive intriguers, the Queen Dowager and Cardinal Porto Carrero. They had long been deadly enemies. They had led the adverse factions of Austria and France. Each had in turn domineered over the weak and disordered mind of the late King. At length the impostures of the priest had triumphed over the blandishments of the woman; Porto Carrero had remained victorious, and the Queen had fled in shame and mortification from the court where she had once been supreme. In her retirement she was soon joined by him whose arts had destroyed her influence. The Cardinal, having held power just long enough to convince all parties of his incompetency, had been dismissed to his see, cursing his own folly and the ingratitude of the House which he had served too well. Common interests and common enmities reconciled the fallen rivals. The Austrian troops were admitted into Toledo without opposition. The Queen Dowager flung off her mournful garb which the widow of a King of Spain wears through her whole life, and blazed

forth in jewels. The Cardinal blessed the standards of the invaders in his magnificent cathedral, and lighted up his palace in honour of the great deliverance. It seemed that the struggle had terminated in favour of the Archduke, and that nothing remained for Philip but a prompt flight into the dominions of his grandfather.

So judged those who were ignorant of the character and habits of the Spanish people. There is no country in Europe which it is so easy to overrun as Spain; there is no country in Europe which it is more difficult to conquer. Nothing can be more contemptible than the regular military resistance which Spain offers to an invader: nothing more formidable than the energy which she puts forth when her regular military resistance has been beaten down. Her armies have long borne too much resemblance to mobs; but her mobs have had, in an unusual degree, the spirit of armies. The soldier, as compared with other soldiers, is deficient in military qualities; but the peasant has as much of those qualities as the soldier. In no country have such strong fortresses been taken by surprise: in no country have unfortified towns made so furious and obstinate a resistance to great armies. War in Spain has, from the days of the Romans, had a character of its own; it is a fire which cannot be raked out; it burns fiercely under the embers; and long after it has, to all seeming, been extinguished, bursts forth more violently than ever. This was seen in the last war. Spain had no army which could have looked in the face an equal number of French or Prussian soldiers; but one day laid the Prussian monarchy in the dust; one day put the crown of France at the disposal of invaders. No Jena, no Waterloo, would have enabled Joseph to reign in quiet at Madrid.

The conduct of the Castilians throughout the War of the Succession was most characteristic. With all the odds of number and situation on their side, they had been ignominiously beaten. All the European dependencies of the Spanish crown were lost. Catalonia, Arragon, and Valencia had acknowledged the Austrian Prince. Gibraltar had been taken by a few sailors; Barcelona stormed by a few dismounted dragoons. The invaders had penetrated into the centre of the Peninsula, and were quartered at Madrid and Toledo. While these events were in progress, the nation

had scarcely given a sign of life. The rich could hardly be prevailed on to give or to lend for the support of war; the troops had shown neither discipline nor courage; and now, at last, when it seemed that all was lost, when it seemed that the most sanguine must relinquish all hope, the national spirit awoke, fierce, proud, and unconquerable. The people had been sluggish when the circumstances might well have inspired hope; they reserved all their energy for what appeared to be a season of despair. Castile, Leon, Andalusia, Estremadura, rose at once; every peasant procured a firelock or a pike; the Allies were masters only of the ground on which they trod. No soldier could wander a hundred yards from the main body of the invading army without imminent risk of being poniarded. The country through which the conquerors had to pass to Madrid, and which, as they thought, they had subdued, was all in arms behind them. Their communications with Portugal were cut off. In the mean time, money began, for the first time, to flow rapidly into the treasury of the fugitive king. "The day before yesterday," says the Princess Orsini, in a letter written at this time, "the priest of a village which contains only a hundred and twenty houses brought a hundred and twenty pistoles to the Queen. 'My flock,' said he, 'are ashamed to send you so little; but they beg you to believe that in this purse there are a hundred and twenty hearts faithful even to the death.' The good man wept as he spoke; and indeed we wept too. Yesterday another small village, in which there are only twenty houses, sent us fifty pistoles."

While the Castilians were every where arming in the cause of Philip, the Allies were serving that cause as effectually by their mismanagement. Galway staid at Madrid, where his soldiers indulged in such boundless licentiousness that one half of them were in the hospitals. Charles remained dawdling in Catalonia. Peterborough had taken Requena, and wished to march from Valencia towards Madrid, and to effect a junction with Galway; but the Archduke refused his consent to the plan. The indignant general remained accordingly in his favourite city, on the beautiful shores of the Mediterranean, reading Don Quixote, giving balls and suppers, trying in vain to get some good sport out of the

Valencia bulls, and making love, not in vain, to the Valencian women.

At length the Archduke advanced into Castile, and ordered Peterborough to join him. But it was too late. Berwick had already compelled Galway to evacuate Madrid; and, when the whole force of the Allies was collected at Guadalaxara, it was found to be decidedly inferior in numbers to that of the enemy.

Peterborough formed a plan for regaining possession of the capital. His plan was rejected by Charles. The patience of the sensitive and vainglorious hero was worn out. He had none of that serenity of temper which enabled Marlborough to act in perfect harmony with Eugene, and to endure the vexatious interference of the Dutch deputies. He demanded permission to leave the army. Permission was readily granted; and he set out for Italy. That there might be some pretext for his departure, he was commissioned by the Archduke to raise a loan in Genoa on the credit of the revenues of Spain.

From that moment to the end of the campaign the tide of fortune ran strong against the Austrian cause. Berwick had placed his army between the Allies and the frontiers of Portugal. They retreated on Valencia, and arrived in that province, leaving about ten thousand prisoners in the hands of the enemy.

In January, 1707, Peterborough arrived at Valencia from Italy, no longer bearing a public character, but merely as a volunteer. His advice was asked, and it seems to have been most judicious. He gave it as his decided opinion that no offensive operations against Castile ought to be undertaken. It would be easy, he said, to defend Arragon, Catalonia, and Valencia, against Philip. The inhabitants of those parts of Spain were attached to the cause of the Archduke; and the armies of the House of Bourbon would be resisted by the whole population. In a short time the enthusiasm of the Castilians might abate. The government of Philip might commit unpopular acts. Defeats in the Netherlands might compel Louis to withdraw the succours which he had furnished for his grandson. Then would be the time to strike a decisive blow. This excellent advice was rejected. Peterborough, who had now received formal letters of recall from England, departed before the opening of the campaign; and

with him departed the good fortune of the Allies. Scarcely any general had ever done so much with means so small. Scarcely any general had ever displayed equal originality and boldness. He possessed, in the highest degree, the art of conciliating those whom he had subdued. But he was not equally successful in winning the attachment of those with whom he acted. He was adored by the Catalonians and Valencians; but he was hated by the Prince whom he had all but made a great king, and by the generals whose fortune and reputation were staked on the same venture with his own. The English government could not understand him. He was so eccentric that they gave him no credit for the judgment which he really possessed. One day he took towns with horse-soldiers; then again he turned some hundreds of infantry into cavalry at a minute's notice. He obtained his political intelligence chiefly by means of love affairs, and filled his despatches with epigrams. The ministers thought that it would be highly impolitic to intrust the conduct of the Spanish war to so volatile and romantic a person. They therefore gave the command to Lord Galway, an experienced veteran, a man who was in war what Molière's doctors were in medicine, who thought it much more honourable to fail according to rule, than to succeed by innovation, and who would have been very much ashamed of himself if he had taken Monjuich by means so strange as those which Peterborough employed. This great commander conducted the campaign of 1707 in the most scientific manner. On the plain of Almanza he encountered the army of the Bourbons. He drew up his troops according to the methods prescribed by the best writers, and in a few hours lost eighteen thousand men, a hundred and twenty standards, all his baggage and all his artillery. Valencia and Arragon were instantly conquered by the French, and at the close of the year, the mountainous province of Catalonia was the only part of Spain which still adhered to Charles.

"Do you remember, child," says the foolish woman in the Spectator to her husband, "that the pigeon-house fell the very afternoon that our careless wench spilt the salt upon the table?" "Yes, my dear," replies the gentleman, "and the next post brought us an account of the battle of Almanza." The approach of disaster in Spain had been for some time indicated by omens much clearer than the mishap

of the salt-cellar; an ungrateful prince, an undisciplined army, a divided council, envy triumphant over merit, a man of genius recalled, a pedant and a sluggard intrusted with supreme command. The battle of Almanza decided the fate of Spain. The loss was such as Marlborough or Eugene could scarcely have retrieved, and was certainly not to be retrieved by Stanhope and Staremberg.

Stanhope, who took the command of the English army in Catalonia, was a man of respectable abilities, both in military and civil affairs, but fitter, we conceive, for a second than for a first place. Lord Mahon, with his usual candour, tells us what we believe was not known before, than his ancestor's most distinguished exploit, the conquest of Minorca, was suggested by Marlborough. Staremberg, a methodical tactician of the German school, was sent by the emperor to command in Spain. Two languid campaigns followed during which neither of the hostile armies did anything memorable, but during which both were nearly starved.

At length, in 1710, the chiefs of the Allied forces resolved to venture on bolder measures. They began the campaign with a daring move, pushed into Arragon, defeated the troops of Philip at Almenara, defeated them again at Saragossa and advanced to Madrid. The King was again a fugitive. The Castilians sprang to arms with the same enthusiasm which they had displayed in 1706. The conquerors found the capital a desert. The people shut themselves up in their houses, and refused to pay any mark of respect to the Austrian prince. It was necessary to hire a few children to shout before him in the streets. Meanwhile, the court of Philip at Valladolid was thronged by nobles and prelates. Thirty thousand people followed their King from Madrid to his new residence. Women of rank, rather than remain behind, performed the journey on foot. The peasants enlisted by thousands. Money, arms, and provisions were supplied in abundance by the zeal of the people. The country round Madrid was infested by small parties of irregular horse. The Allies could not send off a despatch to Arragon, or introduce a supply of provisions into the capital. It was unsafe for the Archduke to hunt in the immediate vicinity of the palace which he occupied.

The wish of Stanhope was to winter in Castile. But he stood alone in the council of war; and, indeed, it is not easy

o understand how the Allies could have maintained themelves through so unpropitious a season, in the midst of so ostile a population. Charles, whose personal safety was he first object of the generals, was sent with an escort of avalry to Catalonia in November; and in December the rmy commenced its retreat towards Arragon.

But the Allies had to do with a master-spirit. The King France had lately sent the Duke of Vendome to command Spain. This man was distinguished by the filthiness of s person, by the brutality of his demeanour, by the gross uffoonery of his conversation, and by the impudence with hich he abandoned himself to the most nauseous of all ces. His sluggishness was almost incredible. Even when ngaged in a campaign, he often passed whole days in his ed. His strange torpidity had been the cause of some of he most serious disasters which the armies of the House of ourbon had sustained. But when he was roused by any eat emergency, his resources, his energy, and his presence mind were such as had been found in no French general nce the death of Luxembourg.

At this crisis, Vendome was all himself. He set out from alavera with his troops, and pursued the retreating army the Allies with a speed perhaps never equalled in such a ason, and in such a country. He marched night and day. e swam, at the head of his cavalry, the flooded stream of enares, and, in a few days, overtook Stanhope, who was at rihuega with the left wing of the Allied army. "Nobody th me," says the English general, "imagined that they had y foot within some days' march of us; and our misfortune owing to the incredible diligence which their army made." anhope had but just time to send off a messenger to the ntre of the army, which was some leagues from Brihuega, fore Vendome was upon him. The town was invested on ery side. The walls were battered with cannon. A mine as sprung under one of the gates. The English kept up a sperate fire till their powder was spent. They then fought sperately with the bayonet against overwhelming odds. ey burned the houses which the assailants had taken. ut all was to no purpose. The British general saw that sistance could produce only a useless carnage. He conded a capitulation; and his gallant little army became soners of war on honourable terms.

Scarcely had Vendome signed the capitulation, when he learned that Staremberg was marching to the relief of Stanhope. Preparations were instantly made for a general action. On the day following that on which the English had delivered up their arms, was fought the obstinate and bloody fight of Villa-Viciosa. Staremberg remained master of the field. Vendome reaped all the fruits of the battle. The Allies spiked their cannon, and retired towards Arragon. But even in Arragon they found no place to rest. Vendome was behind them. The guerilla parties were around them. They fled to Catalonia; but Catalonia was invaded by a French army from Roussillon. At length the Austrian general, with six thousand harassed and dispirited men, the remains of a great and victorious army, took refuge in Barcelona, almost the only place in Spain which still recognised the authority of Charles.

Philip was now much safer at Madrid than his grandfather at Paris. All hope of conquering Spain in Spain was at an end. But in other quarters the House of Bourbon was reduced to the last extremity. The French armies had undergone a series of defeats in Germany, in Italy, and in the Netherlands. An immense force, flushed with victory, and commanded by the greatest generals of the age, was on the borders of France. Louis had been forced to humble himself before the conquerors. He had even offered to abandon the cause of his grandson; and his offer had been rejected. But a great turn in affairs was approaching.

The English administration which had commenced the war against the House of Bourbon was an administration composed of Tories. But the war was a Whig war. It was the favourite scheme of William, the Whig King. Louis had provoked it by recognising, as sovereign of England, a prince peculiarly hateful to the Whigs. It had placed England in a position of marked hostility to that power from which alone the Pretender could expect efficient succour. It had joined England in the closest union to a Protestant and republican state, to a state which had assisted in bringing about the Revolution, and which was willing to guarantee the execution of the Act of Settlement. Marlborough and Godolphin found that they were more zealously supported by their old opponents than by their old associates. Those ministers who were zealous for the war were

radually converted to Whiggism. The rest dropped off, nd were succeeded by Whigs. Cowper became Chancellor. underland, in spite of the very just antipathy of Anne, as made Secretary of State. On the death of the Prince of enmark a more extensive change took place. Wharton ecame Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, and Somers President the Council. At length the administration was wholly the hands of the Low Church party.

In the year 1710 a violent change took place. The Queen ad always been a Tory at heart. Her religious feelings were l on the side of the Established Church. Her family feelgs pleaded in favour of her exiled brother. Her selfish elings disposed her to favour the zealots of prerogative. he affection which she felt for the Duchess of Marlborough as the great security of the Whigs. That affection had at ngth turned to deadly aversion. While the great party hich had long swayed the destinies of Europe was undermined by bedchamber women at St. James's, a violent orm gathered in the country. A foolish parson had reached a foolish sermon against the principles of Revolution. The wisest members of the government were for letting ie man alone. But Godolphin, inflamed with all the zeal a new-made Whig, and exasperated by a nickname which as applied to him in this unfortunate discourse, insisted iat the preacher should be impeached. The exhortations the mild and sagacious Somers were disregarded. The mpeachment was brought; the doctor was convicted; and ie accusers were ruined. The clergy came to the rescue of ie persecuted clergyman. The country gentlemen came to ie rescue of the clergy. A display of Tory feelings, such England had not witnessed since the closing years of harles the Second's reign, appalled the Ministers and gave oldness to the Queen. She turned out the Whigs, called arley and St John to power, and dissolved the Parliament. ne elections went strongly against the late government. anhope, who had in his absence been put in nomination r Westminster, was defeated by a Tory candidate. The ew Ministers, finding themselves masters of the new arliament, were induced by the strongest motives to conude a peace with France. The whole system of alliance which the country was engaged was a Whig system. ne general by whom the English armies had constantly

been led to victory, and for whom it was impossible to find a substitute, was now, whatever he might formerly have been, a Whig general. If Marlborough were discarded it was probable that some great disaster would follow. Yet if he were to retain his command, every great action which he might perform would raise the credit of the party in opposition.

A peace was therefore concluded between England and the Princes of the House of Bourbon. Of that peace Lord Mahon speaks in terms of the severest reprehension. He is, indeed, an excellent Whig of the time of the first Lord Stanhope. "I cannot but pause for a moment," says he, "to observe how much the course of a century has inverted the meaning of our party nicknames, how much a modern Tory resembles a Whig of Queen Anne's reign, and a Tory of Queen Anne's reign a modern Whig."

We grant one half of Lord Mahon's proposition: from the other half we altogether dissent. We allow that a modern Tory resembles, in many things, a Whig of Queen Anne's reign. It is natural that such should be the case. The worst things of one age often resemble the best things of another. A modern shopkeeper's house is as well furnished as the house of a considerable merchant in Anne's reign. Very plain people now wear finer cloth than Beau Fielding or Beau Edgeworth could have procured in Queen Anne's reign. We would rather trust to the apothecary of a modern village than to the physician of a large town in Anne's reign. A modern boarding-school miss could tell the most learned professor of Anne's reign some things in geography, astronomy, and chemistry, which would surprise him.

The science of government is an experimental science, and therefore it is, like all other experimental sciences, a progressive science. Lord Mahon would have been a very good Whig in the days of Harley. But Harley, whom Lord Mahon censures so severely, was very Whiggish when compared even with Clarendon; and Clarendon was quite a Democrat when compared with Lord Burleigh. If Lord Mahon lives, as we hope he will, fifty years longer, we have no doubt that, as he now boasts of the resemblance which the Tories of our time bear to the Whigs of the Revolution, he will then boast of the resemblance borne by the Tories of 1885 to those immortal patriots, the Whigs of the Reform Bill.

Society, we believe, is constantly advancing in knowledge. The tail is now where the head was some generations ago. But the head and the tail still keep their distance. A nurse of this century is as wise as a justice of the quorum and custalorum in Shallow's time. The wooden spoon of this year would puzzle a senior wrangler of the reign of George the Second. A boy from the National School reads and spells better than half the knights of the shire in the October club. But there is still as wide a difference as ever between justices and nurses, senior wranglers and wooden spoons, members of Parliament and children at charity schools. In the same way, though a Tory may now be very like what a Whig was a hundred and twenty years ago, the Whig is as much in advance of the Tory as ever. The stag, in the Treatise on the Bathos, who "feared his hind feet would o'ertake the fore," was not more mistaken than Lord Mahon, if he thinks that he has really come up with the Whigs. The absolute position of the parties has been altered; the relative position remains unchanged. Through the whole of that great movement, which began before these party-names existed, and which will continue after they have become obsolete, through the whole of that great movement of which the Charter of John, the institution of the House of Commons, the extinction of Villanage, the separation from the see of Rome, the expulsion of the Stuarts, the reform of the Representative System, are successive stages, there have been, under some name or other, two sets of men, those who were before their age, and those who were behind it, those who were the wisest among their contemporaries, and those who gloried in being no wiser than their great-grandfathers. It is delightful to think that, in due time, the last of those who straggle in the rear of the great march will occupy the place now occupied by the advance guard. The Tory Parliament of 1710 would have passed for a most liberal Parliament in the days of Elizabeth; and there are at present few members of the Conservative Club who would not have been fully qualified to sit with Halifax and Somers at the Kit-cat.

Though, therefore, we admit that a modern Tory bears some resemblance to a Whig of Queen Anne's reign, we can by no means admit that a Tory of Anne's reign resembled a modern Whig. Have the modern Whigs passed laws for

the purpose of closing the entrance of the House of Commons against the new interests created by trade? Do the modern Whigs hold the doctrine of divine right? Have the modern Whigs laboured to exclude all Dissenters from office and power? The modern Whigs are, indeed, at the present moment, like the Tories of 1712, desirous of peace, and of close union with France. But is there no difference between the France of 1712 and the France of 1832? Is France now the stronghold of the "Popish tyranny" and the "arbitrary power" against which our ancestors fought and prayed? Lord Mahon will find, we think, that his parallel is, in all essential circumstances, as incorrect as that which Fluellen drew between Macedon and Monmouth, or as that which an ingenious Tory lately discovered between Archbishop Williams and Archbishop Vernon.

We agree with Lord Mahon in thinking highly of the Whigs of Queen Anne's reign. But that part of their conduct which he selects for especial praise is precisely the part which we think the most objectionable. We revere them as the great champions of political and of intellectual liberty. It is true that, when raised to power, they were not exempt from the faults which power naturally engenders. It is true that they were men born in the seventeenth century, and that they were therefore ignorant of many truths which are familiar to the men of the nineteenth century. But they were, what the reformers of the Church were before them, and what the reformers of the House of Commons have been since, the leaders of their species in a right direction. It is true that they did not allow to political discussion that latitude which to us appears reasonable and safe; but to them we owe the removal of the Censorship. It is true that they did not carry the principle of religious liberty to its full extent; but to them we owe the Toleration Act.

Though, however, we think that the Whigs of Anne's reign were, as a body, far superior in wisdom and public virtue to their contemporaries the Tories, we by no means hold ourselves bound to defend all the measures of our favourite party. A life of action, if it is to be useful, must be a life of compromise. But speculation admits of no compromise. A public man is often under the necessity of consenting to measures which he dislikes, lest he should endanger the success of measures which he thinks of vital

importance. But the historian lies under no such necessity. On the contrary, it is one of his most sacred duties to point out clearly the errors of those whose general conduct he admires.

It seems to us, then, that, on the great question which divided England during the last four years of Anne's reign, the Tories were in the right, and the Whigs in the wrong. That question was, whether England ought to conclude peace without exacting from Philip a resignation of the Spanish crown?

No Parliamentary struggle, from the time of the Exclusion Bill to the time of the Reform Bill, has been so violent as that which took place between the authors of the Treaty of Utrecht and the War Party. The Commons were for peace; the Lords were for vigorous hostilities. The Queen was compelled to choose which of her two highest prerogatives she would exercise, whether she would create Peers, or dissolve the Parliament. The ties of party superseded the ties of neighbourhood and of blood. The members of the hostile factions would scarcely speak to each other, or bow to each other. The women appeared at the theatres bearing the badges of their political sect. The schism extended to the most remote counties of England. Talents, such as had seldom before been displayed in political controversy, were enlisted in the service of the hostile parties. On one side was Steele, gay, lively, drunk with animal spirits and with factious animosity, and Addison, with his polished satire, his inexhaustible fertility of fancy, and his graceful simplicity of style. In the front of the opposite ranks appeared a darker and fiercer spirit, the apostate politician, the ribald priest, the perjured lover, a heart burning with hatred against the whole human race, a mind stored richly with images from the dunghill and the lazar-house. The Ministers triumphed, and the peace was concluded. Then came the reaction. A new sovereign ascended the throne. The Whigs enjoyed the confidence of the King and of the Parliament. The unjust severity with which the Tories had treated Marlborough and Walpole was more than retaliated. Harley and Prior were thrown into prison; Bolingbroke and Ormond were compelled to take refuge in a foreign land. The wounds inflicted in this desperate conflict continued to rankle for many years. It was long before the members of either party could

discuss the question of the peace of Utrecht with calmness and impartiality. That the Whig Ministers had sold us to the Dutch; that the Tory Ministers had sold us to the French; that the war had been carried on only to fill the pockets of Marlborough; that the peace had been concluded only to facilitate the return of the Pretender; these imputations and many others, utterly unfounded, or grossly exaggerated, were hurled backward and forward by the political disputants of the last century. In our time the question may be discussed without irritation. We will state, as concisely as possible, the reasons which have led us to the conclusion at which we have arrived.

The dangers which were to be apprehended from the peace were two: first, the danger that Philip might be induced, by feelings of private affection, to act in strict concert with the elder branch of his house, to favour the French trade at the expense of England, and to side with the French government in future wars; secondly, the danger that the posterity of the Duke of Burgundy might become extinct, that Philip might become heir by blood to the French crown, and that thus two great monarchies might be united under one sovereign.

The first danger appears to us altogether chimerical. Family affection has seldom produced much effect on the policy of princes. The state of Europe at the time of the peace of Utrecht proved that in politics the ties of interest are much stronger than those of consanguinity or affinity. The Elector of Bavaria had been driven from his dominions by his father-in-law; Victor Amadeus was in arms against his sons-in-law; Anne was seated on a throne from which she had assisted to push a most indulgent father. It is true that Philip had been accustomed from childhood to regard his grandfather with profound veneration. It was probable, therefore, that the influence of Louis at Madrid would be very great. But Louis was more than seventy years old; he could not live long; his heir was an infant in the cradle. There was surely no reason to think that the policy of the King of Spain would be swayed by his regard for a nephew whom he had never seen.

In fact, soon after the peace, the two branches of the House of Bourbon began to quarrel. A close alliance was formed between Philip and Charles, lately competitors for

the Castilian crown. A Spanish princess, betrothed to the King of France, was sent back in the most insulting manner to her native country; and a decree was put forth by the Court of Madrid commanding every Frenchman to leave Spain. It is true that, fifty years after the peace of Utrecht, an alliance of peculiar strictness was formed between the French and Spanish governments. But both governments were actuated on that occasion, not by domestic affection, but by common interests and common enmities. Their compact, though called the Family Compact, was as purely a political compact as the league of Cambrai or the league of Pilnitz.

The second danger was that Philip might have succeeded to the crown of his native country. This did not happen; but it might have happened; and at one time it seemed very likely to happen. A sickly child alone stood between the King of Spain and the heritage of Louis the Fourteenth. Philip, it is true, solemnly renounced his claim to the French crown. But the manner in which he had obtained possession of the Spanish crown had proved the inefficacy of such renunciations. The French lawyers declared Philip's renunciation null, as being inconsistent with the fundamental law of the realm. The French people would probably have sided with him whom they would have considered as the rightful heir. Saint Simon, though much less zealous for hereditary monarchy than most of his countrymen, and though strongly attached to the Regent, declared, in the presence of that prince, that he never would support the claims of the House of Orleans against those of the King of Spain. "If such," he said, "be my feelings, what must be the feelings of others?" Bolingbroke, it is certain, was fully convinced that the renunciation was worth no more than the paper on which it was written, and demanded it only for the purpose of blinding the English Parliament and people.

Yet, though it was at one time probable that the posterity of the Duke of Burgundy would become extinct, and though it is almost certain that, if the posterity of the Duke of Burgundy had become extinct, Philip would have successfully preferred his claim to the crown of France, we still defend the principle of the Treaty of Utrecht. In the first place, Charles had, soon after the battle of Villa-Viciosa, inherited,

by the death of his elder brother, all the dominions of the House of Austria. Surely, if to these dominions he had added the whole monarchy of Spain, the balance of power would have been seriously endangered. The union of the Austrian dominions and Spain would not, it is true, have been so alarming an event as the union of France and Spain. But Charles was actually Emperor. Philip was not, and never might be, King of France. The certainty of the less evil might well be set against the chance of the greater evil.

But, in fact, we do not believe that Spain would long have remained under the government either of an Emperor or of a King of France. The character of the Spanish people was a better security to the nations of Europe than any will, any instrument of renunciation, or any treaty. The same energy which the people of Castile had put forth when Madrid was occupied by the Allied armies, they would have again put forth as soon as it appeared that their country was about to become a French province. Though they were no longer masters abroad, they were by no means disposed to see foreigners set over them at home. If Philip had attempted to govern Spain by mandates from Versailles, a second Grand Alliance would easily have effected what the first had failed to accomplish. The Spanish nation would have rallied against him as zealously as it had before rallied round him. And of this he seems to have been fully aware. For many years the favourite hope of his heart was that he might ascend the throne of his grandfather; but he seems never to have thought it possible that he could reign at once in the country of his adoption and in the country of his birth.

These were the dangers of the peace; and they seem to us to be of no very formidable kind. Against these dangers are to be set off the evils of war and the risk of failure. The evils of the war, the waste of life, the suspension of trade, the expenditure of wealth, the accumulation of debt, require no illustration. The chances of failure it is difficult at this distance of time to calculate with accuracy. But we think that an estimate approximating to the truth may, without much difficulty, be formed. The Allies had been victorious in Germany, Italy, and Flanders. It was by no means improbable that they might fight their way into the very heart of France. But at no time since the commencement of

the war had their prospects been so dark in that country which was the very object of the struggle. In Spain they held only a few square leagues. The temper of the great majority of the nation was decidedly hostile to them. If they had persisted, if they had obtained success equal to their highest expectations, if they had gained a series of victories as splendid as those of Blenheim and Ramilies, if Paris had fallen, if Louis had been a prisoner, we still doubt whether they would have accomplished their object. They would still have had to carry on interminable hostilities against the whole population of a country which affords peculiar facilities to irregular warfare, and in which invading armies suffer more from famine than from the sword.

We are, therefore, for the peace of Utrecht. We are indeed no admirers of the statesmen who concluded that peace. Harley, we believe, was a solemn trifler, St John a brilliant knave. The great body of their followers consisted of the country clergy and the country gentry; two classes of men who were then inferior in intelligence to decent shopkeepers or farmers of our time. Parson Barnabas, Parson Trulliber, Sir Wilful Witwould, Sir Francis Wronghead, Squire Western, Squire Sullen, such were the people who composed the main strength of the Tory party during the sixty years which followed the Revolution. It is true that the means by which the Tories came into power in 1710 were most disreputable. It is true that the manner in which they used their power was often unjust and cruel. It is true that, in order to bring about their favourite project of peace, they resorted to slander and deception, without the slightest scruple. It is true that they passed off on the British nation a renunciation which they knew to be invalid. It is true that they gave up the Catalans to the vengeance of Philip, in a manner inconsistent with humanity and national honour. But on the great question of Peace or War, we cannot but think that, though their motives may have been selfish and malevolent, their decision was beneficial to the state.

But we have already exceeded our limits. It remains only for us to bid Lord Mahon heartily farewell, and to assure him that, whatever dislike we may feel for his political opinions, we shall always meet him with pleasure on the neutral ground of literature.

WILLIAM PITT, EARL OF CHATHAM.

(JANUARY, 1834.)*

THOUGH several years have elapsed since the publication of this work, it is still, we believe, a new publication to most of our readers. Nor are we surprised at this. The book is large, and the style heavy. The information which Mr Thackeray has obtained from the State Paper Office is new; but much of it is very uninteresting. The rest of his narrative is very little better than Gifford's or Tomline's Life of the second Pitt, and tells us little or nothing that may not be found quite as well told in the Parliamentary History, the Annual Register, and other works equally common.

Almost every mechanical employment, it is said, has a tendency to injure some one or other of the bodily organs of the artisan. Grinders of cutlery die of consumption; weavers are stunted in their growth; smiths become bleareyed. In the same manner almost every intellectual employment has a tendency to produce some intellectual malady. Biographers, translators, editors, all, in short, who employ themselves in illustrating the lives or the writings of others, are peculiarly exposed to the *Lues Boswelliana*, or disease of admiration. But we scarcely remember ever to have seen a patient so far gone in this distemper as Mr Thackeray. He is not satisfied with forcing us to confess that Pitt was a great orator, a vigorous minister, an honourable and high-

* *A History of the Right Honourable William Pitt, Earl of Chatham, containing his Speeches in Parliament, a considerable Portion of his Correspondence when Secretary of State, upon French, Spanish, and American Affairs, never before published; and an Account of the principal Events and Persons of his Time, connected with his Life, Sentiments, and Administration.* By the Rev. FRANCIS THACKERAY, A.M. 2 vols. 4to. London: 1827.

spirited gentleman. He will have it, that all virtues and all accomplishments met in his hero. In spite of Gods, men, and columns, Pitt must be a poet, a poet capable of producing a heroic poem of the first order; and we are assured that we ought to find many charms in such lines as these:—

> "Midst all the tumults of the warring sphere,
> My light-charged bark may haply *glide*;
> Some gale may waft, some conscious thought shall cheer,
> And the small freight unanxious *glide*." *

Pitt was in the army for a few months in time of peace. Mr Thackeray accordingly insists on our confessing that, if the young cornet had remained in the service, he would have been one of the ablest commanders that ever lived. But this is not all. Pitt, it seems, was not merely a great poet *in esse*, and a great general *in posse*, but a finished example of moral excellence, the just man made perfect. He was in the right when he attempted to establish an inquisition, and to give bounties for perjury, in order to get Walpole's head. He was in the right when he declared Walpole to have been an excellent minister. He was in the right when, being in opposition, he maintained that no peace ought to be made with Spain, till she should formally renounce the right of search. He was in the right when, being in office, he silently acquiesced in a treaty by which Spain did not renounce the right of search. When he left the Duke of Newcastle, when he coalesced with the Duke of Newcastle, when he thundered against subsidies, when he lavished subsidies with unexampled profusion, when he execrated the Hanoverian connection, when he declared that Hanover ought to be as dear to us as Hampshire, he was still invariably speaking the language of a virtuous and enlightened statesman.

The truth is that there scarcely ever lived a person who had so little claim to this sort of praise as Pitt. He was undoubtedly a great man. But his was not a complete and well-proportioned greatness. The public life of Hampden or of Somers resembles a regular drama, which can be criticized as a whole, and every scene of which is to be viewed in connection with the main action. The public life of

* The quotation is faithfully made from Mr Thackeray. Perhaps Pitt wrote *guide* in the fourth line.

Pitt, on the other hand, is a rude though striking piece, a piece abounding in incongruities, a piece without any unity of plan, but redeemed by some noble passages, the effect of which is increased by the tameness or extravagance of what precedes and of what follows. His opinions were unfixed. His conduct at some of the most important conjunctures of his life was evidently determined by pride and resentment. He had one fault, which of all human faults is most rarely found in company with true greatness. He was extremely affected. He was an almost solitary instance of a man of real genius, and of a brave, lofty, commanding spirit, without simplicity of character. He was an actor in the Closet, an actor at Council, an actor in Parliament; and even in private society he could not lay aside his theatrical tones and attitudes. We know that one of the most distinguished of his partisans often complained that he could never obtain admittance to Lord Chatham's room till everything was ready for the representation, till the dresses and properties were all correctly disposed, till the light was thrown with Rembrandt-like effect on the head of the illustrious performer, till the flannels had been arranged with the air of a Grecian drapery, and the crutch placed as gracefully as that of Belisarius or Lear.

Yet, with all his faults and affectations, Pitt had, in a very extraordinary degree, many of the elements of greatness. He had splendid talents, strong passions, quick sensibility, and vehement enthusiasm for the grand and the beautiful. There was something about him which ennobled tergiversation itself. He often went wrong, very wrong. But, to quote the language of Wordsworth,

> "He still retained,
> 'Mid such abasement, what he had received
> From nature, an intense and glowing mind."

In an age of low and dirty prostitution, in the age of Doddington and Sandys, it was something to have a man who might perhaps, under some strong excitement, have been tempted to ruin his country, but who never would have stooped to pilfer from her, a man whose errors arose, not from a sordid desire of gain, but from a fierce thirst for power, for glory, and for vengeance. History owes to him this attestation,

hat, at a time when any thing short of direct embezzlement
f the public money was considered as quite fair in public
en, he showed the most scrupulous disinterestedness, that,
a time when it seemed to be generally taken for granted
hat Government could be upheld only by the basest and
ost immoral acts, he appealed to the better and nobler
arts of human nature, that he made a brave and splendid
ttempt to do, by means of public opinion, what no other
atesman of his day thought it possible to do, except by
eans of corruption, that he looked for support, not, like
he Pelhams, to a strong aristocratical connection, not, like
ute, to the personal favour of the sovereign, but to the
iddle class of Englishmen, that he inspired that class with
firm confidence in his integrity and ability, that, backed
y them, he forced an unwilling court and an unwilling
igarchy to admit him to an ample share of power, and that
e used his power in such a manner as clearly proved him to
ave sought it, not for the sake of profit or patronage, but
om a wish to establish for himself a great and durable repu-
tion by means of eminent services rendered to the state.

The family of Pitt was wealthy and respectable. His
randfather was governor of Madras, and brought back
om India that celebrated diamond which the Regent
rleans, by the advice of Saint Simon, purchased for upwards
two millions of livres, and which is still considered as the
ost precious of the crown jewels of France. Governor
tt bought estates and rotten boroughs, and sat in
e House of Commons for Old Sarum. His son Robert
as at one time member for Old Sarum, and at another for
akhampton. Robert had two sons. Thomas, the elder,
herited the estates and the parliamentary interest of his
ther. The second was the celebrated William Pitt.

He was born in November, 1708. About the early part of
s life little more is known than that he was educated at
ton, and that at seventeen he was entered at Trinity
llege, Oxford. During the second year of his residence
the University, George the First died; and the event was,
ter the fashion of that generation, celebrated by the
xonians in many very middling copies of verses. On this
casion Pitt published some Latin lines, which Mr Thackeray
s preserved. They prove that the young student had but
very limited knowledge even of the mechanical part of his

art. All true Etonians will hear with concern that their illustrious school-fellow is guilty of making the first syllable in *labenti* short.* The matter of the poem is as worthless as that of any college exercise that was ever written before or since. There is, of course, much about Mars, Themis, Neptune, and Cocytus. The Muses are earnestly entreated to weep over the urn of Cæsar; for Cæsar, says the Poet, loved the Muses; Cæsar, who could not read a line of Pope, and who loved nothing but punch and fat women.

Pitt had been, from his school-days, cruelly tormented by the gout, and was at last advised to travel for his health. He accordingly left Oxford without taking a degree, and visited France and Italy. He returned, however, without having received much benefit from his excursion, and continued, till the close of his life, to suffer most severely from his constitutional malady.

His father was now dead, and had left very little to the younger children. It was necessary that William should choose a profession. He decided for the army, and a cornet's commission was procured for him in the Blues.

But, small as his fortune was, his family had both the power and the inclination to serve him. At the general election of 1734, his elder brother Thomas was chosen both for Old Sarum and for Oakhampton. When Parliament met in 1735, Thomas made his election to serve for Oakhampton, and William was returned for Old Sarum.

Walpole had now been, during fourteen years, at the head of affairs. He had risen to power under the most favourable circumstances. The whole of the Whig party, of that party which professed peculiar attachment to the principles of the Revolution, and which exclusively enjoyed the confidence of the reigning house, had been united in support of his administration. Happily for him, he had been out of office when the South-Sea Act was passed; and, though he does not appear to have foreseen all the consequences of that measure, he had strenuously opposed it, as he opposed all the measures, good and bad, of Sunderland's administration. When the South-Sea Company were voting dividends of fifty per cent., when a hundred pounds of their stock were

* So Mr Thackeray has printed the poem. But it may be charitably hoped that Pitt wrote *labanti*.

elling for eleven hundred pounds, when Threadneedle treet was daily crowded with the coaches of dukes and pre-tes, when divines and philosophers turned gamblers, when thousand kindred bubbles were daily blown into existence, he periwig company, and the Spanish-jackass company, nd the quicksilver-fixation company, Walpole's calm good nse preserved him from the general infatuation. He con-emned the prevailing madness in public, and turned a nsiderable sum by taking advantage of it in private. hen the crash came, when ten thousand families were duced to beggary in a day, when the people in the frenzy their rage and despair, clamoured, not only against the wer agents in the juggle, but against the Hanoverian vourites, against the English ministers, against the King mself, when Parliament met, eager for confiscation and ood, when members of the House of Commons proposed at the directors should be treated like parricides in ancient ome, tied up in sacks, and thrown into the Thames, Wal-le was the man on whom all parties turned their eyes. our years before he had been driven from power by the trigues of Sunderland and Stanhope, and the lead in the ouse of Commons had been intrusted to Craggs and Ais-bie. Stanhope was no more. Aislabie was expelled from rliament on account of his disgraceful conduct regarding e South-Sea scheme. Craggs was saved by a timely death om a similar mark of infamy. A large minority in the ouse of Commons voted for a severe censure on Sunder-nd, who, finding it impossible to withstand the force of e prevailing sentiment, retired from office, and outlived s retirement but a very short time. The schism which had vided the Whig party was now completely healed. Wal-le had no opposition to encounter except that of the ries; and the Tories were naturally regarded by the King th the strongest suspicion and dislike.

For a time business went on with a smoothness and a spatch such as had not been known since the days of the dors. During the session of 1724, for example, there was rdly a single division except on private bills. It is not possible that, by taking the course which Pelham after-rds took, by admitting into the Government all the rising ents and ambition of the Whig party, and by making om here and there for a Tory not unfriendly to the House

of Brunswick, Walpole might have averted the tremendous conflict in which he passed the later years of his administration, and in which he was at length vanquished. The Opposition which overthrew him was an Opposition created by his own policy, by his own insatiable love of power.

In the very act of forming his Ministry he turned one of the ablest and most attached of his supporters into a deadly enemy. Pulteney had strong public and private claims to a high situation in the new arrangement. His fortune was immense. His private character was respectable. He was already a distinguished speaker. He had acquired official experience in an important post. He had been, through all changes of fortune, a consistent Whig. When the Whig party was split into two sections, Pulteney had resigned a valuable place, and had followed the fortunes of Walpole. Yet, when Walpole returned to power, Pulteney was not invited to take office. An angry discussion took place between the friends. The Ministry offered a peerage. It was impossible for Pulteney not to discern the motive of such an offer. He indignantly refused to accept it. For some time he continued to brood over his wrongs, and to watch for an opportunity of revenge. As soon as a favourable conjuncture arrived he joined the minority, and became the greatest leader of Opposition that the House of Commons had ever seen.

Of all the members of the Cabinet, Carteret was the most eloquent and accomplished. His talents for debate were of the first order; his knowledge of foreign affairs was superior to that of any living statesman; his attachment to the Protestant succession was undoubted. But there was not room in one Government for him and Walpole. Carteret retired, and was, from that time forward, one of the most persevering and formidable enemies of his old colleague.

If there was any man with whom Walpole could have consented to make a partition of power, that man was Lord Townshend. They were distant kinsmen by birth, near kinsmen by marriage. They had been friends from childhood. They had been school-fellows at Eton. They were country neighbours in Norfolk. They had been in office together under Godolphin. They had gone into opposition together when Harley rose to power. They had been persecuted by the same House of Commons. They had, after

e death of Anne, been recalled together to office. They
ıd again been driven out together by Sunderland, and had
gain come back together when the influence of Sunderland
ıd declined. Their opinions on public affairs almost
ways coincided. They were both men of frank, generous,
ıd compassionate natures. Their intercourse had been for
any years affectionate and cordial. But the ties of blood,
marriage, and of friendship, the memory of mutual
rvices, the memory of common triumphs and common
sasters, were insufficient to restrain that ambition which
ɔmineered over all the virtues and vices of Walpole. He
ıs resolved, to use his own metaphor, that the firm of the
ɔuse should be, not Townshend and Walpole, but Walpole
ıd Townshend. At length the rivals proceeded to personal
ɔuse before a large company, seized each other by the collar,
d grasped their swords. The women squalled. The men
rted the combatants. By friendly intervention the
andal of a duel between cousins, brothers-in-law, old
ends, and old colleagues, was prevented. But the dis-
tants could not long continue to act together. Town-
end retired, and, with rare moderation and public spirit,
ʃused to take any part in politics. He could not, he said,
ıst his temper. He feared that the recollection of his
ivate wrongs might impel him to follow the example of
ılteney, and to oppose measures which he thought generally
neficial to the country. He therefore never visited Lon-
n after his resignation, but passed the closing years of his
e in dignity and repose among his trees and pictures at
ınham.

Next went Chesterfield. He too was a Whig and a friend
the Protestant succession. He was an orator, a courtier,
vit, and a man of letters. He was at the head of *ton* in
ys when, in order to be at the head of *ton*, it was not suffi-
nt to be dull and supercilious. It was evident that he
ɔmitted impatiently to the ascendency of Walpole. He
ırmured against the Excise Bill. His brothers voted
ainst it in the House of Commons. The Minister acted
ːh characteristic caution and characteristic energy;
ıtion in the conduct of public affairs; energy where his
n supremacy was concerned. He withdrew his Bill, and
ned out all his hostile or wavering colleagues. Chester-
d was stopped on the great staircase of St. James's, and

summoned to deliver up the staff which he bore as Lord Steward of the Household. A crowd of noble and powerful functionaries, the Dukes of Montrose and Bolton, Lord Burlington, Lord Stair, Lord Cobham, Lord Marchmont, Lord Clifton, were at the same time dismissed from the service of the Crown.

Not long after these events the Opposition was reinforced by the Duke of Argyle, a man vainglorious indeed and fickle, but brave, eloquent, and popular. It was in a great measure owing to his exertions that the Act of Settlement had been peaceably carried into effect in England immediately after the death of Anne, and that the Jacobite rebellion which, during the following year, broke out in Scotland, had been suppressed. He too carried over to the minority the aid of his great name, his talents, and his paramount influence in his native country.

In each of these cases taken separately, a skilful defender of Walpole might perhaps make out a case for him. But when we see that during a long course of years all the footsteps are turned the same way, that all the most eminent of those public men who agreed with the Minister in their general views of policy left him, one after another, with sore and irritated minds, we find it impossible not to believe that the real explanation of the phænomenon is to be found in the words of his son, "Sir Robert Walpole loved power so much that he would not endure a rival." Hume has described this famous minister with great felicity in one short sentence,—"moderate in exercising power, not equitable in engrossing it." Kind-hearted, jovial, and placable as Walpole was, he was yet a man with whom no person of high pretensions and high spirit could long continue to act. He had, therefore, to stand against an Opposition containing all the most accomplished statesmen of the age, with no better support than that which he received from persons like his brother Horace or Henry Pelham, whose industrious mediocrity gave no cause for jealousy, or from clever adventurers, whose situation and character diminished the dread which their talents might have inspired. To this last class belonged Fox, who was too poor to live without office; Sir William Yonge, of whom Walpole himself said, that nothing but such parts could buoy up such a character, and that nothing but such a character could drag down such parts; and Winning-

n, whose private morals lay, justly or unjustly, under
nputations of the worst kind.

The discontented Whigs were, not perhaps in number,
ut certainly in ability, experience, and weight, by far the
ost important part of the Opposition. The Tories furnished
ttle more than rows of ponderous foxhunters, fat with
affordshire or Devonshire ale, men who drank to the king
er the water, and believed that all the fundholders were
ws, men whose religion consisted in hating the Dissenters,
d whose political researches had led them to fear, like
uire Western, that their land might be sent over to
anover to be put in the sinking-fund. The eloquence of
ese zealous squires, the remnant of the once formidable
ctober Club, seldom went beyond a hearty Ay or No.
ery few members of this party had distinguished themselves
uch in Parliament, or could, under any circumstances,
ve been called to fill any high office; and those few had
nerally, like Sir William Wyndham, learned in the company
their new associates the doctrines of toleration and
litical liberty, and might indeed with strict propriety be
lled Whigs.

It was to the Whigs in Opposition, the patriots, as they
re called, that the most distinguished of the English
uth who at this season entered into public life attached
emselves. These inexperienced politicians felt all the
thusiasm which the name of liberty naturally excites in
ung and ardent minds. They conceived that the theory
the Tory Opposition and the practice of Walpole's Govern-
ent were alike inconsistent with the principles of liberty.
ey accordingly repaired to the standard which Pulteney
d set up. While opposing the Whig minister, they pro-
sed a firm adherence to the purest doctrines of Whiggism.
was the schismatic; they were the true Catholics, the
culiar people, the depositaries of the orthodox faith of
mpden and Russell, the one sect which, amidst the cor-
ptions generated by time and by the long possession of
wer, had preserved inviolate the principles of the Revolution.
the young men who attached themselves to this portion of
e Opposition the most distinguished were Lyttelton and Pitt.
When Pitt entered Parliament, the whole political world
s attentively watching the progress of an event which
n added great strength to the Opposition, and particularly

to that section of the Opposition in which the young state man enrolled himself. The Prince of Wales was gradual becoming more and more estranged from his father and h father's ministers, and more and more friendly to the patriot

Nothing is more natural than that, in a monarchy where constitutional Opposition exists, the heir-apparent of th throne should put himself at the head of that Oppositio He is impelled to such a course by every feeling of ambitio and of vanity. He cannot be more than second in th estimation of the party which is in. He is sure to be th first member of the party which is out. The highest favo which the existing administration can expect from him that he will not discard them. But, if he joins the Oppos tion, all his associates expect that he will promote them and the feelings which men entertain towards one fro whom they hope to obtain great advantages which the have not, are far warmer than the feelings with which the regard one who, at the very utmost, can only leave them possession of what they already have. An heir-apparen therefore, who wishes to enjoy, in the highest perfection, a the pleasure that can be derived from eloquent flattery an profound respect, will always join those who are struggli to force themselves into power. This is, we believe, the tr explanation of a fact which Lord Granville attributed some natural peculiarity in the illustrious House of Brun wick. "This family," said he at Council, we suppose aft his daily half-gallon of Burgundy, "always has quarrelle and always will quarrel, from generation to generation He should have known something of the matter; for had been a favourite with three successive generations of t royal house. We cannot quite admit his explanation; b the fact is indisputable. Since the accession of George t First, there have been four Princes of Wales, and they ha all been almost constantly in Opposition.

Whatever might have been the motives which induc Prince Frederick to join the party opposed to Sir Robe Walpole, his support infused into many members of th party a courage and an energy of which they stood great in need. Hitherto it had been impossible for the disco tented Whigs not to feel some misgivings when they fou themselves dividing, night after night, with uncompromisi Jacobites who were known to be in constant communicati

th the exiled family, or with Tories who had impeached mers, who had murmured against Harley and St John too remiss in the cause of the Church and the landed in- rest, and who, if they were not inclined to attack the gning family, yet considered the introduction of that nily as, at best, only the less of two great evils, as a neces- ry but painful and humiliating preservative against Popery. e Minister might plausibly say that Pulteney and Carteret, the hope of gratifying their own appetite for office and revenge, did not scruple to serve the purposes of a faction stile to the Protestant succession. The appearance of ederick at the head of the patriots silenced this reproach. e leaders of the Opposition might now boast that their urse was sanctioned by a person as deeply interested as e King himself in maintaining the Act of Settlement, and at, instead of serving the purposes of the Tory party, ey had brought that party over to the side of Whiggism. must indeed be admitted that, though both the King and e Prince behaved in a manner little to their honour, though e father acted harshly, the son disrespectfully, and both ldishly, the royal family was rather strengthened than akened by the disagreement of its two most distinguished embers. A large class of politicians, who had considered emselves as placed under sentence of perpetual exclusion m office, and who, in their despair, had been almost ready join in a counter-revolution as the only mode of removing e proscription under which they lay, now saw with pleasure easier and safer road to power opening before them, and ought it far better to wait till, in the natural course of ngs, the Crown should descend to the heir of the House Brunswick, than to risk their lands and their necks in a ing for the House of Stuart. The situation of the royal nily resembled the situation of those Scotch families, which father and son took opposite sides during the re- llion, in order that, come what might, the estate might not forfeited.

In April, 1736, Frederick was married to the Princess of xe Gotha, with whom he afterwards lived on terms very nilar to those on which his father had lived with Queen roline. The Prince adored his wife, and thought her in nd and person the most attractive of her sex. But he ought that conjugal fidelity was an unprincely virtue;

and, in order to be like Henry the Fourth and the Regent Orleans, he affected a libertinism for which he had no taste, and frequently quitted the only woman whom he loved for ugly and disagreeable mistresses.

The address which the House of Commons presented to the King on the occasion of the Prince's marriage was moved, not by the Minister, but by Pulteney, the leader of the Whigs in Opposition. It was on this motion that Pitt, who had not broken silence during the session in which he took his seat, addressed the House for the first time. "A contemporary historian," says Mr Thackeray, "describes Mr Pitt's first speech as superior even to the models of ancient eloquence. According to Tindal, it was more ornamented than the speeches of Demosthenes and less diffuse than those of Cicero." This unmeaning phrase has been a hundred times quoted. That it should ever have been quoted, except to be laughed at, is strange. The vogue which it has obtained may serve to show in how slovenly a way most people are content to think. Did Tindal, who first used it, or Archdeacon Coxe and Mr Thackeray, who have borrowed it, ever in their lives hear any speaking which did not deserve the same compliment? Did they ever hear speaking less ornamented that that of Demosthenes, or more diffuse than that of Cicero? We know no living orator, from Lord Brougham down to Mr Hunt, who is not entitled to the same eulogy. It would be no very flattering compliment to a man's figure to say, that he was taller than the Polish Count, and shorter than Giant O'Brien, fatter than the *Anatomie Vivante*, and more slender than Daniel Lambert.

Pitt's speech, as it is reported in the Gentleman's Magazine, certainly deserves Tindal's compliment, and deserves no other.

It is just as empty and wordy as a maiden speech on such an occasion might be expected to be. But the fluency and the personal advantages of the young orator instantly caught the ear and eye of his audience. He was, from the day of his first appearance, always heard with attention; and exercise soon developed the great powers which he possessed.

In our time, the audience of a member of Parliament is the nation. The three or four hundred persons who may be present while a speech is delivered may be pleased or

disgusted by the voice and action of the orator; but, in the reports which are read the next day by hundreds of thousands, the difference between the noblest and the meanest figure, between the richest and the shrillest tones, between the most graceful and the most uncouth gesture, altogether vanishes. A hundred years ago, scarcely any report of what passed within the walls of the House of Commons was suffered to get abroad. In those times, therefore, the impression which a speaker might make on the persons who actually heard him was every thing. His fame out of doors depended entirely on the report of those who were within the doors. In the Parliaments of that time, therefore, as in the ancient commonwealths, those qualifications which enhance the immediate effect of a speech, were far more important ingredients in the composition of an orator than at present. All those qualifications Pitt possessed in the highest degree. On the stage, he would have been the finest Brutus or Coriolanus ever seen. Those who saw him in his decay, when his health was broken, when his mind was untuned, when he had been removed from that stormy assembly of which he thoroughly knew the temper, and over which he possessed unbounded influence, to a small, a torpid, and an unfriendly audience, say that his speaking was then, for the most part, a low, monotonous muttering, audible only to those who sat close to him, that when violently excited, he sometimes raised his voice for a few minutes, but that it soon sank again into an unintelligible murmur. Such was the Earl of Chatham; but such was not William Pitt. His figure, when he first appeared in Parliament, was strikingly graceful and commanding, his features high and noble, his eye full of fire. His voice, even when it sank to a whisper, was heard to the remotest benches; and when he strained it to its full extent, the sound rose like the swell of the organ of a great cathedral, shook the house with its peal, and was heard through lobbies and down staircases, to the Court of Requests and the precincts of Westminster Hall. He cultivated all these eminent advantages with the most assiduous care. His action is described by a very malignant observer as equal to that of Garrick. His play of countenance was wonderful: he frequently disconcerted a hostile orator by a single glance of indignation or scorn. Every tone, from

the impassioned cry to the thrilling aside was perfectly at his command. It is by no means improbable that the pains which he took to improve his great personal advantages had, in some respects, a prejudicial operation, and tended to nourish in him that passion for theatrical effect which, as we have already remarked, was one of the most conspicuous blemishes in his character.

But it was not solely or principally to outward accomplishments that Pitt owed the vast influence which, during nearly thirty years, he exercised over the House of Commons. He was undoubtedly a great orator; and, from the descriptions of his contemporaries and the fragments of his speeches which still remain, it is not difficult to discover the nature and extent of his oratorical powers.

He was no speaker of set speeches. His few prepared discourses were complete failures. The elaborate panegyric which he pronounced on General Wolfe was considered as the very worst of all his performances. "No man," says a critic who had often heard him, "ever knew so little what he was going to say." Indeed his facility amounted to a vice. He was not the master, but the slave of his own speech. So little self-command had he when once he felt the impulse, that he did not like to take part in a debate when his mind was full of an important secret of state. "I must sit still," he once said to Lord Shelburne on such an occasion; "for, when once I am up, every thing that is in my mind comes out."

Yet he was not a great debater. That he should not have been so when first he entered the House of Commons is not strange. Scarcely any person has ever become so without long practice, and many failures. It was by slow degrees, as Burke said, that the late Mr Fox became the most brilliant and powerful debater that ever lived. Mr Fox himself attributed his own success to the resolution which he formed when very young, of speaking, well or ill, at least once every night. "During five whole sessions," he used to say, "I spoke every night but one; and I regret only that I did not speak on that night too." Indeed, with the exception of Mr Stanley, whose knowledge of the science of parliamentary defence resembles an instinct, it would be difficult to name any eminent debater who has not made himself a master of his art at the expense of his audience.

But as this art is one which even the ablest men have eldom acquired without long practice, so it is one which nen of respectable abilities, with assiduous and intrepid ractice, seldom fail to acquire. It is singular that in such n art, Pitt, a man of splendid talents, of great fluency, of reat boldness, a man whose whole life was passed in parlia- nentary conflict, a man who, during several years, was he leading minister of the Crown in the House of Commons, hould never have attained to high excellence. He spoke ithout premeditation; but his speech followed the course f his own thoughts and not the course of the previous iscussion. He could, indeed, treasure up in his memory ome detached expression of a hostile orator, and make it he text for lively ridicule or solemn reprehension. Some f the most celebrated bursts of his eloquence were called orth by an unguarded word, a laugh, or a cheer. But his was the only sort of reply in which he appears to have xcelled. He was perhaps the only great English orator ho did not think it any advantage to have the last word, nd who generally spoke by choice before his most formi- able opponents. His merit was almost entirely rhetorical. Ie did not succeed either in expositions or in refutation; ut his speeches abounded with lively illustrations, striking pophthegms, well told anecdotes, happy allusions, passionate ppeals. His invective and sarcasm were terrific. Perhaps o English orator was ever so much feared.

But that which gave most effect to his declamation was he air of sincerity, of vehement feeling, of moral elevation, hich belonged to all that he said. His style was not always the purest taste. Several contemporary judges pronounced too florid. Walpole, in the midst of the rapturous eulogy hich he pronounces on one of Pitt's greatest orations, owns hat some of the metaphors were too forced. Some of Pitt's uotations and classical sorties are too trite for a clever school- oy. But these were niceties for which the audience cared ttle. The enthusiasm of the orator infected all who heard im; his ardour and his noble bearing put fire into the most igid conceit, and gave dignity to the most puerile allusion.

His powers soon began to give annoyance to the Govern- ent; and Walpole determined to make an example of he patriotic cornet. Pitt was accordingly dismissed from he service. Mr Thackeray says that the Minister took

this step, because he plainly saw that it would have been vain to think of buying over so honourable and disinterested an opponent. We do not dispute Pitt's integrity; but we do not know what proof he had given of it when he was turned out of the army; and we are sure that Walpole was not likely to give credit for inflexible honesty to a young adventurer who had never had an opportunity of refusing any thing. The truth is, that it was not Walpole's practice to buy off enemies. Mr Burke truly says, in the Appeal to the Old Whigs, that Walpole gained very few over from the Opposition. Indeed that great minister knew his business far too well. He knew that for one mouth, which is stopped with a place, fifty other mouths will be instantly opened. He knew that it would have been very bad policy in him to give the world to understand that more was to be got by thwarting his measures than by supporting them. These maxims are as old as the origin of parliamentary corruption in England. Pepys learned them, as he tells us, from the counsellors of Charles the Second.

Pitt was no loser. He was made Groom of the Bedchamber to the Prince of Wales, and continued to declaim against the ministers with unabated violence and with increasing ability. The question of maritime right, then agitated between Spain and England, called forth all his powers. He clamoured for war with a vehemence which it is not easy to reconcile with reason or humanity, but which appears to Mr Thackeray worthy of the highest admiration. We will not stop to argue a point on which we had long thought that all well informed people were agreed. We could easily show, we think, that, if any respect be due to international law, if right, where societies of men are concerned, be anything but another name for might, if we do not adopt the doctrine of the Buccaneers, which seems to be also the doctrine of Mr Thackeray, that treaties mean nothing within thirty degrees of the line, the war with Spain was altogether unjustifiable. But the truth is, that the promoters of that war have saved the historian the trouble of trying them. They have pleaded guilty. "I have seen," says Burke, "and with some care examined, the original documents concerning certain important transactions of those times. They perfectly satisfied me of the extreme injustice of that war, and of the falsehood of the colours

which Walpole, to his ruin, and guided by a mistaken policy, suffered to be daubed over that measure. Some years after, it was my fortune to converse with many of the principal actors against that minister, and with those who principally excited that clamour. None of them, no not one, did in the least defend the measure, or attempt to justify their conduct. They condemned it as freely as they would have done in commenting upon any proceeding in history in which they were totally unconcerned." Pitt, in subsequent occasions, gave ample proof that he was one of those tardy penitents. But his conduct, even where it appeared most criminal to himself, appears admirable to his biographer.

The elections of 1741 were unfavourable to Walpole; and after a long and obstinate struggle he found it necessary to resign. The Duke of Newcastle and Lord Hardwicke opened a negotiation with the leading patriots, in the hope of forming an administration on a Whig basis. At this conjuncture, Pitt and those persons who were most nearly connected with him acted in a manner very little to their honour. They attempted to come to an understanding with Walpole, and offered, if he would use his influence with the King in their favour, to screen him from prosecution. They even went so far as to engage for the concurrence of the Prince of Wales. But Walpole knew that the assistance of the Boys, as he called the young patriots, would avail him nothing if Pulteney and Carteret should prove intractable, and would be superfluous if the great leaders of the Opposition could be gained. He, therefore, declined the proposal. It is remarkable that Mr Thackeray, who has thought it worth while to preserve Pitt's bad college verses, has not even alluded to this story, a story which is supported by strong testimony, and which may be found in so common a book as Coxe's Life of Walpole.

The new arrangements disappointed almost every member of the Opposition, and none more than Pitt. He was not invited to become a placeman; and he therefore stuck firmly to his old trade of patriot. Fortunate it was for him that he did so. Had he taken office at this time, he would in all probability have shared largely in the unpopularity of Pulteney, Sandys, and Carteret. He was now the fiercest and most implacable of those who called for vengeance on

Walpole. He spoke with great energy and ability in favour of the most unjust and violent propositions which the enemies of the fallen minister could invent. He urged the House of Commons to appoint a secret tribunal for the purpose of investigating the conduct of the late First Lord of the Treasury. This was done. The great majority of the inquisitors were notoriously hostile to the accused statesman. Yet they were compelled to own that they could find no fault in him. They therefore called for new powers for a bill of indemnity to witnesses, or, in plain words, for a bill to reward all who might give evidence, true or false, against the Earl of Orford. This bill Pitt supported, Pitt who had himself offered to be a screen between Lord Orford and public justice. These are melancholy facts. Mr Thackeray omits them, or hurries over them as fast as he can; and, as eulogy is his business, he is in the right to do so. But, though there are many parts of the life of Pitt which it is more agreeable to contemplate, we know none more instructive. What must have been the general state of political morality, when a young man, considered, and justly considered, as the most public-spirited and spotless statesman of his time, could attempt to force his way into office by means so disgraceful!

The Bill of Indemnity was rejected by the Lords. Walpole withdrew himself quietly from the public eye; and the ample space which he had left vacant was soon occupied by Carteret. Against Carteret Pitt began to thunder with as much zeal as he had ever manifested against Sir Robert. To Carteret he transferred most of the hard names which were familiar to his eloquence, sole minister, wicked minister, odious minister, execrable minister. The chief topic of Pitt's invective was the favour shown to the German dominions of the House of Brunswick. He attacked with great violence, and with an ability which raised him to the very first rank among the parliamentary speakers, the practice of paying Hanoverian troops with English money. The House of Commons had lately lost some of its most distinguished ornaments. Walpole and Pulteney had accepted peerages; Sir William Wyndham was dead; and among the rising men none could be considered as, on the whole, a match for Pitt.

During the recess of 1744, the old Duchess of Marlborough died. She carried to her grave the reputation of being

cidedly the best hater of her time. Yet her love had en infinitely more destructive than her hatred. More an thirty years before, her temper had ruined the party which she belonged and the husband whom she adored. me had made her neither wiser nor kinder. Whoever s at any moment great and prosperous was the object her fiercest detestation. She had hated Walpole; she w hated Carteret. Pope, long before her death, pre-ted the fate of her vast property.

> "To heirs unknown descends the unguarded store,
> Or wanders, heaven-directed, to the poor."

Pitt was then one of the poor; and to him Heaven ected a portion of the wealth of the haughty Dowager. e left him a legacy of ten thousand pounds, in considera-n of "the noble defence he had made for the support of laws of England, and to prevent the ruin of his country." The will was made in August. The Duchess died in tober. In November Pitt was a courtier. The Pelhams d forced the King, much against his will, to part with rd Carteret, who had now become Earl Granville. They ceeded, after this victory, to form the Government on t basis, called by the cant name of "the broad bottom." ttelton had a seat at the Treasury, and several other nds of Pitt were provided for. But Pitt himself was, the present, forced to be content with promises. The ng resented most highly some expressions which the ardent tor had used in the debate on the Hanoverian troops. t Newcastle and Pelham expressed the strongest con-nce that time and their exertions would soften the al displeasure.

Pitt, on his part, omitted nothing that might facilitate admission to office. He resigned his place in the house-d of Prince Frederick, and, when Parliament met, ex-ed his eloquence in support of the Government. The hams were really sincere in their endeavours to remove strong prejudices which had taken root in the King's nd. They knew that Pitt was not a man to be deceived h ease or offended with impunity. They were afraid t they should not be long able to put him off with promises. was it their interest so to put him off. There was a

strong tie between him and them. He was the enemy o their enemy. The brothers hated and dreaded the eloquent aspiring, and imperious Granville. They had traced his intrigues in many quarters. They knew his influence ove the royal mind. They knew that, as soon as a favourable opportunity should arrive, he would be recalled to the head of affairs. They resolved to bring things to a crisis; and the question on which they took issue with their master was, whether Pitt should or should not be admitted to office? They chose their time with more skill than generosity. It was when rebellion was actually raging in Britain, when the Pretender was master of the northern extremity of the island, that they tendered their resignations. The King found himself deserted, in one day, by the whole strength of that party which had placed his family on the throne. Lord Granville tried to form a government; but it soon appeared that the parliamentary interest of the Pelhams was irresistible, and that the King's favourite statesman could count only on about thirty Lords and eighty members of the House of Commons. The scheme was given up. Granville went away laughing. The ministers came back stronger than ever; and the King was now no longer able to refuse any thing that they might be pleased to demand. He could only mutter that it was very hard that Newcastle, who was not fit to be chamberlain to the most insignificant prince in Germany, should dictate to the King of England.

One concession the ministers graciously made. They agreed that Pitt should not be placed in a situation in which it would be necessary for him to have frequent interviews with the King. Instead, therefore, of making their new ally Secretary-at-War as they had intended, they appointed him Vice-Treasurer of Ireland, and in a few months promoted him to the office of Paymaster of the Forces.

This was, at that time, one of the most lucrative offices in the Government. The salary was but a small part of the emolument which the Paymaster derived from his place. He was allowed to keep a large sum, which, even in time of peace, was seldom less than one hundred thousand pounds, constantly in his hands; and the interest on this sum he might appropriate to his own use. This practice was not secret, nor was it considered as disreputable. It was the practice of men of undoubted honour, both before and

fter the time of Pitt. He, however, refused to accept one arthing beyond the salary which the law had annexed to is office. It had been usual for foreign princes who received he pay of England to give to the Paymaster of the Forces small per centage on the subsidies. These ignominious ails Pitt resolutely declined.

Disinterestedness of this kind was, in his days, very rare. His conduct surprised and amused politicians. It excited he warmest admiration throughout the body of the people. n spite of the inconsistencies of which Pitt had been guilty, n spite of the strange contrast between his violence in Opposition and his tameness in office, he still possessed a large hare of the public confidence. The motives which may ead a politician to change his connections or his general ne of conduct are often obscure; but disinterestedness in ecuniary matters every body can understand. Pitt was henceforth considered as a man who was proof to all sordid emptations. If he acted ill, it might be from an error in udgment; it might be from resentment; it might be from mbition. But poor as he was, he had vindicated himself rom all suspicion of covetousness.

Eight quiet years followed, eight years during which the inority, which had been feeble ever since Lord Granville ad been overthrown, continued to dwindle till it became lmost invisible. Peace was made with France and Spain n 1748. Prince Frederick died in 1751; and with him died he very semblance of opposition. All the most distinuished survivors of the party which had supported Walole and of the party which had opposed him were united nder his successor. The fiery and vehement spirit of Pitt ad for a time been laid to rest. He silently acquiesced in hat very system of continental measures which he had itely condemned. He ceased to talk disrespectfully about Hanover. He did not object to the treaty with Spain, hough that treaty left us exactly where we had been when e uttered his spirit-stirring harangues against the pacific olicy of Walpole. Now and then glimpses of his former elf appeared; but they were few and transient. Pelham new with whom he had to deal, and felt than an ally, so ttle used to control, and so capable of inflicting injury, ight well be indulged in an occasional fit of waywardness. Two men, little, if at all, inferior to Pitt in powers of mind,

held, like him, subordinate offices in the government. On of these, Murray, was successively Solicitor-General an Attorney-General. This distinguished person far surpasse Pitt in correctness of taste, in power of reasoning, in dept and variety of knowledge. His parliamentary eloquenc never blazed into sudden flashes of dazzling brilliancy but its clear, placid, and mellow splendour was never fo an instant overclouded. Intellectually he was, we believe fully equal to Pitt; but he was deficient in the mora qualities to which Pitt owed most of his success. Murra wanted the energy, the courage, the all-grasping and al risking ambition, which make men great in stirring time His heart was a little cold, his temper cautious even t timidity, his manners decorous even to formality. H never exposed his fortunes or his fame to any risk whic he could avoid. At one time he might, in all probabilit have been Prime Minister. But the object of his wish was the judicial bench. The situation of Chief Justic might not be so splendid as that of First Lord of the Trea ury; but it was dignified; it was quiet; it was secure and therefore it was the favourite situation of Murray.

Fox, the father of the great man whose mighty effor in the cause of peace, of truth, and of liberty, have mad that name immortal, was Secretary-at-War. He was favourite with the King, with the Duke of Cumberlan and with some of the most powerful members of the grea Whig connection. His parliamentary talents were of th highest order. As a speaker he was in almost all respec the very opposite to Pitt. His figure was ungraceful his face, as Reynolds and Nollekens have preserved it t us, indicated a strong understanding; but the featur were coarse, and the general aspect dark and lowering. H manner was awkward; his delivery was hesitating; he wa often at a stand for want of a word; but as a debate as a master of that keen, weighty, manly logic, which suited to the discussion of political questions, he has pe haps never been surpassed except by his son. In rep he was as decidedly superior to Pitt as in declamation was Pitt's inferior. Intellectually the balance was near even between the rivals. But here, again, the moral qualiti of Pitt turned the scale. Fox had undoubtedly mar virtues. In natural disposition as well as in talents,

ore a great resemblance to his more celebrated son. He
ad the same sweetness of temper, the same strong passions,
e same openness, boldness, and impetuosity, the same
rdiality towards friends, the same placability towards
emies. No man was more warmly or justly beloved by
s family or by his associates. But unhappily he had been
ained in a bad political school, in a school, the doctrines
which were, that political virtue is the mere coquetry of
litical prostitution, that every patriot has his price, that
overnment can be carried on only by means of corruption,
d that the state is given as a prey to statesmen. These
axims were too much in vogue throughout the lower
nks of Walpole's party, and were too much encouraged by
alpole himself, who, from contempt of what is in our day
lgarly called *humbug*, often ran extravagantly and offen-
rely into the opposite extreme. The loose political
orality of Fox presented a remarkable contrast to the osten-
tious purity of Pitt. The nation distrusted the former,
d placed implicit confidence in the latter. But almost
the statesmen of the age had still to learn that the con-
ence of the nation was worth having. While things
nt on quietly, while there was no opposition, while every
ing was given by the favour of a small ruling junto, Fox
d a decided advantage over Pitt; but when dangerous
nes came, when Europe was convulsed with war, when
rliament was broken up into factions, when the public
nd was violently excited, the favourite of the people
se to supreme power, while his rival sank into insigni-
ance.

Early in the year 1754 Henry Pelham died unexpectedly.
Now I shall have no more peace," exclaimed the old
ng, when he heard the news. He was in the right. Pel-
m had succeeded in bringing together and keeping to-
ther all the talents of the kingdom. By his death, the
ghest post to which an English subject can aspire was
t vacant; and at the same moment, the influence which
d yoked together and reined in so many turbulent and
bitious spirits was withdrawn.

Within a week after Pelham's death, it was determined
at the Duke of Newcastle should be placed at the head
the Treasury; but the arrangement was still far from
nplete. Who was to be the leading Minister of the Crown

in the House of Commons ? Was the office to be intrusted to a man of eminent talents ? And would not such a man in such a place demand and obtain a larger share of power and patronage than Newcastle would be disposed to concede ? Was a mere drudge to be employed ? And what probability was there that a mere drudge would be able to manage a large and stormy assembly, abounding with able and experienced men ?

Pope has said of that wretched miser Sir John Cutler,

> " Cutler saw tenants break and houses fall
> For very want : he could not build a wall."

Newcastle's love of power resembled Cutler's love of money. It was an avarice which thwarted itself, a penny-wise and pound-foolish cupidity. An immediate outlay was so painful to him that he would not venture to make the most desirable improvement. If he could have found it in his heart to cede at once a portion of his authority, he might probably have ensured the continuance of what remained. But he thought it better to construct a weak and rotten government, which tottered at the smallest breath, and fell in the first storm, than to pay the necessary price for sound and durable materials. He wished to find some person who would be willing to accept the lead of the House of Commons on terms similar to those on which Secretary Craggs had acted under Sunderland five-and-thirty years before. Craggs could hardly be called a minister. He was a mere agent for the Minister. He was not trusted with the higher secrets of state, but obeyed implicitly the directions of his superior, and was, to use Doddington's expression, merely Lord Sunderland's man. But times were changed. Since the days of Sunderland, the importance of the House of Commons had been constantly on the increase. During many years the person who conducted the business of the Government in that House had almost always been Prime Minister. Under these circumstances it was not to be supposed that any person who possessed the talents necessary for the situation, would stoop to accept it on such terms as Newcastle was disposed to offer.

Pitt was ill at Bath ; and, had he been well and in London, neither the King nor Newcastle would have been disposed to

ake any overtures to him. The cool and wary Murray ad set his heart on professional objects. Negotiations were pened with Fox. Newcastle behaved like himself, that is o say, childishly and basely. The proposition which he ade was, that Fox should be Secretary of State, with he lead of the House of Commons; that the disposal of he secret-service-money, or, in plain words, the business of uying members of Parliament, should be left to the First ord of the Treasury; but that Fox should be exactly formed of the way in which this fund was employed.

To these conditions Fox assented. But the next day very thing was in confusion. Newcastle had changed s mind. The conversation which took place between ox and the Duke is one of the most curious in English story. "My brother," said Newcastle, "when he was the Treasury, never told anybody what he did with he secret-service-money. No more will I." The answer as obvious. Pelham had been, not only First Lord of he Treasury, but also manager of the House of Commons; nd it was therefore unnecessary for him to confide to any ther person his dealings with the members of that House. But how," said Fox, "can I lead in the Commons without formation on this head? How can I talk to gentlemen when I do not know which of them have received ratifications and which have not? And who," he continued, "is to have the disposal of places?"—"I myself," id the Duke.—"How then am I to manage the House Commons?"—"Oh, let the members of the House of ommons come to me." Fox then mentioned the general ection which was approaching, and asked how the ministrial boroughs were to be filled up. "Do not trouble ourself," said Newcastle; "that is all settled." This as too much for human nature to bear. Fox refused accept the Secretaryship of State on such terms; and e Duke confided the management of the House of ommons to a dull, harmless man, whose name is almost rgotten in our time, Sir Thomas Robinson.

When Pitt returned from Bath he affected great moderaon, though his haughty soul was boiling with resentment. e did not complain of the manner in which he had been ssed by, but said openly that, in his opinion, Fox was e fittest man to lead the House of Commons. The

rivals, reconciled by their common interest and their com
mon enmities, concerted a plan of operations for the nex
session. "Sir Thomas Robinson lead us!" said Pitt t
Fox. "The Duke might as well send his jack-boot t
lead us."

The elections of 1754 were favourable to the administra
tion. But the aspect of foreign affairs was threatening
In India the English and the French had been employed
ever since the peace of Aix-la-Chapelle, in cutting each
other's throats. They had lately taken to the same practic
in America. It might have been foreseen that stirrin
times were at hand, times which would call for abilitie
very different from those of Newcastle and Robinson.

In November the Parliament met; and before the en
of that month the new Secretary of State had been s
unmercifully baited by the Paymaster of the Forces an
the Secretary at War that he was thoroughly sick of hi
situation. Fox attacked him with great force and acrimony
Pitt affected a kind of contemptuous tenderness for Si
Thomas, and directed his attacks principally against New
castle. On one occasion, he asked in tones of thunde
whether Parliament sat only to register the edicts of on
too-powerful subject? The Duke was scared out of hi
wits. He was afraid to dismiss the mutineers; he wa
afraid to promote them; but it was absolutely necessar
to do something. Fox, as the less proud and intractabl
of the refractory pair, was preferred. A seat in the Cabine
was offered to him on condition that he would give efficien
support to the ministry in Parliament. In an evil hou
for his fame and his fortunes he accepted the offer, an
abandoned his connection with Pitt, who never forgav
this desertion.

Sir Thomas, assisted by Fox, contrived to get throug
the business of the year without much trouble. Pitt wa
waiting his time. The negotiations pending betwee
France and England took every day a more unfavorabl
aspect. Towards the close of the session the King sent
message to inform the House of Commons that he ha
found it necessary to make preparations for war. Th
House returned an address of thanks, and passed a vote o
credit. During the recess, the old animosity of both natio
was inflamed by a series of disastrous events. An Englis

rce was cut off in America; and several French merchant-
en were taken in the West Indian Seas. It was plain
at an appeal to arms was at hand.

The first object of the King was to secure Hanover;
d Newcastle was disposed to gratify his master. Treaties
ere concluded, after the fashon of those times, with several
tty German princes, who bound themselves to find
ldiers if England would find money; and, as it was
spected that Frederic the Second had set his heart on
e electoral dominions of his uncle, Russia was hired to
ep Prussia in awe.

When the stipulations of these treaties were made known,
ere arose throughout the kingdom a murmur from which
udicious observer might easily prognosticate the approach
a tempest. Newcastle encountered strong opposition,
en from those whom he had always considered as his
ols. Legge, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, refused
sign the Treasury warrants which were necessary to
ve effect to the treaties. Those persons who were sup-
sed to possess the confidence of the young Prince of
ales and of his mother held very menacing language.
this perplexity Newcastle sent for Pitt, hugged him,
tted him, smirked at him, wept over him, and lisped out
e highest compliments and the most splendid promises.
he King, who had hitherto been as sulky as possible,
ould be civil to him at the levee; he should be brought
to the Cabinet; he should be consulted about every
ing; if he would only be so good as to support the Hessian
bsidy in the House of Commons. Pitt coldly declined
e proffered seat in the Cabinet, expressed the highest
ve and reverence for the King, and said that, if his Ma-
ty felt a strong personal interest in the Hessian treaty
would so far deviate from the line which he had traced
t for himself as to give that treaty his support. "Well,
d the Russian subsidy," said Newcastle. "No," said
tt, "not a system of subsidies." The Duke summoned
rd Hardwicke to his aid; but Pitt was inflexible. Murray
uld do nothing. Robinson could do nothing. It was
cessary to have recourse to Fox. He became Secretary
State, with the full authority of a leader in the House
Commons; and Sir Thomas was pensioned off on the
sh establishment.

In November, 1755, the Houses met. Public expectation was wound up to the height. After ten quiet years there was to be an Opposition, countenanced by the heir-apparent of the throne, and headed by the most brilliant orator of the age. The debate on the address was long remembered as one of the greatest parliamentary conflicts of that generation. It began at three in the afternoon, and lasted till five the next morning. It was on this night that Gerard Hamilton delivered that single speech from which his nickname was derived. His eloquence threw into the shade every orator except Pitt, who declaimed against the subsidies for an hour and a half with extraordinary energy and effect. Those powers which had formerly spread terror through the majorities of Walpole and Carteret were now displayed in their highest perfection before an audience long unaccustomed to such exhibitions. One fragment of this celebrated oration remains in a state of tolerable preservation. It is the comparison between the coalition of Fox and Newcastle, and the junction of the Rhone and the Saone. "At Lyons," said Pitt, "I was taken to see the place where the two rivers meet, the one gentle, feeble, languid, and, though languid, yet of no depth, the other a boisterous and impetuous torrent; but different as they are, they meet at last." The amendment moved by the Opposition was rejected by a great majority; and Pitt and Legge were immediately dismissed from their offices.

During several months the contest in the House of Commons was extremely sharp. Warm debates took place on the estimates, debates still warmer on the subsidiary treaties. The Government succeeded in every division; but the fame of Pitt's eloquence, and the influence of his lofty and determined character, continued to increase through the Session; and the events which followed the prorogation made it utterly impossible for any other person to manage the Parliament or the country.

The war began in every part of the world with events disastrous to England, and even more shameful than disastrous. But the most humiliating of these events was the loss of Minorca. The Duke of Richelieu, an old fop who had passed his life from sixteen to sixty in seducing women for whom he cared not one straw, landed on that island, and succeeded in reducing it. Admiral Byng

was sent from Gibraltar to throw succours into Port-Mahon; but he did not think fit to engage the French squadron, and sailed back without having effected his purpose. The people were inflamed to madness. A storm broke forth, which appalled even those who remembered the days of Excise and of South-Sea. The shops were filled with labels and caricatures. The walls were covered with placards. The city of London called for vengeance, and the cry was echoed from every corner of the kingdom. Dorsetshire, Huntingdonshire, Bedfordshire, Buckinghamshire, Somersetshire, Lancashire, Suffolk, Shropshire, Surrey, sent up strong addresses to the throne, and instructed their representatives to vote for a strict inquiry into the causes of the late disasters. In the great towns the feeling was as strong as in the counties. In some of the instructions it was even recommended that the supplies should be stopped.

The nation was in a state of angry and sullen despondency, almost unparalleled in history. People have, in all ages, been in the habit of talking about the good old times of their ancestors, and the degeneracy of their contemporaries. This is in general merely a cant. But in 1756 it was something more. At this time appeared Brown's Estimate, a book now remembered only by the allusions in Cowper's Table Talk and in Burke's Letters on a Regicide Peace. It was universally read, admired, and believed. The author fully convinced his readers that they were a race of cowards and scoundrels; that nothing could save them; that they were on the point of being enslaved by their enemies, and that they richly deserved their fate. Such were the speculations to which ready credence was given at the outset of the most glorious war in which England had ever been engaged.

Newcastle now began to tremble for his place, and for the only thing which was dearer to him than his place, his neck. The people were not in a mood to be trifled with. Their cry was for blood. For this once they might be contented with the sacrifice of Byng. But what if fresh disasters should take place? What if an unfriendly sovereign should ascend the throne? What if a hostile House of Commons should be chosen?

At length, in October, the decisive crisis came. The

new Secretary of State had been long sick of the perfidy and levity of the First Lord of the Treasury, and began to fear that he might be made a scapegoat to save the old intriguer who, imbecile as he seemed, never wanted dexterity where danger was to be avoided. Fox threw up his office. Newcastle had recourse to Murray; but Murray had now within his reach the favourite object of his ambition. The situation of Chief-Justice of the King's Bench was vacant; and the Attorney-General was fully resolved to obtain it, or to go into Opposition. Newcastle offered him any terms, the Duchy of Lancaster for life, a tellership of the Exchequer, any amount of pension, two thousand a year, six thousand a year. When the Ministers found that Murray's mind was made up, they pressed for delay, the delay of a session, a month, a week, a day. Would he only make his appearance once more in the House of Commons? Would he only speak in favour of the address? He was inexorable, and peremptorily said that they might give or withhold the Chief-Justiceship, but that he would be Attorney-General no longer.

Newcastle now contrived to overcome the prejudices of the King, and overtures were made to Pitt, through Lord Hardwicke. Pitt knew his power, and showed that he knew it. He demanded as an indispensable condition that Newcastle should be altogether excluded from the new arrangement.

The Duke was now in a state of ludicrous distress. He ran about chattering and crying, asking advice and listening to none. In the mean time, the Session drew near. The public excitement was unabated. Nobody could be found to face Pitt and Fox in the House of Commons. Newcastle's heart failed him, and he tendered his resignation.

The King sent for Fox, and directed him to form the plan of an administration in concert with Pitt. But Pitt had not forgotten old injuries, and positively refused to act with Fox.

The King now applied to the Duke of Devonshire, and this mediator succeeded in making an arrangement. He consented to take the Treasury. Pitt became Secretary of State, with the lead of the House of Commons. The Great Seal was put into commission. Legge returned to

the Exchequer; and Lord Temple, whose sister Pitt had lately married, was placed at the head of the Admiralty.

It was clear from the first that this administration would last but a very short time. It lasted not quite five months; and, during those five months, Pitt and Lord Temple were treated with rudeness by the King, and found but feeble support in the House of Commons. It is a remarkable fact that the Opposition prevented the re-election of some of the new Ministers. Pitt, who sat for one of the boroughs which were in the Pelham interest, found some difficulty in obtaining a seat after his acceptance of the seals. So destitute was the new Government of that sort of influence without which no government could then be durable. One of the arguments most frequently urged against the Reform Bill was that, under a system of popular representation, men whose presence in the House of Commons was necessary to the conducting of public business might often find it impossible to find seats. Should this inconvenience ever be felt, there cannot be the slightest difficulty in devising and applying a remedy. But those who threatened us with this evil ought to have remembered that, under the old system, a great man called to power at a great crisis by the voice of the whole nation was in danger of being excluded, by an aristocratical cabal, from that House of which he was the most distinguished ornament.

The most important event of this short administration was the trial of Byng. On that subject public opinion is still divided. We think the punishment of the Admiral altogether unjust and absurd. Treachery, cowardice, ignorance amounting to what lawyers have called *crassa ignorantia*, are fit objects of severe penal inflictions. But Byng was not found guilty of treachery, of cowardice, or of gross ignorance of his profession. He died for doing what the most loyal subject, the most intrepid warrior, the most experienced seaman, might have done. He died for an error in judgment, an error such as the greatest commanders, Frederic, Napoleon, Wellington, have often committed, and have often acknowledged. Such errors are not proper objects of punishmnet, for this reason, that the punishing of such errors tends not to prevent them, but to produce them. The dread of an ignominious death may stimulate sluggishness to exertion, may keep

a traitor to his standard, may prevent a coward from running away, but it has no tendency to bring out those qualities which enable men to form prompt and judicious decisions in great emergencies. The best marksman may be expected to fail when the apple which is to be his mark is set on his child's head. We cannot conceive any thing more likely to deprive an officer of his self-possession at the time when he most needs it than the knowledge that, if the judgment of his superiors should not agree with his, he will be executed with every circumstance of shame. Queens, it has often been said, run far greater risk in child-bed than private women, merely because their medical attendants are more anxious. The surgeon who attended Marie Louise was altogether unnerved by his emotions. "Compose yourself," said Bonaparte; "imagine that you are assisting a poor girl in the Faubourg St Antoine." This was surely a far wiser course than that of the Eastern king in the Arabian Nights' Entertainments, who proclaimed that the physicians who failed to cure his daughter should have their heads chopped off. Bonaparte knew mankind well; and, as he acted towards this surgeon, he acted towards his officers. No sovereign was ever so indulgent to mere errors of judgment; and it is certain that no sovereign ever had in his service so many military men fit for the highest commands.

Pitt acted a brave and honest part on this occasion. He ventured to put both his power and his popularity to hazard, and spoke manfully for Byng, both in Parliament and in the royal presence. But the King was inexorable. "The House of Commons, Sir," said Pitt, "seems inclined to mercy." "Sir," answered the King, "you have taught me to look for the sense of my people in other places than the House of Commons." The saying has more point than most of those which are recorded of George the Second, and, though sarcastically meant, contains a high and just compliment to Pitt.

The King disliked Pitt, but absolutely hated Temple. The new Secretary of State, his Majesty said, had never read Vatel, and was tedious and pompous, but respectful. The First Lord of the Admiralty was grossly impertinent. Walpole tells one story, which, we fear, is much too good to be true. He assures us that Temple entertained his

royal master with an elaborate parallel between Byng's behaviour at Minorca, and his Majesty's behaviour at Oudenarde, in which the advantage was all on the side of the Admiral.

This state of things could not last. Early in April, Pitt and all his friends were turned out, and Newcastle was summoned to St James's. But the public discontent was not extinguished. It had subsided when Pitt was called to power. But it still glowed under the embers; and it now burst at once into a flame. The stocks fell. The Common Council met. The freedom of the city was voted to Pitt. All the greatest corporate towns followed the example. "For some weeks," says Walpole, "it rained gold boxes."

This was the turning point of Pitt's life. It might have been expected that a man of so haughty and vehement a nature, treated so ungraciously by the Court, and supported so enthusiastically by the people, would have eagerly taken the first opportunity of showing his power and gratifying his resentment; and an opportunity was not wanting. The members for many counties and large towns had been instructed to vote for an inquiry into the circumstances which had produced the miscarriage of the preceding year. A motion for inquiry had been carried in the House of Commons, without opposition; and, a few days after Pitt's dismissal, the investigation commenced. Newcastle and his colleagues obtained a vote of acquittal; but the minority were so strong that they could not venture to ask for a vote of approbation, as they had at first intended; and it was thought by some shrewd observers that, if Pitt had exerted himself to the utmost of his power, the inquiry might have ended in a censure, if not in an impeachment.

Pitt showed on this occasion a moderation and self-government which was not habitual to him. He had found by experience, that he could not stand alone. His eloquence and his popularity had done much, very much for him. Without rank, without fortune, without borough interest, hated by the King, hated by the aristocracy, he was a person of the first importance in the state. He had been suffered to form a ministry, and to pronounce sentence of exclusion on all his rivals, on the most powerful

nobleman of the Whig party, on the ablest debater in the House of Commons. And he now found that he had gone too far. The English Constitution was not, indeed, without a popular element. But other elements generally predominated. The confidence and admiration of the nation might make a statesman formidable at the head of an Opposition, might load him with framed and glazed parchments and gold boxes, might possibly, under very peculiar circumstances, such as those of the preceding year, raise him for a time to power. But, constituted as Parliament then was, the favourite of the people could not depend on a majority in the people's own House. The Duke of Newcastle, however contemptible in morals, manners, and understanding, was a dangerous enemy. His rank, his wealth, his unrivalled parliamentary interest, would alone have made him important. But this was not all. The Whig aristocracy regarded him as their leader. His long possession of power had given him a kind of prescriptive right to possess it still. The House of Commons had been elected when he was at the head of affairs. The members for the ministerial boroughs had all been nominated by him. The public offices swarmed with his creatures.

Pitt desired power, and he desired it, we really believe, from high and generous motives. He was, in the strict sense of the word, a patriot. He had none of that philanthropy which the great French writers of his time preached to all the nations of Europe. He loved England as an Athenian loved the City of the Violet Crown, as a Roman loved the City of the Seven Hills. He saw his country insulted and defeated. He saw the national spirit sinking. Yet he knew what the resources of the empire, vigorously employed, could effect; and he felt that he was the man to employ them vigorously. "My Lord," he said to the Duke of Devonshire, "I am sure that I can save this country, and that nobody else can."

Desiring, then, to be in power, and feeling that his abilities and the public confidence were not alone sufficient to keep him in power against the wishes of the Court and of the aristocracy, he began to think of a coalition with Newcastle.

Newcastle was equally disposed to a reconciliation. He, too, had profited by his recent experience. He had

found that the Court and the aristocracy, though powerful, were not every thing in the state. A strong oligarchical connection, a great borough interest, ample patronage, and secret-service-money, might, in quiet times, be all that a Minister needed; but it was unsafe to trust wholly to such support in time of war, of discontent, and of agitation. The composition of the House of Commons was not wholly aristocratical; and, whatever be the composition of large deliberative assemblies, their spirit is always in some degree popular. Where there are free debates, eloquence must have admirers, and reason must make converts. Where there is a free press, the governors must live in constant awe of the opinions of the governed.

Thus these two men, so unlike in character, so lately mortal enemies, were necessary to each other. Newcastle had fallen in November, for want of that public confidence which Pitt possessed, and of that parliamentary support which Pitt was better qualified than any man of his time to give. Pitt had fallen in April for want of that species of influence which Newcastle had passed his whole life in acquiring and hoarding. Neither of them had power enough to support himself. Each of them had power enough to overturn the other. Their union would be irresistible. Neither the King nor any party in the state would be able to stand against them.

Under these circumstances, Pitt was not disposed to proceed to extremities against his predecessors in office. Something, however, was due to consistency; and something was necessary for the preservation of his popularity. He did little; but that little he did in such a manner as to produce great effect. He came down to the House in all the pomp of gout, his legs swathed in flannels, his arm dangling in a sling. He kept his seat through several fatiguing days, in spite of pain and languor. He uttered a few sharp and vehement sentences; but, during the greater part of the discussion, his language was unusually gentle.

When the inquiry had terminated without a vote of approbation or of censure, the great obstacle to a coalition was removed. Many obstacles, however, remained. The King was still rejoicing in his deliverance from the proud and aspiring Minister who had been forced on him by the

cry of the nation. His Majesty's indignation was excited to the highest point when it appeared that Newcastle, who had, during thirty years, been loaded with marks of royal favour, and who had bound himself, by a solemn promise, never to coalesce with Pitt, was meditating a new perfidy. Of all the statesmen of that age, Fox had the largest share of royal favour. A coalition between Fox and Newcastle was the arrangement which the King wished to bring about. But the Duke was too cunning to fall into such a snare. As a speaker in Parliament, Fox might perhaps be, on the whole, as useful to an administration as his great rival; but he was one of the most unpopular men in England. Then, again, Newcastle felt all that jealousy of Fox which, according to the proverb, generally exists between two of a trade. Fox would certainly intermeddle with that department which the Duke was most desirous to reserve entire to himself, the jobbing department. Pitt, on the other hand, was quite willing to leave the drudgery of corruption to any who might be inclined to undertake it.

During eleven weeks England remained without a ministry; and in the mean time Parliament was sitting, and a war was raging. The prejudices of the King, the haughtiness of Pitt, the jealousy, levity, and treachery of Newcastle, delayed the settlement. Pitt knew the Duke too well to trust him without security. The Duke loved power too much to be inclined to give security. While they were haggling, the King was in vain attempting to produce a final rupture between them, or to form a Government without them. At one time he applied to Lord Waldégrave, an honest and sensible man, but unpractised in affairs. Lord Waldégrave had the courage to accept the Treasury, but soon found that no administration formed by him had the smallest chance of standing a single week.

At length the King's pertinacity yielded to the necessity of the case. After exclaiming with great bitterness, and with some justice, against the Whigs, who ought, he said, to be ashamed to talk about liberty while they submitted to be footmen of the Duke of Newcastle, his Majesty submitted. The influence of Leicester House prevailed on Pitt to abate a little, and but a little, of his high demands; and all at once, out of the chaos in which parties had for some time been rising, falling, meeting, separating, arose a

vernment as strong at home as that of Pelham, as suc-
ssful abroad as that of Godolphin.

Newcastle took the Treasury. Pitt was Secretary of State,
th the lead in the House of Commons, and with the supreme
rection of the war and of foreign affairs. Fox, the only
an who could have given much annoyance to the new
vernment, was silenced with the office of Paymaster,
ich, during the continuance of that war, was probably
e most lucrative place in the whole Government. He was
or, and the situation was tempting; yet it cannot but
em extraordinary that a man who had played a first
rt in politics, and whose abilities had been found not
equal to that part, who had sat in the Cabinet, who had
the House of Commons, who had been twice intrusted
the King with the office of forming a ministry, who
s regarded as the rival of Pitt, and who at one time
med likely to be a successful rival, should have con-
ted, for the sake of emolument, to take a subordinate
ce, and to give silent votes for all the measures of a
vernment to the deliberations of which he was not sum-
ned.

The first measures of the new administration were char-
erized rather by vigour than by judgment. Expeditions
re sent against different parts of the French coast with
le success. The small island of Aix was taken, Rochefort
eatened, a few ships burned in the harbour of St Maloes,
d a few guns and mortars brought home as trophies from
fortifications of Cherbourg. But soon conquests of a
y different kind filled the kingdom with pride and re-
ing. A succession of victories undoubtedly brilliant,
d, as it was thought, not barren, raised to the highest
nt the fame of the minister to whom the conduct of the
had been intrusted. In July, 1758, Louisburg fell.
e whole island of Cape Breton was reduced. The fleet
which the Court of Versailles had confided the defence
French America was destroyed. The captured standards
re borne in triumph from Kensington Palace to the city,
d were suspended in St Paul's Church, amidst the roar
guns and kettle-drums, and the shouts of an immense
ltitude. Addresses of congratulation came in from all
great towns of England. Parliament met only to decree
nks and monuments, and to bestow, without one murmur,

supplies more than double of those which had been given during the war of the Grand Alliance.

The year 1759 opened with the conquest of Goree. Next fell Guadaloupe; then Ticonderoga; then Niagara. The Toulon squadron was completely defeated by Boscawen off Cape Lagos. But the greatest exploit of the year was the achievement of Wolfe on the heights of Abraham. The news of his glorious death and of the fall of Quebec reached London in the very week in which the Houses met. All was joy and triumph. Envy and faction were forced to join in the general applause. Whigs and Tories vied with each other in extolling the genius and energy of Pitt. His colleagues were never talked of or thought of. The House of Commons, the nation, the colonies, our allies, our enemies, had their eyes fixed on him alone.

Scarcely had Parliament voted a monument to Wolfe when another great event called for fresh rejoicings. The Brest fleet, under the command of Conflans, had put out to sea. It was overtaken by an English squadron under Hawke. Conflans attempted to take shelter close under the French coast. The shore was rocky: the night was black: the wind was furious: the waves of the Bay of Biscay ran high. But Pitt had infused into every branch of the service a spirit which had long been unknown. No British seaman was disposed to err on the same side with Byng. The pilot told Hawke that the attack could not be made without the greatest danger. "You have done your duty in remonstrating," answered Hawke; "I will answer for every thing. I command you to lay me alongside the French admiral." Two French ships of the line struck. Four were destroyed. The rest hid themselves in the rivers of Brittany.

The year 1760 came; and still triumph followed triumph. Montreal was taken; the whole province of Canada was subjugated; the French fleets underwent a succession of disasters in the seas of Europe and America.

In the mean time conquests equalling in rapidity, and far surpassing in magnitude, those of Cortes and Pizarro, had been achieved in the East. In the space of three years the English had founded a mighty empire. The French had been defeated in every part of India. Chandernagore had surrendered to Clive, Pondicherry to Coote. Throughout

Bengal, Bahar, Orissa, and the Carnatic, the authority of the East India Company was more absolute than that of Akbar or Aurungzebe had ever been.

On the continent of Europe the odds were against England. We had but one important ally, the King of Prussia; and he was attacked, not only by France, but also by Russia and Austria. Yet even on the Continent the energy of Pitt triumphed over all difficulties. Vehemently as he had condemned the practice of subsidising foreign princes, he now carried that practice farther than Carteret himself would have ventured to do. The active and able Sovereign of Prussia received such pecuniary assistance as enabled him to maintain the conflict on equal terms against his powerful enemies. On no subject had Pitt ever spoken with so much eloquence and ardour as on the mischiefs of the Hanoverian connection. He now declared, not without much show of reason, that it would be unworthy of the English people to suffer their King to be deprived of his electoral dominions in an English quarrel. He assured his countrymen that they should be no losers, and that he would conquer America for them in Germany. By taking this line he conciliated the King, and lost no part of his influence with the nation. In Parliament, such was the ascendency which his eloquence, his success, his high situation, his pride, and his intrepidity had obtained for him, that he took liberties with the House of which there had been no example, and which have never since been imitated. No orator could here venture to reproach him with inconsistency. One unfortunate man made the attempt, and was so much disconcerted by the scornful demeanour of the Minister that he stammered, stopped, and sat down. Even the old Tory country gentlemen, to whom the very name of Hanover had been odious, gave their hearty Ayes to subsidy after subsidy. In a lively contemporary satire, much more lively indeed than delicate, this remarkable conversion is not unhappily described.

> "No more they make a fiddle-faddle
> About a Hessian horse or saddle.
> No more of continental measures;
> No more of wasting British treasures.
> Ten millions, and a vote of credit,
> 'Tis right. He can't be wrong who did it."

The success of Pitt's continental measures was such as might have been expected from their vigour. When he came into power, Hanover was in imminent danger; and before he had been in office three months, the whole electorate was in the hands of France. But the face of affairs was speedily changed. The invaders were driven out. An army partly English partly Hanoverian, partly composed of soldiers furnished by the petty princes of Germany, was placed under the command of Prince Ferdinand of Brunswick. The French were beaten in 1758 at Crevelt. In 1759 they received a still more complete and humiliating defeat at Minden.

In the mean time, the nation exhibited all the signs of wealth and prosperity. The merchants of London had never been more thriving. The importance of several great commercial and manufacturing towns, of Glasgow in particular, dates from this period. The fine inscription on the monument of Lord Chatham in Guildhall records the general opinion of the citizens of London, that under his administration commerce had been "united with and made to flourish by war."

It must be owned that these signs of prosperity were in some degree delusive. It must be owned that some of our conquests were rather splendid than useful. It must be owned that the expense of the war never entered into Pitt's consideration. Perhaps it would be more correct to say that the cost of his victories increased the pleasure with which he contemplated them. Unlike other men in his situation, he loved to exaggerate the sums which the nation was laying out under his direction. He was proud of the sacrifices and efforts which his eloquence and his success had induced his countrymen to make. The price at which he purchased faithful service and complete victory, though far smaller than that which his son, the most profuse and incapable of war ministers, paid for treachery, defeat, and shame, was long and severely felt by the nation.

Even as a war minister, Pitt is scarcely entitled to all the praise which his contemporaries lavished on him. We perhaps from ignorance, cannot discern in his arrangements any appearance of profound or dexterous combination. Several of his expeditions, particularly those which were

ent to the coast of France, were at once costly and absurd. Our Indian conquests, though they add to the splendour of he period during which he was at the head of affairs, were ot planned by him. He had undoubtedly great energy, reat determination, great means at his command. His emper was enterprising; and, situated as he was, he had nly to follow his temper. The wealth of a rich nation, he valour of a brave nation, were ready to support him in very attempt.

In one respect, however, he deserved all the praise that e has ever received. The success of our arms was perhaps wing less to the skill of his dispositions than to the national esources and the national spirit. But that the national pirit rose to the emergency, that the national resources ere contributed with unexampled cheerfulness, this was ndoubtedly his work. The ardour of his soul had set the hole kingdom on fire. It inflamed every soldier who ragged the cannon up the heights of Quebec, and every ailor who boarded the French ships among the rocks of Brittany. The Minister, before he had been long in office, ad imparted to the commanders whom he employed his wn impetuous, adventurous, and defying character. They, ke him, were disposed to risk every thing, to play double r quits to the last, to think nothing done while any thing emained undone, to fail rather than not to attempt. For he errors of rashness there might be indulgence. For ver-caution, for faults like those of Lord George Sackille, there was no mercy. In other times, and against ther enemies, this mode of warfare might have failed. But he state of the French government and of the French ation gave every advantage to Pitt. The fops and inriguers of Versailles were appalled and bewildered by his igour. A panic spread through all ranks of society. Our nemies soon considered it as a settled thing that they were lways to be beaten. Thus victory begot victory; till, t last, wherever the forces of the two nations met, they net with disdainful confidence on the one side, and with a raven fear on the other.

The situation which Pitt occupied at the close of the reign f George the Second was the most enviable ever occupied y any public man in English history. He had conciliated he King; he domineered over the House of Commons;

he was adored by the people ; he was admired by all Europe. He was the first Englishman of his time ; and he had made England the first country in the world. The Great Commoner, the name by which he was often designated, might look down with scorn on coronets and garters. The nation was drunk with joy and pride. The Parliament was as quiet as it had been under Pelham. The old party distinctions were almost effaced ; nor was their place yet supplied by distinctions of a still more important kind. A new generation of country squires and rectors had arisen who knew not the Stuarts. The Dissenters were tolerated ; the Catholics not cruelly persecuted. The Church was drowsy and indulgent. The great civil and religious conflict which began at the Reformation seemed to have terminated in universal repose. Whigs and Tories, Churchmen and Puritans, spoke with equal reverence of the constitution, and with equal enthusiasm of the talents, virtues, and services of the Minister.

A few years sufficed to change the whole aspect of affairs. A nation convulsed by faction, a throne assailed by the fiercest invective, a House of Commons hated and despised by the nation, England set against Scotland, Britain set against America, a rival legislature sitting beyond the Atlantic, English blood shed by English bayonets, our armies capitulating, our conquests wrested from us, our enemies hastening to take vengeance for past humiliation, our flag scarcely able to maintain itself in our own seas, such was the spectacle which Pitt lived to see. But the history of this great revolution requires far more space than we can at present bestow. We leave the Great Commoner in the zenith of his glory. It is not impossible that we may take some other opportunity of tracing his life to its melancholy, yet not inglorious close.

THE EARL OF CHATHAM.

(October, 1844.)*

More than ten years ago we commenced a sketch of the political life of the great Lord Chatham. We then stopped at the death of George the Second, with the intention of speedily resuming our task. Circumstances, which it would be tedious to explain, long prevented us from carrying this intention into effect. Nor can we regret the delay. For the materials which were within our reach in 1834 were scanty and unsatisfactory, when compared with those which we at present possess. Even now, though we have had access to some valuable sources of information which have not yet been opened to the public, we cannot but feel that the history of the first ten years of the reign of George the Third is but imperfectly known to us. Nevertheless, we are inclined to think that we are in a condition to lay before our readers a narrative neither uninstructive nor uninteresting. We therefore return with pleasure to our long interrupted labour.

We left Pitt in the zenith of prosperity and glory, the idol of England, the terror of France, the admiration of the whole civilised world. The wind, from whatever quarter it blew, carried to England tidings of battles won, fortresses taken, provinces added to the empire. At home, factions had sunk into a lethargy, such as had never been known since the great religious schism of the sixteenth century had roused the public mind from repose.

In order that the events which we have to relate may be

* 1. *Correspondence of William Pitt, Earl of Chatham.* 4 vols. 8vo. London: 1840.

2. *Letters of Horace Walpole, Earl of Orford, to Horace Mann.* 4 vols. 8vo. London: 1843–4.

clearly understood, it may be desirable that we should advert to the causes which had for a time suspended the animation of both the great English parties.

If, rejecting all that is merely accidental, we look at the essential characteristics of the Whig and the Tory, we may consider each of them as the representative of a great principle, essential to the welfare of nations. One is, in an especial manner, the guardian of liberty, and the other, of order. One is the moving power, and the other the steadying power of the state. One is the sail, without which society would make no progress; the other the ballast, without which there would be small safety in a tempest. But, during the forty-six years which followed the accession of the house of Hanover, these distinctive peculiarities seemed to be effaced. The Whig conceived that he could not better serve the cause of civil and religious freedom than by strenuously supporting the Protestant dynasty. The Tory conceived that he could not better prove his hatred of revolutions than by attacking a government to which a revolution had given birth. Both came by degrees to attach more importance to the means than to the end. Both were thrown into unnatural situations; and both, like animals transported to an uncongenial climate, languished and degenerated. The Tory, removed from the sunshine of the court, was as a camel in the snows of Lapland. The Whig, basking in the rays of royal favour, was as a reindeer in the sands of Arabia.

Dante tells us that he saw, in Malebolge, a strange encounter between a human form and a serpent. The enemies, after cruel wounds inflicted, stood for a time glaring on each other. A great cloud surrounded them, and then a wonderful metamorphosis began. Each creature was transfigured into the likeness of its antagonist. The serpent's tail divided itself into two legs; the man's legs intertwined themselves into a tail. The body of the serpent put forth arms; the arms of the man shrank into his body. At length the serpent stood up a man, and spake; the man sank down a serpent, and glided hissing away. Something like this was the transformation which, during the reign of George the First, befell the two English parties. Each gradually took the shape and colour of its foe, till at length the Tory rose up erect the zealot of freedom, and the Whig crawled and licked the dust at the feet of power.

It is true that, when these degenerate politicians discussed uestions merely speculative, and, above all, when they iscussed questions relating to the conduct of their own randfathers, they still seemed to differ as their grandfathers ad differed. The Whig, who, during these Parliaments, had ever given one vote against the court, and who was ready o sell his soul for the Comptroller's staff or for the Great Vardrobe, still professed to draw his political doctrines from ocke and Milton, still worshipped the memory of Pym and Iampden, and would still, on the thirtieth of January, take is glass, first to the man in the mask, and then to the man ho would do it without a mask. The Tory, on the other and, while he reviled the mild and temperate Walpole as deadly enemy of liberty, could see nothing to reprobate the iron tyranny of Strafford and Laud. But, whatever idgment the Whig or the Tory of that age might pronounce n transactions long past, there can be no doubt that, as espected the practical questions then pending, the Tory as a reformer, and indeed an intemperate and indiscreet eformer, while the Whig was conservative even to bigotry. Ve have ourselves seen similar effects produced in a neighouring country by similar causes. Who would have believed, fteen years ago, that M. Guizot and M. Villemain would have o defend property and social order against the attacks of uch enemies as M. Genoude and M. de La Roche Jaquelin? Thus the successors of the old Cavaliers had turned emagogues; the successors of the old Roundheads had urned courtiers. Yet was it long before their mutual nimosity began to abate; for it is the nature of parties o retain their original enmities far more firmly than their riginal principles. During many years, a generation of Whigs, whom Sidney would have spurned as slaves, conued to wage deadly war with a generation of Tories whom effreys would have hanged for republicans.

Through the whole reign of George the First, and through early half of the reign of George the Second, a Tory was garded as an enemy of the reigning house, and was exuded from all the favours of the crown. Though most of e country gentlemen were Tories, none but Whigs were eated peers and baronets. Though most of the clergy ere Tories, none but Whigs were appointed deans and shops. In every county, opulent and well descended Tory

squires complained that their names were left out of the commission of the peace, while men of small estate and mean birth, who were for toleration and excise, septennial parliaments and standing armies, presided at quarter sessions and became deputy lieutenants.

By degrees some approaches were made towards a reconciliation. While Walpole was at the head of affairs, enmity to his power induced a large and powerful body of Whigs, headed by the heir apparent of the throne, to make an alliance with the Tories, and a truce even with the Jacobites. After Sir Robert's fall, the ban which lay on the Tory party was taken off. The chief places in the administration continued to be filled by Whigs, and, indeed, could scarcely have been filled otherwise; for the Tory nobility and gentry, though strong in numbers and in property, had among them scarcely a single man distinguished by talents, either for business or for debate. A few of them, however, were admitted to subordinate offices; and this indulgence produced a softening effect on the temper of the whole body. The first levee of George the Second after Walpole's resignation was a remarkable spectacle. Mingled with the constant supporters of the House of Brunswick, with the Russells, the Cavendishes, and the Pelhams, appeared a crowd of faces utterly unknown to the pages and gentlemen ushers, lords of rural manors, whose ale and foxhounds were renowned in the neighbourhood of the Mendip hills, or round the Wrekin, and who had never crossed the threshold of the palace since the days when Oxford, with the white staff in his hand, stood behind Queen Anne.

During the eighteen years which followed this day, both factions were gradually sinking deeper and deeper into repose. The apathy of the public mind is partly to be ascribed to the unjust violence with which the administration of Walpole had been assailed. In the body politic, as in the natural body, morbid languor generally succeeds morbid excitement. The people had been maddened by sophistry, by calumny, by rhetoric, by stimulants applied to the national pride. In the fulness of bread, they had raved as if famine had been in the land. While enjoying such a measure of civil and religious freedom as, till then, no great society had ever known, they had cried out for a Timoleon or a Brutus to stab their oppressor to the heart. They were in this frame of

nind when the change of administration took place; and hey soon found that there was to be no change whatever n the system of government. The natural consequences fol-owed. To frantic zeal succeeded sullen indifference. The cant of patriotism had not merely ceased to charm the public ear, but had become as nauseous as the cant of Puritanism after the downfall of the Rump. The hot fit was over: he cold fit had begun: and it was long before seditious arts, or even real grievances, could bring back the fiery paroxysm which had run its course and reached its termination.

Two attempts were made to disturb this tranquillity. The banished heir of the House of Stuart headed a rebellion; he discontented heir of the House of Brunswick headed an pposition. Both the rebellion and the opposition came to othing. The battle of Culloden annihilated the Jacobite arty. The death of Prince Frederic dissolved the faction which, under his guidance, had feebly striven to annoy his ather's government. His chief followers hastened to make heir peace with the ministry; and the political torpor ecame complete.

Five years after the death of Prince Frederic, the public nind was for a time violently excited. But this excitement ad nothing to do with the old disputes between Whigs and Tories. England was at war with France. The war had een feebly conducted. Minorca had been torn from us. Our fleet had retired before the white flag of the House of Bourbon. A bitter sense of humiliation, new to the proudest nd bravest of nations, superseded every other feeling. The ry of all the counties and great towns of the realm was for government which would retrieve the honour of the nglish arms. The two most powerful men in the country ere the Duke of Newcastle and Pitt. Alternate victories nd defeats had made them sensible that neither of them ould stand alone. The interest of the state, and the inter-st of their own ambition, impelled them to coalesce. By heir coalition was formed the ministry which was in power hen George the Third ascended the throne.

The more carefully the structure of this celebrated ministry examined, the more shall we see reason to marvel at the kill or the luck which had combined in one harmonious whole uch various and, as it seemed, incompatible elements of rce. The influence which is derived from stainless integrity,

the influence which is derived from the vilest arts of corruption, the strength of aristocratical connection, the strength of democratical enthusiasm, all these things were for the first time found together. Newcastle brought to the coalition a vast mass of power, which had descended to him from Walpole and Pelham. The public offices, the church, the courts of law, the army, the navy, the diplomatic service, swarmed with his creatures. The boroughs, which long afterwards made up the memorable schedules A and B, were represented by his nominees. The great Whig families, which, during several generations, had been trained in the discipline of party warfare, and were accustomed to stand together in a firm phalanx, acknowledged him as their captain. Pitt, on the other hand, had what Newcastle wanted, an eloquence which stirred the passions and charmed the imagination, a high reputation for purity, and the confidence and ardent love of millions.

The partition which the two ministers made of the powers of government was singularly happy. Each occupied a province for which he was well qualified; and neither had any inclination to intrude himself into the province of the other. Newcastle took the treasury, the civil and ecclesiastical patronage, and the disposal of that part of the secret-service-money which was then employed in bribing members of Parliament. Pitt was Secretary of State, with the direction of the war and of foreign affairs. Thus the filth of all the noisome and pestilential sewers of government was poured into one channel. Through the other passed only what was bright and stainless. Mean and selfish politicians, pining for commissionerships, gold sticks, and ribands, flocked to the great house at the corner of Lincoln's Inn Fields. There, at every levee, appeared eighteen or twenty pair of lawn sleeves; for there was not, it was said, a single Prelate who had not owed either his first elevation or some subsequent translation to Newcastle. There appeared those members of the House of Commons in whose silent votes the main strength of the government lay. One wanted a place in the excise for his butler. Another came about a prebend for his son. A third whispered that he had always stood by his Grace and the Protestant succession; that his last election had been very expensive; that potwallopers had now no conscience; that he had been forced to take

p money on mortgage ; and that he hardly knew where o turn for five hundred pounds. The Duke pressed all heir hands, passed his arm round all their shoulders, atted all their backs and sent away some with wages, and ome with promises. From this traffic Pitt stood haughtily loof. Not only was he himself incorruptible, but he shrank rom the loathsome drudgery of corrupting others. He had ot, however, been twenty years in Parliament, and ten in ffice, without discovering how the government was carried n. He was perfectly aware that bribery was practised on large scale by his colleagues. Hating the practice, yet espairing of putting it down, and doubting whether, in nose times, any ministry could stand without it, he deter- nined to be blind to it. He would see nothing, know othing, believe nothing. People who came to talk to him bout shares in lucrative contracts, or about the means of ecuring a Cornish corporation, were soon put out of coun- enance by his arrogant humility. They did him too much onour. Such matters were beyond his capacity. It was rue that his poor advice about expeditions and treaties as listened to with indulgence by a gracious sovereign. If ne question were, who should command in North America, who should be ambassador at Berlin, his colleagues would robably condescend to take his opinion. But he had not ne smallest influence with the Secretary of the Treasury, nd could not venture to ask even for a tidewaiter's place. It may be doubted whether he did not owe as much of s popularity to his ostentatious purity as to his eloquence, to his talents for the administration of war. It was very where said with delight and admiration that the reat Commoner, without any advantages of birth or fortune, nd, in spite of the dislike of the Court and of the aristocracy, ade himself the first man in England, and made England ne first country in the world ; that his name was mentioned ith awe in every palace from Lisbon to Moscow ; that his ophies were in all the four quarters of the globe ; yet that was still plain William Pitt, without title or riband, thout pension or sinecure place. Whenever he should tire, after saving the state, he must sell his coach horses nd his silver candlesticks. Widely as the taint of cor- ption had spread, his hands were clean. They had never ceived, they had never given, the price of infamy. Thus

the coalition gathered to itself support from all the high and all the low parts of human nature, and was strong with the whole united strength of virtue and of Mammon.

Pitt and Newcastle were co-ordinate chief ministers. The subordinate places had been filled on the principle of including in the government every party and shade of party, the avowed Jacobites alone excepted, nay, every public man who, from his abilities or from his situation, seemed likely to be either useful in office or formidable in opposition.

The Whigs, according to what was then considered as their prescriptive right, held by far the largest share of power. The main support of the administration was what may be called the great Whig connection, a connection which, during near half a century, had generally had the chief sway in the country and which derived an immense authority from rank, wealth, borough interest, and firm union. To this connection, of which Newcastle was the head, belonged the houses of Cavendish, Lennox, Fitzroy, Bentinck, Manners, Conway, Wentworth, and many others of high note.

There were two other powerful Whig connections, either of which might have been a nucleus for a strong opposition. But room had been found in the government for both. They were known as the Grenvilles and the Bedfords.

The head of the Grenvilles was Richard Earl Temple. His talents for administration and debate were of no high order. But his great possessions, his turbulent and unscrupulous character, his restless activity, and his skill in the most ignoble tactics of faction, made him one of the most formidable enemies that a ministry could have. He was keeper of the privy seal. His brother George was treasurer of the navy. They were supposed to be on terms of close friendship with Pitt, who had married their sister, and was the most uxorious of husbands.

The Bedfords, or, as they were called by their enemies, the Bloomsbury gang, professed to be led by John Duke of Bedford, but in truth led him wherever they chose, and very often led him where he never would have gone of his own accord. He had many good qualities of head and heart, and would have been certainly a respectable, and possibly a distinguished man, if he had been less under the influence of his friends, or more fortunate in choosing them. Some of them were indeed, to do them justice,

en of parts. But here, we are afraid, eulogy must end. andwich and Rigby were able debaters, pleasant boon mpanions, dexterous intriguers, masters of all the arts jobbing and electioneering, and, both in public and ivate life, shamelessly immoral. Weymouth had a tural eloquence, which sometimes astonished those who new how little he owed to study. But he was indolent d dissolute, and had early impaired a fine estate with e dicebox, and a fine constitution with the bottle. The ealth and power of the Duke, and the talents and audacity some of his retainers, might have seriously annoyed the rongest ministry. But his assistance had been secured. e was Lord Lieutenant of Ireland; Rigby was his secre- ry; and the whole party dutifully supported the measures the Government.

Two men had, a short time before, been thought likely contest with Pitt the lead of the House of Commons, illiam Murray and Henry Fox. But Murray had been moved to the Lords, and was Chief Justice of the King's nch. Fox was indeed still in the Commons: but means d been found to secure, if not his strenuous support, least his silent acquiescence. He was a poor man; was a doting father. The office of Paymaster-General ring an expensive war was, in that age, perhaps the most crative situation in the gift of the government. This ice was bestowed on Fox. The prospect of making a ble fortune in a few years, and of providing amply for s darling boy Charles, was irresistibly tempting. To ld a subordinate place, however profitable, after having l the House of Commons, and having been intrusted th the business of forming a ministry, was indeed a great scent. But a punctilious sense of personal dignity was part of the character of Henry Fox.

We have not time to enumerate all the other men of ight who were, by some tie or other, attached to the vernment. We may mention Hardwicke, reputed the st lawyer of the age; Legge, reputed the first financier the age; the acute and ready Oswald; the bold and morous Nugent; Charles Townshend, the most brilliant d versatile of mankind; Elliot, Barrington, North, Pratt. deed, as far as we recollect, there were in the whole use of Commons only two men of distinguished abilities

who were not connected with the government; and those two men stood so low in public estimation, that the only service which they could have rendered to any government would have been to oppose it. We speak of Lord George Sackville and Bubb Dodington.

Though most of the official men, and all the members of the cabinet, were reputed Whigs, the Tories were by no means excluded from employment. Pitt had gratified many of them with commands in the militia, which increased both their income and their importance in their own counties; and they were therefore in better humour than at any time since the death of Anne. Some of the party still continued to grumble over their punch at the Cocoa Tree; but in the House of Commons not a single one of the malcontents durst lift his eyes above the buckle of Pitt's shoe.

Thus there was absolutely no opposition. Nay, there was no sign from which it could be guessed in what quarter opposition was likely to arise. Several years passed during which Parliament seemed to have abdicated its chief functions. The Journals of the House of Commons, during four sessions, contain no trace of a division on a party question. The supplies, though beyond precedent great, were voted without discussion. The most animated debates of that period were on road bills and inclosure bills.

The old King was content; and it mattered little whether he were content or not. It would have been impossible for him to emancipate himself from a ministry so powerful, even if he had been inclined to do so. But he had no such inclination. He had once, indeed, been strongly prejudiced against Pitt, and had repeatedly been ill used by Newcastle; but the vigour and success with which the war had been waged in Germany, and the smoothness with which all public business was carried on, had produced a favourable change in the royal mind.

Such was the posture of affairs when, on the twenty-fifth of October, 1760, George the Second suddenly died, and George the Third, then twenty-two years old, became King. The situation of George the Third differed widely from that of his grandfather and that of his great grandfather. Many years had elapsed since a sovereign of England had been an object of affection to any part of his

people. The first two Kings of the House of Hanover had neither those hereditary rights which have often supplied the defect of merit, nor those personal qualities which have often supplied the defect of title. A prince may be popular with little virtue or capacity, if he reigns by birthright derived from a long line of illustrious predecessors. An usurper may be popular, if his genius has saved or aggrandised the nation which he governs. Perhaps no rulers have in our time had a stronger hold on the affection of subjects than the Emperor Francis, and his son-in-law the Emperor Napoleon. But imagine a ruler with no better title than Napoleon, and no better understanding than Francis. Richard Cromwell was such a ruler; and, as soon as an arm was lifted up against him, he fell without a struggle, amidst universal derision. George the First and George the Second were in a situation which bore some resemblance to that of Richard Cromwell. They were saved from the fate of Richard Cromwell by the strenuous and able exertions of the Whig party, and by the general conviction that the nation had no choice but between the House of Brunswick and Popery. But by no class were the Guelphs regarded with that devoted affection, of which Charles the First, Charles the Second, and James the Second, in spite of the greatest faults, and in the midst of the greatest misfortunes, received innumerable proofs. Those Whigs who stood by the new dynasty so manfully with purse and sword did so on principles independent of, and indeed almost incompatible with, the sentiment of devoted loyalty. The moderate Tories regarded the foreign dynasty as a great evil, which must be endured for fear of a greater evil. In the eyes of the high Tories, the Elector was the most hateful of robbers and tyrants. The crown of another was on his head; the blood of the brave and loyal was on his hands. Thus, during many years, the Kings of England were objects of strong personal aversion to many of their subjects, and of strong personal attachment to none. They found, indeed, firm and cordial support against the pretender to their throne; but this support was given, not at all for their sake, but for the sake of a religious and political system which would have been endangered by their fall. This support, too, they were compelled to purchase by perpetually sacrificing their

private inclinations to the party which had set them on the throne, and which maintained them there.

At the close of the reign of George the Second, the feeling of aversion with which the House of Brunswick had long been regarded by half the nation had died away; but no feeling of affection to that house had yet sprung up. There was little, indeed, in the old King's character to inspire esteem or tenderness. He was not our countryman. He never set foot on our soil till he was more than thirty years old. His speech bewrayed his foreign origin and breeding. His love for his native land, though the most amiable part of his character, was not likely to endear him to his British subjects. He was never so happy as when he could exchange St James's for Hernhausen. Year after year, our fleets were employed to convoy him to the Continent, and the interests of his kingdom were as nothing to him when compared with the interests of his Electorate. As to the rest, he had neither the qualities which make dulness respectable, nor the qualities which make libertinism attractive. He had been a bad son and a worse father, an unfaithful husband and an ungraceful lover. Not one magnanimous or humane action is recorded of him; but many instances of meanness, and of a harshness which, but for the strong constitutional restraints under which he was placed, might have made the misery of his people.

He died; and at once a new world opened. The young King was a born Englishman. All his tastes and habits, good or bad, were English. No portion of his subjects had any thing to reproach him with. Even the remaining adherents of the House of Stuart could scarcely impute to him the guilt of usurpation. He was not responsible for the Revolution, for the Act of Settlement, for the suppression of the risings of 1715 and of 1745. He was innocent of the blood of Derwentwater and Kilmarnock, of Balmerino and Cameron. Born fifty years after the old line had been expelled, fourth in descent and third in succession of the Hanoverian dynasty, he might plead some show of hereditary right. His age, his appearance, and all that was known of his character, conciliated public favour. He was in the bloom of youth; his person and address were pleasing. Scandal imputed to him no vice;

nd flattery might, without any glaring absurdity, ascribe
him many princely virtues.

It is not strange, therefore, that the sentiment of loyalty, sentiment which had lately seemed to be as much out date as the belief in witches or the practice of pilgrimage, ould, from the day of his accession, have begun to revive. he Tories in particular, who had always been inclined to ing-worship, and who had long felt with pain the want an idol before whom they could bow themselves down, ere as joyful as the priests of Apis, when, after a long terval, they had found a new calf to adore. It was soon ear that George the Third was regarded by a portion the nation with a very different feeling from that which s two predecessors had inspired. They had been merely rst Magistrates, Doges, Stadtholders; he was emphatically King, the anointed of heaven, the breath of his people's ostrils. The years of the widowhood and mourning of e Tory party were over. Dido had kept faith long enough the cold ashes of a former lord; she had at last found comforter, and recognised the vestiges of the old flame. ne golden days of Harley would return. The Somersets, e Lees, and the Wyndhams would again surround the rone. The latitudinarian Prelates, who had not been hamed to correspond with Doddridge and to shake hands th Whiston, would be succeeded by divines of the temper South and Atterbury. The devotion which had been signally shown to the House of Stuart, which had been oof against defeats, confiscations, and proscriptions, nich perfidy, oppression, ingratitude, could not weary t, was now transferred entire to the House of Brunswick. George the Third would but accept the homage of the valiers and High Churchmen, he should be to them all at Charles the First and Charles the Second had been.

The Prince, whose accession was thus hailed by a great rty long estranged from his house, had received from ture a strong will, a firmness of temper to which a harsher me might perhaps be given, and an understanding not, deed, acute or enlarged, but such as qualified him to be a od man of business. But his character had not yet ly developed itself. He had been brought up in strict clusion. The detractors of the Princess Dowager of ales affirmed that she had kept her children from com-

merce with society, in order that she might hold an undivided empire over their minds. She gave a very different explanation of her conduct. She would gladly, she said, see her sons and daughters mix in the world, if they could do so without risk to their morals. But the profligacy of the people of quality alarmed her. The young men were all rakes; the young women made love, instead of waiting till it was made to them. She could not bear to expose those whom she loved best to the contaminating influence of such society. The moral advantages of the system of education which formed the Duke of York, the Duke of Cumberland, and the Queen of Denmark, may perhaps be questioned. George the Third was indeed no libertine; but he brought to the throne a mind only half opened, and was for some time entirely under the influence of his mother and of his Groom of the Stole, John Stuart, Earl of Bute.

The Earl of Bute was scarcely known, even by name, to the country which he was soon to govern. He had indeed, a short time after he came of age, been chosen to fill a vacancy which, in the middle of a parliament, had taken place among the Scotch representative peers. He had disobliged the Whig ministers by giving some silent votes with the Tories, and consequently lost his seat at the next dissolution, and had never been re-elected. Near twenty years had elapsed since he had borne any part in politics. He had passed some of those years at his seat in one of the Hebrides, and from that retirement he had emerged as one of the household of Prince Frederic. Lord Bute, excluded from public life, had found out many ways of amusing his leisure. He was a tolerable actor in private theatricals, and was particularly successful in the part of Lothario. A handsome leg, to which both painters and satirists took care to give prominence, was among his chief qualifications for the stage. He devised quaint dresses for masquerades. He dabbled in geometry, mechanics, and botany. He paid some attention to antiquities and works of art, and was considered in his own circle as a judge of painting, architecture, and poetry. It is said that his spelling was incorrect. But though, in our time, incorrect spelling is justly considered as a proof of sordid ignorance, it would be unjust to apply the

ame rule to people who lived a century ago. The novel
t Sir Charles Grandison was published about the time at
vhich Lord Bute made his appearance at Leicester House.
Our readers may perhaps remember the account which
Charlotte Grandison gives of her two lovers. One of them,
a fashionable baronet who talks French and Italian fluently,
annot write a line in his own language without some sin
gainst orthography; the other, who is represented as a
nost respectable specimen of the young aristocracy, and
omething of a virtuoso, is described as spelling pretty
vell for a lord. On the whole, the Earl of Bute might
airly be called a man of cultivated mind. He was also
man of undoubted honour. But his understanding was
arrow, and his manners cold and haughty. His quali-
cations for the part of a statesman were best described
y Frederic, who often indulged in the unprincely luxury
f sneering at his dependents. "Bute," said his Royal
lighness, "you are the very man to be envoy at some small
roud German court where there is nothing to do."

Scandal represented the Groom of the Stole as the favoured
over of the Princess Dowager. He was undoubtedly her
onfidential friend. The influence which the two united
xercised over the mind of the King was for a time un-
ounded. The Princess, a woman and a foreigner, was not
kely to be a judicious adviser about affairs of state. The
arl could scarcely be said to have served even a noviciate
a politics. His notions of government had been acquired
i the society which had been in the habit of assembling
ound Frederic at Kew and Leicester House. That society
onsisted principally of Tories, who had been reconciled
o the House of Hanover by the civility with which the
rince had treated them, and by the hope of obtaining
igh preferment when he should come to the throne. Their
olitical creed was a peculiar modification of Toryism. It
as the creed neither of the Tories of the seventeenth nor
f the Tories of the nineteenth century; it was the creed,
ot of Filmer and Sacheverell, not of Perceval and Eldon,
ut of the sect of which Bolingbroke may be considered as the
ief doctor. This sect deserves commendation for having
ointed out and justly reprobated some great abuses which
rang up during the long domination of the Whigs. But
is far easier to point out and reprobate abuses than to

propose beneficial reforms; and the reforms which Bolingbroke proposed would either have been utterly inefficient, or would have produced much more mischief than they would have removed.

The Revolution had saved the nation from one class of evils, but had at the same time—such is the imperfection of all things human—engendered or aggravated another class of evils which required new remedies. Liberty and property were secure from the attacks of prerogative. Conscience was respected. No government ventured to infringe any of the rights solemnly recognised by the instrument which had called William and Mary to the throne. But it cannot be denied that, under the new system, the public interests and the public morals were seriously endangered by corruption and faction. During the long struggle against the Stuarts, the chief object of the most enlightened statesmen had been to strengthen the House of Commons. The struggle was over; the victory was won; the House of Commons was supreme in the state; and all the vices which had till then been latent in the representative system were rapidly developed by prosperity and power. Scarcely had the executive government become really responsible to the House of Commons, when it began to appear that the House of Commons was not really responsible to the nation. Many of the constituent bodies were under the absolute control of individuals; many were notoriously at the command of the highest bidder. The debates were not published. It was very seldom known out of doors how a gentleman had voted. Thus, while the ministry was accountable to the Parliament, the majority of the Parliament was accountable to nobody. Under such circumstances, nothing could be more natural than that the members should insist on being paid for their votes, should form themselves into combinations for the purpose of raising the price of their votes, and should at critical conjunctures extort large wages by threatening a strike. Thus the Whig ministers of George the First and George the Second were compelled to reduce corruption to a system, and to practise it on a gigantic scale.

If we are right as to the cause of these abuses, we can scarcely be wrong as to the remedy. The remedy was

surely not to deprive the House of Commons of its weight in the state. Such a course would undoubtedly have put an end to parliamentary corruption and to parliamentary factions: for, when votes cease to be of importance, they will cease to be bought; and, when knaves can get nothing by combining, they will cease to combine. But to destroy corruption and faction by introducing despotism would have been to cure bad by worse. The proper remedy evidently was, to make the House of Commons responsible to the nation; and this was to be effected in two ways: first, by giving publicity to parliamentary proceedings, and thus placing every member on his trial before the tribunal of public opinion; and secondly, by so reforming the constitution of the House that no man should be able to sit in it who had not been returned by a respectable and independent body of constituents.

Bolingbroke and Bolingbroke's disciples recommended a very different mode of treating the diseases of the state. Their doctrine was, that a vigorous use of the prerogative by a patriot King would at once break all factious combinations, and supersede the pretended necessity of bribing members of Parliament. The King had only to resolve that he would be master, that he would not be held in thraldom by any set of men, that he would take for ministers any persons in whom he had confidence, without distinction of party, and that he would restrain his servants from influencing by immoral means, either the constituent bodies or the representative body. This childish scheme proved that those who proposed it knew nothing of the nature of the evil with which they pretended to deal. The real cause of the prevalence of corruption and faction was that a House of Commons, not accountable to the people, was more powerful than the King. Bolingbroke's remedy could be applied only by a King more powerful than the House of Commons. How was the patriot Prince to govern in defiance of the body without whose consent he could not equip a sloop, keep a battalion under arms, send an embassy, or defray even the charges of his own household? Was he to dissolve the Parliament? And what was he likely to gain by appealing to Sudbury and Old Sarum against the venality of their representatives? Was he to send out privy seals? Was he to levy ship-

money? If so, this boasted reform must commence in all probability by civil war, and, if consummated, must be consummated by the establishment of absolute monarchy. Or was the patriot King to carry the House of Commons with him in his upright designs? By what means? Interdicting himself from the use of corrupt influence, what motive was he to address to the Dodingtons and Winningtons? Was cupidity, strengthened by habit, to be laid asleep by a few fine sentences about virtue and union?

Absurd as this theory was, it had many admirers, particularly among men of letters. It was now to be reduced to practice; and the result was, as any man of sagacity must have foreseen, the most piteous and ridiculous of failures.

On the very day of the young King's accession, appeared some signs which indicated the approach of a great change. The speech which he made to his council was not submitted to the cabinet. It was drawn up by Bute, and contained some expressions which might be construed into reflections on the conduct of affairs during the late reign. Pitt remonstrated, and begged that these expressions might be softened down in the printed copy; but it was not till after some hours of altercation that Bute yielded; and, even after Bute had yielded, the King affected to hold out till the following afternoon. On the same day on which this singular contest took place, Bute was not only sworn of the privy council, but introduced into the Cabinet.

Soon after this Lord Holdernesse, one of the Secretaries of State, in pursuance of a plan concerted with the court, resigned the seals. Bute was instantly appointed to the vacant place. A general election speedily followed, and the new Secretary entered parliament in the only way in which he then could enter it, as one of the sixteen representative peers of Scotland.*

Had the ministers been firmly united it can scarcely be doubted that they would have been able to withstand the court. The parliamentary influence of the Whig aristocracy, combined with the genius, the virtue, and the fame of Pitt, would have been irresistible. But there

* In the reign of Anne, the House of Lords had resolved that, under the 23rd article of Union, no Scotch peer could be created a peer of Great Britain. This resolution was not annulled till the year 1782.

had been in the cabinet of George the Second latent jealousies and enmities, which now began to show themselves. Pitt had been estranged from his old ally Legge, the Chancellor of the Exchequer. Some of the ministers were envious of Pitt's popularity. Others were, not altogether without cause, disgusted by his imperious and haughty demeanour. Others, again, were honestly opposed to some parts of his policy. They admitted that he had found the country in the depths of humiliation, and had raised it to the height of glory; they admitted that he had conducted the war with energy, ability, and splendid success. But they began to hint that the drain on the resources of the state was unexampled, and that the public debt was increasing with a speed at which Montague or Godolphin would have stood aghast. Some of the acquisitions made by our fleets and armies were, it was acknowledged, profitable as well as honourable; but, now that George the Second was dead, a courtier might venture to ask why England was to become a party in a dispute between two German powers. What was it to her whether the House of Hapsburg or the House of Brandenburg ruled in Silesia? Why were the best English regiments fighting on the Main? Why were the Prussian battalions paid with English gold? The great minister seemed to think it beneath him to calculate the price of victory. As long as the Tower guns were fired, as the streets were illuminated, as French banners were carried in triumph through London, it was to him matter of indifference to what extent the public burdens were augmented. Nay, he seemed to glory in the magnitude of those sacrifices which the people, fascinated by his eloquence and success, had too readily made, and would long and bitterly regret. There was no check on waste or embezzlement. Our commissaries returned from the camp of Prince Ferdinand to buy boroughs, to rear palaces, to rival the magnificence of the old aristocracy of the realm. Already had we borrowed, in four years of war, more than the most skilful and economical government would pay in forty years of peace. But the prospect of peace was as remote as ever. It could not be doubted that France, smarting and prostrate, would consent to fair terms of accommodation; but this was not what Pitt wanted. War had made him powerful and popular;

with war, all that was brightest in his life was associated: for war his talents were peculiarly fitted. He had at length begun to love war for its own sake, and was more disposed to quarrel with neutrals than to make peace with enemies.

Such were the views of the Duke of Bedford and of the Earl of Hardwicke; but no member of the government held these opinions so strongly as George Grenville, the treasurer of the navy. George Grenville was brother-in-law of Pitt, and had always been reckoned one of Pitt's personal and political friends. But it is difficult to conceive two men of talents and integrity more utterly unlike each other. Pitt, as his sister often said, knew nothing accurately except Spenser's Fairy Queen. He had never applied himself steadily to any branch of knowledge. He was a wretched financier. He never became familiar even with the rules of that House of which he was the brightest ornament. He had never studied public law as a system, and was, indeed, so ignorant of the whole subject, that George the Second, on one occasion, complained bitterly that a man who had never read Vattel should presume to undertake the direction of foreign affairs. But these defects were more than redeemed by high and rare gifts, by a strange power of inspiring great masses of men with confidence and affection, by an eloquence which not only delighted the ear, but stirred the blood, and brought tears into the eyes, by originality in devising plans, by vigour in executing them. Grenville, on the other hand, was by nature and habit, a man of details. He had been bred a lawyer; and he had brought the industry and acuteness of the Temple into official and parliamentary life. He was supposed to be intimately acquainted with the whole fiscal system of the country. He had paid especial attention to the law of Parliament, and was so learned in all things relating to the privileges and orders of the House of Commons that those who loved him least pronounced him the only person competent to succeed Onslow in the Chair. His speeches were generally instructive, and sometimes, from the gravity and earnestness with which he spoke, even impressive, but never brilliant, and generally tedious. Indeed, even when he was at the head of affairs, he sometimes found it difficult to obtain the ear of the House. In disposition as well as in intellect, he differed widely from

his brother-in-law. Pitt was utterly regardless of money. He would scarcely stretch out his hand to take it; and, when it came, he threw it away with childish profusion. Grenville, though strictly upright, was grasping and parsimonious. Pitt was a man of excitable nerves, sanguine in hope, easily elated by success and popularity, keenly sensible of injury, but prompt to forgive; Grenville's character was stern, melancholy, and pertinacious. Nothing was more remarkable in him than his inclination always to look on the dark side of things. He was the raven of the House of Commons, always croaking defeat in the midst of triumphs, and bankruptcy with an overflowing exchequer. Burke, with general applause, compared him, in a time of quiet and plenty, to the evil spirit whom Ovid described looking down on the stately temples and wealthy haven of Athens, and scarce able to refrain from weeping because she could find nothing at which to weep. Such a man was not likely to be popular. But to unpopularity Grenville opposed a dogged determination, which sometimes forced even those who hated him to respect him.

It was natural that Pitt and Grenville, being such as they were, should take very different views of the situation of affairs. Pitt could see nothing but the trophies; Grenville could see nothing but the bill. Pitt boasted that England was victorious at once in America, in India, and in Germany, the umpire of the Continent, the mistress of the sea. Grenville cast up the subsidies, sighed over the army extraordinaries, and groaned in spirit to think that the nation had borrowed eight millions in one year.

With a ministry thus divided it was not difficult for Bute to deal. Legge was the first who fell. He had given offence to the young King in the late reign, by refusing to support a creature of Bute at a Hampshire election. He was now not only turned out, but in the closet, when he delivered up his seal of office, was treated with gross incivility.

Pitt, who did not love Legge, saw this event with indifference. But the danger was now fast approaching himself. Charles the Third of Spain had early conceived a deadly hatred of England. Twenty years before, when he was King of the Two Sicilies, he had been eager to join the coalition against Maria Theresa. But an English

fleet had suddenly appeared in the Bay of Naples. An English captain had landed, had proceeded to the palace, had laid a watch on the table, and had told his majesty that, within an hour, a treaty of neutrality must be signed, or a bombardment would commence. The treaty was signed; the squadron sailed out of the bay twenty-four hours after it had sailed in; and from that day the ruling passion of the humbled Prince was aversion to the English name. He was at length in a situation in which he might hope to gratify that passion. He had recently become King of Spain and the Indies. He saw, with envy and apprehension, the triumphs of our navy, and the rapid extension of our colonial Empire. He was a Bourbon, and sympathised with the distress of the house from which he sprang. He was a Spaniard; and no Spaniard could bear to see Gibraltar and Minorca in the possession of a foreign power. Impelled by such feelings, Charles concluded a secret treaty with France. By this treaty, known as the Family Compact, the two powers bound themselves, not in express words, but by the clearest implication, to make war on England in common. Spain postponed the declaration of hostilities only till her fleet, laden with the treasures of America, should have arrived.

The existence of the treaty could not be kept a secret from Pitt. He acted as a man of his capacity and energy might be expected to act. He at once proposed to declare war against Spain, and to intercept the American fleet. He had determined, it is said, to attack without delay both Havanna and the Philippines.

His wise and resolute counsel was rejected. Bute was foremost in opposing it, and was supported by almost the whole cabinet. Some of the ministers doubted, or affected to doubt, the correctness of Pitt's intelligence; some shrank from the responsibility of advising a course so bold and decided as that which he proposed; some were weary of his ascendency, and were glad to be rid of him on any pretext. One only of his colleagues agreed with him, his brother-in-law, Earl Temple.

Pitt and Temple resigned their offices. To Pitt the young King behaved at parting in the most gracious manner. Pitt, who, proud and fiery every where else, was always meek and humble in the closet, was moved even to tears.

The King and the favourite urged him to accept some substantial mark of royal gratitude. Would he like to be appointed governor of Canada? A salary of five thousand pounds a year should be annexed to the office. Residence would not be required. It was true that the governor of Canada, as the law then stood, could not be a member of the House of Commons. But a bill should be brought in, authorising Pitt to hold his government together with a seat in Parliament, and in the preamble should be set forth his claims to the gratitude of his country. Pitt answered, with all delicacy, that his anxieties were rather for his wife and family than for himself, and that nothing would be so acceptable to him as a mark of royal goodness which might be beneficial to those who were dearest to him. The hint was taken. The same Gazette which announced the retirement of the Secretary of State announced also that, in consideration of his great public services, his wife had been created a peeress in her own right, and that a pension of three thousand pounds a year, for three lives, had been bestowed on himself. It was doubtless thought that the rewards and honours conferred on the great minister would have a conciliatory effect on the public mind. Perhaps, too, it was thought that his popularity, which had partly arisen from the contempt which he had always shown for money, would be damaged by a pension; and, indeed, a crowd of libels instantly appeared, in which he was accused of having sold his country. Many of his true friends thought that he would have best consulted the dignity of his character by refusing to accept any pecuniary reward from the court. Nevertheless, the general opinion of his talents, virtues, and services, remained unaltered. Addresses were presented to him from several large towns. London showed its admiration and affection in a still more marked manner. Soon after his resignation came the Lord Mayor's day. The King and the royal family dined at Guildhall. Pitt was one of the guests. The young sovereign, seated by his bride in his state coach, received a remarkable lesson. He was scarcely noticed. All eyes were fixed on the fallen minister; all acclamations directed to him. The streets, the balconies, the chimney tops, burst into a roar of delight as his chariot passed by. The ladies waved their handkerchiefs from the windows. The common people clung to the

wheels, shook hands with the footman, and even kissed the horses. Cries of "No Bute!" "No Newcastle salmon!" were mingled with the shouts of "Pitt for ever!" When Pitt entered Guildhall, he was welcomed by loud huzzas and clapping of hands, in which the very magistrates of the city joined. Lord Bute, in the mean time, was hooted and pelted through Cheapside, and would, it was thought, have been in some danger, if he had not taken the precaution of surrounding his carriage with a strong body guard of boxers. Many persons blame the conduct of Pitt on this occasion as disrespectful to the King. Indeed, Pitt himself afterwards owned that he had done wrong. He was led into this error as he was afterwards led into more serious errors, by the influence of his turbulent and mischievous brother-in-law Temple.

The events which immediately followed Pitt's retirement raised his fame higher than ever. War with Spain proved to be, as he had predicted, inevitable. News came from the West Indies that Martinique had been taken by an expedition which he had sent forth. Havanna fell; and it was known that he had planned an attack on Havanna. Manilla capitulated; and it was believed that he had meditated a blow against Manilla. The American fleet, which he had proposed to intercept, had unloaded an immense cargo of bullion in the haven of Cadiz, before Bute could be convinced that the court of Madrid really entertained hostile intentions.

The session of Parliament which followed Pitt's retirement passed over without any violent storm. Lord Bute took on himself the most prominent part in the House of Lords. He had become Secretary of State, and indeed prime minister, without having once opened his lips in public except as an actor. There was, therefore, no small curiosity to know how he would acquit himself. Members of the House of Commons crowded the bar of the Lords and covered the steps of the throne. It was generally expected that the orator would break down; but his most malicious hearers were forced to own that he had made a better figure than they expected. They, indeed, ridiculed his action as theatrical, and his style as tumid. They were especially amused by the long pauses which, not from hesitation, but from affectation, he made at all the

emphatic words, and Charles Townshend cried out, "Minute guns!" The general opinion however was, that, if Bute had been early practised in debate, he might have become an impressive speaker.

In the Commons, George Grenville had been intrusted with the lead. The task was not, as yet, a very difficult one: for Pitt did not think fit to raise the standard of Opposition. His speeches at this time were distinguished, not only by that eloquence in which he excelled all his rivals, but also by a temperance and a modesty which had too often been wanting to his character. When war was declared against Spain, he justly laid claim to the merit of having foreseen what had at length become manifest to all, but he carefully abstained from arrogant and acrimonious expressions; and this abstinence was the more honourable to him, because his temper, never very placid, was now severely tried, both by gout and by calumny. The courtiers had adopted a mode of warfare, which was soon turned with far more formidable effect against themselves. Half the inhabitants of the Grub Street garrets paid their milk scores, and got their shirts out of pawn, by abusing Pitt. His German war, his subsidies, his pension, his wife's peerage, were shin of beef and gin, blankets and baskets of small coal, to the starving poetasters of the Fleet. Even in the House of Commons, he was, on one occasion during this session, assailed with an insolence and malice which called forth the indignation of men of all parties; but he endured the outrage with majestic patience. In his younger days he had been but too prompt to retaliate on those who attacked him; but now, conscious of his great services, and of the space which he filled in the eyes of all mankind, he would not stoop to personal squabbles. "This is no season," he said, in the debate on the Spanish war, "for altercation and recrimination. A day has arrived when every Englishman should stand forth for his country. Arm the whole; be one people; forget every thing but the public. I set you the example. Harassed by slanderers, sinking under pain and disease, for the public I forget both my wrongs and my infirmities!" On a general review of his life, we are inclined to think that his genius and virtue never shone with so pure an effulgence as during the session of 1762.

The session drew towards the close; and Bute, emboldened by the acquiescence of the Houses, resolved to strike another great blow, and to become first minister in name as well as in reality. That coalition, which a few months before had seemed all powerful, had been dissolved. The retreat of Pitt had deprived the government of popularity. Newcastle had exulted in the fall of the illustrious colleague whom he envied and dreaded, and had not foreseen that his own doom was at hand. He still tried to flatter himself that he was at the head of the government; but insults heaped on insults at length undeceived him. Places which had always been considered as in his gift, were bestowed without any reference to him. His expostulations only called forth significant hints that it was time for him to retire. One day he pressed on Bute the claims of a Whig Prelate to the Archbishopric of York. "If your grace thinks so highly of him," answered Bute, "I wonder that you did not promote him when you had the power." Still the old man clung with a desperate grasp to the wreck. Seldom, indeed, have Christian meekness and Christian humility equalled the meekness and humility of his patient and abject ambition. At length he was forced to understand that all was over. He quitted that court where he had held high office during forty-five years, and hid his shame and regret among the cedars of Claremont. Bute became first lord of the treasury.

The favourite had undoubtedly committed a great error. It is impossible to imagine a tool better suited to his purposes than that which he thus threw away, or rather put into the hands of his enemies. If Newcastle had been suffered to play at being first minister, Bute might securely and quietly have enjoyed the substance of power. The gradual introduction of Tories into all the departments of the government might have been effected without any violent clamour, if the chief of the great Whig connection had been ostensibly at the head of affairs. This was strongly represented to Bute by Lord Mansfield, a man who may justly be called the father of modern Toryism, of Toryism modified to suit an order of things under which the House of Commons is the most powerful body in the state. The theories which had dazzled Bute could not impose on the fine intellect of Mansfield. The temerity with which Bute

provoked the hostility of powerful and deeply rooted interests, was displeasing to Mansfield's cold and timid nature. Expostulation, however, was vain. Bute was impatient of advice, drunk with success, eager to be, in show as well as in reality, the head of the government. He had engaged in an undertaking in which a screen was absolutely necessary to his success, and even to his safety. He found an excellent screen ready in the very place where it was most needed; and he rudely pushed it away.

And now the new system of government came into full operation. For the first time since the accession of the House of Hanover, the Tory party was in the ascendant. The prime minister himself was a Tory. Lord Egremont, who had succeeded Pitt as Secretary of State, was a Tory, and the son of a Tory. Sir Francis Dashwood, a man of slender parts, of small experience, and of notoriously immoral character, was made Chancellor of the Exchequer, for no reason that could be imagined, except that he was a Tory, and had been a Jacobite. The royal household was filled with men whose favourite toast, a few years before, had been the King over the water. The relative position of the two great national seats of learning was suddenly changed. The University of Oxford had long been the chief seat of disaffection. In troubled times, the High Street had been lined with bayonets; the colleges had been searched by the King's messengers. Grave doctors were in the habit of talking very Ciceronian treason in the theatre; and the undergraduates drank bumpers to Jacobite toasts, and chanted Jacobite airs. Of four successive Chancellors of the University, one had notoriously been in the Pretender's service; the other three were fully believed to be in secret correspondence with the exiled family. Cambridge had therefore been especially favoured by the Hanoverian princes, and had shown herself grateful for their patronage. George the First had enriched her library; George the Second had contributed munificently to her Senate House. Bishoprics and deaneries were showered on her children. Her Chancellor was Newcastle, the chief of the Whig aristocracy; her High Steward was Hardwicke, the Whig head of the law. Both her burgesses had held office under the Whig ministry. Times had now changed. The University of Cambridge was received at St James's with comparative

coldness. The answers to the addresses of Oxford were all graciousness and warmth.

The watchwords of the new government were prerogative and purity. The sovereign was no longer to be a puppet in the hands of any subject, or of any combination of subjects. George the Third would not be forced to take ministers whom he disliked, as his grandfather had been forced to take Pitt. George the Third would not be forced to part with any whom he delighted to honour, as his grandfather had been forced to part with Carteret. At the same time, the system of bribery which had grown up during the late reigns was to cease. It was ostentatiously proclaimed that, since the accession of the young King, neither constituents nor representatives had been bought with the secret service money. To free Britain from corruption and oligarchical cabals, to detach her from continental connections, to bring the bloody and expensive war with France and Spain to a close, such were the specious objects which Bute professed to procure.

Some of these objects he attained. England withdrew, at the cost of a deep stain on her faith, from her German connections. The war with France and Spain was terminated by a peace, honourable indeed and advantageous to our country, yet less honourable and less advantageous than might have been expected from a long and almost unbroken series of victories, by land and sea, in every part of the world. But the only effect of Bute's domestic administration was to make faction wilder, and corruption fouler than ever.

The mutual animosity of the Whig and Tory parties had begun to languish after the fall of Walpole, and had seemed to be almost extinct at the close of the reign of George the Second. It now revived in all its force. Many Whigs, it is true, were still in office. The Duke of Bedford had signed the treaty with France. The Duke of Devonshire, though much out of humour, still continued to be Lord Chamberlain. Grenville, who led the House of Commons, and Fox, who still enjoyed in silence the immense gains of the Pay Office, had always been regarded as strong Whigs. But the bulk of the party throughout the country regarded the new minister with abhorrence. There was, indeed, no want of popular themes for invective against his character. He

was a favourite; and favourites have always been odious in this country. No mere favourite had been at the head of the government since the dagger of Felton had reached the heart of the Duke of Buckingham. After that event the most arbitrary and the most frivolous of the Stuarts had felt the necessity of confiding the chief direction of affairs to men who had given some proof of parliamentary or official talent. Strafford, Falkland, Clarendon, Clifford, Shaftesbury, Lauderdale, Danby, Temple, Halifax, Rochester, Sunderland, whatever their faults might be, were all men of acknowledged ability. They did not owe their eminence merely to the favour of the sovereign. On the contrary, they owed the favour of the sovereign to their eminence. Most of them, indeed, had first attracted the notice of the court by the capacity and vigour which they had shown in opposition. The Revolution seemed to have for ever secured the state against the domination of a Carr or a Villiers. Now, however, the personal regard of the King had at once raised a man who had seen nothing of public business, who had never opened his lips in Parliament over the heads of a crowd of eminent orators, financiers, diplomatists. From a private gentleman, this fortunate minion had at once been turned into a Secretary of State. He had made his maiden speech when at the head of the administration. The vulgar resorted to a simple explanation of the phenomenon, and the coarsest ribaldry against the Princess Mother was scrawled on every wall and sung in every alley.

This was not all. The spirit of party, roused by impolitic provocation from its long sleep, roused in turn a still fiercer and more malignant Fury, the spirit of national animosity. The grudge of Whig against Tory was mingled with the grudge of Englishman against Scot. The two sections of the great British people had not yet been indissolubly blended together. The events of 1715 and of 1745 had left painful and enduring traces. The tradesmen of Cornhill had been in dread of seeing their tills and warehouses plundered by barelegged mountaineers from the Grampians. They still recollected that Black Friday, when the news came that the rebels were at Derby, when all the shops in the city were closed, and when the Bank of England began to pay in sixpences. The Scots, on the other hand, remembered with natural resentment the severity with which the insurgents

had been chastised, the military outrages, the humiliating laws, the heads fixed on Temple Bar, the fires and quartering blocks on Kennington Common. The favourite did not suffer the English to forget from what part of the island he came. The cry of all the south was that the public offices, the army, the navy, were filled with high cheeked Drummonds and Erskines, Macdonalds and Macgillivrays, who could not talk a Christian tongue, and some of whom had but lately begun to wear Christian breeches. All the old jokes on hills without trees, girls without stockings, men eating the food of horses, pails emptied from the fourteenth story, were pointed against these lucky adventurers. To the honour of the Scots, it must be said that their prudence and their pride restrained them from retaliation. Like the princess in the Arabian tale, they stopped their ears tight, and, unmoved by the shrillest notes of abuse, walked on, without once looking round, straight towards the Golden Fountain.

Bute, who had always been considered as a man of taste and reading, affected, from the moment of his elevation, the character of a Mæcenas. If he expected to conciliate the public by encouraging literature and art, he was grievously mistaken. Indeed, none of the objects of his munificence, with the single exception of Johnson, can be said to have been well selected; and the public, not unnaturally, ascribed the selection of Johnson rather to the Doctor's political prejudices than to his literary merits: for a wretched scribbler named Shebbeare, who had nothing in common with Johnson except violent Jacobitism, and who had stood in the pillory for a libel on the Revolution, was honoured with a mark of royal approbation, similar to that which was bestowed on the author of the English Dictionary, and of the Vanity of Human Wishes. It was remarked that Adam, a Scotchman, was the court architect, and that Ramsay, a Scotchman, was the court painter, and was preferred to Reynolds. Mallet, a Scotchman, of no high literary fame and of infamous character, partook largely of the liberality of the government. John Home, a Scotchman, was rewarded for the tragedy of Douglas, both with a pension and with a sinecure place. But, when the author of the Bard, and of the Elegy in a Country Churchyard, ventured to ask for a Professorship, the emoluments of which he much needed,

nd for the duties of which he was, in many respects, better qualified than any man living, he was refused; and the post vas bestowed on the pedagogue under whose care the avourite's son-in-law, Sir James Lowther, had made such ignal proficiency in the graces and in the humane virtues.

Thus, the first lord of the treasury was detested by many s a Tory, by many as a favourite, and by many as a Scot. All the hatred which flowed from these various sources soon ningled, and was directed in one torrent of obloquy against he treaty of peace. The Duke of Bedford, who negotiated hat treaty, was hooted through the streets. Bute was ttacked in his chair, and was with difficulty rescued by troop of guards. He could hardly walk the streets in safety rithout disguising himself. A gentleman who died not nany years ago used to say that he once recognised the avourite Earl in the piazza of Covent Garden, muffled in a arge coat, and with a hat and wig drawn down over his rows. His lordship's established type with the mob was jack boot, a wretched pun on his Christian name and title. A jack boot, generally accompanied by a petticoat, was ometimes fastened on a gallows, and sometimes committed o the flames. Libels on the court, exceeding in audacity nd rancour any that had been published for many years, ow appeared daily both in prose and verse. Wilkes, with vely insolence, compared the mother of George the Third o the mother of Edward the Third, and the Scotch minister o the gentle Mortimer. Churchill, with all the energy of atred, deplored the fate of his country, invaded by a new ace of savages more cruel and ravenous than the Picts or he Danes, the poor, proud children of Leprosy and Hunger. t is a slight circumstance, but deserves to be recorded, hat in this year pamphleteers first ventured to print at ngth the names of the great men whom they lampooned. eorge the Second had always been the K——. His ministers ad been Sir R—— W——, Mr P——, and the Duke of ——. But the libellers of George the Third, of the Princess Iother, and of Lord Bute did not give quarter to a single owel.

It was supposed that Lord Temple secretly encouraged he most scurrilous assailants of the government. In truth, hose who knew his habits tracked him as men track a mole. t was his nature to grub underground. Whenever a heap

of dirt was flung up, it might well be suspected that he was at work in some foul crooked labyrinth below. Pitt turned away from the filthy work of opposition, with the same scorn with which he had turned away from the filthy work of Government. He had the magnanimity to proclaim every where the disgust which he felt at the insults offered by his own adherents to the Scottish nation, and missed no opportunity of extolling the courage and fidelity which the Highland regiments had displayed through the whole war. But, though he disdained to use any but lawful and honourable weapons, it was well known that his fair blows were likely to be far more formidable than the privy thrusts of his brother-in-law's stiletto.

Bute's heart began to fail him. The Houses were about to meet. The treaty would instantly be the subject of discussion. It was probable that Pitt, the great Whig connection, and the multitude, would all be on the same side. The favourite had professed to hold in abhorrence those means by which preceding ministers had kept the House of Commons in good humour. He now began to think that he had been too scrupulous. His Utopian visions were at an end. It was necessary, not only to bribe, but to bribe more shamelessly and flagitiously than his predecessor in order to make up for lost time. A majority must be secured, no matter by what means. Could Grenville do this? Would he do it? His firmness and ability had not yet been tried in any perilous crisis. He had been generally regarded as a humble follower of his brother Temple, and of his brother-in-law Pitt, and was supposed, though with little reason, to be still favourably inclined towards them. Other aid must be called in. And where was other aid to be found?

There was one man, whose sharp and manly logic had often in debate been found a match for the lofty and impassioned rhetoric of Pitt, whose talents for jobbing were not inferior to his talents for debate, whose dauntless spirit shrank from no difficulty or danger, and who was as little troubled with scruples as with fears. Henry Fox, or nobody, could weather the storm which was about to burst. Yet was he a person to whom the court, even in that extremity, was unwilling to have recourse. He had always been regarded as a Whig of the Whigs. He had been the friend

nd disciple of Walpole. He had long been connected by lose ties with William Duke of Cumberland. By the Tories ie was more hated than any man living. So strong was heir aversion to him that when, in the late reign, he attempted to form a party against the Duke of Newcastle, hey had thrown all their weight into Newcastle's scale. By the Scots, Fox was abhorred as the confidential friend f the conqueror of Culloden. He was, on personal grounds, nost obnoxious to the Princess Mother. For he had, mmediately after her husband's death, advised the late King to take the education of her son, the heir apparent, ntirely out of her hands. He had recently given, if possible, till deeper offence; for he had indulged, not without some round, the ambitious hope that his beautiful sister-in-law, he Lady Sarah Lennox, might be queen of England. It ad been observed that the King at one time rode every morning by the grounds of Holland House, and that, on uch occasions, Lady Sarah, dressed like a shepherdess at masquerade, was making hay close to the road, which vas then separated by no wall from the lawn. On account f the part which Fox had taken in this singular love affair, e was the only member of the Privy Council who was not ummoned to the meeting at which his Majesty announced is intended marriage with the Princess of Mecklenburg. Of ll the statesmen of the age, therefore, it seemed that Fox vas the last with whom Bute, the Tory, the Scot, the avourite of the Princess Mother, could, under any circumstances, act. Yet to Fox Bute was now compelled to pply.

Fox had many noble and amiable qualities, which in rivate life shone forth in full lustre, and made him dear o his children, to his dependants, and to his friends; but s a public man he had no title to esteem. In him the vices hich were common to the whole school of Walpole appeared, not perhaps in their worst, but certainly in their ost prominent form; for his parliamentary and official lents made all his faults conspicuous. His courage, his ehement temper, his contempt for appearances, led him o display much that others, quite as unscrupulous as himself, covered with a decent veil. He was the most unpopular f the statesmen of his time, not because he sinned more an many of them, but because he canted less.

He felt his unpopularity; but he felt it after the fashio of strong minds. He became, not cautious, but reckless and faced the rage of the whole nation with a scowl of in flexible defiance. He was born with a sweet and generou temper; but he had been goaded and baited into a savage ness which was not natural to him, and which amazed an shocked those who knew him best. Such was the man t whom Bute, in extreme need, applied for succour.

That succour Fox was not unwilling to afford. Thoug by no means of an envious temper, he had undoubtedl contemplated the success and popularity of Pitt with bitte mortification. He thought himself Pitt's match as a debate and Pitt's superior as a man of business. They had lon been regarded as well-paired rivals. They had started fa in the career of ambition. They had long run side by sid At length Fox had taken the lead, and Pitt had falle behind. Then had come a sudden turn of fortune, like tha in Virgil's foot-race. Fox had stumbled in the mire, an had not only been defeated, but befouled. Pitt had reache the goal, and received the prize. The emoluments of th Pay Office might induce the defeated statesman to subm in silence to the ascendency of his competitor, but coul not satisfy a mind conscious of great powers, and sore fro great vexations. As soon, therefore, as a party arose adver to the war and to the supremacy of the great war ministe the hopes of Fox began to revive. His feuds with th Princess Mother, with the Scots, with the Tories, he wa ready to forget, if, by the help of his old enemies, he coul now regain the importance which he had lost, and confro Pitt on equal terms.

The alliance was, therefore, soon concluded. Fox wa assured that, if he would pilot the government out of i embarrassing situation, he should be rewarded with a peerag of which he had long been desirous. He undertook on h side to obtain, by fair or foul means, a vote in favour the peace. In consequence of this arrangement he becan leader of the House of Commons; and Grenville, stiflin his vexation as well as he could, sullenly acquiesced in th change.

Fox had expected that his influence would secure to th court the cordial support of some eminent Whigs who we his personal friends, particularly of the Duke of Cumberlan

nd of the Duke of Devonshire. He was disappointed, and on found that, in addition to all his other difficulties, he ust reckon on the opposition of the ablest prince of the ood, and of the great house of Cavendish.

But he had pledged himself to win the battle; and he as not a man to go back. It was no time for squeamishness. ute was made to comprehend that the ministry could be ved only by practising the tactics of Walpole to an extent which Walpole himself would have stared. The Pay ffice was turned into a mart for votes. Hundreds of mem- rs were closeted there with Fox, and, as there is too much ason to believe, departed carrying with them the wages infamy. It was affirmed, by persons who had the best portunities of obtaining information, that twenty-five ousand pounds were thus paid away in a single morning. he lowest bribe given, it was said, was a bank-note for two ndred pounds.

Intimidation was joined with corruption. All ranks, om the highest to the lowest, were to be taught that the ng would be obeyed. The Lords Lieutenants of several unties were dismissed. The Duke of Devonshire was pecially singled out as the victim by whose fate the mag- tes of England were to take warning. His wealth, rank, d influence, his stainless private character, and the nstant attachment of his family to the House of Hanover, d not secure him from gross personal indignity. It was own that he disapproved of the course which the govern- nt had taken; and it was accordingly determined to mble the Prince of the Whigs, as he had been nicknamed the Princess Mother. He went to the palace to pay duty. "Tell him," said the King to a page, "that will not see him." The page hesitated. "Go to him," d the King, "and tell him those very words." The ssage was delivered. The Duke tore off his gold key, d went away boiling with anger. His relations who were office instantly resigned. A few days later, the King led for the list of Privy Councillors, and with his own nd struck out the Duke's name.

In this step there was at least courage, though little sdom or good nature. But, as nothing was too high for e revenge of the court, so also was nothing too low. A rsecution, such as had never been known before and has

never been known since, raged in every public department Great numbers of humble and laborious clerks were deprive of their bread, not because they had neglected their duties not because they had taken an active part against the minis try, but merely because they had owed their situations t the recommendation of some nobleman or gentleman wh was against the peace. The proscription extended to tide waiters, to gaugers, to doorkeepers. One poor man to whon a pension had been given for his gallantry in a fight wit smugglers, was deprived of it because he had been befriende by the Duke of Grafton. An aged widow, who, on accoun of her husband's services in the navy, had, many year before, been made housekeeper to a public office, was dis missed from her situation, because it was imagined tha she was distantly connected by marriage with the Cavendis family. The public clamour, as may well be supposed, grev daily louder and louder. But the louder it grew, the mor resolutely did Fox go on with the work which he had begun His old friends could not conceive what had possessed him "I could forgive," said the Duke of Cumberland, "Fox' political vagaries, but I am quite confounded by his in humanity. Surely he used to be the best-natured of men."

At last Fox went so far as to take a legal opinion on th question, whether the patents granted by George the Secon were binding on George the Third. It is said that, if his col leagues had not flinched, he would at once have turned ou the Tellers of the Exchequer and Justices in Eyre.

Meanwhile the Parliament met. The ministers, mor hated by the people than ever, were secure of a majority and they had also reason to hope that they would have th advantage in the debates as well as in the divisions; fo Pitt was confined to his chamber by a severe attack of gout His friends moved to defer the consideration of the treat till he should be able to attend: but the motion was rejected The great day arrived. The discussion had lasted some time when a loud huzza was heard in Palace Yard. The nois came nearer and nearer, up the stairs, through the lobby The door opened, and from the midst of a shouting multi tude came forth Pitt, borne in the arms of his attendants His face was thin and ghastly, his limbs swathed in flanne his crutch in his hand. The bearers set him down withi the bar. His friends instantly surrounded him, and wit

heir help he crawled to his seat near the table. In this ondition he spoke three hours and a half against the peace. uring that time he was repeatedly forced to sit down and o use cordials. It may well be supposed that his voice was int, that his action was languid, and that his speech, though ccasionally brilliant and impressive, was feeble when com- ared with his best oratorical performances. But those who emembered what he had done, and who saw what he ffered, listened to him with emotions stronger than any hat mere eloquence can produce. He was unable to stay for he division, and was carried away from the House amidst outs as loud as those which had announced his arrival.

A large majority approved the peace. The exultation of he court was boundless. "Now," exclaimed the Princess other, "my son is really King." The young sovereign oke of himself as freed from the bondage in which his andfather had been held. On one point, it was announced, s mind was unalterably made up. Under no circumstances hatever should those Whig grandees, who had enslaved s predecessors and endeavoured to enslave himself, be stored to power.

This vaunting was premature. The real strength of the vourite was by no means proportioned to the number of otes which he had, on one particular division, been able command. He was soon again in difficulties. The ost important part of his budget was a tax on cider. This easure was opposed, not only by those who were generally ostile to his administration, but also by many of his sup- rters. The name of excise had always been hateful to the ories. One of the chief crimes of Walpole, in their eyes, d been his partiality for this mode of raising money. he Tory Johnson had in his Dictionary given so scurrilous definition of the word Excise, that the Commissioners of xcise had seriously thought of prosecuting him. The unties which the new impost particularly affected had ways been Tory counties. It was the boast of John hilips, the poet of the English vintage, that the Cider-land d ever been faithful to the throne, and that all the pruning- oks of her thousand orchards had been beaten into swords the service of the ill fated Stuarts. The effect of Bute's cal scheme was to produce an union between the gentry d yeomanry of the Cider-land and the Whigs of the

capital. Herefordshire and Worcestershire were in a flame. The city of London, though not so directly interested, was, if possible, still more excited. The debates on this question irreparably damaged the government. Dashwood's financial statement had been confused and absurd beyond belief, and had been received by the House with roars of laughter. He had sense enough to be conscious of his unfitness for the high situation which he held, and exclaimed in a comical fit of despair, "What shall I do? The boys will point at me in the street, and cry, 'There goes the worst Chancellor of the Exchequer that ever was.'" George Grenville came to the rescue, and spoke strongly on his favourite theme, the profusion with which the late war had been carried on. That profusion, he said, had made taxes necessary. He called on the gentlemen opposite to him to say where they would have a tax laid, and dwelt on this topic with his usual prolixity. "Let them tell me where," he repeated in a monotonous and somewhat fretful tone. "I say, sir, let them tell me where. I repeat it, sir; I am entitled to say to them, Tell me where." Unluckily for him, Pitt had come down to the House that night, and had been bitterly provoked by the reflections thrown on the war. He revenged himself by murmuring, in a whine resembling Grenville's, a line of a well known song, "Gentle Shepherd, tell me where." "If," cried Grenville, "gentlemen are to be treated in this way——" Pitt, as was his fashion, when he meant to mark extreme contempt, rose deliberately, made his bow, and walked out of the House, leaving his brother-in-law in convulsions of rage, and every body else in convulsions of laughter. It was long before Grenville lost the nickname of the Gentle Shepherd.

But the ministry had vexations still more serious to endure. The hatred which the Tories and Scots bore to Fox was implacable. In a moment of extreme peril, they had consented to put themselves under his guidance. But the aversion with which they regarded him broke forth as soon as the crisis seemed to be over. Some of them attacked him about the accounts of the Pay Office. Some of them rudely interrupted him when speaking, by laughter and ironical cheers. He was naturally desirous to escape from so disagreeable a situation, and demanded the peerage which had been promised as the reward of his services.

It was clear that there must be some change in the composition of the ministry. But scarcely any, even of those who, from their situation, might be supposed to be in all the secrets of the government, anticipated what really took place. To the amazement of the Parliament and the nation, it was suddenly announced that Bute had resigned.

Twenty different explanations of this strange step were suggested. Some attributed it to profound design, and some to sudden panic. Some said that the lampoons of the opposition had driven the Earl from the field; some that he had taken office only in order to bring the war to a close, and had always meant to retire when that object had been accomplished. He publicly assigned ill health as his reason for quitting business, and privately complained that he was not cordially seconded by his colleagues, and that Lord Mansfield, in particular, whom he had himself brought into the cabinet, gave him no support in the House of Peers. Mansfield was, indeed, far too sagacious not to perceive that Bute's situation was one of great peril, and far too timorous to thrust himself into peril for the sake of another. The probability, however, is that Bute's conduct on this occasion, like the conduct of most men on most occasions, was determined by mixed motives. We suspect that he was sick of office; for this is a feeling much more common among miners than persons who see public life from a distance are disposed to believe; and nothing could be more natural than that this feeling should take possession of the mind of Bute. In general, a statesman climbs by slow degrees. Many laborious years elapse before he reaches the topmost pinnacle of preferment. In the earlier part of his career, therefore, he is constantly lured on by seeing something above him. During his ascent he gradually becomes inured to the annoyances which belong to a life of ambition. By the time that he has attained the highest point, he has become patient of labour and callous to abuse. He is kept constant to his vocation, in spite of all its discomforts, at first by hope, and at last by habit. It was not so with Bute. His whole public life lasted little more than two years. On the day on which he became a politician he became a cabinet minister. In a few months he was, both in name and in power, chief of the administration. Greater than he had been he could not be. If what he already possessed was

vanity and vexation of spirit, no delusion remained to entice him onward. He had been cloyed with the pleasures of ambition before he had been seasoned to its pains. His habits had not been such as were likely to fortify his mind against obloquy and public hatred. He had reached his forty-eighth year in dignified ease, without knowing, by personal experience, what it was to be ridiculed and slandered. All at once, without any previous initiation, he had found himself exposed to such a storm of invective and satire as had never burst on the head of any statesman. The emoluments of office were now nothing to him; for he had just succeeded to a princely property by the death of his father-in-law. All the honours which could be bestowed on him he had already secured. He had obtained the Garter for himself, and a British peerage for his son. He seems also to have imagined that by quitting the treasury he should escape from danger and abuse without really resigning power, and should still be able to exercise in private supreme influence over the royal mind.

Whatever may have been his motives, he retired. Fox at the same time took refuge in the House of Lords; and George Grenville became First Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer.

We believe that those who made this arrangement fully intended that Grenville should be a mere puppet in the hands of Bute; for Grenville was as yet very imperfectly known even to those who had observed him long. He passed for a mere official drudge; and he had all the industry, the minute accuracy, the formality, the tediousness, which belong to the character. But he had other qualities which had not yet shown themselves, devouring ambition, dauntless courage, self-confidence amounting to presumption, and a temper which could not endure opposition. He was not disposed to be any body's tool; and he had no attachment, political or personal, to Bute. The two men had, indeed, nothing in common, except a strong propensity towards harsh and unpopular courses. Their principles were fundamentally different. Bute was a Tory. Grenville would have been very angry with any person who should have denied his claim to be a Whig. He was more prone to tyrannical measures than Bute; but he loved tyranny only when disguised under the forms of constitutional

iberty. He mixed up, after a fashion then not very unusual, he theories of the republicans of the seventeenth century vith the technical maxims of English law, and thus succeeded in combining anarchical speculation with arbitrary practice. The voice of the people was the voice of God; but the only legitimate organ through which the voice of he people could be uttered was the Parliament. All power vas from the people; but to the Parliament the whole power of the people had been delegated. No Oxonian divine had ever, even in the years which immediately followed the Restoration, demanded for the king so abject, so unreasoning a homage as Grenville, on what he considered as the purest Whig principles, demanded for the Parliament. As he wished to see the Parliament despotic over he nation, so he wished to see it also despotic over the court. In his view the prime minister, possessed of the confidence of the House of Commons, ought to be Mayor of the Palace. The King was a mere Childeric or Chilperic, who might well think himself lucky in being permitted to enjoy such handsome apartments at Saint James's, and so fine a park as Windsor.

Thus the opinions of Bute and those of Grenville were diametrically opposed. Nor was there any private friendship between the two statesmen. Grenville's nature was not forgiving; and he well remembered how, a few months before, he had been compelled to yield the lead of the House of Commons to Fox.

We are inclined to think, on the whole, that the worst administration which has governed England since the Revolution was that of George Grenville. His public acts may be classed under two heads—outrages on the liberty of the people, and outrages on the dignity of the crown.

He began by making war on the press. John Wilkes, member of Parliament for Aylesbury, was singled out for persecution. Wilkes had, till very lately, been known chiefly as one of the most profane, licentious, and agreeable rakes about town. He was a man of taste, reading, and engaging manners. His sprightly conversation was the delight of green rooms and taverns, and pleased even grave hearers when he was sufficiently under restraint to abstain from detailing the particulars of his amours, and from breaking jests on the New Testament. His expensive debaucheries

forced him to have recourse to the Jews. He was soon a ruined man, and determined to try his chance as a political adventurer. In parliament he did not succeed. His speaking, though pert, was feeble, and by no means interested his hearers so much as to make them forget his face, which was so hideous that the caricaturists were forced, in their own despite, to flatter him. As a writer, he made a better figure. He set up a weekly paper, called the North Briton. This journal, written with some pleasantry, and great audacity and impudence, had a considerable number of readers. Forty-four numbers had been published when Bute resigned; and, though almost every number had contained matter grossly libellous, no prosecution had been instituted. The forty-fifth number was innocent when compared with the majority of those which had preceded it, and indeed contained nothing so strong as may in our time be found daily in the leading articles of the Times and Morning Chronicle. But Grenville was now at the head of affairs. A new spirit had been infused into the administration. Authority was to be upheld. The government was no longer to be braved with impunity. Wilkes was arrested under a general warrant, conveyed to the Tower, and confined there with circumstances of unusual severity. His papers were seized and carried to the Secretary of State. These harsh and illegal measures produced a violent outbreak of popular rage which was soon changed to delight and exultation. The arrest was pronounced unlawful by the Court of Common Pleas, in which Chief Justice Pratt presided, and the prisoner was discharged. This victory over the government was celebrated with enthusiasm both in London and in the cider counties.

While the ministers were daily becoming more odious to the nation, they were doing their best to make themselves also odious to the court. They gave the King plainly to understand that they were determined not to be Lord Bute's creatures, and exacted a promise that no secret adviser should have access to the royal ear. They soon found reason to suspect that this promise had not been observed. They remonstrated in terms less respectful than their master had been accustomed to hear, and gave him a fortnight to make his choice between his favourite and his cabinet.

George the Third was greatly disturbed. He had but a

ew weeks before exulted in his deliverance from the yoke
f the great Whig connection. He had even declared that
is honour would not permit him ever again to admit the
nembers of that connection into his service. He now
ound that he had only exchanged one set of masters for
nother set still harsher and more imperious. In his distress
e thought on Pitt. From Pitt it was possible that better
erms might be obtained than either from Grenville or from
he party of which Newcastle was the head.

Grenville, on his return from an excursion into the
ountry, repaired to Buckingham House. He was astonished
o find at the entrance a chair, the shape of which was well
nown to him, and indeed to all London. It was distinguished
y a large boot, made for the purpose of accommodating the
reat Commoner's gouty leg. Grenville guessed the whole.
His brother-in-law was closeted with the King. Bute, pro-
oked by what he considered as the unfriendly and ungrateful
onduct of his successors, had himself proposed that Pitt
hould be summoned to the palace.

Pitt had two audiences on two successive days. What
assed at the first interview led him to expect that the
egotiation would be brought to a satisfactory close; but
n the morrow he found the King less complying. The
est account, indeed the only trustworthy account of the
onference, is that which was taken from Pitt's own mouth
y Lord Hardwicke. It appears that Pitt strongly repre-
ented the importance of conciliating those chiefs of the
Vhig party who had been so unhappy as to incur the royal
ispleasure. They had, he said, been the most constant
iends of the House of Hanover. Their power was great;
ney had been long versed in public business. If they were
o be under sentence of exclusion a solid administration
ould not be formed. His Majesty could not bear to think
f putting himself into the hands of those whom he had
ecently chased from his court with the strongest marks of
nger. "I am sorry, Mr Pitt," he said, "but I see this
ill not do. My honour is concerned. I must support my
onour." How his Majesty succeeded in supporting his
onour, we shall soon see.

Pitt retired, and the King was reduced to request the
inisters, whom he had been on the point of discarding,
o remain in office. During the two years which followed,

Grenville, now closely leagued with the Bedfords, was the master of the court; and a hard master he proved. He knew that he was kept in place only because there was no choice except between himself and the Whigs. That, under any circumstances, the Whigs would be forgiven, he thought impossible. The late attempt to get rid of him had roused his resentment; the failure of that attempt had liberated him from all fear. He had never been very courtly. He now began to hold a language to which, since the days of Cornet Joyce and President Bradshaw, no English King had been compelled to listen.

In one matter, indeed, Grenville, at the expense of justice and liberty, gratified the passion of the court while gratifying his own. The persecution of Wilkes was eagerly pressed. He had written a parody on Pope's Essay on Man, entitled the Essay on Woman, and had appended to it notes, in ridicule of Warburton's famous Commentary.

This composition was exceedingly profligate, but not more so, we think, than some of Pope's own works, the imitation of the second satire of the first book of Horace, for example; and, to do Wilkes justice, he had not, like Pope, given his ribaldry to the world. He had merely printed at a private press a very small number of copies, which he meant to present to some of his boon companions, whose morals were in no more danger of being corrupted by a loose book than a negro of being tanned by a warm sun. A tool of the government, by giving a bribe to the printer, procured a copy of this trash, and placed it in the hands of the ministers. The ministers resolved to visit Wilkes's offence against decorum with the utmost rigour of the law. What share piety and respect for morals had in dictating this resolution, our readers may judge from the fact that no person was more eager for bringing the libertine poet to punishment than Lord March, afterwards Duke of Queensberry. On the first day of the session of Parliament, the book, thus disgracefully obtained, was laid on the table of the Lords by the Earl of Sandwich, whom the Duke of Bedford's interest had made Secretary of State. The unfortunate author had not the slightest suspicion that his licentious poem had ever been seen except by his printer and by a few of his dissipated companions, till it was produced in full Parliament. Though he was

man of easy temper, averse from danger, and not very susceptible of shame, the surprise, the disgrace, the prospect of utter ruin, put him beside himself. He picked a quarrel with one of Lord Bute's dependants, fought a duel, was seriously wounded, and, when half recovered, fled to France. His enemies had now their own way both in the Parliament and in the King's Bench. He was censured, expelled from the House of Commons, outlawed. His works were ordered to be burned by the common hangman. Yet was the multitude still true to him. In the minds even of many moral and religious men, his crime seemed light when compared with the crime of his accusers. The conduct of Sandwich, in particular, excited universal disgust. His own vices were notorious; and, only a fortnight before he laid the Essay on Woman before the House of Lords, he had been drinking and singing loose catches with Wilkes at one of the most dissolute clubs in London. Shortly after the meeting of Parliament, the Beggar's Opera was acted at Covent Garden theatre. When Macheath uttered the words—"That Jemmy Twitcher should peach me I own surprised me,"—pit, boxes, and galleries, burst into a roar which seemed likely to bring the roof down. From that day Sandwich was universally known by the nickname of Jemmy Twitcher. The ceremony of burning the North Briton was interrupted by a riot. The constables were beaten; the paper was rescued; and, instead of it, a jackboot and a petticoat were committed to the flames. Wilkes had instituted an action for the seizure of his papers against the Undersecretary of State. The jury gave a thousand pounds damages. But neither these nor any other indications of public feeling had power to move Grenville. He had the Parliament with him: and, according to his political creed, the sense of the nation was to be collected from the Parliament alone.

Soon, however, he found reason to fear that even the Parliament might fail him. On the question of the legality of general warrants, the Opposition, having on its side all sound principles, all constitutional authorities, and the voice of the whole nation, mustered in great force, and was joined by many who did not ordinarily vote against the government. On one occasion the ministry, in a very full house, had a majority of only fourteen votes. The

storm, however, blew over. The spirit of the Opposition from whatever cause, began to flag at the moment when success seemed almost certain. The session ended without any change. Pitt, whose eloquence had shone with its usual lustre in all the principal debates, and whose popularity was greater than ever, was still a private man. Grenville, detested alike by the court and by the people, was still minister.

As soon as the Houses had risen, Grenville took a step which proved, even more signally than any of his past acts, how despotic, how acrimonious, and how fearless his nature was. Among the gentlemen not ordinarily opposed to the government, who, on the great constitutional question of general warrants, had voted with the minority, was Henry Conway, brother of the Earl of Hertford, a brave soldier, a tolerable speaker, and a well-meaning, though not a wise or vigorous politician. He was now deprived of his regiment, the merited reward of faithful and gallant service in two wars. It was confidently asserted that in this violent measure the King heartily concurred.

But whatever pleasure the persecution of Wilkes or the dismissal of Conway may have given to the royal mind it is certain that his Majesty's aversion to his minister increased day by day. Grenville was as frugal of the public money as of his own, and morosely refused to accede to the King's request, that a few thousand pounds might be expended in buying some open fields to the west of the gardens of Buckingham House. In consequence of this refusal, the fields were soon covered with buildings, and the King and Queen were overlooked in their most private walks by the upper windows of a hundred houses. Nor was this the worst. Grenville was as liberal of words as he was sparing of guineas. Instead of explaining himself in that clear, concise, and lively manner, which alone could win the attention of a young mind new to business, he spoke in the closet just as he spoke in the House of Commons. When he had harangued two hours, he looked at his watch as he had been in the habit of looking at the clock opposite the Speaker's chair, apologised for the length of his discourse, and then went on for an hour more. The members of the House of Commons can cough an orator down, or can walk away to dinner; and they were by no means sparing

in the use of these privileges when Grenville was on his legs. But the poor young King had to endure all this eloquence with mournful civility. To the end of his life he continued to talk with horror of Grenville's orations.

About this time took place one of the most singular events in Pitt's life. There was a certain Sir William Pynsent, a Somersetshire baronet of Whig politics, who had been a member of the House of Commons in the days of Queen Anne, and had retired to rural privacy when the Tory party, towards the end of her reign, obtained the ascendency in her councils. His manners were eccentric. His morals lay under very odious imputations. But his fidelity to his political opinions was unalterable. During fifty years of seclusion he continued to brood over the circumstances which had driven him from public life, the dismissal of the Whigs, the peace of Utrecht, the desertion of our allies. He now thought that he perceived a close analogy between the well remembered events of his youth and the events which he had witnessed in extreme old age; between the disgrace of Marlborough and the disgrace of Pitt; between the elevation of Harley and the elevation of Bute; between the treaty negotiated by St John and the treaty negotiated by Bedford; between the wrongs of the House of Austria in 1712 and the wrongs of the House of Brandenburg in 1762. This fancy took such possession of the old man's mind that he determined to leave his whole property to Pitt. In this way Pitt unexpectedly came into possession of near three thousand pounds a year. Nor could all the malice of his enemies find any ground for reproach in the transaction. Nobody could call him a legacy hunter. Nobody could accuse him of seizing that to which others had a better claim. For he had never in his life seen Sir William; and Sir William had left no relation so near as to be entitled to form any expectations respecting the estate.

The fortunes of Pitt seemed to flourish; but his health was worse than ever. We cannot find that, during the session which began in January, 1765, he once appeared in parliament. He remained some months in profound retirement at Hayes, his favourite villa, scarcely moving except from his armchair to his bed, and from his bed to his armchair, and often employing his wife as his amanu-

ensis in his most confidential correspondence. Some of his detractors whispered that his invisibility was to be ascribed quite as much to affectation as to gout. In truth his character, high and splendid as it was, wanted simplicity. With genius which did not need the aid of stage tricks, and with a spirit which should have been far above them, he had yet been, through life, in the habit of practising them. It was, therefore, now surmised that, having acquired all the consideration which could be derived from eloquence and from great services to the state, he had determined not to make himself cheap by often appearing in public, but, under the pretext of ill health, to surround himself with mystery, to emerge only at long intervals and on momentous occasions, and at other times to deliver his oracles only to a few favoured votaries, who were suffered to make pilgrimages to his shrine. If such were his object, it was for a time fully attained. Never was the magic of his name so powerful, never was he regarded by his country with such superstitious veneration, as during this year of silence and seclusion.

While Pitt was thus absent from Parliament, Grenville proposed a measure destined to produce a great revolution, the effects of which will long be felt by the whole human race. We speak of the act for imposing stamp duties on the North American colonies. The plan was eminently characteristic of its author. Every feature of the parent was found in the child. A timid statesman would have shrunk from a step, of which Walpole, at a time when the colonies were far less powerful, had said—"He who shall propose it, will be a much bolder man than I." But the nature of Grenville was insensible to fear. A statesman of large views would have felt that to lay taxes at Westminster on New England and New York, was a course opposed, not indeed to the letter of the Statute Book, or to any decision contained in the Term Reports, but to the principles of good government, and to the spirit of the constitution. A statesman of large views would also have felt that ten times the estimated produce of the American stamps would have been dearly purchased by even a transient quarrel between the mother country and the colonies. But Grenville knew of no spirit of the constitution distinct from the letter of the law, and of no national interests

xcept those which are expressed by pounds, shillings, nd pence. That his policy might give birth to deep iscontents in all the provinces, from the shore of the reat Lakes to the Mexican sea; that France and Spain ight seize the opportunity of revenge; that the Empire ight be dismembered; that the debt, that debt with the mount of which he perpetually reproached Pitt, might, consequence of his own policy, be doubled; these were ossibilities which never occurred to that small, sharp ind.

The Stamp Act will be remembered as long as the globe sts. But, at the time, it attracted much less notice in is country than another Act which is now almost utterly rgotten. The King fell ill, and was thought to be in dangerous state. His complaint, we believe, was the me which, at a later period, repeatedly incapacitated m for the performance of his regal functions. The heir parent was only two years old. It was clearly proper make provision for the administration of the government, case of a minority. The discussions on this point brought e quarrel between the court and the ministry to a crisis. he King wished to be intrusted with the power of naming regent by will. The ministers feared, or affected to ar, that, if this power were conceded to him, he would me the Princess Mother, nay, possibly the Earl of Bute. hey, therefore, insisted on introducing into the bill words nfining the King's choice to the royal family. Having us excluded Bute, they urged the King to let them, in e most marked manner, exclude the Princess Dowager so. They assured him that the House of Commons uld undoubtedly strike her name out, and by this threat ey wrung from him a reluctant assent. In a few days, appeared that the representations by which they had duced the King to put this gross and public affront on s mother were unfounded. The friends of the Princess the House of Commons moved that her name should be serted. The ministers could not decently attack the rent of their master. They hoped that the Opposition uld come to their help, and put on them a force to which ey would gladly have yielded. But the majority of the position, though hating the Princess, hated Grenville ore, beheld his embarrassment with delight, and would

do nothing to extricate him from it. The Princess's name was accordingly placed in the list of persons qualified to hold the regency.

The King's resentment was now at the height. The present evil seemed to him more intolerable than any other. Even the junta of Whig grandees could not treat him worse than he had been treated by his present ministers. In his distress he poured out his whole heart to his uncle, the Duke of Cumberland. The Duke was not a man to be loved; but he was eminently a man to be trusted. He had an intrepid temper, a strong understanding, and a high sense of honour and duty. As a general he belonged to a remarkable class of captains—captains, we mean, whose fate it has been to lose almost all the battles which they have fought, and yet to be reputed stout and skilful soldiers. Such captains were Coligni and William the Third. We might, perhaps, add Marshal Soult to the list. The bravery of the Duke of Cumberland was such as distinguished him even among the princes of his brave house. The indifference with which he rode about amidst musket balls and cannon balls was not the highest proof of his fortitude. Hopeless maladies, horrible surgical operations, far from unmanning him, did not even discompose him. With courage, he had the virtues which are akin to courage. He spoke the truth, was open in enmity and friendship, and upright in all his dealings. But his nature was hard; and what seemed to him justice was rarely tempered with mercy. He was, therefore, during many years one of the most unpopular men in England. The severity with which he had treated the rebels after the battle of Culloden, had gained for him the name of the Butcher. His attempts to introduce into the army of England, then in a most disorderly state, the rigorous discipline of Potsdam, had excited still stronger disgust. Nothing was too bad to be believed of him. Many honest people were so absurd as to fancy that, if he were left Regent during the minority of his nephews, there would be another smothering in the Tower. These feelings, however, had passed away. The Duke had been living, during some years, in retirement. The English, full of animosity against the Scots, now blamed his Royal Highness only for having left so many Camerons and Macphersons to be

ade gaugers and customhouse officers. He was, there-
re, at present, a favourite with his countrymen, and
pecially with the inhabitants of London.

He had little reason to love the King, and had shown
early, though not obtrusively, his dislike of the system
hich had lately been pursued. But he had high and
most romantic notions of the duty which, as a prince of
e blood, he owed to the head of his house. He deter-
ined to extricate his nephew from bondage, and to effect
reconciliation between the Whig party and the throne,
terms honourable to both.

In this mind he set off for Hayes, and was admitted to
tt's sick room; for Pitt would not leave his chamber,
d would not communicate with any messenger of inferior
gnity. And now began a long series of errors on the
rt of the illustrious statesman, errors which involved
s country in difficulties and distresses more serious even
an those from which his genius had formerly rescued
r. His language was haughty, unreasonable, almost
nintelligible. The only thing which could be discerned
rough a cloud of vague and not very gracious phrases,
as that he would not at that moment take office. The
uth, we believe, was this. Lord Temple, who was Pitt's
il genius, had just formed a new scheme of politics.
atred of Bute and of the Princess had, it should seem,
ken entire possession of Temple's soul. He had quarrelled
th his brother George, because George had been con-
cted with Bute and the Princess. Now that George
peared to be the enemy of Bute and the Princess, Temple
as eager to bring about a general family reconciliation.
e three brothers, as Temple, Grenville, and Pitt were
pularly called, might make a ministry, without leaning
r aid either on Bute or on the Whig connection. With
ch views, Temple used all his influence to dissuade Pitt
om acceding to the propositions of the Duke of Cumber-
nd. Pitt was not convinced. But Temple had an
luence over him such as no other person had ever pos-
ssed. They were very old friends, very near relations.
Pitt's talents and fame had been useful to Temple,
mple's purse had formerly, in times of great need,
en useful to Pitt. They had never been parted in
litics. Twice they had come into the cabinet together;

twice they had left it together. Pitt could not bear to think of taking office without his chief ally. Yet he felt that he was doing wrong, that he was throwing away a great opportunity of serving his country. The obscure and unconciliatory style of the answers which he returned to the overtures of the Duke of Cumberland, may be ascribed to the embarrassment and vexation of a mind not at peace with itself. It is said that he mournfully exclaimed to Temple,

> "Extinxti te meque, soror, populumque, patresque
> Sidonios, urbemque tuam."

The prediction was but too just.

Finding Pitt impracticable, the Duke of Cumberland advised the King to submit to necessity, and to keep Grenville and the Bedfords. It was, indeed, not a time at which offices could safely be left vacant. The unsettled state of the government had produced a general relaxation through all the departments of the public service. Meetings, which at another time would have been harmless now turned to riots, and rapidly rose almost to the dignity of rebellions. The Houses of Parliament were blockaded by the Spitalfields weavers. Bedford House was assailed on all sides by a furious rabble, and was strongly garrisoned with horse and foot. Some people attributed these disturbances to the friends of Bute, and some to the friends of Wilkes. But, whatever might be the cause, the effect was general insecurity. Under such circumstances the King had no choice. With bitter feelings of mortification he informed the ministers that he meant to retain them.

They answered by demanding from him a promise on his royal word never more to consult Lord Bute. The promise was given. They then demanded something more. Lord Bute's brother, Mr Mackenzie, held a lucrative office in Scotland. Mr Mackenzie must be dismissed. The King replied that the office had been given under very peculiar circumstances, and that he had promised never to take it away while he lived. Grenville was obstinate, and the King, with a very bad grace, yielded.

The session of Parliament was over. The triumph of the ministers was complete. The King was almost as much

a prisoner as Charles the First had been when in the Isle of Wight. Such were the fruits of the policy which, only a few months before, was represented as having for ever secured the throne against the dictation of insolent subjects.

His Majesty's natural resentment showed itself in every look and word. In his extremity he looked wistfully towards that Whig connection, once the object of his dread and hatred. The Duke of Devonshire, who had been treated with such unjustifiable harshness, had lately died, and had been succeeded by his son, who was still a boy. The King condescended to express his regret for what had passed, and to invite the young Duke to court. The noble youth came, attended by his uncles, and was received with marked graciousness.

This and many other symptoms of the same kind irritated the ministers. They had still in store for their sovereign an insult which would have provoked his grandfather to kick them out of the room. Grenville and Bedford demanded an audience of him, and read him a remonstrance of many pages, which they had drawn up with great care. His Majesty was accused of breaking his word, and of treating his advisers with gross unfairness. The Princess was mentioned in language by no means eulogistic. Hints were thrown out that Bute's head was in danger. The King was plainly told that he must not continue to show, as he had done, that he disliked the situation in which he was placed, that he must frown upon the Opposition, that he must carry it fair towards his ministers in public. He several times interrupted the reading, by declaring that he had ceased to hold any communication with Bute. But the ministers, disregarding his denial, went on; and the King listened in silence, almost choked by rage. When they ceased to read, he merely made a gesture expressive of his wish to be left alone. He afterwards owned that he thought he should have gone into a fit.

Driven to despair, he again had recourse to the Duke of Cumberland; and the Duke of Cumberland again had recourse to Pitt. Pitt was really desirous to undertake the direction of affairs, and owned, with many dutiful expressions, that the terms offered by the King were all that any subject could desire. But Temple was impracticable; and Pitt, with great regret, declared that he could

not, without the concurrence of his brother-in-law, unde
take the administration.

The Duke now saw only one way of delivering his nephe
An administration must be formed of the Whigs in oppos
tion, without Pitt's help. The difficulties seemed almo
insuperable. Death and desertion had grievously thinn
the ranks of the party lately supreme in the state. Tho
among whom the Duke's choice lay might be divided in
two classes—men too old for important offices, and m
who had never been in any important office before. T
cabinet must be composed of broken invalids or of ra
recruits.

This was an evil, yet not an unmixed evil. If the ne
Whig statesmen had little experience in business and deba
they were, on the other hand, pure from the taint of th
political immorality which had deeply infected their pr
decessors. Long prosperity had corrupted that great par
which had expelled the Stuarts, limited the prerogatives
the Crown, and curbed the intolerance of the Hierarch
Adversity had already produced a salutary effect. O
the day of the accession of George the Third, the ascen
ency of the Whig party terminated; and on that day t
purification of the Whig party began. The rising chiefs
that party were men of a very different sort from Sand
and Winnington, from Sir William Yonge and Henry Fo
They were men worthy to have charged by the side
Hampden at Chalgrove, or to have exchanged the la
embrace with Russell on the scaffold in Lincoln's I
Fields. They carried into politics the same high princip
of virtue which regulated their private dealings, nor wou
they stoop to promote even the noblest and most saluta
ends by means which honour and probity condemn. Su
men were Lord John Cavendish, Sir George Savile, and oth
whom we hold in honour as the second founders of the Wh
party, as the restorers of its pristine health and energy af
half a century of degeneracy.

The chief of this respectable band was the Marquess
Rockingham, a man of splendid fortune, excellent sense, a
stainless character. He was indeed nervous to such a deg
that, to the very close of his life, he never rose without gr
reluctance and embarrassment to address the House
Lords. But, though not a great orator, he had in a h

egree some of the qualities of a statesman. He chose is friends well; and he had, in an extraordinary degree, ne art of attaching them to him by ties of the most honour- ble kind. The cheerful fidelity with which they adhered o him through many years of almost hopeless opposition as less admirable than the disinterestedness and delicacy hich they showed when he rose to power.

We are inclined to think that the use and the abuse of arty cannot be better illustrated than by a parallel between vo powerful connections of that time, the Rockinghams nd the Bedfords. The Rockingham party was, in our view, xactly what a party should be. It consisted of men bound ogether by common opinions, by common public objects, y mutual esteem. That they desired to obtain, by honest nd constitutional means, the direction of affairs they penly avowed. But, though often invited to accept the onours and emoluments of office, they steadily refused to o so on any conditions inconsistent with their principles. he Bedford party, as a party, had, as far as we can discover, o principle whatever. Rigby and Sandwich wanted public oney, and thought that they should fetch a higher price intly than singly. They therefore acted in concert, and revailed on a much more important and a much better an than themselves to act with them.

It was to Rockingham that the Duke of Cumberland now nd recourse. The Marquess consented to take the treasury. ewcastle, so long the recognised chief of the Whigs, could ot well be excluded from the ministry. He was appointed eeper of the privy seal. A very honest clear-headed country ntleman, of the name of Dowdeswell, became Chancellor the Exchequer. General Conway, who had served under e Duke of Cumberland, and was strongly attached to his yal highness, was made Secretary of State, with the lead the House of Commons. A great Whig nobleman, in the ime of manhood, from whom much was at that time pected, Augustus Duke of Grafton, was the other Secretary. The oldest man living could remember no government weak in oratorical talents and in official experience. he general opinion was, that the ministers might hold fice during the recess, but that the first day of debate in arliament would be the last day of their power. Charles ownshend was asked what he thought of the new admin-

istration. "It is," said he, "mere lutestring; pretty summer wear. It will never do for the winter."

At this conjuncture Lord Rockingham had the wisdom to discern the value, and secure the aid, of an ally, who, t eloquence surpassing the eloquence of Pitt, and to industr which shamed the industry of Grenville, united an amplitud of comprehension to which neither Pitt nor Grenville coul lay claim. A young Irishman had, some time before, com over to push his fortune in London. He had written muc for the booksellers; but he was best known by a littl treatise, in which the style and reasoning of Bolingbrok were mimicked with exquisite skill, and by a theory, c more ingenuity than soundness, touching the pleasure which we receive from the objects of taste. He had als attained a high reputation as a talker, and was regarde by the men of letters who supped together at the Turk' Head as the only match in conversation for Dr Johnson He now became private secretary to Lord Rockingham and was brought into Parliament by his patron's influence These arrangements, indeed, were not made without som difficulty. The Duke of Newcastle, who was always med dling and chattering, adjured the first lord of the treasur to be on his guard against this adventurer, whose real nam was O'Bourke, and whom his grace knew to be a wil Irishman, a Jacobite, a Papist, a concealed Jesuit. Lor Rockingham treated the calumny as it deserved; and th Whig party was strengthened and adorned by the accessio of Edmund Burke.

The party, indeed, stood in need of accessions; for sustained about this time an almost irreparable loss. Th Duke of Cumberland had formed the government, and wa its main support. His exalted rank and great name in som degree balanced the fame of Pitt. As mediator betwee the Whigs and the Court, he held a place which no othe person could fill. The strength of his character supplie that which was the chief defect of the new ministry. Cor way, in particular, who, with excellent intentions and re spectable talents, was the most dependent and irresolut of human beings, drew from the counsels of that masculin mind a determination not his own. Before the meetin of Parliament the Duke suddenly died. His death wa generally regarded as the signal of great troubles, and c

this account, as well as from respect for his personal qualities, was greatly lamented. It was remarked that the mourning in London was the most general ever known, and was both deeper and longer than the Gazette had prescribed.

In the mean time, every mail from America brought alarming tidings. The crop which Grenville had sown his successors had now to reap. The colonies were in a state bordering on rebellion. The stamps were burned. The revenue officers were tarred and feathered. All traffic between the discontented provinces and the mother country was interrupted. The Exchange of London was in dismay. Half the firms of Bristol and Liverpool were threatened with bankruptcy. In Leeds, Manchester, Nottingham, it was said that three artisans out of every ten had been turned adrift. Civil war seemed to be at hand; and it could not be doubted that, if once the British nation were divided against itself, France and Spain would soon take part in the quarrel.

Three courses were open to the ministers. The first was to enforce the Stamp Act by the sword. This was the course on which the King, and Grenville, whom the King hated beyond all living men, were alike bent. The natures of both were arbitrary and stubborn. They resembled each other so much that they could never be friends; but they resembled each other also so much that they saw almost all important practical questions in the same point of view. Neither of them would bear to be governed by the other; but they were perfectly agreed as to the best way of governing the people.

Another course was that which Pitt recommended. He held that the British Parliament was not constitutionally competent to pass a law for taxing the colonies. He therefore considered the Stamp Act as a nullity, as a document of no more validity than Charles's writ of shipmoney, or James's proclamation dispensing with the penal laws. This doctrine seems to us, we must own, to be altogether untenable.

Between these extreme courses lay a third way. The opinion of the most judicious and temperate statesmen of those times was that the British constitution had set no limit whatever to the legislative power of the British King, Lords, and Commons, over the whole British Empire. Parliament, they held, was legally competent to tax America,

as Parliament was legally competent to commit any other act of folly or wickedness, to confiscate the property of all the merchants in Lombard Street, or to attaint any man in the kingdom of high treason, without examining witnesses against him, or hearing him in his own defence. The most atrocious act of confiscation or of attainder is just as valid an act as the Toleration Act or the Habeas Corpus Act. But from acts of confiscation and acts of attainder lawgivers are bound, by every obligation of morality, systematically to refrain. In the same manner ought the British legislature to refrain from taxing the American colonies. The Stamp Act was indefensible, not because it was beyond the constitutional competence of Parliament, but because it was unjust and impolitic, sterile of revenue, and fertile of discontents. These sound doctrines were adopted by Lord Rockingham and his colleagues, and were, during a long course of years, inculcated by Burke, in orations, some of which will last as long as the English language.

The winter came; the Parliament met; and the state of the colonies instantly became the subject of fierce contention. Pitt, whose health had been somewhat restored by the waters of Bath, reappeared in the House of Commons, and, with ardent and pathetic eloquence, not only condemned the Stamp Act, but applauded the resistance of Massachusetts and Virginia, and vehemently maintained, in defiance, we must say, of all reason and of all authority, that, according to the British constitution, the supreme legislative power does not include the power to tax. The language of Grenville, on the other hand, was such as Strafford might have used at the council table of Charles the First, when news came of the resistance to the liturgy at Edinburgh. The colonists were traitors; those who excused them were little better. Frigates, mortars, bayonets, sabres, were the proper remedies for such distempers.

The ministers occupied an intermediate position: they proposed to declare that the legislative authority of the British Parliament over the whole Empire was in all cases supreme; and they proposed, at the same time, to repeal the Stamp Act. To the former measure Pitt objected; but it was carried with scarcely a dissenting voice. The repeal of the Stamp Act Pitt strongly supported; but against the Government was arrayed a formidable assem-

blage of opponents. Grenville and the Bedfords were furious. Temple, who had now allied himself closely with his brother, and separated himself from Pitt, was no despicable enemy. This, however, was not the worst. The ministry was without its natural strength. It had a struggle, not only against its avowed enemies, but against the insidious hostility of the King, and of a set of persons who, about this time, began to be designated as the King's friends.

The character of this faction has been drawn by Burke with even more than his usual force and vivacity. Those who know how strongly, through his whole life, his judgment was biassed by his passions, may not unnaturally suspect that he has left us rather a caricature than a likeness; and yet there is scarcely, in the whole portrait, a single touch of which the fidelity is not proved by facts of unquestionable authenticity.

The public generally regarded the King's friends as a body of which Bute was the directing soul. It was to no purpose that the Earl professed to have done with politics, that he absented himself year after year from the levee and the drawing-room, that he went to the north, that he went to Rome. The notion that, in some inexplicable manner, he dictated all the measures of the court, was fixed in the minds, not only of the multitude, but of some who had good opportunities of obtaining information, and who ought to have been superior to vulgar prejudices. Our own belief is that these suspicions were unfounded, and that he ceased to have any communication with the King on political matters some time before the dismissal of George Grenville. The supposition of Bute's influence is, indeed, by no means necessary to explain the phænomena. The King, in 1765, was no longer the ignorant and inexperienced boy who had, in 1760, been managed by his mother and his Groom of the Stole. He had, during several years, observed the struggles of parties, and conferred daily on high questions of state with able and experienced politicians. His way of life had developed his understanding and character. He was now no longer a puppet, but had very decided opinions both of men and things. Nothing could be more natural than that he should have high notions of his own prerogatives, should be impatient of opposition, and should wish all public men to be detached from each other and de-

pendent on himself alone; nor could any thing be more natural than that, in the state in which the political world then was, he should find instruments fit for his purpose.

Thus sprang into existence and into note a reptile species of politicians never before and never since known in our country. These men disclaimed all political ties, except those which bound them to the throne. They were willing to coalesce with any party, to abandon any party, to undermine any party, to assault any party, at a moment's notice. To them, all administrations and all oppositions were the same. They regarded Bute, Grenville, Rockingham, Pitt, without one sentiment either of predilection or of aversion. They were the King's friends. It is to be observed that this friendship implied no personal intimacy. These people had never lived with their master, as Dodington at one time lived with his father, or as Sheridan afterwards lived with his son. They never hunted with him in the morning, or played cards with him in the evening, never shared his mutton or walked with him among his turnips. Only one or two of them ever saw his face, except on public days. The whole band, however, always had early and accurate information as to his personal inclinations. None of these people were high in the administration. They were generally to be found in places of much emolument, little labour, and no responsibility; and these places they continued to occupy securely while the cabinet was six or seven times reconstructed. Their peculiar business was not to support the ministry against the opposition, but to support the King against the ministry. Whenever his Majesty was induced to give a reluctant assent to the introduction of some bill which his constitutional advisers regarded as necessary, his friends in the House of Commons were sure to speak against it, to vote against it, to throw in its way every obstruction compatible with the forms of Parliament. If his Majesty found it necessary to admit into his closet a Secretary of State or a First Lord of the Treasury whom he disliked, his friends were sure to miss no opportunity of thwarting and humbling the obnoxious minister. In return for these services, the King covered them with his protection. It was to no purpose that his responsible servants complained to him that they were daily betrayed and impeded by men who were eating the

bread of the government. He sometimes justified the offenders, sometimes excused them, sometimes owned that they were to blame, but said that he must take time to consider whether he could part with them. He never would turn them out; and, while every thing else in the state was constantly changing, these sycophants seemed to have a life estate in their offices.

It was well known to the King's friends that, though his Majesty had consented to the repeal of the Stamp Act, he had consented with a very bad grace, and that though he had eagerly welcomed the Whigs when, in his extreme need and at his earnest entreaty, they had undertaken to free him from an insupportable yoke, he had by no means got over his early prejudices against his deliverers. The ministers soon found that, while they were encountered in front by the whole force of a strong opposition, their rear was assailed by a large body of those whom they had regarded as auxiliaries.

Nevertheless, Lord Rockingham and his adherents went on resolutely with the bill for repealing the Stamp Act. They had on their side all the manufacturing and commercial interests of the realm. In the debates the government was powerfully supported. Two great orators and statesmen, belonging to two different generations, repeatedly put forth all their powers in defence of the bill. The House of Commons heard Pitt for the last time, and Burke for the first time, and was in doubt to which of them the palm of eloquence should be assigned. It was indeed a splendid sunset and a splendid dawn.

For a time the event seemed doubtful. In several divisions the ministers were hard pressed. On one occasion, not less than twelve of the King's friends, all men in office, voted against the government. It was to no purpose that Lord Rockingham remonstrated with the King. His Majesty confessed that there was ground for complaint, but hoped that gentle means would bring the mutineers to a better mind. If they persisted in their misconduct, he would dismiss them.

At length the decisive day arrived. The gallery, the lobby, the Court of Requests, the staircases, were crowded with merchants from all the great ports of the island. The debate lasted till long after midnight. On the division,

the ministers had a great majority. The dread of civil war, and the outcry of all the trading towns of the kingdom, had been too strong for the combined strength of the court and the opposition.

It was in the first dim twilight of a February morning that the doors were thrown open, and that the chiefs of the hostile parties showed themselves to the multitude. Conway was received with loud applause. But when Pitt appeared, all eyes were fixed on him alone. All hats were in the air. Loud and long huzzas accompanied him to his chair, and a train of admirers escorted him all the way to his home. Then came forth Grenville. As soon as he was recognised, a storm of hisses and curses broke forth. He turned fiercely on the crowd, and caught one man by the throat. The bystanders were in great alarm. If a scuffle began, none could say how it might end. Fortunately the person who had been collared only said, "If I may not hiss, sir, I hope I may laugh," and laughed in Grenville's face.

The majority had been so decisive that all the opponents of the ministry, save one, were disposed to let the bill pass without any further contention. But solicitation and expostulation were thrown away on Grenville. His indomitable spirit rose up stronger and stronger under the load of public hatred. He fought out the battle obstinately to the end. On the last reading he had a sharp altercation with his brother-in-law, the last of their many sharp altercations. Pitt thundered in his loftiest tones against the man who had wished to dip the ermine of a British King in the blood of the British people. Grenville replied with his wonted intrepidity and asperity. "If the tax," he said, "were still to be laid on, I would lay it on. For the evils which it may produce my accuser is answerable. His profusion made it necessary. His declarations against the constitutional powers of King, Lords, and Commons, have made it doubly necessary. I do not envy him the huzza. I glory in the hiss. If it were to be done again, I would do it."

The repeal of the Stamp Act was the chief measure of Lord Rockingham's government. But that government is entitled to the praise of having put a stop to two oppressive practices, which, in Wilkes's case, had attracted the notice and excited the just indignation of the public. The House of Commons was induced by the ministers to pass a resolu-

tion condemning the use of general warrants, and another resolution, condemning the seizure of papers in cases of libel.

It must be added, to the lasting honour of Lord Rockingham, that his administration was the first which, during a long course of years, had the courage and the virtue to refrain from bribing members of Parliament. His enemies accused him and his friends of weakness, of haughtiness, of party spirit; but calumny itself never dared to couple his name with corruption.

Unhappily his government, though one of the best that has ever existed in our country, was also one of the weakest. The King's friends assailed and obstructed the ministers at every turn. To appeal to the King was only to draw forth new promises and new evasions. His Majesty was sure that there must be some misunderstanding. Lord Rockingham had better speak to the gentlemen. They should be dismissed on the next fault. The next fault was soon committed, and his Majesty still continued to shuffle. It was too bad. It was quite abominable; but it mattered less as the prorogation was at hand. He would give the delinquents one more chance. If they did not alter their conduct next session, he should not have one word to say for them. He had already resolved that, long before the commencement of the next session, Lord Rockingham should cease to be minister.

We have now come to a part of our story which, admiring as we do the genius and the many noble qualities of Pitt, we cannot relate without much pain. We believe that, at this conjuncture, he had it in his power to give the victory either to the Whigs or to the King's friends. If he had allied himself closely with Lord Rockingham, what could the court have done? There would have been only one alternative, the Whigs or Grenville; and there could be no doubt what the King's choice would be. He still remembered, as well he might, with the utmost bitterness, the thraldom from which his uncle had freed him, and said about this time, with great vehemence, that he would sooner see the Devil come into his closet than Grenville.

And what was there to prevent Pitt from allying himself with Lord Rockingham? On all the most important questions their views were the same. They had agreed in condemning

the peace, the Stamp Act, the general warrants, the seizur of papers. The points on which they differed were few an unimportant. In integrity, in disinterestedness, in hatre of corruption, they resembled each other. Their persona interests could not clash. They sat in different houses, an Pitt had always declared that nothing should induce hi to be first lord of the treasury.

If the opportunity of forming a coalition beneficial t the state, and honourable to all concerned, was suffere to escape, the fault was not with the Whig ministers. The behaved towards Pitt with an obsequiousness which, ha it not been the effect of sincere admiration and of anxiet for the public interests, might have been justly called servil They repeatedly gave him to understand that, if he chos to join their ranks, they were ready to receive him, not a an associate, but as a leader. They had proved their respe for him by bestowing a peerage on the person who, at tha time, enjoyed the largest share of his confidence, Chie Justice Pratt. What then was there to divide Pitt fro the Whigs ? What, on the other hand, was there in commo between him and the King's friends, that he should len himself to their purposes, he who had never owed any thin to flattery or intrigue, he whose eloquence and independen spirit had overawed two generations of slaves and jobber he who had twice been forced by the enthusiasm of a admiring nation on a reluctant Prince ?

Unhappily the court had gained Pitt, not, it is true, b those ignoble means which were employed when suc men as Rigby and Wedderburn were to be won, but b allurements suited to a nature noble even in its aberration The King set himself to seduce the one man who coul turn the Whigs out without letting Grenville in. Prais caresses, promises, were lavished on the idol of the natio He, and he alone, could put an end to faction, could bi defiance to all the powerful connections in the land unite Whigs and Tories, Rockinghams, Bedfords, and Grenville These blandishments produced a great effect. For thoug Pitt's spirit was high and manly, though his eloquen was often exerted with formidable effect against the cour and though his theory of government had been learne in the school of Locke and Sidney, he had always regarde the person of the sovereign with profound veneratio

As soon as he was brought face to face with royalty, his imagination and sensibility were too strong for his principles. His Whiggism thawed and disappeared; and he became, for the time, a Tory of the old Ormond pattern. Nor was he by any means unwilling to assist in the work of dissolving all political connections. His own weight in the state was wholly independent of such connections. He was therefore inclined to look on them with dislike, and made far too little distinction between gangs of knaves associated for the mere purpose of robbing the public, and confederacies of honourable men for the promotion of great public objects. Nor had he the sagacity to perceive that the strenuous efforts which he made to annihilate all parties ended only to establish the ascendency of one party, and that the basest and most hateful of all.

It may be doubted whether he would have been thus misled, if his mind had been in full health and vigour. But the truth is that he had for some time been in an unnatural state of excitement. No suspicion of this sort had yet got abroad. His eloquence had never shone with more splendour than during the recent debates. But people afterwards called to mind many things which ought to have roused their apprehensions. His habits were gradually becoming more and more eccentric. A horror of all loud sounds, such as is said to have been one of the many oddities of Wallenstein, grew upon him. Though the most affectionate of fathers, he could not at this time bear to hear the voices of his own children, and laid out great sums at Hayes in buying up houses contiguous to his own, merely that he might have no neighbours to disturb him with their noise. He then sold Hayes, and took possession of a villa at Hampstead, where he again began to purchase houses, to right and left. In expense, indeed, he vied, during this part of his life, with the wealthiest of the conquerors of Bengal and Tanjore. At Burton Pynsent, he ordered a great extent of ground to be planted with cedars. Cedars enough for the purpose were not to be found in Somersetshire. They were therefore collected in London, and sent down by land carriage. Relays of labourers were hired; and the work went on all night by torchlight. No man could be more abstemious than Pitt; yet the profusion of his kitchen was a wonder even to epicures.

Several dinners were always dressing; for his appetite was capricious and fanciful, and at whatever moment he felt inclined to eat, he expected a meal to be instantly on the table. Other circumstances might be mentioned such as separately are of little moment, but such as, when taken together, and when viewed in connection with the strange events which followed, justify us in believing that his mind was already in a morbid state.

Soon after the close of the session of Parliament, Lord Rockingham received his dismissal. He retired, accompanied by a firm body of friends, whose consistency and uprightness enmity itself was forced to admit. None of them had asked or obtained any pension or any sinecure either in possession or in reversion. Such disinterestedness was then rare among politicians. Their chief, though not a man of brilliant talents, had won for himself an honourable fame, which he kept pure to the last. He had, in spite of difficulties which seemed almost insurmountable, removed great abuses and averted a civil war. Sixteen years later, in a dark and terrible day, he was again called upon to save the state, brought to the very brink of ruin by the same perfidy and obstinacy which had embarrassed and at length overthrown, his first administration.

Pitt was planting in Somersetshire when he was summoned to court by a letter written by the royal hand. He instantly hastened to London. The irritability of his mind and body were increased by the rapidity with which he travelled; and when he reached his journey's end, he was suffering from fever. Ill as he was, he saw the King at Richmond, and undertook to form an administration.

Pitt was scarcely in the state in which a man should be who has to conduct delicate and arduous negotiations. In his letters to his wife, he complained that the conference in which it was necessary for him to bear a part heated his blood and accelerated his pulse. From other sources of information we learn, that his language, even to those whose coöperation he wished to engage, was strangely peremptory and despotic. Some of his notes written at this time have been preserved, and are in a style which Lewis the Fourteenth would have been too well bred to employ in addressing any French gentleman.

In the attempt to dissolve all parties, Pitt met with

some difficulties. Some Whigs, whom the court would gladly have detached from Lord Rockingham, rejected all offers. The Bedfords were perfectly willing to break with Grenville; but Pitt would not come up to their terms. Temple, whom Pitt at first meant to place at the head of the treasury, proved intractable. A coldness indeed had, during some months, been fast growing between the brothers-in-law, so long and so closely allied in politics. Pitt was angry with Temple for opposing the repeal of the Stamp Act. Temple was angry with Pitt for refusing to accede to that family league which was now the favourite plan at Stowe. At length the Earl proposed an equal partition of power and patronage, and offered, on this condition, to give up his brother George. Pitt thought the demand exorbitant, and positively refused compliance. A bitter quarrel followed. Each of the kinsmen was true to his character. Temple's soul festered with spite, and Pitt's swelled into contempt. Temple represented Pitt as the most odious of hypocrites and traitors. Pitt held a different and perhaps a more provoking tone. Temple was a good sort of man enough, whose single title to distinction was, that he had a large garden, with a large piece of water, and a great many pavilions and summer-houses. To his fortunate connection with a great orator and statesman he was indebted for an importance in the state which his own talents could never have gained for him. That importance had turned his head. He had begun to fancy that he could form administrations and govern empires. It was piteous to see a well-meaning man under such a delusion.

In spite of all these difficulties, a ministry was made such as the King wished to see, a ministry in which all his Majesty's friends were comfortably accommodated, and which, with the exception of his Majesty's friends, contained no four persons who had ever in their lives been in the habit of acting together. Men who had never conferred in a single vote found themselves seated at the same board. The office of paymaster was divided between two persons who had never exchanged a word. Most of the chief posts were filled either by personal adherents of Pitt, or by members of the late ministry, who had been induced to remain in place after the dismissal of Lord Rockingham. To the former class belonged Pratt, now Lord Camden,

who accepted the great seal, and Lord Shelburne, who wa made one of the Secretaries of State. To the latter clas belonged the Duke of Grafton, who became First Lor of the Treasury, and Conway, who kept his old positio both in the government and in the House of Commons Charles Townshend, who had belonged to every party and cared for none, was Chancellor of the Exchequer Pitt himself was declared prime minister, but refused t take any laborious office. He was created Earl of Chatham and the privy seal was delivered to him.

It is scarcely necessary to say, that the failure, the com plete and disgraceful failure of this arrangement, is no to be ascribed to any want of capacity in the persons whor we have named. None of them were deficient in abilities and four of them, Pitt himself, Shelburne, Camden, an Townshend, were men of high intellectual eminence. Th fault was not in the materials, but in the principle on whic the materials were put together. Pitt had mixed up thes conflicting elements, in the full confidence that he shoul be able to keep them all in perfect subordination to himsel and in perfect harmony with each other. We shall soo see how the experiment succeeded.

On the very day on which the new prime minister kisse hands, three fourths of that popularity which he had lon enjoyed without a rival, and to which he owed the greate part of his authority, departed from him. A violen outcry was raised, not against that part of his conduc which really deserved severe condemnation, but agains a step in which we can see nothing to censure. His accep ance of a peerage produced a general burst of indignatio Yet surely no peerage had ever been better earned; no was there ever a statesman who more needed the repos of the Upper House. Pitt was now growing old. H was much older in constitution than in years. It was wit imminent risk to his life that he had, on some importan occasions, attended his duty in Parliament. During th session of 1764, he had not been able to take part in a sing debate. It was impossible that he should go throug the nightly labour of conducting the business of the gover ment in the House of Commons. His wish to be tran ferred, under such circumstances, to a less busy and a le turbulent assembly, was natural and reasonable. Th

nation, however, overlooked all these considerations. Those who had most loved and honoured the great Commoner were loudest in invective against the new made Lord. London had hitherto been true to him through every vicissitude. When the citizens learned that he had been sent for from Somersetshire, that he had been closeted with the King at Richmond, and that he was to be first minister, they had been in transports of joy. Preparations were made for a grand entertainment and for a general illumination. The lamps had actually been placed round the Monument, when the Gazette announced that the object of all this enthusiasm was an Earl. Instantly the feast was countermanded. The lamps were taken down. The newspapers raised the roar of obloquy. Pamphlets, made up of calumny and scurrility, filled the shops of all the booksellers; and of those pamphlets, the most galling were written under the direction of the malignant Temple. It was now the fashion to compare the two Williams, William Pulteney and William Pitt. Both, it was said, had, by eloquence and simulated patriotism, acquired a great ascendency in the House of Commons and in the country. Both had been intrusted with the office of reforming the government. Both had, when at the height of power and popularity, been seduced by the splendour of the coronet. Both had been made earls, and both had at once become objects of aversion and scorn to the nation which a few hours before had regarded them with affection and veneration.

The clamour against Pitt appears to have had a serious effect on the foreign relations of the country. His name had till now acted like a spell at Versailles and St Ildefonso. English travellers on the Continent had remarked that nothing more was necessary to silence a whole room full of boasting Frenchmen than to drop a hint of the probability that Mr Pitt would return to power. In an instant there was deep silence: all shoulders rose, and all faces were lengthened. Now, unhappily, every foreign court, on learning that he was recalled to office, learned also that he no longer possessed the hearts of his countrymen. Ceasing to be loved at home, he ceased to be feared abroad. The name of Pitt had been a charmed name. Our envoys tried in vain to conjure with the name of Chatham.

The difficulties which beset Chatham were daily in-

creased by the despotic manner in which he treated all around him. Lord Rockingham had, at the time of the change of ministry, acted with great moderation, had expressed a hope that the new government would act on the principles of the late government, and had even interfered to prevent many of his friends from quitting office. Thus Saunders and Keppel, two naval commanders of great eminence, had been induced to remain at the Admiralty, where their services were much needed. The Duke of Portland was still Lord Chamberlain, and Lord Besborough Postmaster. But within a quarter of a year, Lord Chatham had so deeply affronted these men, that they all retired in disgust. In truth, his tone, submissive in the closet, was at this time insupportably tyrannical in the cabinet. His colleagues were merely his clerks for naval, financial, and diplomatic business. Conway, meek as he was, was on one occasion provoked into declaring that such language as Lord Chatham's had never been heard west of Constantinople, and was with difficulty prevented by Horace Walpole from resigning, and rejoining the standard of Lord Rockingham.

The breach which had been made in the government by the defection of so many of the Rockinghams, Chatham hoped to supply by the help of the Bedfords. But with the Bedfords he could not deal as he had dealt with other parties. It was to no purpose that he bade high for one or two members of the faction, in the hope of detaching them from the rest. They were to be had; but they were to be had only in the lot. There was indeed for a moment some wavering and some disputing among them. But at length the counsels of the shrewd and resolute Rigby prevailed. They determined to stand firmly together, and plainly intimated to Chatham that he must take them all, or that he should get none of them. The event proved that they were wiser in their generation than any other connection in the state. In a few months they were able to dictate their own terms.

The most important public measure of Lord Chatham's administration was his celebrated interference with the corn trade. The harvest had been bad; the price of food was high; and he thought it necessary to take on himself the responsibility of laying an embargo on the exportation of grain. When Parliament met, this proceeding was

ttacked by the opposition as unconstitutional, and de-
ended by the ministers as indispensably necessary. At
ast an act was passed to indemnify all who had been con-
erned in the embargo.

The first words uttered by Chatham, in the House of
ords, were in defence of his conduct on this occasion.
le spoke with a calmness, sobriety, and dignity, well
uited to the audience which he was addressing. A sub-
equent speech which he made on the same subject was
ess successful. He bade defiance to aristocratical connec-
ions, with a superciliousness to which the Peers were not
ccustomed, and with tones and gestures better suited to
large and stormy assembly than to the body of which
e was now a member. A short altercation followed,
nd he was told very plainly that he should not be suffered
o browbeat the old nobility of England.

It gradually became clearer and clearer that he was in a
istempered state of mind. His attention had been drawn
o the territorial acquisitions of the East India Company,
nd he determined to bring the whole of that great subject
efore Parliament. He would not, however, confer on the
ubject with any of his colleagues. It was in vain that
onway, who was charged with the conduct of business
n the House of Commons, and Charles Townshend, who
as responsible for the direction of the finances, begged
or some glimpse of light as to what was in contemplation.
hatham's answers were sullen and mysterious. He must
ecline any discussion with them; he did not want their
ssistance; he had fixed on a person to take charge of his
easure in the House of Commons. This person was a
ember who was not connected with the government,
nd who neither had, nor deserved to have, the ear of
he House, a noisy, purseproud, illiterate demagogue, whose
ockney English and scraps of mispronounced Latin were
he jest of the newspapers, Alderman Beckford. It may
ell be supposed that these strange proceedings produced
ferment through the whole political world. The city
as in commotion. The East India Company invoked
he faith of charters. Burke thundered against the minis-
rs. The ministers looked at each other and knew not
hat to say. In the midst of the confusion, Lord Chatham
roclaimed himself gouty, and retired to Bath. It was

announced, after some time, that he was better, that he would shortly return, that he would soon put every thing in order. A day was fixed for his arrival in London. But when he reached the Castle inn at Marlborough, he stopped, shut himself up in his room, and remained there some weeks. Every body who travelled that road was amazed by the number of his attendants. Footmen and grooms, dressed in his family livery, filled the whole inn, though one of the largest in England, and swarmed in the streets of the little town. The truth was that the invalid had insisted that, during his stay, all the waiters and stable-boys of the Castle should wear his livery.

His colleagues were in despair. The Duke of Grafton proposed to go down to Marlborough in order to consult the oracle. But he was informed that Lord Chatham must decline all conversation on business. In the mean time, all the parties which were out of office, Bedfords, Grenvilles, and Rockinghams, joined to oppose the distracted government on the vote for the land tax. They were reinforced by almost all the county members, and had a considerable majority. This was the first time that a ministry had been beaten on an important division in the House of Commons since the fall of Sir Robert Walpole. The administration, thus furiously assailed from without, was torn by internal dissensions. It had been formed on no principle whatever. From the very first, nothing but Chatham's authority had prevented the hostile contingents which made up his ranks from going to blows with each other. That authority was now withdrawn, and every thing was in commotion. Conway, a brave soldier, but in civil affairs the most timid and irresolute of men, afraid of disobliging the King, afraid of being abused in the newspapers, afraid of being thought factious if he went out, afraid of being thought interested if he stayed in, afraid of every thing, and afraid of being known to be afraid of any thing, was beaten backwards and forwards like a shuttlecock between Horace Walpole who wished to make him prime minister and Lord John Cavendish who wished to draw him into opposition. Charles Townshend, a man of splendid talents, of lax principles, and of boundless vanity and presumption, would submit to no control. The full extent of his parts, of his ambition, and of his

rrogance, had not yet been made manifest; for he had lways quailed before the genius and the lofty character f Pitt. But now that Pitt had quitted the House of ommons, and seemed to have abdicated the part of chief inister, Townshend broke loose from all restraint.

While things were in this state, Chatham at length re-rned to London. He might as well have remained at arlborough. He would see nobody. He would give o opinion on any public matter. The Duke of Grafton egged piteously for an interview, for an hour, for half n hour, for five minutes. The answer was, that it was npossible. The King himself repeatedly condescended o expostulate and implore. "Your duty," he wrote, your own honour, require you to make an effort." The nswers to these appeals were commonly written in Lady hatham's hand, from her lord's dictation; for he had not nergy even to use a pen. He flings himself at the King's eet. He is penetrated by the royal goodness, so signally nown to the most unhappy of men. He implores a little nore indulgence. He cannot as yet transact business. e cannot see his colleagues. Least of all can he bear ne excitement of an interview with majesty.

Some were half inclined to suspect that he was, to use military phrase, malingering. He had made, they said, great blunder, and had found it out. His immense opularity, his high reputation for statesmanship, were one for ever. Intoxicated by pride, he had undertaken task beyond his abilities. He now saw nothing before m but distresses and humiliations; and he had therefore mulated illness, in order to escape from vexations which e had not fortitude to meet. This suspicion, though it erived some colour from that weakness which was the ost striking blemish of his character, was certainly un-unded. His mind, before he became first minister, ad been, as we have said, in an unsound state; and nysical and moral causes now concurred to make the de-ngement of his faculties complete. The gout, which ad been the torment of his whole life, had been suppressed strong remedies. For the first time since he was a boy Oxford, he passed several months without a twinge. ut his hand and foot had been relieved at the expense his nerves. He became melancholy, fanciful, irritable.

The embarrassing state of public affairs, the grave responsi bility which lay on him, the consciousness of his errors the disputes of his colleagues, the savage clamours raise by his detractors, bewildered his enfeebled mind. On thing alone, he said, could save him. He must repurchas Hayes. The unwilling consent of the new occupant wa extorted by Lady Chatham's entreaties and tears; an her lord was somewhat easier. But if business were men tioned to him, he, once the proudest and boldest of man kind, behaved like a hysterical girl, trembled from head t foot, and burst into a flood of tears.

His colleagues for a time continued to entertain th expectation that his health would soon be restored, an that he would emerge from his retirement. But mont followed month, and still he remained hidden in mysteriou seclusion, and sunk, as far as they could learn, in the deepes dejection of spirits. They at length ceased to hope or t fear any thing from him; and, though he was still nominall Prime Minister, took without scruple steps which the knew to be diametrically opposed to all his opinions an feelings, allied themselves with those whom he had pro scribed, disgraced those whom he most esteemed, and lai taxes on the colonies, in the face of the strong declaration which he had recently made.

When he had passed about a year and three quarter in gloomy privacy, the King received a few lines in Lad Chatham's hand. They contained a request, dictated b her lord, that he might be permitted to resign the Priv Seal. After some civil show of reluctance, the resignatio was accepted. Indeed Chatham was, by this time, almos as much forgotten as if he had already been lying in West minster Abbey.

At length the clouds which had gathered over his min broke and passed away. His gout returned, and free him from a more cruel malady. His nerves were newl braced. His spirits became buoyant. He woke as fro a sickly dream. It was a strange recovery. Men ha been in the habit of talking of him as of one dead, an when he first showed himself at the King's levee, starte as if they had seen a ghost. It was more than two yea and a half since he had appeared in public.

He, too, had cause for wonder. The world which h

ow entered was not the world which he had quitted. he administration which he had formed had never been, any one moment, entirely changed. But there had een so many losses and so many accessions, that he could arcely recognise his own work. Charles Townshend was ead. Lord Shelburne had been dismissed. Conway had nk into utter insignificance. The Duke of Grafton had llen into the hands of the Bedfords. The Bedfords had serted Grenville, had made their peace with the King d the King's friends, and had been admitted to office. ord North was Chancellor of the Exchequer, and was ing fast in importance. Corsica had been given up France without a struggle. The disputes with the nerican colonies had been revived. A general election d taken place. Wilkes had returned from exile, and, tlaw as he was, had been chosen knight of the shire r Middlesex. The multitude was on his side. The court as obstinately bent on ruining him, and was prepared shake the very foundations of the constitution for the ke of a paltry revenge. The House of Commons, assum- g to itself an authority which of right belongs only to e whole legislature, had declared Wilkes incapable of ting in Parliament. Nor had it been thought sufficient keep him out. Another must be brought in. Since e freeholders of Middlesex had obstinately refused to oose a member acceptable to the Court, the House had osen a member for them. This was not the only instance, rhaps not the most disgraceful instance, of the inveterate alignity of the Court. Exasperated by the steady opposi- n of the Rockingham party, the King's friends had tried rob a distinguished Whig nobleman of his private estate, d had persisted in their mean wickedness till their own vile majority had revolted from mere disgust and shame. scontent had spread throughout the nation, and was ot up by stimulants such as had rarely been applied to e public mind. Junius had taken the field, had trampled William Draper in the dust, had well-nigh broken the art of Blackstone, and had so mangled the reputation the Duke of Grafton, that his grace had become sick office, and was beginning to look wistfully towards the ades of Euston. Every principle of foreign, domestic, d colonial policy which was dear to the heart of Chatham

had, during the eclipse of his genius, been violated by the government which he had formed.

The remaining years of his life were spent in vainly struggling against that fatal policy which, at the moment when he might have given it a death-blow, he had been induced to take under his protection. His exertions redeemed his own fame, but they effected little for his country.

He found two parties arrayed against the government, the party of his own brothers-in-law, the Grenvilles, and the party of Lord Rockingham. On the question of the Middlesex election these parties were agreed. But on many other important questions they differed widely; and they were, in truth, not less hostile to each other than to the Court. The Grenvilles had, during several years, annoyed the Rockinghams with a succession of acrimonious pamphlets. It was long before the Rockinghams could be induced to retaliate. But an ill natured tract, written under Grenville's direction, and entitled, a State of the Nation, was too much for their patience. Burke undertook to defend and avenge his friends, and executed the task with admirable skill and vigour. On every point he was victorious, and nowhere more completely victorious than when he joined issue on those dry and minute questions of statistical and financial detail in which the main strength of Grenville lay. The official drudge, even on his own chosen ground, was utterly unable to maintain the fight against the great orator and philosopher. When Chatham reappeared, Grenville was still writhing with the recent shame and smart of this well merited chastisement. Cordial coöperation between the two sections of the Opposition was impossible. Nor could Chatham easily connect himself with either. His feelings, in spite of many affronts given and received, drew him towards the Grenvilles. For he had strong domestic affections; and his nature, which, though haughty, was by no means obdurate, had been softened by affliction. But from his kinsmen he was separated by a wide difference of opinion on the question of colonial taxation. A reconciliation, however, took place. He visited Stowe: he shook hands with George Grenville; and the Whig freeholders of Buckinghamshire at their public dinners, drank many bumpers to the union of the three brothers.

In opinions, Chatham was much nearer to the Rockinghams than to his own relatives. But between him and the Rockinghams there was a gulf not easily to be passed. He had deeply injured them, and in injuring them, had deeply injured his country. When the balance was trembling between them and the Court, he had thrown the whole weight of his genius, of his renown, of his popularity, into the scale of misgovernment. It must be added, that many eminent members of the party still retained a bitter recollection of the asperity and disdain with which they had been treated by him at the time when he assumed the direction of affairs. It is clear from Burke's pamphlets and speeches, and still more clear from his private letters, and from the language which he held in conversation, that he regarded Chatham with a feeling not far removed from dislike. Chatham was undoubtedly conscious of his error, and desirous to atone for it. But his overtures of friendship, though made with earnestness, and even with unwonted humility, were at first received by Lord Rockingham with cold and austere reserve. Gradually the intercourse of the two statesmen became courteous and even amicable. But the past was never wholly forgotten.

Chatham did not, however, stand alone. Round him gathered a party, small in number, but strong in great and various talents. Lord Camden, Lord Shelburne, Colonel Barré, and Dunning, afterwards Lord Ashburton, were the principal members of this connection.

There is no reason to believe that, from this time till within a few weeks of Chatham's death, his intellect suffered any decay. His eloquence was almost to the last heard with delight. But it was not exactly the eloquence of the House of Lords. That lofty and passionate, but somewhat desultory declamation, in which he excelled all men, and which was set off by looks, tones, and gestures, worthy of Garrick or Talma, was out of place in a small apartment where the audience often consisted of three or four drowsy prelates, three or four old judges, accustomed during many years to disregard rhetoric, and to look only at facts and arguments, and three or four listless and supercilious men of fashion, whom any thing like enthusiasm moved to sneer. In the House of Commons, a flash of his eye, a wave of his arm, had sometimes cowed Murray. But, in

the House of Peers, his utmost vehemence and pathos produced less effect than the moderation, the reasonableness, the luminous order, and the serene dignity, which characterised the speeches of Lord Mansfield.

On the question of the Middlesex election, all the three divisions of the Opposition acted in concert. No orator in either House defended what is now universally admitted to have been the constitutional cause with more ardour or eloquence than Chatham. Before this subject had ceased to occupy the public mind, George Grenville died. His party rapidly melted away; and in a short time most of his adherents appeared on the ministerial benches.

Had George Grenville lived many months longer, the friendly ties which, after years of estrangement and hostility, had been renewed between him and his brother-in-law would, in all probability, have been a second time violently dissolved. For now the quarrel between England and the North American colonies took a gloomy and terrible aspect. Oppression provoked resistance; resistance was made the pretext for fresh oppression. The warnings of all the greatest statesmen of the age were lost on an imperious court and a deluded nation. Soon a colonial senate confronted the British Parliament. Then the colonial militia crossed bayonets with the British regiments. At length the commonwealth was torn asunder. Two millions of Englishmen, who, fifteen years before, had been as loyal to their prince and as proud of their country as the people of Kent or Yorkshire, separated themselves by a solemn act from the Empire. For a time it seemed that the insurgents would struggle to small purpose against the vast financial and military means of the mother country. But disasters, following one another in rapid succession, rapidly dispelled the illusions of national vanity. At length a great British force, exhausted, famished, harassed on every side by a hostile peasantry, was compelled to deliver up its arms. Those governments which England had, in the late war, so signally humbled, and which had during many years been sullenly brooding over the recollections of Quebec, of Minden, and of the Moro, now saw with exultation that the day of revenge was at hand. France recognised the independence of the United States; and there could be little doubt that the example would soon be followed by Spain.

Chatham and Rockingham had cordially concurred in pposing every part of the fatal policy which had brought ne state into this dangerous situation. But their paths ow diverged. Lord Rockingham thought, and, as the vent proved, thought most justly, that the revolted colnies were separated from the Empire for ever, and that ne only effect of prolonging the war on the American ontinent would be to divide resources which it was desirble to concentrate. If the hopeless attempt to subjugate ennsylvania and Virginia were abandoned, war against ne House of Bourbon might possibly be avoided, or, if nevitable, might be carried on with success and glory. Ve might even indemnify ourselves for part of what we ad lost, at the expense of those foreign enemies who had pped to profit by our domestic dissensions. Lord Rockgham, therefore, and those who acted with him, conceived nat the wisest course now opened to England was to acnowledge the independence of the United States, and to rn her whole force against her European enemies.

Chatham, it should seem, ought to have taken the same de. Before France had taken any part in our quarrel ith the colonies, he had repeatedly, and with great energy language, declared that it was impossible to conquer merica; and he could not without absurdity maintain nat it was easier to conquer France and America together nan America alone. But his passions overpowered his dgment, and made him blind to his own inconsistency. ne very circumstances which made the separation of e colonies inevitable made it to him altogether insupportable. The dismemberment of the Empire seemed to m less ruinous and humiliating, when produced by omestic dissensions, than when produced by foreign terference. His blood boiled at the degradation of his untry. Whatever lowered her among the nations of the rth, he felt as a personal outrage to himself. And the eling was natural. He had made her so great. He had en so proud of her; and she had been so proud of him. e remembered how, more than twenty years before, in a y of gloom and dismay, when her possessions were torn om her, when her flag was dishonoured, she had called him to save her. He remembered the sudden and orious change which his energy had wrought, the long

series of triumphs, the days of thanksgiving, the nights of illumination. Fired by such recollections he determined to separate himself from those who advised that the independence of the colonies should be acknowledged. That he was in error will scarcely, we think, be disputed by his warmest admirers. Indeed, the treaty, by which, a few years later, the republic of the United States was recognised, was the work of his most attached adherents and of his favourite son.

The Duke of Richmond had given notice of an address to the throne, against the further prosecution of hostilities with America. Chatham had, during some time, absented himself from Parliament, in consequence of his growing infirmities. He determined to appear in his place on this occasion, and to declare that his opinions were decidedly at variance with those of the Rockingham party. He was in a state of great excitement. His medical attendants were uneasy, and strongly advised him to calm himself, and to remain at home. But he was not to be controlled. His son William, and his son-in-law Lord Mahon, accompanied him to Westminster. He rested himself in the Chancellor's room till the debate commenced, and then, leaning on his two young relations, limped to his seat. The slightest particulars of that day were remembered, and have been carefully recorded. He bowed, it was remarked, with great courtliness to those peers who rose to make way for him and his supporters. His crutch was in his hand. He wore, as was his fashion, a rich velvet coat. His legs were swathed in flannel. His wig was so large, and his face so emaciated, that none of his features could be discerned, except the high curve of his nose, and his eyes, which still retained a gleam of the old fire.

When the Duke of Richmond had spoken, Chatham rose. For some time his voice was inaudible. At length his tones became distinct and his action animated. Here and there his hearers caught a thought or an expression which reminded them of William Pitt. But it was clear that he was not himself. He lost the thread of his discourse, hesitated, repeated the same words several times, and was so confused that, in speaking of the Act of Settlement, he could not recall the name of the Electress Sophia. The House listened in solemn silence, and with the aspect of profound respect

and compassion. The stillness was so deep that the dropping of a handkerchief would have been heard. The Duke of Richmond replied with great tenderness and courtesy; but while he spoke, the old man was observed to be restless and irritable. The Duke sat down. Chatham stood up again, pressed his hand on his breast, and sank down in an apoplectic fit. Three or four lords who sat near him caught him in his fall. The House broke up in confusion. The dying man was carried to the residence of one of the officers of Parliament, and was so far restored as to be able to bear a journey to Hayes. At Hayes, after lingering a few weeks, he expired in his seventieth year. His bed was watched to the last, with anxious tenderness, by his wife and children; and he well deserved their care. Too often haughty and wayward to others, to them he had been almost effeminately kind. He had through life been dreaded by his political opponents, and regarded with more awe than love even by his political associates. But no fear seems to have mingled with the affection which his fondness, constantly overflowing in a thousand endearing forms, had inspired in the little circle at Hayes.

Chatham, at the time of his decease, had not, in both Houses of Parliament, ten personal adherents. Half the public men of the age had been estranged from him by his errors, and the other half by the exertions which he had made to repair his errors. His last speech had been an attack at once on the policy pursued by the government and on the policy recommended by the opposition. But death restored him to his old place in the affection of his country. Who could hear unmoved of the fall of that which had been so great, and which had stood so long? The circumstances, too, seemed rather to belong to the tragic stage than to real life. A great statesman, full of years and honours, led forth to the Senate House by a son of rare hopes, and stricken down in full council while straining his feeble voice to rouse the drooping spirit of his country, could not but be remembered with peculiar veneration and tenderness. Detraction was overawed. The voice even of just and temperate censure was mute. Nothing was remembered but the lofty genius, the unsullied probity, the undisputed services, of him who was no more. For once, all parties were agreed. A public funeral, a public monument,

were eagerly voted. The debts of the deceased were paid. A provision was made for his family. The City of London requested that the remains of the great man whom she had so long loved and honoured might rest under the dome of her magnificent cathedral. But the petition came too late. Every thing was already prepared for the interment in Westminster Abbey.

Though men of all parties had concurred in decreeing posthumous honours to Chatham, his corpse was attended to the grave almost exclusively by opponents of the government. The banner of the lordship of Chatham was borne by Colonel Barré, attended by the Duke of Richmond and Lord Rockingham. Burke, Savile, and Dunning upheld the pall. Lord Camden was conspicuous in the procession. The chief mourner was young William Pitt. After the lapse of more than twenty-seven years, in a season as dark and perilous, his own shattered frame and broken heart were laid, with the same pomp, in the same consecrated mould.

Chatham sleeps near the northern door of the Church, in a spot which has ever since been appropriated to statesmen, as the other end of the same transept has long been to poets. Mansfield rests there, and the second William Pitt, and Fox, and Grattan, and Canning, and Wilberforce. In no other cemetery do so many great citizens lie within so narrow a space. High over those venerable graves towers the stately monument of Chatham, and from above, his effigy, graven by a cunning hand, seems still, with eagle face and outstretched arm, to bid England be of good cheer, and to hurl defiance at her foes. The generation which reared that memorial of him has disappeared. The time has come when the rash and indiscriminate judgments which his contemporaries passed on his character may be calmly revised by history. And history, while, for the warning of vehement, high, and daring natures, she notes his many errors, will yet deliberately pronounce, that, among the eminent men whose bones lie near his, scarcely one has left a more stainless, and none a more splendid name.

LORD CLIVE. (January, 1840.)*

We have always thought it strange that, while the history of the Spanish empire in America is familiarly known to all the nations of Europe, the great actions of our countrymen in the East should, even among ourselves, excite little interest. Every schoolboy knows who imprisoned Montezuma, and who strangled Atahualpa. But we doubt whether one in ten, even among English gentlemen of highly cultivated minds, can tell who won the battle of Buxar, who perpetrated the massacre of Patna, whether Sujah Dowlah ruled in Oude or in Travancore, or whether Holkar was a Hindoo or a Mussulman. Yet the victories of Cortes were gained over savages who had no letters, who were ignorant of the use of metals, who had not broken in a single animal to labour, who wielded no better weapons than those which could be made out of sticks, flints, and fish-bones, who regarded a horse-soldier as a monster, half man and half beast, who took a harquebusier for a sorcerer, able to scatter the thunder and lightning of the skies. The people of India, when we subdued them, were ten times as numerous as the Americans whom the Spaniards vanquished, and were at the same time quite as highly civilised as the victorious Spaniards. They had reared cities larger and fairer than Saragossa or Toledo, and buildings more beautiful and costly than the cathedral of Seville. They could show bankers richer than the richest firms of Barcelona or Cadiz, viceroys whose splendour far surpassed that of Ferdinand the Catholic, myriads of cavalry and long trains of artillery which would have astonished

* *The Life of Robert Lord Clive; collected from the Family Papers, communicated by the Earl of Powis.* By Major-General Sir John Malcolm, K.C.B. 3 vols. 8vo. London: 1836.

the Great Captain. It might have been expected, that every Englishman who takes any interest in any part of history would be curious to know how a handful of his countrymen, separated from their home by an immense ocean, subjugated, in the course of a few years, one of the greatest empires in the world. Yet, unless we greatly err, this subject is, to most readers, not only insipid but positively distasteful.

Perhaps the fault lies partly with the historians. Mr Mill's book, though it has undoubtedly great and rare merit, is not sufficiently animated and picturesque to attract those who read for amusement. Orme, inferior to no English historian in style and power of painting, is minute even to tediousness. In one volume he allots, on an average, a closely printed quarto page to the events of every forty-eight hours. The consequence is, that his narrative, though one of the most authentic and one of the most finely written in our language, has never been very popular, and is now scarcely ever read.

We fear that the volumes before us will not much attract those readers whom Orme and Mill have repelled. The materials placed at the disposal of Sir John Malcolm by the late Lord Powis were indeed of great value. But we cannot say that they have been very skilfully worked up. It would, however, be unjust to criticize with severity a work which, if the author had lived to complete and revise it, would probably have been improved by condensation and by a better arrangement. We are more disposed to perform the pleasing duty of expressing our gratitude to the noble family to which the public owes so much useful and curious information.

The effect of the book, even when we make the largest allowance for the partiality of those who have furnished and of those who have digested the materials, is, on the whole, greatly to raise the character of Lord Clive. We are far indeed from sympathizing with Sir John Malcolm, whose love passes the love of biographers, and who can see nothing but wisdom and justice in the actions of his idol. But we are at least equally far from concurring in the severe judgment of Mr Mill, who seems to us to show less discrimination in his account of Clive than in any other part of his valuable work. Clive, like most men

who are born with strong passions and tried by strong emptations, committed great faults. But every person who takes a fair and enlightened view of his whole career must admit that our island, so fertile in heroes and statesmen, has scarcely ever produced a man more truly great either n arms or in council.

The Clives had been settled, ever since the twelfth century, on an estate of no great value, near Market-Drayton, n Shropshire. In the reign of George the First, this moderte but ancient inheritance was possessed by Mr Richard live, who seems to have been a plain man of no great tact r capacity. He had been bred to the law, and divided is time between professional business and the avocations f a small proprietor. He married a lady from Manchester, f the name of Gaskill, and became the father of a very umerous family. His eldest son, Robert, the founder of ne British empire in India, was born at the old seat of his ncestors on the twenty-ninth of September, 1725.

Some lineaments of the character of the man were early iscerned in the child. There remain letters written by his elations when he was in his seventh year; and from these tters it appears that, even at that early age, his strong will nd his fiery passions, sustained by a constitutional intreidity which sometimes seemed hardly compatible with oundness of mind, had begun to cause great uneasiness o his family. "Fighting," says one of his uncles, "to hich he is out of measure addicted, gives his temper such fierceness and imperiousness, that he flies out on every ifling occasion." The old people of the neighbourhood ill remember to have heard from their parents how Bob live climbed to the top of the lofty steeple of Marketrayton, and with what terror the inhabitants saw him eated on a stone spout near the summit. They also relate ow he formed all the idle lads of the town into a kind of redatory army, and compelled the shopkeepers to submit o a tribute of apples and half-pence, in consideration of hich he guaranteed the security of their windows. He as sent from school to school, making very little progress his learning, and gaining for himself every where the haracter of an exceedingly naughty boy. One of his asters, it is said, was sagacious enough to prophesy that he idle lad would make a great figure in the world. But

the general opinion seems to have been that poor Robert was a dunce, if not a reprobate. His family expected nothing good from such slender parts and such a headstrong temper. It is not strange, therefore, that they gladly accepted for him, when he was in his eighteenth year, a writership in the service of the East India Company, and shipped him off to make a fortune or to die of a fever at Madras.

Far different were the prospects of Clive from those of the youths whom the East India College now annually sends to the Presidencies of our Asiatic empire. The Company was then purely a trading corporation. Its territory consisted of a few square miles, for which rent was paid to the native governments. Its troops were scarcely numerous enough to man the batteries of three or four ill-constructed forts, which had been erected for the protection of the warehouses. The natives, who composed a considerable part of these little garrisons, had not yet been trained in the discipline of Europe, and were armed, some with swords and shields, some with bows and arrows. The business of the servant of the Company was not, as now, to conduct the judicial, financial, and diplomatic business of a great country, but to take stock, to make advances to weavers, to ship cargoes, and above all to keep an eye on private traders who dared to infringe the monopoly. The younger clerks were so miserably paid that they could scarcely subsist without incurring debt; the elder enriched themselves by trading on their own account: and those who lived to rise to the top of the service often accumulated considerable fortunes.

Madras, to which Clive had been appointed, was, at this time, perhaps, the first in importance of the Company's settlements. In the preceding century, Fort St George had arisen on a barren spot beaten by a raging surf; and in the neighbourhood a town, inhabited by many thousands of natives, had sprung up, as towns spring up in the East, with the rapidity of the prophet's gourd. There were already in the suburbs many white villas, each surrounded by its garden, whither the wealthy agents of the Company retired, after the labours of the desk and the warehouse, to enjoy the cool breeze which springs up at sunset from the Bay of Bengal. The habits of these mercantile gran-

dees appear to have been more profuse, luxurious, and ostentatious, than those of the high judicial and political functionaries who have succeeded them. But comfort was far less understood. Many devices which now mitigate the heat of the climate, preserve health, and prolong life, were unknown. There was far less intercourse with Europe than at present. The voyage by the Cape, which in our time has often been performed within three months, was then very seldom accomplished in six, and was sometimes protracted to more than a year. Consequently, the Anglo-Indian was then much more estranged from his country, much more addicted to Oriental usages, and much less fitted to mix in society after his return to Europe, than the Anglo-Indian of the present day.

Within the fort and its precincts, the English governors exercised, by permission of the native rulers, an extensive authority, such as every great Indian landowner exercised within his own domain. But they had never dreamed of claiming independent power. The surrounding country was governed by the Nabob of the Carnatic, a deputy of the Viceroy of the Deccan, commonly called the Nizam, who was himself only a deputy of the mighty prince designated by our ancestors as the Great Mogul. Those names, once so august and formidable, still remain. There is still a Nabob of the Carnatic, who lives on a pension allowed to him by the English out of the revenues of the province which his ancestors ruled. There is still a Nizam, whose capital is overawed by a British cantonment, and to whom a British resident gives, under the name of advice, commands which are not to be disputed. There is still a Mogul, who is permitted to play at holding courts and receiving petitions, but who has less power to help or hurt than the youngest civil servant of the Company.

Clive's voyage was unusually tedious even for that age. The ship remained some months at the Brazils, where the young adventurer picked up some knowledge of Portuguese and spent all his pocket-money. He did not arrive in India till more than a year after he had left England. His situation at Madras was most painful. His funds were exhausted. His pay was small. He had contracted debts. He was wretchedly lodged, no small calamity in a climate which can be made tolerable to an European only by spacious

and well-placed apartments. He had been furnished with letters of recommendation to a gentleman who might have assisted him; but when he landed at Fort St George he found that this gentleman had sailed for England. The lad's shy and haughty disposition withheld him from introducing himself to strangers. He was several months in India before he became acquainted with a single family. The climate affected his health and spirits. His duties were of a kind ill suited to his ardent and daring character. He pined for his home, and in his letters to his relations expressed his feelings in language softer and more pensive than we should have expected either from the waywardness of his boyhood, or from the inflexible sternness of his later years. "I have not enjoyed," says he, "one happy day since I left my native country;" and again, "I must confess, at intervals, when I think of my dear native England, it affects me in a very particular manner. . . . If I should be so far blest as to revisit again my own country, but more especially Manchester, the centre of all my wishes, all that I could hope or desire for would be presented before me in one view."

One solace he found of the most respectable kind. The Governor possessed a good library, and permitted Clive to have access to it. The young man devoted much of his leisure to reading, and acquired at this time almost all the knowledge of books that he ever possessed. As a boy he had been too idle, as a man he soon became too busy, for literary pursuits.

But neither climate nor poverty, neither study nor the sorrows of a homesick exile, could tame the desperate audacity of his spirit. He behaved to his official superiors as he had behaved to his schoolmasters, and was several times in danger of losing his situation. Twice, while residing in the Writers' Buildings, he attempted to destroy himself; and twice the pistol which he snapped at his own head failed to go off. This circumstance, it is said, affected him as a similar escape affected Wallenstein. After satisfying himself that the pistol was really well loaded, he burst forth into an exclamation that surely he was reserved for something great.

About this time an event which at first seemed likely to destroy all his hopes in life suddenly opened before

him a new path to eminence. Europe had been, during some years, distracted by the war of the Austrian succession. George the Second was the steady ally of Maria Theresa. The house of Bourbon took the opposite side. Though England was even then the first of maritime powers, she was not, as she has since become, more than a match on the sea for all the nations of the world together; and she found it difficult to maintain a contest against the united navies of France and Spain. In the eastern seas France obtained the ascendency. Labourdonnais, governor of Mauritius, a man of eminent talents and virtues, conducted an expedition to the continent of India in spite of the opposition of the British fleet, landed, assembled an army, appeared before Madras, and compelled the town and fort to capitulate. The keys were delivered up; the French colours were displayed on Fort St George; and the contents of the Company's warehouses were seized as prize of war by the conquerors. It was stipulated by the capitulation that the English inhabitants should be prisoners of war on parole, and that the town should remain in the hands of the French till it should be ransomed. Labourdonnais pledged his honour that only a moderate ransom should be required.

But the success of Labourdonnais had awakened the jealousy of his countryman, Dupleix, governor of Pondicherry. Dupleix, moreover, had already begun to revolve gigantic schemes, with which the restoration of Madras to the English was by no means compatible. He declared that Labourdonnais had gone beyond his powers; that conquests made by the French arms on the continent of India were at the disposal of the governor of Pondicherry alone; and that Madras should be razed to the ground. Labourdonnais was compelled to yield. The anger which the breach of the capitulation excited among the English was increased by the ungenerous manner in which Dupleix treated the principal servants of the Company. The Governor and several of the first gentlemen of Fort St George were carried under a guard to Pondicherry, and conducted through the town in a triumphal procession under the eyes of fifty thousand spectators. It was with reason thought that this gross violation of public faith absolved the inhabitants of Madras from the engagements

into which they had entered with Labourdonnais. Clive fled from the town by night in the disguise of a Mussulman, and took refuge at Fort St David, one of the small English settlements subordinate to Madras.

The circumstances in which he was now placed naturally led him to adopt a profession better suited to his restless and intrepid spirit than the business of examining packages and casting accounts. He solicited and obtained an ensign's commission in the service of the Company, and at twenty-one entered on his military career. His personal courage, of which he had, while still a writer, given signal proof by a desperate duel with a military bully who was the terror of Fort St David, speedily made him conspicuous even among hundreds of brave men. He soon began to show in his new calling other qualities which had not before been discerned in him, judgment, sagacity, deference to legitimate authority. He distinguished himself highly in several operations against the French, and was particularly noticed by Major Lawrence, who was then considered as the ablest British officer in India.

Clive had been only a few months in the army when intelligence arrived that peace had been concluded between Great Britain and France. Dupleix was in consequence compelled to restore Madras to the English Company; and the young ensign was at liberty to resume his former business. He did indeed return for a short time to his desk. He again quitted it in order to assist Major Lawrence in some petty hostilities with the natives, and then again returned to it. While he was thus wavering between a military and a commercial life, events took place which decided his choice. The politics of India assumed a new aspect. There was peace between the English and French Crowns; but there arose between the English and French Companies trading to the East a war most eventful and important, a war in which the prize was nothing less than the magnificent inheritance of the house of Tamerlane.

The empire which Baber and his Moguls reared in the sixteenth century was long one of the most extensive and splendid in the world. In no European kingdom was so large a population subject to a single prince, or so large a revenue poured into the treasury. The beauty and magnificence of the buildings erected by the sovereigns of Hindo-

stan, amazed even travellers who had seen St Peter's. The innumerable retinues and gorgeous decorations which surrounded the throne of Delhi dazzled even eyes which were accustomed to the pomp of Versailles. Some of the great viceroys who held their posts by virtue of commissions from the Mogul ruled as many subjects as the King of France or the Emperor of Germany. Even the deputies of these deputies might well rank, as to extent of territory and amount of revenue, with the Grand Duke of Tuscany, or the Elector of Saxony.

There can be little doubt that this great empire, powerful and prosperous as it appears on a superficial view, was yet, even in its best days, far worse governed than the worst governed parts of Europe now are. The administration was tainted with all the vices of Oriental despotism and with all the vices inseparable from the domination of race over race. The conflicting pretensions of the princes of the royal house produced a long series of crimes and public disasters. Ambitious lieutenants of the sovereign sometimes aspired to independence. Fierce tribes of Hindoos, impatient of a foreign yoke, frequently withheld tribute, repelled the armies of the government from the mountain fastnesses, and poured down in arms on the cultivated plains. In spite, however, of much constant maladministration, in spite of occasional convulsions which shook the whole frame of society, this great monarchy, on the whole retained, during some generations, an outward appearance of unity, majesty, and energy. But throughout the long reign of Aurungzebe, the state, notwithstanding all that the vigour and policy of the prince could effect, was hastening to dissolution. After his death, which took place in the year 1707, the ruin was fearfully rapid. Violent shocks from without co-operated with an incurable decay which was fast proceeding within; and in a few years the empire had undergone utter decomposition.

The history of the successors of Theodosius bears no small analogy to that of the successors of Aurungzebe. But perhaps the fall of the Carlovingians furnishes the nearest parallel to the fall of the Moguls. Charlemagne was scarcely interred when the imbecility and the disputes of his descendants began to bring contempt on themselves and destruction on their subjects. The wide dominion of

the Franks was severed into a thousand pieces. Nothing more than a nominal dignity was left to the abject heirs of an illustrious name, Charles the Bald, and Charles the Fat, and Charles the Simple. Fierce invaders, differing from each other in race, language, and religion, flocked, as if by concert, from the farthest corners of the earth, to plunder provinces which the government could no longer defend. The pirates of the Northern Sea extended their ravages from the Elbe to the Pyrenees, and at length fixed their seat in the rich valley of the Seine. The Hungarian, in whom the trembling monks fancied that they recognised the Gog or Magog of prophecy, carried back the plunder of the cities of Lombardy to the depths of the Pannonian forests. The Saracen ruled in Sicily, desolated the fertile plains of Campania, and spread terror even to the walls of Rome. In the midst of these sufferings, a great internal change passed upon the empire. The corruption of death began to ferment into new forms of life. While the great body, as a whole, was torpid and passive, every separate member began to feel with a sense, and to move with an energy all its own. Just here, in the most barren and dreary tract of European history, all feudal privileges, all modern nobility, take their source. It is to this point that we trace the power of those princes, who, nominally vassals, but really independent, long governed, with the titles of dukes, marquesses and counts, almost every part of the dominions which had obeyed Charlemagne.

Such or nearly such was the change which passed on the Mogul empire during the forty years which followed the death of Aurungzebe. A succession of nominal sovereigns, sunk in indolence and debauchery, sauntered away life in secluded palaces, chewing bang, fondling concubines, and listening to buffoons. A succession of ferocious invaders descended through the western passes, to prey on the defenceless wealth of Hindostan. A Persian conqueror crossed the Indus, marched through the gates of Delhi, and bore away in triumph those treasures of which the magnificence had astounded Roe and Bernier, the Peacock Throne, on which the richest jewels of Golconda had been disposed by the most skilful hands of Europe, and the inestimable Mountain of Light, which, after many strange vicissitudes, lately shone in the bracelet of Runjeet Sing,

and is now destined to adorn the hideous idol of Orissa. The Afghan soon followed to complete the work of devastation which the Persian had begun. The warlike tribes of Rajpootana threw off the Mussulman yoke. A band of mercenary soldiers occupied Rohilcund. The Seiks ruled on the Indus. The Jauts spread dismay along the Jumna. The highlands which border on the western sea-coast of India poured forth a yet more formidable race, a race which was long the terror of every native power, and which, after many desperate and doubtful struggles, yielded only to the fortune and genius of England. It was under the reign of Aurungzebe that this wild clan of plunderers first descended from their mountains; and soon after his death, every corner of his wide empire learned to tremble at the mighty name of the Mahrattas. Many fertile vice-royalties were entirely subdued by them. Their dominions stretched across the peninsula from sea to sea. Mahratta captains reigned at Poonah, at Gualior, in Guzerat, in Berar, and in Tanjore. Nor did they, though they had become great sovereigns, therefore cease to be freebooters. They still retained the predatory habits of their forefathers. Every region which was not subject to their rule was wasted by their incursions. Wherever their kettle-drums were heard, the peasant threw his bag of rice on his shoulder, hid his small savings in his girdle, and fled with his wife and children to the mountains or the jungles, to the milder neighbourhood of the hyæna and the tiger. Many provinces redeemed their harvests by the payment of an annual ransom. Even the wretched phantom who still bore the imperial title stooped to pay this ignominious black-mail. The camp-fires of one rapacious leader were seen from the walls of the palace of Delhi. Another, at the head of his innumerable cavalry, descended year after year on the ricefields of Bengal. Even the European factors trembled for their magazines. Less than a hundred years ago, it was thought necessary to fortify Calcutta against the horsemen of Berar; and the name of the Mahratta ditch still preserves the memory of the danger.

Wherever the viceroys of the Mogul retained authority they became sovereigns. They might still acknowledge in words the superiority of the house of Tamerlane; as a Count of Flanders or a Duke of Burgundy might have acknowledged

the superiority of the most helpless driveller among the later Carlovingians. They might occasionally send to their titular sovereign a complimentary present, or solicit from him a title of honour. In truth, however, they were no longer lieutenants removable at pleasure, but independent hereditary princes. In this way originated those great Mussulman houses which formerly ruled Bengal and the Carnatic, and those which still, though in a state of vassalage, exercise some of the powers of royalty at Lucknow and Hyderabad.

In what was this confusion to end? Was the strife to continue during centuries? Was it to terminate in the rise of another great monarchy? Was the Mussulman or the Mahratta to be the Lord of India? Was another Baber to descend from the mountains, and to lead the hardy tribes of Cabul and Chorasan against a wealthier and less warlike race? None of these events seemed improbable. But scarcely any man, however sagacious, would have thought it possible that a trading company, separated from India by fifteen thousand miles of sea, and possessing in India only a few acres for purposes of commerce, would, in less than a hundred years, spread its empire from Cape Comorin to the eternal snow of the Himalayas; would compel Mahratta and Mahommedan to forget their mutual feuds in common subjection; would tame down even those wild races which had resisted the most powerful of the Moguls; and, having united under its laws a hundred millions of subjects, would carry its victorious arms far to the east of the Burrampooter, and far to the west of the Hydaspes, dictate terms of peace at the gates of Ava, and seat its vassal on the throne of Candahar.

The man who first saw that it was possible to found an European empire on the ruins of the Mogul monarchy was Dupleix. His restless, capacious, and inventive mind had formed this scheme, at a time when the ablest servants of the English Company were busied only about invoices and bills of lading. Nor had he only proposed to himself the end. He had also a just and distinct view of the means by which it was to be attained. He clearly saw that the greatest force which the princes of India could bring into the field would be no match for a small body of men trained in the discipline, and guided by the tactics, of the West.

He saw also that the natives of India might, under European commanders, be formed into armies, such as Saxe or Frederic would be proud to command. He was perfectly aware that the most easy and convenient way in which an European adventurer could exercise sovereignty in India, was to govern the motions, and to speak through the mouth of some glittering puppet dignified by the title of Nabob or Nizam. The arts both of war and policy, which a few years later were employed with such signal success by the English, were first understood and practised by this ingenious and aspiring Frenchman.

The situation of India was such that scarcely any aggression could be without a pretext, either in old laws or in recent practice. All rights were in a state of utter uncertainty; and the Europeans who took part in the disputes of the natives confounded the confusion, by applying to Asiatic politics the public law of the West and analogies drawn from the feudal system. If it was convenient to treat a Nabob as an independent prince, there was an excellent plea for doing so. He was independent in fact. If it was convenient to treat him as a mere deputy of the Court of Delhi, there was no difficulty; for he was so in theory. If it was convenient to consider his office as an hereditary dignity, or as a dignity held during life only, or as a dignity held only during the good pleasure of the Mogul, arguments and precedents might be found for every one of those views. The party who had the heir of Baber in their hands represented him as the undoubted, the legitimate, the absolute sovereign, whom all subordinate authorities were bound to obey. The party against whom his name was used did not want plausible pretexts for maintaining that the empire was *de facto* dissolved, and that, though it might be decent to treat the Mogul with respect, as a venerable relic of an order of things which had passed away, it was absurd to regard him as the real master of Hindostan.

In the year 1748, died one of the most powerful of the new masters of India, the great Nizam al Mulk, Viceroy of the Deccan. His authority descended to his son, Nazir Jung. Of the provinces subject to this high functionary, the Carnatic was the wealthiest and the most extensive. It was governed by an ancient Nabob, whose name the English corrupted into Anaverdy Khan.

But there were pretenders to the government both of the viceroyalty and of the subordinate province. Mirzapha Jung, a grandson of Nizam al Mulk, appeared as the competitor of Nazir Jung. Chunda Sahib, son-in-law of a former Nabob of the Carnatic, disputed the title of Anaverdy Khan. In the unsettled state of Indian law, it was easy for both Mirzapha Jung and Chunda Sahib to make out something like a claim of right. In a society altogether disorganised, they had no difficulty in finding greedy adventurers to follow their standards. They united their interests, invaded the Carnatic, and applied for assistance to the French, whose fame had been raised by their success against the English in the recent war on the coast of Coromandel.

Nothing could have happened more pleasing to the subtle and ambitious Dupleix. To make a Nabob of the Carnatic, to make a Viceroy of the Deccan, to rule under their names the whole of southern India; this was indeed an attractive prospect. He allied himself with the pretenders, and sent four hundred French soldiers, and two thousand sepoys, disciplined after the European fashion, to the assistance of his confederates. A battle was fought. The French distinguished themselves greatly. Anaverdy Khan was defeated and slain. His son Mahommed Ali, who was afterwards well known in England as the Nabob of Arcot, and who owes to the eloquence of Burke a most unenviable immortality, fled with a scanty remnant of his army to Trichinopoly; and the conquerors became at once masters of almost every part of the Carnatic.

This was but the beginning of the greatness of Dupleix. After some months of fighting, negotiation, and intrigue, his ability and good fortune seemed to have prevailed everywhere. Nazir Jung perished by the hands of his own followers; Mirzapha Jung was master of the Deccan; and the triumph of French arms and French policy was complete. At Pondicherry all was exultation and festivity. Salutes were fired from the batteries, and *Te Deum* sung in the churches. The new Nizam came thither to visit his allies; and the ceremony of his installation was performed there with great pomp. Dupleix, dressed in the garb worn by Mahommedans of the highest rank, entered the town in the same palanquin with the Nizam, and, in the pageant which followed, took precedence of all the

court. He was declared Governor of India from the river Kristna to Cape Comorin, a country about as large as France, with authority superior even to that of Chunda Sahib. He was intrusted with the command of seven thousand cavalry. It was announced that no mint would be suffered to exist in the Carnatic except that at Pondicherry. A large portion of the treasures which former Viceroys of the Deccan had accumulated found its way into the coffers of the French governor. It was rumoured that he had received two hundred thousand pounds sterling in money, besides many valuable jewels. In fact, there could scarcely be any limit to his gains. He now ruled thirty millions of people with almost absolute power. No honour or emolument could be obtained from the government but by his intervention. No petition, unless signed by him, was perused by the Nizam.

Mirzapha Jung survived his elevation only a few months. But another prince of the same house was raised to the throne by French influence, and ratified all the promises of his predecessor. Dupleix was now the greatest potentate in India. His countrymen boasted that his name was mentioned with awe even in the chambers of the palace of Delhi. The native population looked with amazement on the progress which, in the short space of four years, an European adventurer had made towards dominion in Asia. Nor was the vain-glorious Frenchman content with the reality of power. He loved to display his greatness with arrogant ostentation before the eyes of his subjects and of his rivals. Near the spot where his policy had obtained its chief triumph, by the fall of Nazir Jung and the elevation of Mirzapha, he determined to erect a column, on the four sides of which four pompous inscriptions, in four languages, should proclaim his glory to all the nations of the East. Medals stamped with emblems of his successes were buried beneath the foundations of this stately pillar, and round it arose a town bearing the haughty name of Dupleix Fatihabad, which is, being interpreted, the City of the Victory of Dupleix.

The English had made some feeble and irresolute attempts to stop the rapid and brilliant career of the rival Company, and continued to recognise Mahommed Ali as Nabob of the Carnatic. But the dominions of Mahommed Ali consisted of Trichinopoly alone; and Trichinopoly was now

invested by Chunda Sahib and his French auxiliaries. To raise the siege seemed impossible. The small force which was then at Madras had no commander. Major Lawrence had returned to England: and not a single officer of established character remained in the settlement. The natives had learned to look with contempt on the mighty nation which was soon to conquer and to rule them. They had seen the French colours flying on Fort St George; they had seen the chiefs of the English factory led in triumph through the streets of Pondicherry; they had seen the arms and counsels of Dupleix every where successful, while the opposition which the authorities of Madras had made to his progress, had served only to expose their own weakness, and to heighten his glory. At this moment, the valour and genius of an obscure English youth suddenly turned the tide of fortune.

Clive was now twenty-five years old. After hesitating for some time between a military and a commercial life, he had at length been placed in a post which partook of both characters, that of commissary to the troops, with the rank of captain. The present emergency called forth all his powers. He represented to his superiors that, unless some vigorous efforts were made, Trichinopoly would fall, the House of Anaverdy Khan would perish, and the French would become the real masters of the whole peninsula of India. It was absolutely necessary to strike some daring blow. If an attack were made on Arcot, the capital of the Carnatic, and the favourite residence of the Nabobs, it was not impossible that the siege of Trichinopoly would be raised. The heads of the English settlement, now thoroughly alarmed by the success of Dupleix, and apprehensive that, in the event of a new war between France and Great Britain, Madras would be instantly taken and destroyed, approved of Clive's plan, and intrusted the execution of it to himself. The young captain was put at the head of two hundred English soldiers, and three hundred sepoys armed and disciplined after the European fashion. Of the eight officers who commanded this little force under him, only two had ever been in action, and four of the eight were factors of the company, whom Clive's example had induced to offer their services. The weather was stormy; but Clive pushed on, through thunder, lightning, and rain, to the gates of Arcot.

The garrison, in a panic, evacuated the fort, and the English entered it without a blow.

But Clive well knew that he should not be suffered to retain undisturbed possession of his conquest. He instantly began to collect provisions, to throw up works, and to make preparations for sustaining a siege. The garrison, which had fled at his approach, had now recovered from its dismay, and, having been swollen by large reinforcements from the neighbourhood to a force of three thousand men, encamped close to the town. At dead of night, Clive marched out of the fort, attacked the camp by surprise, slew great numbers, dispersed the rest, and returned to his quarters without having lost a single man.

The intelligence of these events was soon carried to Chunda Sahib, who, with his French allies, was besieging Trichinopoly. He immediately detached four thousand men from his camp, and sent them to Arcot. They were speedily joined by the remains of the force which Clive had lately scattered. They were further strengthened by two thousand men from Vellore, and by a still more important reinforcement of a hundred and fifty French soldiers whom Dupleix despatched from Pondicherry. The whole of this army, amounting to about ten thousand men, was under the command of Rajah Sahib, son of Chunda Sahib.

Rajah Sahib proceeded to invest the fort of Arcot, which seemed quite incapable of sustaining a siege. The walls were ruinous, the ditches dry, the ramparts too narrow to admit the guns, the battlements too low to protect the soldiers. The little garrison had been greatly reduced by casualties. It now consisted of a hundred and twenty Europeans and two hundred sepoys. Only four officers were left; the stock of provisions was scanty; and the commander, who had to conduct the defence under circumstances so discouraging, was a young man of five and twenty, who had been bred a book-keeper.

During fifty days the siege went on. During fifty days the young captain maintained the defence, with a firmness, vigilance, and ability, which would have done honour to the oldest marshal in Europe. The breach, however, increased day by day. The garrison began to feel the pressure of hunger. Under such circumstances, any troops so scantily provided with officers might have

been expected to show signs of insubordination; and the danger was peculiarly great in a force composed of men differing widely from each other in extraction, colour, language, manners, and religion. But the devotion of the little band to its chief surpassed any thing that is related of the Tenth Legion of Cæsar, or of the Old Guard of Napoleon. The sepoys came to Clive, not to complain of their scanty fare, but to propose that all the grain should be given to the Europeans, who required more nourishment than the natives of Asia. The thin gruel, they said, which was strained away from the rice, would suffice for themselves. History contains no more touching instance of military fidelity, or of the influence of a commanding mind.

An attempt made by the government of Madras to relieve the place had failed. But there was hope from another quarter. A body of six thousand Mahrattas, half soldiers, half robbers, under the command of a chief named Morari Row, had been hired to assist Mahommed Ali; but thinking the French power irresistible, and the triumph of Chunda Sahib certain, they had hitherto remained inactive on the frontiers of the Carnatic. The fame of the defence of Arcot roused them from their torpor. Morari Row declared that he had never before believed that Englishmen could fight, but that he would willingly help them since he saw that they had spirit to help themselves. Rajah Sahib learned that the Mahrattas were in motion. It was necessary for him to be expeditious. He first tried negotiation. He offered large bribes to Clive, which were rejected with scorn. He vowed that, if his proposals were not accepted he would instantly storm the fort, and put every man in it to the sword. Clive told him in reply, with characteristic haughtiness, that his father was an usurper, that his army was a rabble, and that he would do well to think twice before he sent such poltroons into a breach defended by English soldiers.

Rajah Sahib determined to storm the fort. The day was well suited to a bold military enterprise. It was the great Mahommedan festival which is sacred to the memory of Hosein the son of Ali. The history of Islam contains nothing more touching than the event which gave rise to that solemnity. The mournful legend relates how the

chief of the Fatimites, when all his brave followers had perished round him, drank his latest draught of water, and uttered his latest prayer, how the assassins carried his head in triumph, how the tyrant smote the lifeless lips with his staff, and how a few old men recollected with tears that they had seen those lips pressed to the lips of the Prophet of God. After the lapse of near twelve centuries, the recurrence of this solemn season excites the fiercest and saddest emotions in the bosoms of the devout Moslem of India. They work themselves up to such agonies of rage and lamentation that some, it is said, have given up the ghost from the mere effect of mental excitement. They believe that whoever, during this festival, falls in arms against the infidels, atones by his death for all the sins of his life, and passes at once to the garden of the Houris. It was at this time that Rajah Sahib determined to assault Arcot. Stimulating drugs were employed to aid the effect of religious zeal, and the besiegers, drunk with enthusiasm, drunk with bang, rushed furiously to the attack.

Clive had received secret intelligence of the design, had made his arrangements, and, exhausted by fatigue, had thrown himself on his bed. He was awakened by the alarm, and was instantly at his post. The enemy advanced, driving before them elephants whose foreheads were armed with iron plates. It was expected that the gates would yield to the shock of these living battering-rams. But the huge beasts no sooner felt the English musket-balls than they turned round, and rushed furiously away, trampling on the multitude which had urged them forward. A raft was launched on the water which filled one part of the ditch. Clive, perceiving that his gunners at that post did not understand their business, took the management of a piece of artillery himself, and cleared the raft in a few minutes. Where the moat was dry, the assailants mounted with great boldness; but they were received with a fire so heavy and so well-directed, that it soon quelled the courage even of fanaticism and of intoxication. The rear ranks of the English kept the front ranks supplied with a constant succession of loaded muskets, and every shot told on the living mass below. After three desperate onsets, the besiegers retired behind the ditch.

The struggle lasted about an hour. Four hundred of the

assailants fell. The garrison lost only five or six men. The besieged passed an anxious night, looking for a renewal of the attack. But when day broke, the enemy were no more to be seen. They had retired, leaving to the English several guns and a large quantity of ammunition.

The news was received at Fort St George with transports of joy and pride. Clive was justly regarded as a man equal to any command. Two hundred English soldiers and seven hundred sepoys were sent to him, and with this force he instantly commenced offensive operations. He took the fort of Timery, effected a junction with a division of Morari Row's army, and hastened, by forced marches, to attack Rajah Sahib, who was at the head of about five thousand men, of whom three hundred were French. The action was sharp, but Clive gained a complete victory. The military chest of Rajah Sahib fell into the hands of the conquerors. Six hundred sepoys, who had served in the enemy's army, came over to Clive's quarters, and were taken into the British service. Conjeveram surrendered without a blow. The governor of Arnee deserted Chunda Sahib, and recognised the title of Mahommed Ali.

Had the entire direction of the war been intrusted to Clive, it would probably have been brought to a speedy close. But the timidity and incapacity which appeared in all the movements of the English, except where he was personally present, protracted the struggle. The Mahrattas muttered that his soldiers were of a different race from the British whom they found elsewhere. The effect of this languor was, that in no long time Rajah Sahib, at the head of a considerable army, in which were four hundred French troops, appeared almost under the guns of Fort St George and laid waste the villas and gardens of the gentlemen of the English settlement. But he was again encountered and defeated by Clive. More than a hundred of the French were killed or taken, a loss more serious than that of thousands of natives. The victorious army marched from the field of battle to Fort St David. On the road lay the City of the Victory of Dupleix, and the stately monument which was designed to commemorate the triumphs of France in the East. Clive ordered both the city and the monument to be razed to the ground. He was induced, we believe, to take this step, not by personal or national malevolence,

but by a just and profound policy. The town and its pompous name, the pillar and its vaunting inscriptions, were among the devices by which Dupleix had laid the public mind of India under a spell. This spell it was Clive's business to break. The natives had been taught that France was confessedly the first power in Europe, and that the English did not presume to dispute her supremacy. No measure could be more effectual for the removing of this delusion than the public and solemn demolition of the French trophies.

The government of Madras, encouraged by these events, determined to send a strong detachment, under Clive, to reinforce the garrison of Trichinopoly. But just at this conjuncture, Major Lawrence arrived from England, and assumed the chief command. From the waywardness and impatience of control which had characterised Clive, both at school and in the counting-house, it might have been expected that he would not, after such achievements, act with zeal and good humour in a subordinate capacity. But Lawrence had early treated him with kindness; and it is bare justice to Clive to say that, proud and overbearing as he was, kindness was never thrown away upon him. He cheerfully placed himself under the orders of his old friend, and exerted himself as strenuously in the second post as he could have done in the first. Lawrence well knew the value of such assistance. Though himself gifted with no intellectual faculty higher than plain good sense, he fully appreciated the powers of his brilliant coadjutor. Though he had made a methodical study of military tactics, and, like all men regularly bred to a profession, was disposed to look with disdain on interlopers, he had yet liberality enough to acknowledge that Clive was an exception to common rules. "Some people," he wrote, "are pleased to term Captain Clive fortunate and lucky; but, in my opinion, from the knowledge I have of the gentleman, he deserved and might expect from his conduct every thing as it fell out;—a man of an undaunted resolution, of a cool temper, and of a presence of mind which never left him in the greatest danger—born a soldier; for, without a military education of any sort, or much conversing with any of the profession, from his judgment and good sense, he led on an army like an experienced officer and a brave soldier, with a prudence that certainly warranted success."

The French had no commander to oppose to the two friends. Dupleix, not inferior in talents for negotiation and intrigue to any European who has borne a part in the revolutions of India, was not qualified to direct in person military operations. He had not been bred a soldier, and had no inclination to become one. His enemies accused him of personal cowardice; and he defended himself in a strain worthy of Captain Bobadil. He kept away from shot, he said, because silence and tranquillity were propitious to his genius, and he found it difficult to pursue his meditations amidst the noise of fire-arms. He was thus under the necessity of intrusting to others the execution of his great warlike designs: and he bitterly complained that he was ill served. He had indeed been assisted by one officer of eminent merit, the celebrated Bussy. But Bussy had marched northward with the Nizam, and was fully employed in looking after his own interests, and those of France, at the court of that prince. Among the officers who remained with Dupleix, there was not a single man of capacity; and many of them were boys, at whose ignorance and folly the common soldiers laughed.

The English triumphed every where. The besiegers of Trichinopoly were themselves besieged and compelled to capitulate. Chunda Sahib fell into the hands of the Mahrattas, and was put to death, at the instigation probably of his competitor, Mahommed Ali. The spirit of Dupleix, however, was unconquerable, and his resources inexhaustible. From his employers in Europe he no longer received help or countenance. They condemned his policy. They gave him no pecuniary assistance. They sent him for troops only the sweepings of the galleys. Yet still he persisted, intrigued, bribed, promised, lavished his private fortune, strained his credit, procured new diplomas from Delhi, raised up new enemies to the government of Madras on every side, and found tools even among the allies of the English Company. But all was in vain. Slowly, but steadily, the power of Britain continued to increase, and that of France to decline.

The health of Clive had never been good during his residence in India; and his constitution was now so much impaired that he determined to return to England. Before his departure he undertook a service of considerable difficulty,

nd performed it with his usual vigour and dexterity. The orts of Covelong and Chingleput were occupied by French arrisons. It was determined to send a force against them. But the only force available for this purpose was of such a escription that no officer but Clive would risk his reputaion by commanding it. It consisted of five hundred newly-evied sepoys, and two hundred recruits who had just landed rom England, and who were the worst and lowest wretches hat the Company's crimps could pick up in the flash-houses f London. Clive, ill and exhausted as he was, undertook o make an army of this undisciplined rabble, and marched vith them to Covelong. A shot from the fort killed one f these extraordinary soldiers; on which all the rest faced bout and ran away, and it was with the greatest difficulty hat Clive rallied them. On another occasion, the noise of gun terrified the sentinels so much that one of them was ound, some hours later, at the bottom of a well. Clive radually accustomed them to danger, and, by exposing imself constantly in the most perilous situations, shamed nem into courage. He at length succeeded in forming a espectable force out of his unpromising materials. Covelong fell. Clive learned that a strong detachment was narching to relieve it from Chingleput. He took measures to revent the enemy from learning that they were too late, aid an ambuscade for them on the road, killed a hundred of nem with one fire, took three hundred prisoners, pursued he fugitives to the gates of Chingleput, laid siege instantly o that fastness, reputed one of the strongest in India, nade a breach, and was on the point of storming when the rench commandant capitulated and retired with his men.

Clive returned to Madras victorious, but in a state of ealth which rendered it impossible for him to remain there ong. He married at this time a young lady of the name of askelyne, sister of the eminent mathematician, who long eld the post of Astronomer Royal. She is described as andsome and accomplished; and her husband's letters, is said, contain proofs that he was devotedly attached o her.

Almost immediately after the marriage, Clive embarked ith his bride for England. He returned a very different erson from the poor slighted boy who had been sent out n years before to seek his fortune. He was only twenty-

seven; yet his country already respected him as one of her first soldiers. There was then general peace in Europe. The Carnatic was the only part of the world where the English and French were in arms against each other. The vast schemes of Dupleix had excited no small uneasiness in the city of London; and the rapid turn of fortune, which was chiefly owing to the courage and talents of Clive, had been hailed with great delight. The young captain was known at the India House by the honourable nickname of General Clive, and was toasted by that appellation at the feasts of the Directors. On his arrival in England, he found himself an object of general interest and admiration. The East India Company thanked him for his services in the warmest terms, and bestowed on him a sword set with diamonds. With rare delicacy, he refused to receive this token of gratitude unless a similar compliment were paid to his friend and commander, Lawrence.

It may easily be supposed that Clive was most cordially welcomed home by his family, who were delighted by his success, though they seem to have been hardly able to comprehend how their naughty idle Bobby had become so great a man. His father had been singularly hard of belief. Not until the news of the defence of Arcot arrived in England was the old gentleman heard to growl out that, after all, the booby had something in him. His expressions of approbation became stronger and stronger as news arrived of one brilliant exploit after another; and he was at length immoderately fond and proud of his son.

Clive's relations had very substantial reasons for rejoicing at his return. Considerable sums of prize-money had fallen to his share; and he had brought home a moderate fortune, part of which he expended in extricating his father from pecuniary difficulties, and in redeeming the family estate. The remainder he appears to have dissipated in the course of about two years. He lived splendidly, dressed gaily even for those times, kept a carriage and saddle horses, and, not content with these ways of getting rid of his money, resorted to the most speedy and effectual of all modes of evacuation, a contested election followed by a petition.

At the time of the general election of 1754, the government was in a very singular state. There was scarcely any

formal opposition. The Jacobites had been cowed by the issue of the last rebellion. The Tory party had fallen into utter contempt. It had been deserted by all the men of talents who had belonged to it, and had scarcely given a symptom of life during some years. The small faction which had been held together by the influence and promises of Prince Frederic, had been dispersed by his death. Almost every public man of distinguished talents in the kingdom, whatever his early connections might have been, was in office, and called himself a Whig. But this extraordinary appearance of concord was quite delusive. The administration itself was distracted by bitter enmities and conflicting pretensions. The chief object of its members was to depress and supplant each other. The prime minister, Newcastle, weak, timid, jealous, and perfidious, was at once detested and despised by some of the most important members of his government, and by none more than by Henry Fox, the Secretary at War. This able, daring, and ambitious man seized every opportunity of crossing the First Lord of the Treasury, from whom he well knew that he had little to dread and little to hope; for Newcastle was through life equally afraid of breaking with men of parts and of promoting them.

Newcastle had set his heart on returning two members for St Michael, one of those wretched Cornish boroughs which were swept away by the Reform Act in 1832. He was opposed by Lord Sandwich, whose influence had long been paramount there: and Fox exerted himself strenuously in Sandwich's behalf. Clive, who had been introduced to Fox, and very kindly received by him, was brought forward on the Sandwich interest, and was returned. But a petition was presented against the return, and was backed by the whole influence of the Duke of Newcastle.

The case was heard, according to the usage of that time, before a committee of the whole House. Questions respecting elections were then considered merely as party questions. Judicial impartiality was not even affected. Sir Robert Walpole was in the habit of saying openly that, in election battles, there ought to be no quarter. On the present occasion the excitement was great. The matter really at issue was, not whether Clive had been properly or improperly returned, but whether Newcastle or Fox was to

be master of the New House of Commons, and consequently first minister. The contest was long and obstinate, and success seemed to lean sometimes to one side and sometimes to the other. Fox put forth all his rare powers of debate, beat half the lawyers in the House at their own weapons, and carried division after division against the whole influence of the Treasury. The committee decided in Clive's favour. But when the resolution was reported to the House things took a different course. The remnant of the Tory Opposition, contemptible as it was, had yet sufficient weight to turn the scale between the nicely-balanced parties of Newcastle and Fox. Newcastle the Tories could only despise Fox they hated, as the boldest and most subtle politician and the ablest debater among the Whigs, as the steady friend of Walpole, as the devoted adherent of the Duke of Cumberland. After wavering till the last moment, they determined to vote in a body with the Prime Minister's friends. The consequence was that the House, by a small majority, rescinded the decision of the committee, and Clive was unseated.

Ejected from Parliament and straitened in his means he naturally began to look again towards India. The Company and the Government were eager to avail themselves of his services. A treaty favourable to England had indeed been concluded in the Carnatic. Dupleix had been superseded, and had returned with the wreck of his immense fortune to Europe, where calumny and chicanery soon hunted him to his grave. But many signs indicated that a war between France and Great Britain was at hand and it was therefore thought desirable to send an able commander to the Company's settlements in India. The Directors appointed Clive governor of Fort St David. The King gave him the commission of a lieutenant-colonel in the British army, and in 1755 he again sailed for Asia.

The first service on which he was employed after his return to the East was the reduction of the stronghold of Gheriah. This fortress, built on a craggy promontory and almost surrounded by the ocean, was the den of a pirate named Angria, whose barks had long been the terror of the Arabian Gulf. Admiral Watson, who commanded the English squadron in the Eastern seas, burned Angria's fleet, while Clive attacked the fastness by land. The

lace soon fell, and a booty of a hundred and fifty thousand ounds sterling was divided among the conquerors.

After this exploit, Clive proceeded to his government f Fort St David. Before he had been there two months, e received intelligence which called forth all the energy f his bold and active mind.

Of the provinces which had been subject to the House f Tamerlane, the wealthiest was Bengal. No part of ndia possessed such natural advantages, both for agri- ulture and for commerce. The Ganges, rushing through hundred channels to the sea, has formed a vast plain f rich mould which, even under the tropical sky, rivals he verdure of an English April. The rice fields yield n increase such as is elsewhere unknown. Spices, sugar, egetable oils, are produced with marvellous exuberance. he rivers afford an inexhaustible supply of fish. The esolate islands along the sea-coast, overgrown by noxious egetation, and swarming with deer and tigers, supply he cultivated districts with abundance of salt. The reat stream which fertilises the soil is, at the same time, he chief highway of Eastern commerce. On its banks, and n those of its tributary waters, are the wealthiest marts, he most splendid capitals, and the most sacred shrines of ndia. The tyranny of man had for ages struggled in vain gainst the overflowing bounty of nature. In spite of the lussulman despot, and of the Mahratta freebooter, Bengal as known through the East as the garden of Eden, as he rich kingdom. Its population multiplied exceedingly. istant provinces were nourished from the overflowing f its granaries; and the noble ladies of London and Paris ere clothed in the delicate produce of its looms. The ce by whom this rich tract was peopled, enervated by soft climate and accustomed to peaceful avocations, ore the same relation to other Asiatics which the Asiatics enerally bear to the bold and energetic children of Europe. he Castilians have a proverb that in Valencia the earth water and the men women; and the description is at ast equally applicable to the vast plain of the Lower anges. Whatever the Bengalee does he does languidly. is favourite pursuits are sedentary. He shrinks from odily exertion; and, though voluble in dispute, and ngularly pertinacious in the war of chicane, he seldom

engages in a personal conflict, and scarcely ever enlists as a soldier. We doubt whether there be a hundred genuine Bengalees in the whole army of the East India Company. There never, perhaps, existed a people so thoroughly fitted by nature and by habit for a foreign yoke.

The great commercial companies of Europe had long possessed factories in Bengal. The French were settled, as they still are, at Chandernagore on the Hoogley. Higher up the stream the Dutch traders held Chinsurah. Nearer to the sea, the English had built Fort William. A church and ample warehouses rose in the vicinity. A row of spacious houses, belonging to the chief factors of the East India Company, lined the banks of the river; and in the neighbourhood had sprung up a large and busy native town, where some Hindoo merchants of great opulence had fixed their abode. But the tract now covered by the palaces of Chowringhee contained only a few miserable huts thatched with straw. A jungle, abandoned to waterfowl and alligators, covered the site of the present Citadel, and the Course, which is now daily crowded at sunset with the gayest equipages of Calcutta. For the ground on which the settlement stood, the English, like other great landholders, paid rent to the government; and they were, like other great landholders, permitted to exercise a certain jurisdiction within their domain.

The great province of Bengal, together with Orissa and Bahar, had long been governed by a viceroy, whom the English called Aliverdy Khan, and who, like the other viceroys of the Mogul, had become virtually independent. He died in 1756, and the sovereignty descended to his grandson, a youth under twenty years of age, who bore the name of Surajah Dowlah. Oriental despots are perhaps the worst class of human beings; and this unhappy boy was one of the worst specimens of his class. His understanding was naturally feeble, and his temper naturally unamiable. His education had been such as would have enervated even a vigorous intellect and perverted even a generous disposition. He was unreasonable, because nobody ever dared to reason with him, and selfish, because he had never been made to feel himself dependent on the good-will of others. Early debauchery had unnerved his body and his mind. He indulged immoderately in

he use of ardent spirits, which inflamed his weak brain lmost to madness. His chosen companions were flatterers, prung from the dregs of the people, and recommended by nothing but buffoonery and servility. It is said that he had arrived at that last stage of human depravity, when cruelty becomes pleasing for its own sake, when he sight of pain, as pain, where no advantage is to be ained, no offence punished, no danger averted, is an agreeble excitement. It had early been his amusement to orture beasts and birds; and, when he grew up, he enjoyed vith still keener relish the misery of his fellow-creatures.

From a child Surajah Dowlah had hated the English. t was his whim to do so; and his whims were never opposed. He had also formed a very exaggerated notion f the wealth which might be obtained by plundering hem; and his feeble and uncultivated mind was incapable f perceiving that the riches of Calcutta, had they been ven greater than he imagined, would not compensate im for what he must lose, if the European trade, of which Bengal was a chief seat, should be driven by his violence o some other quarter. Pretexts for a quarrel were readily ound. The English, in expectation of a war with France, ad begun to fortify their settlement without special permission from the Nabob. A rich native, whom he longed o plunder, had taken refuge at Calcutta, and had not een delivered up. On such grounds as these Surajah Dowlah marched with a great army against Fort William.

The servants of the Company at Madras had been forced y Dupleix to become statesmen and soldiers. Those n Bengal were still mere traders, and were terrified and ewildered by the approaching danger. The governor, who had heard much of Surajah Dowlah's cruelty, was ightened out of his wits, jumped into a boat, and took efuge in the nearest ship. The military commandant hought that he could not do better than follow so good an xample. The fort was taken after a feeble resistance: nd great numbers of the English fell into the hands of he conquerors. The Nabob seated himself with regal omp in the principal hall of the factory, and ordered Mr Holwell, the first in rank among the prisoners, to be brought efore him. His Highness abused the insolence of the nglish, and grumbled at the smallness of the treasure

which he had found; but promised to spare their lives, and retired to rest.

Then was committed that great crime, memorable for its singular atrocity, memorable for the tremendous retribution by which it was followed. The English captives were left to the mercy of the guards, and the guards determined to secure them for the night in the prison of the garrison, a chamber known by the fearful name of the Black Hole. Even for a single European malefactor, that dungeon would, in such a climate, have been too close and narrow. The space was only twenty feet square. The air-holes were small and obstructed. It was the summer solstice, the season when the fierce heat of Bengal can scarcely be rendered tolerable to natives of England by lofty halls and by the constant waving of fans. The number of the prisoners was one hundred and forty-six. When they were ordered to enter the cell, they imagined that the soldiers were joking; and, being in high spirits on account of the promise of the Nabob to spare their lives, they laughed and jested at the absurdity of the notion. They soon discovered their mistake. They expostulated; they entreated; but in vain. The guards threatened to cut down all who hesitated. The captives were driven into the cell at the point of the sword, and the door was instantly shut and locked upon them.

Nothing in history or fiction, not even the story which Ugolino told in the sea of everlasting ice, after he had wiped his bloody lips on the scalp of his murderer, approaches the horrors which were recounted by the few survivors of that night. They cried for mercy. They strove to burst the door. Holwell who, even in that extremity, retained some presence of mind, offered large bribes to the gaolers. But the answer was that nothing could be done without the Nabob's orders, that the Nabob was asleep, and that he would be angry if anybody woke him. Then the prisoners went mad with despair. They trampled each other down, fought for the places at the windows, fought for the pittance of water with which the cruel mercy of the murderers mocked their agonies, raved, prayed, blasphemed, implored the guards to fire among them. The gaolers in the mean time held lights to the bars, and shouted with laughter at the frantic struggles of their victims. At length the

umult died away in low gaspings and moanings. The day broke. The Nabob had slept off his debauch, and permitted the door to be opened. But it was some time before the soldiers could make a lane for the survivors, by piling up on each side the heaps of corpses on which the burning climate had already begun to do its loathsome work. When at length a passage was made, twenty-three ghastly figures, such as their own mothers would not have known, staggered one by one out of the charnel-house. A pit was instantly dug. The dead bodies, a hundred and twenty-three in number, were flung into it promiscuously, and covered up.

But these things which, after the lapse of more than eighty years, cannot be told or read without horror, awakened neither remorse nor pity in the bosom of the savage Nabob. He inflicted no punishment on the murderers. He showed no tenderness to the survivors. Some of them, indeed, from whom nothing was to be got, were suffered to depart; but those from whom it was thought that any thing could be extorted were treated with execrable cruelty. Holwell, unable to walk, was carried before the tyrant, who reproached him, threatened him, and sent him up the country in irons, together with some other gentlemen who were suspected of knowing more than they chose to tell about the treasures of the Company. These persons, still bowed down by the sufferings of that great agony, were lodged in miserable sheds, and fed only with grain and water, till at length the intercessions of the female relations of the Nabob procured their release. One Englishwoman had survived that night. She was placed in the haram of the Prince at Moorshedabad.

Surajah Dowlah, in the mean time, sent letters to his nominal sovereign at Delhi, describing the late conquest in the most pompous language. He placed a garrison in Fort William, forbade any Englishman to dwell in the neighbourhood, and directed that, in memory of his great actions, Calcutta should thenceforward be called Alinagore, that is to say, the Port of God.

In august the news of the fall of Calcutta reached Madras, and excited the fiercest and bitterest resentment. The cry of the whole settlement was for vengeance. Within forty-eight hours after the arrival of the intelligence it

was determined that an expedition should be sent to the Hoogley, and that Clive should be at the head of the land forces. The naval armament was under the command of Admiral Watson. Nine hundred English infantry, fine troops and full of spirit, and fifteen hundred sepoys, composed the army which sailed to punish a Prince who had more subjects than Louis the Fifteenth or the Empress Maria Theresa. In October the expedition sailed; but it had to make its way against adverse winds, and did not reach Bengal till December.

The Nabob was revelling in fancied security at Moorshedabad. He was so profoundly ignorant of the state of foreign countries that he often used to say that there were not ten thousand men in all Europe; and it had never occurred to him as possible, that the English would dare to invade his dominions. But, though undisturbed by any fear of their military power, he began to miss them greatly. His revenues fell off; and his ministers succeeded in making him understand that a ruler may sometimes find it more profitable to protect traders in the open enjoyment of their gains than to put them to the torture for the purpose of discovering hidden chests of gold and jewels. He was already disposed to permit the Company to resume its mercantile operations in his country, when he received the news that an English armament was in the Hoogley. He instantly ordered all his troops to assemble at Moorshedabad, and marched towards Calcutta.

Clive had commenced operations with his usual vigour. He took Budge-budge, routed the garrison of Fort William, recovered Calcutta, stormed and sacked Hoogley. The Nabob, already disposed to make some concessions to the English, was confirmed in his pacific disposition by these proofs of their power and spirit. He accordingly made overtures to the chiefs of the invading armament, and offered to restore the factory, and to give compensation to those whom he had despoiled.

Clive's profession was war; and he felt that there was something discreditable in an accommodation with Surajah Dowlah. But his power was limited. A committee, chiefly composed of servants of the Company who had fled from Calcutta, had the principal direction of affairs; and these persons were eager to be restored to their posts

and compensated for their losses. The government of Madras, apprised that war had commenced in Europe, and apprehensive of an attack from the French, became impatient for the return of the armament. The promises of the Nabob were large, the chances of a contest doubtful; and Clive consented to treat, though he expressed his regret that things should not be concluded in so glorious a manner as he could have wished.

With this negotiation commences a new chapter in the life of Clive. Hitherto he had been merely a soldier, carrying into effect, with eminent ability and valour, the plans of others. Henceforth he is to be chiefly regarded as a statesman; and his military movements are to be considered as subordinate to his political designs. That in his new capacity he displayed great talents, and obtained great success, is unquestionable. But it is also unquestionable, that the transactions in which he now began to take a part have left a stain on his moral character.

We can by no means agree with Sir John Malcolm, who is obstinately resolved to see nothing but honour and integrity in the conduct of his hero. But we can as little agree with Mr Mill, who has gone so far as to say that Clive was a man "to whom deception, when it suited his purpose, never cost a pang." Clive seems to us to have been constitutionally the very opposite of a knave, bold even to temerity, sincere even to indiscretion, hearty in friendship, open in enmity. Neither in his private life, nor in those parts of his public life in which he had to do with his countrymen, do we find any signs of a propensity to cunning. On the contrary, in all the disputes in which he was engaged as an Englishman against Englishmen, from his boxing-matches at school to those stormy altercations at the India House and in Parliament amidst which his later years were passed, his very faults were those of a high and magnanimous spirit. The truth seems to have been that he considered Oriental politics as a game in which nothing was unfair. He knew that the standard of morality among the natives of India differed widely from that established in England. He knew that he had to deal with men destitute of what in Europe is called honour, with men who would give any promise without hesitation, and break any promise without shame, with

men who would unscrupulously employ corruption, perjury, forgery, to compass their ends. His letters show that the great difference between Asiatic and European morality was constantly in his thoughts. He seems to have imagined, most erroneously in our opinion, that he could effect nothing against such adversaries, if he was content to be bound by ties from which they were free, if he went on telling truth, and hearing none, if he fulfilled, to his own hurt, all his engagements with confederates who never kept an engagement that was not to their advantage. Accordingly this man, in the other parts of his life an honourable English gentleman and a soldier, was no sooner matched against an Indian intriguer, than he became himself an Indian intriguer, and descended, without scruple, to falsehood, to hypocritical caresses, to the substitution of documents, and to the counterfeiting of hands.

The negotiations between the English and the Nabob were carried on chiefly by two agents, Mr Watts, a servant of the Company, and a Bengalee of the name of Omichund. This Omichund had been one of the wealthiest native merchants resident at Calcutta, and had sustained great losses in consequence of the Nabob's expedition against that place. In the course of his commercial transactions, he had seen much of the English, and was peculiarly qualified to serve as a medium of communication between them and a native court. He possessed great influence with his own race, and had in large measure the Hindoo talents, quick observation, tact, dexterity, perseverance, and the Hindoo vices, servility, greediness, and treachery.

The Nabob behaved with all the faithlessness of an Indian statesman, and with all the levity of a boy whose mind had been enfeebled by power and self-indulgence. He promised, retracted, hesitated, evaded. At one time he advanced with his army in a threatening manner towards Calcutta; but when he saw the resolute front which the English presented, he fell back in alarm, and consented to make peace with them on their own terms. The treaty was no sooner concluded than he formed new designs against them. He intrigued with the French authorities at Chandernagore. He invited Bussy to march from the Deccan to the Hoogley, and to drive the English out of Bengal. All this was well known to Clive and Watson

They determined accordingly to strike a decisive blow, and to attack Chandernagore, before the force there could be strengthened by new arrivals, either from the south of India or from Europe. Watson directed the expedition by water, Clive by land. The success of the combined movements was rapid and complete. The fort, the garrison, the artillery, the military stores, all fell into the hands of the English. Near five hundred European troops were among the prisoners.

The Nabob had feared and hated the English, even while he was still able to oppose to them their French rivals. The French were now vanquished; and he began to regard the English with still greater fear and still greater hatred. His weak and unprincipled mind oscillated between servility and insolence. One day he sent a large sum to Calcutta, as part of the compensation due for the wrongs which he had committed. The next day he sent a present of jewels to Bussy, exhorting that distinguished officer to hasten to protect Bengal "against Clive, the daring in war, on whom," says his Highness, "may all bad fortune attend." He ordered his army to march against the English. He countermanded his orders. He tore Clive's letters. He then sent answers in the most florid language of compliment. He ordered Watts out of his presence, and threatened to impale him. He again sent for Watts, and begged pardon for the insult. In the mean time, his wretched maladministration, his folly, his dissolute manners, and his love of the lowest company, had disgusted all classes of his subjects, soldiers, traders, civil functionaries, the proud and ostentatious Mahommedans, the timid, supple, and parsimonious Hindoos. A formidable confederacy was formed against him, in which were included Roydullub, the minister of finance, Meer Jaffier, the principal commander of the troops, and Jugget Seit, the richest banker in India. The plot was confided to the English agents, and a communication was opened between the malcontents at Moorshedabad and the committee at Calcutta.

In the committee there was much hesitation; but Clive's voice was given in favour of the conspirators, and his vigour and firmness bore down all opposition. It was determined that the English should lend their powerful assistance

to depose Surajah Dowlah, and to place Meer Jaffier on the throne of Bengal. In return, Meer Jaffier promised ample compensation to the Company and its servants, and a liberal donative to the army, the navy, and the committee. The odious vices of Surajah Dowlah, the wrongs which the English had suffered at his hands, the dangers to which our trade must have been exposed had he continued to reign, appear to us fully to justify the resolution of deposing him. But nothing can justify the dissimulation which Clive stooped to practice. He wrote to Surajah Dowlah in terms so affectionate that they for a time lulled that weak prince into perfect security. The same courier who carried this "soothing letter," as Clive calls it, to the Nabob, carried to Mr Watts a letter in the following terms: "Tell Meer Jaffier to fear nothing. I will join him with five thousand men who never turned their backs. Assure him I will march night and day to his assistance, and stand by him as long as I have a man left."

It was impossible that a plot which had so many ramifications should long remain entirely concealed. Enough reached the ears of the Nabob to arouse his suspicions. But he was soon quieted by the fictions and artifices which the inventive genius of Omichund produced with miraculous readiness. All was going well; the plot was nearly ripe; when Clive learned that Omichund was likely to play false. The artful Bengalee had been promised a liberal compensation for all that he had lost at Calcutta. But this would not satisfy him. His services had been great. He held the thread of the whole intrigue. By one word breathed in the ear of Surajah Dowlah, he could undo all that he had done. The lives of Watts, of Meer Jaffier, of all the conspirators, were at his mercy; and he determined to take advantage of his situation and to make his own terms. He demanded three hundred thousand pounds sterling as the price of his secrecy and of his assistance. The committee, incensed by the treachery and appalled by the danger, knew not what course to take. But Clive was more than Omichund's match in Omichund's own arts. The man, he said, was a villain. Any artifice which would defeat such knavery was justifiable. The best course would be to promise what was asked. Omichund would soon be at their mercy; and then they might

punish him by withholding from him, not only the bribe which he now demanded, but also the compensation which all the other sufferers of Calcutta were to receive.

His advice was taken. But how was the wary and sagacious Hindoo to be deceived? He had demanded that an article touching his claims should be inserted in the treaty between Meer Jaffier and the English, and he would not be satisfied unless he saw it with his own eyes. Clive had an expedient ready. Two treaties were drawn up, one on white paper, the other on red, the former real, the latter fictitious. In the former Omichund's name was not mentioned; the latter, which was to be shown to him, contained a stipulation in his favour.

But another difficulty arose. Admiral Watson had scruples about signing the red treaty. Omichund's vigilance and acuteness were such that the absence of so important a name would probably awaken his suspicions. But Clive was not a man to do anything by halves. We almost blush to write it. He forged Admiral Watson's name.

All was now ready for action. Mr. Watts fled secretly from Moorshedabad. Clive put his troops in motion, and wrote to the Nabob in a tone very different from that of his previous letters. He set forth all the wrongs which the British had suffered, offered to submit the points in dispute to the arbitration of Meer Jaffier, and concluded by announcing that, as the rains were about to set in, he and his men would do themselves the honour of waiting on his Highness for an answer.

Surajah Dowlah instantly assembled his whole force, and marched to encounter the English. It had been agreed that Meer Jaffier should separate himself from the Nabob, and carry over his division to Clive. But, as the decisive moment approached, the fears of the conspirator overpowered his ambition. Clive had advanced to Cossimbuzar; the Nabob lay with a mighty power a few miles off at Plassey; and still Meer Jaffier delayed to fulfil his engagements, and returned evasive answers to the earnest remonstrances of the English general.

Clive was in a painfully anxious situation. He could place no confidence in the sincerity or in the courage of his confederate: and, whatever confidence he might place in his own military talents, and in the valour and discipline of

his troops, it was no light thing to engage an army twenty times as numerous as his own. Before him lay a river over which it was easy to advance, but over which, if things went ill, not one of his little band would ever return. On this occasion, for the first and for the last time, his dauntless spirit, during a few hours, shrank from the fearful responsibility of making a decision. He called a council of war. The majority pronounced against fighting; and Clive declared his concurrence with the majority. Long afterwards, he said that he had never called but one council of war, and that, if he had taken the advice of that council, the British would never have been masters of Bengal. But scarcely had the meeting broken up when he was himself again. He retired alone under the shade of some trees, and passed near an hour there in thought. He came back determined to put every thing to the hazard, and gave orders that all should be in readiness for passing the river on the morrow.

The river was passed; and at the close of a toilsome day's march, the army, long after sunset, took up its quarters in a grove of mango-trees near Plassey, within a mile of the enemy. Clive was unable to sleep; he heard, through the whole night, the sound of drums and cymbals from the vast camp of the Nabob. It is not strange that even his stout heart should now and then have sunk, when he reflected against what odds, and for what a prize, he was in a few hours to contend.

Nor was the rest of Surajah Dowlah more peaceful. His mind, at once weak and stormy, was distracted by wild and horrible apprehensions. Appalled by the greatness and nearness of the crisis, distrusting his captains, dreading every one who approached him, dreading to be left alone, he sat gloomily in his tent, haunted, a Greek poet would have said, by the furies of those who had cursed him with their last breath in the Black Hole.

The day broke, the day which was to decide the fate of India. At sunrise the army of the Nabob, pouring through many openings from the camp, began to move towards the grove where the English lay. Forty thousand infantry, armed with firelocks, pikes, swords, bows and arrows, covered the plain. They were accompanied by fifty pieces of ordnance of the largest size, each tugged by a long team of white oxen, and each pushed on from behind by an elephant.

Some smaller guns, under the direction of a few French auxiliaries, were perhaps more formidable. The cavalry were fifteen thousand, drawn, not from the effeminate population of Bengal, but from the bolder race which inhabits the northern provinces; and the practised eye of Clive could perceive that both the men and the horses were more powerful than those of the Carnatic. The force which he had to oppose to this great multitude consisted of only three thousand men. But of these nearly a thousand were English; and all were led by English officers, and trained in the English discipline. Conspicuous in the ranks of the little army were the men of the Thirty-Ninth Regiment, which still bears on its colours, amidst many honourable additions won under Wellington in Spain and Gascony, the name of Plassey, and the proud motto, *Primus in Indis*.

The battle commenced with a cannonade in which the artillery of the Nabob did scarcely any execution, while the few field-pieces of the English produced great effect. Several of the most distinguished officers in Surajah Dowlah's service fell. Disorder began to spread through his ranks. His own terror increased every moment. One of the conspirators urged on him the expediency of retreating. The insidious advice, agreeing as it did with what his own terrors suggested, was readily received. He ordered his army to fall back, and this order decided his fate. Clive snatched the moment, and ordered his troops to advance. The confused and dispirited multitude gave way before the onset of disciplined valour. No mob attacked by regular soldiers was ever more completely routed. The little band of Frenchmen, who alone ventured to confront the English, were swept down the stream of fugitives. In an hour the forces of Surajah Dowlah were dispersed, never to reassemble. Only five hundred of the vanquished were slain. But their camp, their guns, their baggage, innumerable waggons, innumerable cattle, remained in the power of the conquerors. With the loss of twenty-two soldiers killed, and fifty wounded, Clive had scattered an army of nearly sixty thousand men, and subdued an empire larger and more populous than Great Britain.

Meer Jaffier had given no assistance to the English during the action. But as soon as he saw that the fate of the day was decided, he drew off his division of the army, and, when

the battle was over, sent his congratulations to his ally. The next morning he repaired to the English quarters, not a little uneasy as to the reception which awaited him there. He gave evident signs of alarm when a guard was drawn out to receive him with the honours due to his rank. But his apprehensions were speedily removed. Clive came forward to meet him, embraced him, saluted him as Nabob of the three great provinces of Bengal, Bahar, and Orissa, listened graciously to his apologies, and advised him to march without delay to Moorshedabad.

Surajah Dowlah had fled from the field of battle with all the speed with which a fleet camel could carry him, and arrived at Moorshedabad in little more than twenty-four hours. There he called his councillors round him. The wisest advised him to put himself into the hands of the English, from whom he had nothing worse to fear than deposition and confinement. But he attributed this suggestion to treachery. Others urged him to try the chance of war again. He approved the advice, and issued orders accordingly. But he wanted spirit to adhere even during one day to a manly resolution. He learned that Meer Jaffier had arrived; and his terrors became insupportable. Disguised in a mean dress, with a casket of jewels in his hand, he let himself down at night from a window of his palace, and, accompanied by only two attendants, embarked on the river for Patna.

In a few days Clive arrived at Moorshedabad, escorted by two hundred English soldiers and three hundred sepoys. For his residence had been assigned a palace, which was surrounded by a garden so spacious that all the troops who accompanied him could conveniently encamp within it. The ceremony of the installation of Meer Jaffier was instantly performed. Clive led the new Nabob to the seat of honour, placed him on it, presented to him, after the immemorial fashion of the East, an offering of gold, and then, turning to the natives who filled the hall, congratulated them on the good fortune which had freed them from a tyrant. He was compelled on this occasion to use the services of an interpreter; for it is remarkable that, long as he resided in India, intimately acquainted as he was with Indian politics and with the Indian character, and adored as he was by his Indian soldiery, he never learned to express himself with facility in any Indian language. He is said indeed

to have been sometimes under the necessity of employing, in his intercourse with natives of India, the smattering of Portuguese which he had acquired, when a lad in Brazil.

The new sovereign was now called upon to fulfil the engagements into which he had entered with his allies. A conference was held at the house of Jugget Seit, the great banker, for the purpose of making the necessary arrangements. Omichund came thither, fully believing himself to stand high in the favour of Clive, who, with dissimulation surpassing even the dissimulation of Bengal, had up to that day treated him with undiminished kindness. The white treaty was produced and read. Clive then turned to Mr Scrafton, one of the servants of the Company, and said in English, "It is now time to undeceive Omichund." "Omichund," said Mr. Scrafton, in Hindostanee, "the red treaty is a trick. You are to have nothing." Omichund fell back insensible into the arms of his attendants. He revived; but his mind was irreparably ruined. Clive, who, though little troubled by scruples of conscience in his dealings with Indian politicians, was not inhuman, seems to have been touched. He saw Omichund a few days later, spoke to him kindly, advised him to make a pilgrimage to one of the great temples of India, in the hope that change of scene might restore his health, and was even disposed, notwithstanding all that had passed, again to employ his talents in the public service. But, from the moment of that sudden shock, the unhappy man sank gradually into idiocy. He, who had formerly been distinguished by the strength of his understanding and the simplicity of his habits, now squandered the remains of his fortune on childish trinkets, and loved to exhibit himself dressed in rich garments, and hung with precious stones. In this abject state he languished a few months, and then died.

We should not think it necessary to offer any remarks for the purpose of directing the judgment of our readers with respect to this transaction, had not Sir John Malcolm undertaken to defend it in all its parts. He regrets, indeed, that it was necessary to employ means so liable to abuse as forgery; but he will not admit that any blame attaches to those who deceived the deceiver. He thinks that the English were not bound to keep faith with one who kept no faith with them, and that, if they had fulfilled their engagements

with the wily Bengalee, so signal an example of successful treason would have produced a crowd of imitators. Now, we will not discuss this point on any rigid principles of morality. Indeed, it is quite unnecessary to do so: for, looking at the question as a question of expediency in the lowest sense of the word, and using no arguments but such as Machiavelli might have employed in his conferences with Borgia, we are convinced that Clive was altogether in the wrong, and that he committed, not merely a crime, but a blunder. That honesty is the best policy is a maxim which we firmly believe to be generally correct, even with respect to the temporal interests of individuals; but, with respect to societies, the rule is subject to still fewer exceptions, and that, for this reason, that the life of societies is longer than the life of individuals. It is possible to mention men who have owed great worldly prosperity to breaches of private faith. But we doubt whether it be possible to mention a state which has on the whole been a gainer by a breach of public faith. The entire history of British India is an illustration of the great truth, that it is not prudent to oppose perfidy to perfidy, and that the most efficient weapon with which men can encounter falsehood is truth. During a long course of years, the English rulers of India, surrounded by allies and enemies whom no engagement could bind, have generally acted with sincerity and uprightness; and the event has proved that sincerity and uprightness are wisdom. English valour and English intelligence have done less to extend and to preserve our Oriental empire than English veracity. All that we could have gained by imitating the doublings, the evasions, the fictions, the perjuries which have been employed against us, is as nothing, when compared with what we have gained by being the one power in India on whose word reliance can be placed. No oath which superstition can devise, no hostage however precious, inspires a hundredth part of the confidence which is produced by the "yea, yea," and "nay, nay," of a British envoy. No fastness, however strong by art or nature, gives to its inmates a security like that enjoyed by the chief who, passing through the territories of powerful and deadly enemies, is armed with the British guarantee. The mightiest princes of the East can scarcely, by the offer of enormous usury, draw forth any portion of the wealth which is concealed under the hearths

of their subjects. The British Government offers little more than four per cent.; and avarice hastens to bring forth tens of millions of rupees from its most secret repositories. A hostile monarch may promise mountains of gold to our sepoys on condition that they will desert the standard of the Company. The Company promises only a moderate pension after a long service. But every sepoy knows that the promise of the Company will be kept; he knows that if he lives a hundred years his rice and salt are as secure as the salary of the Governor-General: and he knows that there is not another state in India which would not, in spite of the most solemn vows, leave him to die of hunger in a ditch as soon as he had ceased to be useful. The greatest advantage which a government can possess is to be the one trustworthy government in the midst of governments which nobody can trust. This advantage we enjoy in Asia. Had we acted during the last two generations on the principles which Sir John Malcolm appears to have considered as sound, had we, as often as we had to deal with people like Omichund, retaliated by lying, and forging, and breaking faith, after their fashion, it is our firm belief that no courage or capacity could have upheld our empire.

Sir John Malcolm admits that Clive's breach of faith could be justified only by the strongest necessity. As we think that breach of faith not only unnecessary, but most inexpedient, we need hardly say that we altogether condemn it.

Omichund was not the only victim of the revolution. Surajah Dowlah was taken a few days after his flight, and was brought before Meer Jaffier. There he flung himself on the ground in convulsions of fear, and with tears and loud cries implored the mercy which he had never shown. Meer Jaffier hesitated; but his son Meeran, a youth of seventeen, who in feebleness of brain and savageness of nature greatly resembled the wretched captive, was implacable. Surajah Dowlah was led into a secret chamber, to which in a short time the ministers of death were sent. In this act the English bore no part; and Meer Jaffier understood so much of their feelings, that he thought it necessary to apologise to them for having avenged them on their most malignant enemy.

The shower of wealth now fell copiously on the Company and its servants. A sum of eight hundred thousand pounds

sterling, in coined silver, was sent down the river from Moorshedabad to Fort William. The fleet which conveyed this treasure consisted of more than a hundred boats, and performed its triumphal voyage with flags flying and music playing. Calcutta, which a few months before had been desolate, was now more prosperous than ever. Trade revived; and the signs of affluence appeared in every English house. As to Clive, there was no limit to his acquisitions but his own moderation. The treasury of Bengal was thrown open to him. There were piled up, after the usage of Indian princes, immense masses of coin, among which might not seldom be detected the florins and byzants with which, before any European ship had turned the Cape of Good Hope, the Venetians purchased the stuffs and spices of the East. Clive walked between heaps of gold and silver, crowned with rubies and diamonds, and was at liberty to help himself. He accepted between two and three hundred thousand pounds.

The pecuniary transactions between Meer Jaffier and Clive were sixteen years later condemned by the public voice, and severely criticised in Parliament. They are vehemently defended by Sir John Malcolm. The accusers of the victorious general represented his gains as the wages of corruption, or as plunder extorted at the point of the sword from a helpless ally. The biographer, on the other hand, considers these great acquisitions as free gifts, honourable alike to the donor and to the receiver, and compares them to the rewards bestowed by foreign powers on Marlborough, on Nelson, and on Wellington. It had always, he says, been customary in the East to give and receive presents; and there was, as yet, no Act of Parliament positively prohibiting English functionaries in India from profiting by this Asiatic usage. This reasoning, we own, does not quite satisfy us. We do not suspect Clive of selling the interests of his employers or his country; but we cannot acquit him of having done what, if not in itself evil, was yet of evil example. Nothing is more clear than that a general ought to be the servant of his own government, and of no other. It follows that whatever rewards he receives for his services ought to be given either by his own government, or with the full knowledge and approbation of his own government. This rule ought to be strictly maintained even with respect

to the merest bauble, with respect to a cross, a medal, or a yard of coloured riband. But how can any government be well served, if those who command its forces are at liberty, without its permission, without its privity, to accept princely fortunes from its allies? It is idle to say that there was then no Act of Parliament prohibiting the practice of taking presents from Asiatic sovereigns. It is not on the Act which was passed at a later period for the purpose of preventing any such taking of presents, but on grounds which were valid before that Act was passed, on grounds of common law and common sense, that we arraign the conduct of Clive. There is no Act that we know of, prohibiting the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs from being in the pay of continental powers. But it is not the less true that a Secretary who should receive a secret pension from France would grossly violate his duty, and would deserve severe punishment. Sir John Malcolm compares the conduct of Clive with that of the Duke of Wellington. Suppose—and we beg pardon for putting such a supposition even for the sake of argument—that the Duke of Wellington had, after the campaign of 1815, and while he commanded the army of occupation in France, privately accepted two hundred thousand pounds from Louis the Eighteenth, as a mark of gratitude for the great services which his Grace had rendered to the House of Bourbon; what would be thought of such a transaction? Yet the statute-book no more forbids the taking of presents in Europe now than it forbade the taking of presents in Asia then.

At the same time, it must be admitted that, in Clive's case, there were many extenuating circumstances. He considered himself as the general, not of the Crown, but of the Company. The Company had, by implication at least, authorised its agents to enrich themselves by means of the liberality of the native princes, and by other means still more objectionable. It was hardly to be expected that the servant should entertain stricter notions of his duty than were entertained by his masters. Though Clive did not distinctly acquaint his employers with what had taken place, and request their sanction, he did not, on the other hand, by studied concealment, show that he was conscious of having done wrong. On the contrary, he avowed with the greatest openness that the Nabob's bounty had raised him to affluence.

Lastly, though we think that he ought not in such a way to have taken anything, we must admit that he deserves praise for having taken so little. He accepted twenty lacs of rupees. It would have cost him only a word to make the twenty forty. It was a very easy exercise of virtue to declaim in England against Clive's rapacity; but not one in a hundred of his accusers would have shown so much self-command in the treasury of Moorshedabad.

Meer Jaffier could be upheld on the throne only by the hand which had placed him on it. He was not, indeed, a mere boy; nor had he been so unfortunate as to be born in the purple. He was not, therefore, quite so imbecile or quite so depraved as his predecessor had been. But he had none of the talents or virtues which his post required; and his son and heir, Meeran, was another Surajah Dowlah. The recent revolution had unsettled the minds of men. Many chiefs were in open insurrection against the new Nabob. The viceroy of the rich and powerful province of Oude, who, like the other viceroys of the Mogul, was now in truth an independent sovereign, menaced Bengal with invasion. Nothing but the talents and authority of Clive could support the tottering government. While things were in this state a ship arrived with despatches which had been written at the India House before the news of the battle of Plassey had reached London. The Directors had determined to place the English settlements in Bengal under a government constituted in the most cumbrous and absurd manner; and, to make the matter worse, no place in the arrangement was assigned to Clive. The persons who were selected to form this new government, greatly to their honour, took on themselves the responsibility of disobeying these preposterous orders, and invited Clive to exercise the supreme authority. He consented; and it soon appeared that the servants of the Company had only anticipated the wishes of their employers. The Directors, on receiving news of Clive's brilliant success, instantly appointed him governor of their possessions in Bengal, with the highest marks of gratitude and esteem. His power was now boundless, and far surpassed even that which Dupleix had attained in the south of India. Meer Jaffier regarded him with slavish awe. On one occasion, the Nabob spoke with severity to a native chief of high rank, whose followers had been engaged in a brawl with some

of the Company's sepoys. "Are you yet to learn," he said, "who that Colonel Clive is, and in what station God has placed him?" The chief, who, as a famous jester and an old friend of Meer Jaffier, could venture to take liberties, answered, "I affront the Colonel! I, who never get up in the morning without making three low bows to his jackass!" This was hardly an exaggeration. Europeans and natives were alike at Clive's feet. The English regarded him as the only man who could force Meer Jaffier to keep his engagements with them. Meer Jaffier regarded him as the only man who could protect the new dynasty against turbulent subjects and encroaching neighbours.

It is but justice to say that Clive used his power ably and vigorously for the advantage of his country. He sent forth an expedition against the tract lying to the north of the Carnatic. In this tract the French still had the ascendency; and it was important to dislodge them. The conduct of the enterprise was intrusted to an officer of the name of Forde, who was then little known, but in whom the keen eye of the Governor had detected military talents of a high order. The success of the expedition was rapid and splendid.

While a considerable part of the army of Bengal was thus engaged at a distance, a new and formidable danger menaced the western frontier. The Great Mogul was a prisoner at Delhi in the hands of a subject. His eldest son, named Shah Alum, destined to be, during many years, the sport of adverse fortune, and to be a tool in the hands, first of the Mahrattas, and then of the English, had fled from the palace of his father. His birth was still revered in India. Some powerful princes, the Nabob of Oude in particular, were inclined to favour him. Shah Alum found it easy to draw to his standard great numbers of the military adventurers with whom every part of the country swarmed. An army of forty thousand men, of various races and religions, Mahrattas, Rohillas, Jauts, and Afghans, was speedily assembled round him; and he formed the design of overthrowing the upstart whom the English had elevated to a throne, and of establishing his own authority throughout Bengal, Orissa, and Bahar.

Meer Jaffier's terror was extreme; and the only expedient which occurred to him was to purchase, by the payment of a large sum of money, an accommodation with Shah Alum.

This expedient had been repeatedly employed by those who, before him, had ruled the rich and unwarlike provinces near the mouth of the Ganges. But Clive treated the suggestion with a scorn worthy of his strong sense and dauntless courage. "If you do this," he wrote, "you will have the Nabob of Oude, the Mahrattas, and many more, come from all parts of the confines of your country, who will bully you out of money till you have none left in your treasury. I beg your excellency will rely on the fidelity of the English and of those troops which are attached to you." He wrote in a similar strain to the governor of Patna, a brave native soldier whom he highly esteemed. "Come to no terms, defend your city to the last. Rest assured that the English are stanch and firm friends, and that they never desert a cause in which they have once taken a part."

He kept his word. Shah Alum had invested Patna, and was on the point of proceeding to storm, when he learned that the Colonel was advancing by forced marches. The whole army which was approaching consisted of only four hundred and fifty Europeans and two thousand five hundred sepoys. But Clive and his Englishmen were now objects of dread over all the East. As soon as his advanced guard appeared, the besiegers fled before him. A few French adventurers who were about the person of the prince advised him to try the chance of battle; but in vain. In a few days this great army, which had been regarded with so much uneasiness by the Court of Moorshedabad, melted away before the mere terror of the British name.

The conqueror returned in triumph to Fort William. The joy of Meer Jaffier was as unbounded as his fears had been, and led him to bestow on his preserver a princely token of gratitude. The quit-rent which the East India Company were bound to pay to the Nabob for the extensive lands held by them to the south of Calcutta amounted to near thirty thousand pounds sterling a year. The whole of this splendid estate, sufficient to support with dignity the highest rank of the British peerage, was now conferred on Clive for life.

This present we think Clive justified in accepting. It was a present which, from its very nature, could be no secret. In fact, the Company itself was his tenant, and, by its acquiescence, signified its approbation of Meer Jaffier's grant.

But the gratitude of Meer Jaffier did not last long. He

ad for some time felt that the powerful ally who had set
m up might pull him down, and had been looking round
r support against the formidable strength by which he had
mself been hitherto supported. He knew that it would
e impossible to find among the natives of India any force
hich would look the Colonel's little army in the face. The
rench power in Bengal was extinct. But the fame of the
utch had anciently been great in the Eastern seas; and
was not yet distinctly known in Asia how much the power
Holland had declined in Europe. Secret communications
assed between the court of Moorshedabad and the Dutch
ctory at Chinsurah; and urgent letters were sent from
hinsurah, exhorting the government of Batavia to fit out
expedition which might balance the power of the English
Bengal. The authorities of Batavia, eager to extend the
fluence of their country, and still more eager to obtain
r themselves a share of the wealth which had recently
ised so many English adventurers to opulence, equipped
powerful armament. Seven large ships from Java arrived
lexpectedly in the Hooghly. The military force on board
nounted to fifteen hundred men, of whom about one half
ere Europeans. The enterprise was well timed. Clive
d sent such large detachments to oppose the French in
e Carnatic that his army was now inferior in number to
at of the Dutch. He knew that Meer Jaffier secretly
voured the invaders. He knew that he took on himself
serious responsibility if he attacked the forces of a friendly
wer; that the English ministers could not wish to see a
ar with Holland added to that in which they were already
gaged with France; that they might disavow his acts;
at they might punish him. He had recently remitted a
eat part of his fortune to Europe, through the Dutch
st India Company; and he had therefore a strong interest
avoiding any quarrel. But he was satisfied, that if he
ffered the Batavian armament to pass up the river and to
n the garrison of Chinsurah, Meer Jaffier would throw
nself into the arms of these new allies, and that the
glish ascendency in Bengal would be exposed to most
ious danger. He took his resolution with characteristic
ldness, and was most ably seconded by his officers, par-
ularly by Colonel Forde, to whom the most important
rt of the operations was intrusted. The Dutch attempted

to force a passage. The English encountered them both by land and water. On both elements the enemy had a great superiority of force. On both they were signally defeated. Their ships were taken. Their troops were put to a total rout. Almost all the European soldiers, who constituted the main strength of the invading army, were killed or taken. The conquerors sat down before Chinsurah; and the chiefs of that settlement, now thoroughly humbled, consented to the terms which Clive dictated. They engaged to build no fortifications, and to raise no troops beyond a small force necessary for the police of their factories; and it was distinctly provided that any violation of these covenants should be punished with instant expulsion from Bengal.

Three months after this great victory, Clive sailed for England. At home, honours and rewards awaited him, not indeed equal to his claims or to his ambition, but still such as, when his age, his rank in the army, and his original place in society are considered, must be pronounced rare and splendid. He was raised to the Irish peerage, and encouraged to expect an English title. George the Third, who had just ascended the throne, received him with great distinction. The ministers paid him marked attention; and Pitt, whose influence in the House of Commons and in the country was unbounded, was eager to mark his regard for one whose exploits had contributed so much to the lustre of that memorable period. The great orator had already in Parliament described Clive as a heaven-born general, as a man who, bred to the labour of the desk, had displayed a military genius which might excite the admiration of the King of Prussia. There were then no reporters in the gallery: but these words, emphatically spoken by the first statesman of the age, had passed from mouth to mouth, had been transmitted to Clive in Bengal, and had greatly delighted and flattered him. Indeed, since the death of Wolfe Clive was the only English general of whom his countrymen had much reason to be proud. The Duke of Cumberland had been generally unfortunate; and his single victory having been gained over his countrymen, and used with merciless severity, had been more fatal to his popularity than his many defeats. Conway, versed in the learning of his profession, and personally courageous, wanted vigour and capacity. Granby, honest, generous, and as brave a

lion, had neither science nor genius. Sackville, inferior n knowledge and abilities to none of his contemporaries, ad incurred, unjustly as we believe, the imputation most atal to the character of a soldier. It was under the command f a foreign general that the British had triumphed at Minden and Warburg. The people therefore, as was natural, reeted with pride and delight a captain of their own, whose ative courage and self-taught skill had placed him on a evel with the great tacticians of Germany.

The wealth of Clive was such as enabled him to vie with he first grandees of England. There remains proof that he ad remitted more than a hundred and eighty thousand ounds through the Dutch East India Company, and more han forty thousand pounds through the English Company. The amount which he had sent home through private ouses was also considerable. He had invested great sums n jewels, then a very common mode of remittance from ndia. His purchases of diamonds, at Madras alone, mounted to twenty-five thousand pounds. Besides a reat mass of ready money, he had his Indian estate, valued y himself at twenty-seven thousand a year. His whole nnual income, in the opinion of Sir John Malcolm, who is esirous to state it as low as possible, exceeded forty thou- and pounds; and incomes of forty thousand pounds at he time of the accession of George the Third were at least s rare as incomes of a hundred thousand pounds now. We ay safely affirm that no Englishman who started with othing has ever, in any line of life, created such a fortune t the early age of thirty-four.

It would be unjust not to add that Clive made a creditable se of his riches. As soon as the battle of Plassey had laid he foundation of his fortune, he sent ten thousand pounds o his sisters, bestowed as much more on other poor friends nd relations, ordered his agent to pay eight hundred a ear to his parents, and to insist that they should keep a arriage, and settled five hundred a year on his old com- ander Lawrence, whose means were very slender. The hole sum which Clive expended in this manner may be alculated at fifty thousand pounds.

He now set himself to cultivate parliamentary interest. His purchases of land seem to have been made in a great easure with that view, and, after the general election of

1761, he found himself in the House of Commons, at the head of a body of dependents whose support must have been important to any administration. In English politics however, he did not take a prominent part. His first attachments, as we have seen, were to Mr Fox; at a later period he was attracted by the genius and success of Mr Pitt; but finally he connected himself in the closest manner with George Grenville. Early in the session of 1764, when the illegal and impolitic persecution of that worthless demagogue Wilkes had strongly excited the public mind, the town was amused by an anecdote, which we have seen in some unpublished memoirs of Horace Walpole. Old Mr Richard Clive, who, since his son's elevation, had been introduced into society for which his former habits had not well fitted him, presented himself at the levee. The King asked him where Lord Clive was. "He will be in town very soon," said the old gentleman, loud enough to be heard by the whole circle, "and then your Majesty will have another vote."

But in truth all Clive's views were directed towards the country in which he had so eminently distinguished himself as a soldier and a statesman; and it was by considerations relating to India that his conduct as a public man in England was regulated. The power of the Company, though an anomaly, is in our time, we are firmly persuaded, a beneficial anomaly. In the time of Clive, it was not merely an anomaly, but a nuisance. There was no Board of Control. The Directors were for the most part mere traders, ignorant of general politics, ignorant of the peculiarities of the empire which had strangely become subject to them. The Court of Proprietors, wherever it chose to interfere, was able to have its way. That court was more numerous, as well as more powerful than at present; for then every share of five hundred pounds conferred a vote. The meetings were large, stormy, even riotous, the debates indecently virulent. As the turbulence of a Westminster election, all the trickery and corruption of a Grampound election, disgraced the proceedings of this assembly on questions of the most solemn importance. Fictitious votes were manufactured on a gigantic scale. Clive himself laid out a hundred thousand pounds in the purchase of stock, which he then divided among nominal proprietors on whom he could depend, and whom he brought down in his train, to every discussion and every

ballot. Others did the same, though not to quite so enormous an extent.

The interest taken by the public of England in Indian questions was then far greater than at present, and the reason is obvious. At present a writer enters the service young; he climbs slowly; he is fortunate if, at forty-five, he can return to his country with an annuity of a thousand a year, and with savings amounting to thirty thousand pounds. A great quantity of wealth is made by English functionaries in India; but no single functionary makes a very large fortune, and what is made is slowly, hardly, and honestly earned. Only four or five high political offices are reserved for public men from England. The residencies, the secretaryships, the seats in the boards of revenue and in the Sudder courts, are all filled by men who have given the best years of life to the service of the Company; nor can any talents however splendid or any connections however powerful obtain those lucrative posts for any person who has not entered by the regular door, and mounted by the regular gradations. Seventy years ago, less money was brought home from the East than in our time. But it was divided among a very much smaller number of persons, and immense sums were often accumulated in a few months. Any Englishman, whatever his age might be, might hope to be one of the lucky emigrants. If he made a good speech in Leadenhall Street, or published a clever pamphlet in defence of the chairman, he might be sent out in the Company's service, and might return in three or four years as rich as Pigot or as Clive. Thus the India House was a lottery office, which invited every body to take a chance, and held out ducal fortunes as the prizes destined for the lucky few. As soon as it was known that there was a part of the world where a lieutenant-colonel had one morning received as a present an estate as large as that of the Earl of Bath or the Marquis of Rockingham, and where it seemed that such a trifle as ten or twenty thousand pounds was to be had by any British functionary for the asking, society began to exhibit all the symptoms of the South Sea year, a feverish excitement, an ungovernable impatience to be rich, a contempt for slow, sure, and moderate gains.

At the head of the preponderating party in the India House, had long stood a powerful, able, and ambitious

director of the name of Sulivan. He had conceived a strong jealousy of Clive, and remembered with bitterness the audacity with which the late governor of Bengal had repeatedly set at nought the authority of the distant Directors of the Company. An apparent reconciliation took place after Clive's arrival; but enmity remained deeply rooted in the hearts of both. The whole body of Directors was then chosen annually. At the election of 1763, Clive attempted to break down the power of the dominant faction. The contest was carried on with a violence which he describes as tremendous. Sulivan was victorious, and hastened to take his revenge. The grant of rent which Clive had received from Meer Jaffier was, in the opinion of the best English lawyers, valid. It had been made by exactly the same authority from which the Company had received their chief possessions in Bengal, and the Company had long acquiesced in it. The Directors, however, most unjustly determined to confiscate it, and Clive was forced to file a bill in Chancery against them.

But a great and sudden turn in affairs was at hand. Every ship from Bengal had for some time brought alarming tidings. The internal misgovernment of the province had reached such a point that it could go no further. What, indeed, was to be expected from a body of public servants exposed to temptation such that, as Clive once said, flesh and blood could not bear it, armed with irresistible power, and responsible only to the corrupt, turbulent, distracted, ill-informed Company, situated at such a distance that the average interval between the sending of a despatch and the receipt of an answer was above a year and a half? Accordingly, during the five years which followed the departure of Clive from Bengal, the misgovernment of the English was carried to a point such as seems hardly compatible with the very existence of society. The Roman proconsul, who in a year or two, squeezed out of a province the means of rearing marble palaces and baths on the shores of Campania, of drinking from amber, of feasting on singing birds, or exhibiting armies of gladiators and flocks of camelopards, the Spanish viceroy, who, leaving behind him the curses of Mexico or Lima, entered Madrid with a long train of gilded coaches, and of sumpter-horses trapped and shod with silver, were now outdone. Cruelty, indeed, properly

so called, was not among the vices of the servants of the Company. But cruelty itself could hardly have produced greater evils than sprang from their unprincipled eagerness to be rich. They pulled down their creature, Meer Jaffier. They set up in his place another Nabob, named Meer Cossim. But Meer Cossim had talents and a will; and, though sufficiently inclined to oppress his subjects himself, he could not bear to see them ground to the dust by oppressions which yielded him no profit, nay, which destroyed his revenue in its very source. The English accordingly pulled down Meer Cossim, and set up Meer Jaffier again; and Meer Cossim, after revenging himself by a massacre surpassing in atrocity that of the Black Hole, fled to the dominions of the Nabob of Oude. At every one of these revolutions, the new prince divided among his foreign masters whatever could be scraped together from the treasury of his fallen predecessor. The immense population of his dominions was given up as a prey to those who had made him a sovereign, and who could unmake him. The servants of the Company obtained, not for their employers, but for themselves, a monopoly of almost the whole internal trade. They forced the natives to buy dear and to sell cheap. They insulted with impunity the tribunals, the police, and the fiscal authorities of the country. They covered with their protection a set of native dependents who ranged through the provinces, spreading desolation and terror wherever they appeared. Every servant of a British factor was armed with all the power of his master; and his master was armed with all the power of the Company. Enormous fortunes were thus rapidly accumulated at Calcutta, while thirty millions of human beings were reduced to the extremity of wretchedness. They had been accustomed to live under tyranny, but never under tyranny like this. They found the little finger of the Company thicker than the loins of Surajah Dowlah. Under their old masters they had at least one resource: when the evil became insupportable, the people rose and pulled down the government. But the English government was not to be so shaken off. That government, oppressive as the most oppressive form of barbarian despotism, was strong with all the strength of civilisation. It resembled the government of evil Genii, rather than the government of human tyrants. Even

despair could not inspire the soft Bengalee with courage to confront men of English breed, the hereditary nobility of mankind, whose skill and valour had so often triumphed in spite of tenfold odds. The unhappy race never attempted resistance. Sometimes they submitted in patient misery. Sometimes they fled from the white man, as their fathers had been used to fly from the Mahratta; and the palanquin of the English traveller was often carried through silent villages and towns, which the report of his approach had made desolate.

The foreign lords of Bengal were naturally objects of hatred to all the neighbouring powers; and to all the haughty race presented a dauntless front. Their armies, every where outnumbered, were every where victorious. A succession of commanders, formed in the school of Clive, still maintained the fame of their country. "It must be acknowledged," says the Mussulman historian of those times, "that this nation's presence of mind, firmness of temper, and undaunted bravery, are past all question. They join the most resolute courage to the most cautious prudence; nor have they their equals in the art of ranging themselves in battle array and fighting in order. If to so many military qualifications they knew how to join the arts of government, if they exerted as much ingenuity and solicitude in relieving the people of God, as they do in whatever concerns their military affairs, no nation in the world would be preferable to them, or worthier of command. But the people under their dominion groan every where, and are reduced to poverty and distress. Oh God! come to the assistance of thine afflicted servants, and deliver them from the oppressions which they suffer."

It was impossible, however, that even the military establishment should long continue exempt from the vices which pervaded every other part of the government. Rapacity, luxury, and the spirit of insubordination spread from the civil service to the officers of the army, and from the officers to the soldiers. The evil continued to grow till every mess-room became the seat of conspiracy and cabal, and till the sepoys could be kept in order only by wholesale executions.

At length the state of things in Bengal began to excite uneasiness at home. A succession of revolutions; a dis-

organized administration; the natives pillaged, yet the Company not enriched; every fleet bringing back fortunate adventurers who were able to purchase manors and to build stately dwellings, yet bringing back also alarming accounts of the financial prospects of the government; war on the frontiers; disaffection in the army; the national character disgraced by excesses resembling those of Verres and Pizarro; such was the spectacle which dismayed those who were conversant with Indian affairs. The general cry was that Clive, and Clive alone, could save the empire which he had founded.

This feeling manifested itself in the strongest manner at a very full General Court of Proprietors. Men of all parties, forgetting their feuds and trembling for their dividends, exclaimed that Clive was the man whom the crisis required, that the oppressive proceedings which had been adopted respecting his estate ought to be dropped, and that he ought to be entreated to return to India.

Clive rose. As to his estate, he said, he would make such propositions to the Directors as would, he trusted, lead to an amicable settlement. But there was a still greater difficulty. It was proper to tell them that he never would undertake the government of Bengal while his enemy Sulivan was chairman of the Company. The tumult was violent. Sulivan could scarcely obtain a hearing. An overwhelming majority of the assembly was on Clive's side. Sulivan wished to try the result of a ballot. But, according to the by-laws of the Company, there can be no ballot except on a requisition signed by nine proprietors; and, though hundreds were present, nine persons could not be found to set their hands to such a requisition.

Clive was in consequence nominated Governor and Commander-in-Chief of the British possessions in Bengal. But he adhered to his declaration, and refused to enter on his office till the event of the next election of Directors should be known. The contest was obstinate; but Clive triumphed. Sulivan, lately absolute master of the India House, was within a vote of losing his own seat; and both the chairman and the deputy-chairman were friends of the new governor.

Such were the circumstances under which Lord Clive sailed for the third and last time to India. In May, 1765, he reached Calcutta; and he found the whole machine of

government even more fearfully disorganized than he had anticipated. Meer Jaffier, who had some time before lost his eldest son Meeran, had died while Clive was on his voyage out. The English functionaries at Calcutta had already received from home strict orders not to accept presents from the native princes. But, eager for gain, and unaccustomed to respect the commands of their distant, ignorant, and negligent masters, they again set up the throne of Bengal to sale. About one hundred and forty thousand pounds sterling was distributed among nine of the most powerful servants of the Company; and, in consideration of this bribe, an infant son of the deceased Nabob was placed on the seat of his father. The news of the ignominious bargain met Clive on his arrival. In a private letter written immediately after his landing to an intimate friend, he poured out his feelings in language which, proceeding form a man so daring, so resolute, and so little given to theatrical display of sentiment, seems to us singularly touching. "Alas!" he says, "how is the English name sunk! I could not avoid paying the tribute of a few tears to the departed and lost fame of the British nation—irrecoverably so, I fear. However, I do declare, by that great Being who is the searcher of all hearts, and to whom we must be accountable if there be a hereafter, that I am come out with a mind superior to all corruption, and that I am determined to destroy these great and growing evils, or perish in the attempt."

The Council met, and Clive stated to them his full determination to make a thorough reform, and to use for that purpose the whole of the ample authority, civil and military, which had been confided to him. Johnstone, one of the boldest and worst men in the assembly, made some show of opposition. Clive interrupted him, and haughtily demanded whether he meant to question the power of the new government. Johnstone was cowed, and disclaimed any such intention. All the faces round the board grew long and pale; and not another syllable of dissent was uttered.

Clive redeemed his pledge. He remained in India about a year and a half; and in that short time effected one of the most extensive, difficult, and salutary reforms that ever was accomplished by any statesman. This was the

part of his life on which he afterwards looked back with most pride. He had it in his power to triple his already splendid fortune; to connive at abuses while pretending to remove them; to conciliate the good-will of all the English in Bengal, by giving up to their rapacity a helpless and timid race, who knew not where lay the island which sent forth their oppressors, and whose complaints had little chance of being heard across fifteen thousand miles of ocean. He knew that, if he applied himself in earnest to the work of reformation, he should raise every bad passion in arms against him. He knew how unscrupulous, how implacable, would be the hatred of those ravenous adventurers who, having counted on accumulating in a few months fortunes sufficient to support peerages, should find all their hopes frustrated. But he had chosen the good part; and he called up all the force of his mind for a battle far harder than that of Plassey. At first success seemed hopeless; but soon all obstacles began to bend before that iron courage and that vehement will. The receiving of presents from the natives was rigidly prohibited. The private trade of the servants of the Company was put down. The whole settlement seemed to be set, as one man, against these measures. But the inexorable governor declared that, if he could not find support at Fort William, he would procure it elsewhere, and sent for some civil servants from Madras to assist him in carrying on the administration. The most factious of his opponents he turned out of their offices. The rest submitted to what was inevitable; and in a very short time all resistance was quelled.

But Clive was far too wise a man not to see that the recent abuses were partly to be ascribed to a cause which could not fail to produce similar abuses, as soon as the pressure of his strong hand was withdrawn. The Company had followed a mistaken policy with respect to the remuneration of its servants. The salaries were too low to afford even those indulgences which are necessary to the health and comfort of Europeans in a tropical climate. To lay by a rupee from such scanty pay was impossible. It could not be supposed that men of even average abilities would consent to pass the best years of life in exile, under a burning sun, for no other consideration than these stinted wages. It had accordingly been understood, from a very early period,

that the Company's agents were at liberty to enrich themselves by their private trade. This practice had been seriously injurious to the commercial interests of the corporation. That very intelligent observer, Sir Thomas Roe, in the reign of James the First, strongly urged the Directors to apply a remedy to the abuse. "Absolutely prohibit the private trade," said he; "for your business will be better done. I know this is harsh. Men profess they come not for bare wages. But you will take away this plea if you give great wages to their content; and then you know what you part from."

In spite of this excellent advice, the Company adhered to the old system, paid low salaries, and connived at the indirect gains of the agents. The pay of a member of Council was only three hundred pounds a year. Yet it was notorious that such a functionary could not live in India for less than ten times that sum; and it could not be expected that he would be content to live even handsomely in India without laying up something against the time of his return to England. This system, before the conquest of Bengal, might affect the amount of the dividends payable to the proprietors, but could do little harm in any other way. But the Company was now a ruling body. Its servants might still be called factors, junior merchants, senior merchants. But they were in truth proconsuls, proprætors, procurators of extensive regions. They had immense power. Their regular pay was universally admitted to be insufficient. They were, by the ancient usage of the service, and by the implied permission of their employers, warranted in enriching themselves by indirect means; and this had been the origin of the frightful oppression and corruption which had desolated Bengal. Clive saw clearly that it was absurd to give men power, and to require them to live in penury. He justly concluded that no reform could be effectual which should not be coupled with a plan for liberally remunerating the civil servants of the Company. The Directors, he knew, were not disposed to sanction any increase of the salaries out of their own treasury. The only course which remained open to the governor was one which exposed him to much misrepresentation, but which we think him fully justified in adopting. He appropriated to the support of the service the monopoly of salt, which has formed, down to our own

time, a principal head of Indian revenue; and he divided the proceeds according to a scale which seems to have been not unreasonably fixed. He was in consequence accused by his enemies, and has been accused by historians, of disobeying his instructions, of violating his promises, of authorising that very abuse which it was his special mission to destroy, namely, the trade of the Company's servants. But every discerning and impartial judge will admit, that there was really nothing in common between the system which he set up and that which he was sent to destroy. The monopoly of salt had been a source of revenue to the governments of India before Clive was born. It continued to be so long after his death. The civil servants were clearly entitled to a maintenance out of the revenue; and all that Clive did was to charge a particular portion of the revenue with their maintenance. He thus, while he put an end to the practices by which gigantic fortunes had been rapidly accumulated, gave to every British functionary employed in the East the means of slowly, but surely, acquiring a competence. Yet, such is the injustice of mankind that none of those acts which are the real stains of his life has drawn on him so much obloquy as this measure, which was in truth a reform necessary to the success of all his other reforms.

He had quelled the opposition of the civil service: that of the army was more formidable. Some of the retrenchments which had been ordered by the Directors affected the interests of the military service; and a storm arose, such as even Cæsar would not willingly have faced. It was no light thing to encounter the resistance of those who held the power of the sword, in a country governed only by the sword. Two hundred English officers engaged in a conspiracy against the government, and determined to resign their commissions on the same day, not doubting that Clive would grant any terms rather than see the army, on which alone the British empire in the East rested, left without commanders. They little knew the unconquerable spirit with which they had to deal. Clive had still a few officers round his person on whom he could rely. He sent to Fort St George for a fresh supply. He gave commissions even to mercantile agents who were disposed to support him at this crisis; and he sent orders that every officer who resigned

should be instantly brought up to Calcutta. The conspirators found that they had miscalculated. The governor was inexorable. The troops were steady. The sepoys, over whom Clive had always possessed extraordinary influence, stood by him with unshaken fidelity. The leaders in the plot were arrested, tried, and cashiered. The rest, humbled and dispirited, begged to be permitted to withdraw their resignations. Many of them declared their repentance even with tears. The younger offenders Clive treated with lenity. To the ringleaders he was inflexibly severe; but his severity was pure from all taint of private malevolence. While he sternly upheld the just authority of his office, he passed by personal insults and injuries with magnanimous disdain. One of the conspirators was accused of having planned the assassination of the governor; but Clive would not listen to the charge. "The officers," he said, "are Englishmen, not assassins."

While he reformed the civil service and established his authority over the army, he was equally successful in his foreign policy. His landing on Indian ground was the signal for immediate peace. The Nabob of Oude, with a large army, lay at that time on the frontier of Bahar. He had been joined by many Afghans and Mahrattas, and there was no small reason to expect a general coalition of all the native powers against the English. But the name of Clive quelled in an instant all opposition. The enemy implored peace in the humblest language, and submitted to such terms as the new governor chose to dictate.

At the same time, the government of Bengal was placed on a new footing. The power of the English in that province had hitherto been altogether undefined. It was unknown to the ancient constitution of the empire, and it had been ascertained by no compact. It resembled the power which, in the last decrepitude of the Western Empire, was exercised over Italy by the great chiefs of foreign mercenaries, the Ricimers and the Odoacers, who put up and pulled down at their pleasure a succession of insignificant princes, dignified with the names of Cæsar and Augustus. But as in Italy, so in India, the warlike strangers at length found it expedient to give to a domination which had been established by arms the sanction of law and ancient prescription. Theodoric thought it politic to obtain from the distant court of By-

zantium a commission appointing him ruler of Italy; and Clive, in the same manner, applied to the Court of Delhi for a formal grant of the powers of which he already possessed the reality. The Mogul was absolutely helpless; and, though he murmured, had reason to be well pleased that the English were disposed to give solid rupees which he never could have extorted from them, in exchange for a few Persian characters which cost him nothing. A bargain was speedily struck; and the titular sovereign of Hindostan issued a warrant, empowering the Company to collect and administer the revenues of Bengal, Orissa, and Bahar.

There was still a Nabob, who stood to the British authorities in the same relation in which the last drivelling Chilperics and Childerics of the Merovingian line stood to their able and vigorous Mayors of the Palace, to Charles Martel and to Pepin. At one time Clive had almost made up his mind to discard this phantom altogether; but he afterwards thought that it might be convenient still to use the name of the Nabob, particularly in dealings with other European nations. The French, the Dutch, and the Danes would, he conceived, submit far more readily to the authority of the native Prince, whom they had always been accustomed to respect, than to that of a rival trading corporation. This policy may, at that time, have been judicious. But the pretence was soon found to be too flimsy to impose on any body; and it was altogether laid aside. The heir of Meer Jaffier still resides at Moorshedabad, the ancient capital of his house, still bears the title of Nabob, is still accosted by the English as "Your Highness," and is still suffered to retain a portion of the regal state which surrounded his ancestors. A pension of a hundred and sixty thousand pounds a year is annually paid to him by the government. His carriage is surrounded by guards, and preceded by attendants with silver maces. His person and his dwelling are exempted from the ordinary authority of the ministers of justice. But he has not the smallest share of political power, and is, in fact, only a noble and wealthy subject of the Company.

It would have been easy for Clive, during his second administration in Bengal, to accumulate riches such as no subject in Europe possessed. He might indeed, without subjecting the rich inhabitants of the province to any pressure beyond that to which their mildest rulers had accustomed

them, have received presents to the amount of three hundred thousand pounds a year. The neighbouring princes would gladly have paid any price for his favour. But he appears to have strictly adhered to the rules which he had laid down for the guidance of others. The Rajah of Benares offered him diamonds of great value. The Nabob of Oude pressed him to accept a large sum of money and a casket of costly jewels. Clive courteously, but peremptorily refused: and it should be observed that he made no merit of his refusal, and that the facts did not come to light till after his death. He kept an exact account of his salary, of his share of the profits accruing from the trade in salt, and of those presents which, according to the fashion of the East, it would be churlish to refuse. Out of the sum arising from these resources he defrayed the expenses of his situation. The surplus he divided among a few attached friends who had accompanied him to India. He always boasted, and, as far as we can judge, he boasted with truth, that his last administration diminished instead of increasing his fortune.

One large sum indeed he accepted. Meer Jaffier had left him by will above sixty thousand pounds sterling in specie and jewels; and the rules which had been recently laid down extended only to presents from the living, and did not affect legacies from the dead. Clive took the money, but not for himself. He made the whole over to the Company, in trust for officers and soldiers invalided in their service. The fund which still bears his name owes its origin to this princely donation.

After a stay of eighteen months, the state of his health made it necessary for him to return to Europe. At the close of January, 1767, he quitted for the last time the country on whose destinies he had exercised so mighty an influence.

His second return from Bengal was not, like his first, greeted by the acclamations of his countrymen. Numerous causes were already at work which embittered the remaining years of his life, and hurried him to an untimely grave. His old enemies at the India House were still powerful and active; and they had been reinforced by a large band of allies whose violence far exceeded their own. The whole crew of pilferers and oppressors from whom he had rescued Bengal persecuted him with the implacable rancour which belongs to such abject natures. Many of them even invested their

property in India stock, merely that they might be better able to annoy the man whose firmness had set bounds to their rapacity. Lying newspapers were set up for no purpose but to abuse him; and the temper of the public mind was then such, that these arts which, under ordinary circumstances, would have been ineffectual against truth and merit, produced an extraordinary impression.

The great events which had taken place in India had called into existence a new class of Englishmen, to whom their countrymen gave the name of Nabobs. These persons had generally sprung from families neither ancient nor opulent; they had generally been sent at an early age to the East; and they had there acquired large fortunes, which they had brought back to their native land. It was natural that, not having had much opportunity of mixing with the best society, they should exhibit some of the awkwardness and some of the pomposity of upstarts. It was natural that, during their sojourn in Asia, they should have acquired some tastes and habits surprising, if not disgusting, to persons who never had quitted Europe. It was natural that, having enjoyed great consideration in the East, they should not be disposed to sink into obscurity at home; and as they had money, and had not birth or high connection, it was natural that they should display a little obtrusively the single advantage which they possessed. Wherever they settled there was a kind of feud between them and the old nobility and gentry, similar to that which raged in France between the farmer-general and the marquis. This enmity to the aristocracy long continued to distinguish the servants of the Company. More than twenty years after the time of which we are now speaking, Burke pronounced that among the Jacobins might be reckoned "the East Indians almost to a man, who cannot bear to find that their present importance does not bear a proportion to their wealth."

The Nabobs soon became a most unpopular class of men. Some of them had in the East displayed eminent talents, and rendered great services to the state; but at home their talents were not shown to advantage, and their services were little known. That they had sprung from obscurity, that they had acquired great wealth, that they exhibited it insolently, that they spent it extravagantly, that they raised the price of every thing in their neighbourhood, from

fresh eggs to rotten boroughs, that their liveries outshone those of dukes, that their coaches were finer than that of the Lord Mayor, that the examples of their large and ill-governed households corrupted half the servants in the country, that some of them, with all their magnificence, could not catch the tone of good society, but, in spite of the stud and the crowd of menials, of the plate and the Dresden china, of the venison and the Burgundy, were still low men; these were things which excited, both in the class from which they had sprung and in the class into which they attempted to force themselves, the bitter aversion which is the effect of mingled envy and contempt. But when it was also rumoured that the fortune which had enabled its possessor to eclipse the Lord-Lieutenant on the race-ground, or to carry the county against the head of a house as old as Domesday Book, had been accumulated by violating public faith, by deposing legitimate princes, by reducing whole provinces to beggary, all the higher and better as well as all the low and evil parts of human nature were stirred against the wretch who had obtained by guilt and dishonour the riches which he now lavished with arrogant and inelegant profusion. The unfortunate Nabob seemed to be made up of those foibles against which comedy has pointed the most merciless ridicule, and of those crimes which have thrown the deepest gloom over tragedy, of Turcaret and Nero, of Monsieur Jourdain and Richard the Third. A tempest of execration and derision, such as can be compared only to that outbreak of public feeling against the Puritans which took place at the time of the Restoration, burst on the servants of the Company. The humane man was horror-struck at the way in which they had got their money, the thrifty man at the way in which they spent it. The dilettante sneered at their want of taste. The maccaroni black-balled them as vulgar fellows. Writers the most unlike in sentiment and style, Methodists and libertines, philosophers and buffoons, were for once on the same side. It is hardly too much to say that, during a space of about thirty years, the whole lighter literature of England was coloured by the feelings which we have described. Foote brought on the stage an Anglo-Indian chief, dissolute, ungenerous, and tyrannical, ashamed of the humble friends of his youth, hating the aristocracy, yet childishly eager to be numbered among them, squandering

his wealth on pandars and flatterers, tricking out his chairmen with the most costly hot-house flowers, and astounding the ignorant with jargon about rupees, lacs, and jaghires. Mackenzie, with more delicate humour, depicted a plain country family raised by the Indian acquisitions of one of its members to sudden opulence, and exciting derision by an awkward mimicry of the manners of the great. Cowper, in that lofty expostulation which glows with the very spirit of the Hebrew poets, placed the oppression of India foremost in the list of those national crimes for which God had punished England with years of disastrous war, with discomfiture in her own seas, and with the loss of her transatlantic empire. If any of our readers will take the trouble to search in the dusty recesses of circulating libraries for some novel published sixty years ago, the chance is that the villain or subvillain of the story will prove to be a savage old Nabob, with an immense fortune, a tawny complexion, a bad liver, and a worse heart.

Such, as far as we can now judge, was the feeling of the country respecting Nabobs in general. And Clive was eminently the Nabob, the ablest, the most celebrated, the highest in rank, the highest in fortune, of all the fraternity. His wealth was exhibited in a manner which could not fail to excite odium. He lived with great magnificence in Berkeley Square. He reared one palace in Shropshire and another at Claremont. His parliamentary influence might vie with that of the greatest families. But in all this splendour and power envy found something to sneer at. On some of his relations wealth and dignity seem to have sat as awkwardly as on Mackenzie's Margery Mushroom. Nor was he himself, with all his great qualities, free from those weaknesses which the satirists of that age represented as characteristic of his whole class. In the field, indeed, his habits were remarkably simple. He was constantly on horseback, was never seen but in his uniform, never wore silk, never entered a palanquin, and was content with the plainest fare. But when he was no longer at the head of an army, he laid aside this Spartan temperance for the ostentatious luxury of a Sybarite. Though his person was ungraceful, and though his harsh features were redeemed from vulgar ugliness only by their stern, dauntless, and commanding expression, he was fond of rich and gay clothing,

and replenished his wardrobe with absurd profusion. Sir John Malcolm gives us a letter worthy of Sir Matthew Mite, in which Clive orders "two hundred shirts, the best and finest that can be got for love or money." A few follies of this description, grossly exaggerated by report, produced an unfavourable impression on the public mind. But this was not the worst. Black stories, of which the greater part were pure inventions, were circulated respecting his conduct in the East. He had to bear the whole odium, not only of those bad acts to which he had once or twice stooped, but of all the bad acts of all the English in India, of bad acts committed when he was absent, nay, of bad acts which he had manfully opposed and severely punished. The very abuses against which he had waged an honest, resolute, and successful war, were laid to his account. He was, in fact, regarded as the personification of all the vices and weaknesses which the public, with or without reason, ascribed to the English adventurers in Asia. We have ourselves heard old men, who knew nothing of his history, but who still retained the prejudices conceived in their youth, talk of him as an incarnate fiend. Johnson always held this language. Brown, whom Clive employed to lay out his pleasure grounds, was amazed to see in the house of his noble employer a chest which had once been filled with gold from the treasury of Moorshedabad, and could not understand how the conscience of the criminal could suffer him to sleep with such an object so near to his bedchamber. The peasantry of Surrey looked with mysterious horror on the stately house which was rising at Claremont, and whispered that the great wicked lord had ordered the walls to be made so thick in order to keep out the devil, who would one day carry him away bodily. Among the gaping clowns who drank in this frightful story was a worthless ugly lad of the name of Hunter, since widely known as William Huntington, S.S.; and the superstition which was strangely mingled with the knavery of that remarkable impostor seems to have derived no small nutriment from the tales which he heard of the life and character of Clive.

In the mean time, the impulse which Clive had given to the administration of Bengal was constantly becoming fainter and fainter. His policy was to a great extent abandoned; the abuses which he had suppressed began to revive;

and at length the evils which a bad government had engendered were aggravated by one of those fearful visitations which the best government cannot avert. In the summer of 1770, the rains failed; the earth was parched up; the tanks were empty; the rivers shrank within their beds; and a famine, such as is known only in countries where every household depends for support on its own little patch of cultivation, filled the whole valley of the Ganges with misery and death. Tender and delicate women, whose veils had never been lifted before the public gaze, came forth from the inner chambers in which Eastern jealousy had kept watch over their beauty, threw themselves on the earth before the passers-by, and, with loud wailings, implored a handful of rice for their children. The Hoogley every day rolled down thousands of corpses close to the porticoes and gardens of the English conquerors. The very streets of Calcutta were blocked up by the dying and the dead. The lean and feeble survivors had not energy enough to bear the bodies of their kindred to the funeral pile or to the holy river, or even to scare away the jackals and vultures, who fed on human remains in the face of day. The extent of the mortality was never ascertained; but it was popularly reckoned by millions. This melancholy intelligence added to the excitement which already prevailed in England on Indian subjects. The proprietors of East India stock were uneasy about their dividends. All men of common humanity were touched by the calamities of our unhappy subjects; and indignation soon began to mingle itself with pity. It was rumoured that the Company's servants had created the famine by engrossing all the rice of the country; that they had sold grain for eight, ten, twelve times the price at which they had bought it; that one English functionary who, the year before, was not worth a hundred guineas, had, during that season of misery, remitted sixty thousand pounds to London. These charges we believe to have been unfounded. That servants of the Company had ventured, since Clive's departure, to deal in rice, is probable. That, if they dealt in rice, they must have gained by the scarcity, is certain. But there is no reason for thinking that they either produced or aggravated an evil which physical causes sufficiently explain. The outcry which was raised against them on this occasion was, we suspect, as absurd as the imputations

which, in times of dearth at home, were once thrown by statesmen and judges, and are still thrown by two or three old women, on the corn factors. It was, however, so loud and so general that it appears to have imposed even on an intellect raised so high above vulgar prejudices as that of Adam Smith. What was still more extraordinary, these unhappy events greatly increased the unpopularity of Lord Clive. He had been some years in England when the famine took place. None of his measures had the smallest tendency to produce such a calamity. If the servants of the Company had traded in rice, they had done so in direct contravention of the rule which he had laid down, and, while in power, had resolutely enforced. But, in the eyes of his countrymen, he was, as we have said, the Nabob, the Anglo-Indian character personified; and, while he was building and planting in Surrey, he was held responsible for all the effects of a dry season in Bengal.

Parliament had hitherto bestowed very little attention on our Eastern possessions. Since the death of George the Second, a rapid succession of weak administrations, each of which was in turn flattered and betrayed by the Court, had held the semblance of power. Intrigues in the palace, riots in the capital, and insurrectionary movements in the American colonies, had left the advisers of the Crown little leisure to study Indian politics. Where they did interfere, their interference was feeble and irresolute. Lord Chatham indeed, during the short period of his ascendency in the councils of George the Third, had meditated a bold and sweeping measure respecting the acquisitions of the Company. But his plans were rendered abortive by the strange malady which about that time began to overcloud his splendid genius.

At length, in 1772, it was generally felt that Parliament could no longer neglect the affairs of India. The Government was stronger than any which had held power since the breach between Mr Pitt and the great Whig connection in 1761. No pressing question of domestic or European policy required the attention of public men. There was a short and delusive lull between two tempests. The excitement produced by the Middlesex election was over; the discontents of America did not yet threaten civil war; the financial difficulties of the Company brought on a crisis

the Ministers were forced to take up the subject; and the whole storm, which had long been gathering, now broke at once on the head of Clive.

His situation was indeed singularly unfortunate. He was hated throughout the country, hated at the India House, hated, above all, by those wealthy and powerful servants of the Company, whose rapacity and tyranny he had withstood. He had to bear the double odium of his bad and of his good actions, of every Indian abuse and of every Indian reform. The state of the political world was such that he could count on the support of no powerful connection. The party to which he had belonged, that of George Grenville, had been hostile to the Government, and yet had never cordially united with the other sections of the Opposition, with the little band which still followed the fortunes of Lord Chatham, or with the large and respectable body of which Lord Rockingham was the acknowledged leader. George Grenville was now dead; his followers were scattered; and Clive, unconnected with any of the powerful factions which divided the Parliament, could reckon only on the votes of those members who were returned by himself. His enemies, particularly those who were the enemies of his virtues, were unscrupulous, ferocious, implacable. Their malevolence aimed at nothing less than the utter ruin of his fame and fortune. They wished to see him expelled from Parliament, to see his spurs chopped off, to see his estate confiscated; and it may be doubted whether even such a result as this would have quenched their thirst for revenge.

Clive's parliamentary tactics resembled his military tactics. Deserted, surrounded, outnumbered, and with everything at stake, he did not even deign to stand on the defensive, but pushed boldly forward to the attack. At an early stage of the discussions on Indian affairs he rose, and in a long and elaborate speech vindicated himself from a large part of the accusations which had been brought against him. He is said to have produced a great impression on his audience. Lord Chatham, who, now the ghost of his former self, loved to haunt the scene of his glory, was that night under the gallery of the House of Commons, and declared that he had never heard a finer speech. It was subsequently printed under Clive's direction, and, when the fullest allowance has been made for the assistance which he

may have obtained from literary friends, proves him to have possessed, not merely strong sense and a manly spirit, but talents both for disquisition and declamation which assiduous culture might have improved into the highest excellence. He confined his defence on this occasion to the measures of his last administration, and succeeded so far that his enemies thenceforth thought it expedient to direct their attacks chiefly against the earlier part of his life.

The earlier part of his life unfortunately presented some assailable points to their hostility. A committee was chosen by ballot to inquire into the affairs of India; and by this committee the whole history of that great revolution which threw down Surajah Dowlah and raised Meer Jaffier was sifted with malignant care. Clive was subjected to the most unsparing examination and cross-examination, and afterwards bitterly complained that he, the Baron of Plassey, had been treated like a sheep-stealer. The boldness and ingenuousness of his replies would alone suffice to show how alien from his nature were the frauds to which, in the course of his Eastern negotiations, he had sometimes descended. He avowed the arts which he had employed to deceive Omichund, and resolutely said that he was not ashamed of them, and that, in the same circumstances, he would again act in the same manner. He admitted that he had received immense sums from Meer Jaffier; but he denied that, in doing so, he had violated any obligation of morality or honour. He laid claim, on the contrary, and not without some reason, to the praise of eminent disinterestedness. He described in vivid language the situation in which his victory had placed him; a great prince dependent on his pleasure; an opulent city afraid of being given up to plunder; wealthy bankers bidding against each other for his smiles; vaults piled with gold and jewels thrown open to him alone. "By God, Mr. Chairman," he exclaimed, "at this moment I stand astonished at my own moderation."

The inquiry was so extensive that the Houses rose before it had been completed. It was continued in the following session. When at length the committee had concluded its labours, enlightened and impartial men had little difficulty in making up their minds as to the result. It was clear that Clive had been guilty of some acts which it is impossible to vindicate without attacking the authority of all the most

sacred laws which regulate the intercourse of individuals and of states. But it was equally clear that he had displayed great talents, and even great virtues; that he had rendered eminent services both to his country and to the people of India; and that it was in truth not for his dealings with Meer Jaffier nor for the fraud which he had practised on Omichund, but for his determined resistance to avarice and tyranny, that he was now called in question.

Ordinary criminal justice knows nothing of set-off. The greatest desert cannot be pleaded in answer to a charge of the lightest transgression. If a man has sold beer on Sunday morning, it is no defence that he has saved the life of a fellow-creature at the risk of his own. If he has harnessed a Newfoundland dog to his little child's carriage, it is no defence that he was wounded at Waterloo. But it is not in this way that we ought to deal with men who, raised far above ordinary restraints, and tried by far more than ordinary temptations, were entitled to a more than ordinary measure of indulgence. Such men should be judged by their contemporaries as they will be judged by posterity. Their bad actions ought not, indeed, to be called good; but their good and bad actions ought to be fairly weighed; and, if on the whole the good preponderate, the sentence ought to be one, not merely of acquittal, but of approbation. Not a single great ruler in history can be absolved by a judge who fixes his eye inexorably on one or two unjustifiable acts. Bruce the deliverer of Scotland, Maurice the deliverer of Germany, William the deliverer of Holland, his great descendant the deliverer of England, Murray the good regent, Cosmo the father of his country, Henry the Fourth of France, Peter the great of Russia, how would the best of them pass such a scrutiny? History takes wider views; and the best tribunal for great political cases is the tribunal which anticipates the verdict of history.

Reasonable and moderate men of all parties felt this in Clive's case. They could not pronounce him blameless; but they were not disposed to abandon him to that low-minded and rancorous pack who had run him down and were eager to worry him to death. Lord North, though not very friendly to him, was not disposed to go to extremities against him. While the inquiry was still in progress, Clive, who had some years before been created a Knight of the Bath, was

installed with great pomp in Henry the Seventh's Chapel. He was soon after appointed Lord-Lieutenant of Shropshire. When he kissed hands, George the Third, who had always been partial to him, admitted him to a private audience, talked to him half an hour on Indian politics, and was visibly affected when the persecuted general spoke of his services and of the way in which they had been requited.

At length the charges came in a definite form before the House of Commons. Burgoyne, chairman of the committee, a man of wit, fashion, and honour, an agreeable dramatic writer, an officer whose courage was never questioned and whose skill was at that time highly esteemed, appeared as the accuser. The members of the administration took different sides; for in that age all questions were open questions, except such as were brought forward by the Government, or such as implied some censure on the Government. Thurlow, the Attorney-General, was among the assailants. Wedderburne, the Solicitor-General, strongly attached to Clive, defended his friend with extraordinary force of argument and language. It is a curious circumstance that, some years later, Thurlow was the most conspicuous champion of Warren Hastings, while Wedderburne was among the most unrelenting persecutors of that great though not faultless statesman. Clive spoke in his own defence at less length and with less art than in the preceding year, but with much energy and pathos. He recounted his great actions and his wrongs; and, after bidding his hearers remember that they were about to decide not only on his honour but on their own, he retired from the House.

The Commons resolved that acquisitions made by the arms of the State belong to the State alone, and that it is illegal in the servants of the State to appropriate such acquisitions to themselves. They resolved that this wholesome rule appeared to have been systematically violated by the English functionaries in Bengal. On a subsequent day they went a step farther, and resolved that Clive had, by means of the power which he possessed as commander of the British forces in India, obtained large sums from Meer Jaffier. Here the House stopped. They had voted the major and minor of Burgoyne's syllogism; but they shrank from drawing the logical conclusion. When it was moved that Lord Clive had abused his powers, and set an evil example to the

servants of the public, the previous question was put and carried. At length, long after the sun had risen on an animated debate, Wedderburne moved that Lord Clive had at the same time rendered great and meritorious services to his country; and this motion passed without a division.

The result of this memorable inquiry appears to us, on the whole, honourable to the justice, moderation, and discernment of the Commons. They had indeed no great temptation to do wrong. They would have been very bad judges of an accusation brought against Jenkinson or against Wilkes. But the question respecting Clive was not a party question; and the House accordingly acted with the good sense and good feeling which may always be expected from an assembly of English gentleman, not blinded by faction.

The equitable and temperate proceedings of the British Parliament were set off to the greatest advantage by a foil. The wretched government of Louis the Fifteenth had murdered, directly or indirectly, almost every Frenchman who had served his country with distinction in the East. Labourdonnais was flung into the Bastile, and, after years of suffering, left it only to die. Dupleix, stripped of his immense fortune, and broken-hearted by humiliating attendance in antechambers, sank into an obscure grave. Lally was dragged to the common place of execution with a gag between his lips. The Commons of England, on the other hand, treated their living captain with that discriminating justice which is seldom shown except to the dead. They laid down sound general principles; they delicately pointed out where he had deviated from those principles; and they tempered the gentle censure with liberal eulogy. The contrast struck Voltaire, always partial to England, and always eager to expose the abuses of the Parliaments of France. Indeed he seems, at this time, to have meditated a history of the conquest of Bengal. He mentioned his design to Dr Moore when that amusing writer visited him at Ferney. Wedderburne took great interest in the matter, and pressed Clive to furnish materials. Had the plan been carried into execution, we have no doubt that Voltaire would have produced a book containing much lively and picturesque narrative, many just and humane sentiments

poignantly expressed, many grotesque blunders, many sneers at the Mosaic chronology, much scandal about the Catholic missionaries, and much sublime theo-philanthropy, stolen from the New Testament, and put into the mouths of virtuous and philosophical Brahmins.

Clive was now secure in the enjoyment of his fortune and his honours. He was surrounded by attached friends and relations; and he had not yet passed the season of vigorous bodily and mental exertion. But clouds had long been gathering over his mind, and now settled on it in thick darkness. From early youth he had been subject to fits of that strange melancholy "which rejoiceth exceedingly and is glad when it can find the grave." While still a writer at Madras, he had twice attempted to destroy himself. Business and prosperity had produced a salutary effect on his spirits. In India, while he was occupied by great affairs, in England, while wealth and rank had still the charm of novelty, he had borne up against his constitutional misery. But he had now nothing to do, and nothing to wish for. His active spirit in an inactive situation drooped and withered like a plant in an uncongenial air. The malignity with which his enemies had pursued him, the indignity with which he had been treated by the committee, the censure lenient as it was, which the House of Commons had pronounced, the knowledge that he was regarded by a large portion of his countrymen as a cruel and perfidious tyrant, all concurred to irritate and depress him. In the mean time his temper was tried by acute physical suffering. During his long residence in tropical climates, he had contracted several painful distempers. In order to obtain ease he called in the help of opium; and he was gradually enslaved by this treacherous ally. To the last, however, his genius occasionally flashed through the gloom. It is said that he would sometimes, after sitting silent and torpid for hours, rouse himself to the discussion of some great question, would display in full vigour all the talents of the soldier and the statesman, and would then sink back into his melancholy repose.

The disputes with America had now become so serious that an appeal to the sword seemed inevitable; and the Ministers were desirous to avail themselves of the services of Clive. Had he still been what he was when he raised the siege of

Patna, and annihilated the Dutch army and navy at the mouth of the Ganges, it is not improbable that the resistance of the Colonists would have been put down, and that the inevitable separation would have been deferred for a few years. But it was too late. His strong mind was fast sinking under many kinds of suffering. On the twenty-second of November, 1774, he died by his own hand. He had just completed his forty-ninth year.

In the awful close of so much prosperity and glory, the vulgar saw only a confirmation of all their prejudices; and some men of real piety and genius so far forgot the maxims both of religion and of philosophy as confidently to ascribe the mournful event to the just vengeance of God, and to the horrors of an evil conscience. It is with very different feelings that we contemplate the spectacle of a great mind ruined by the weariness of satiety, by the pangs of wounded honour, by fatal diseases, and more fatal remedies.

Clive committed great faults; and we have not attempted to disguise them. But his faults, when weighed against his merits, and viewed in connection with his temptations, do not appear to us to deprive him of his right to an honourable place in the estimation of posterity.

From his first visit to India dates the renown of the English arms in the East. Till he appeared, his countrymen were despised as mere pedlars, while the French were revered as a people formed for victory and command. His courage and capacity dissolved the charm. With the defence of Arcot commences that long series of Oriental triumphs which closes with the fall of Ghizni. Nor must we forget that he was only twenty-five years old when he approved himself ripe for military command. This is a rare if not a singular distinction. It is true that Alexander, Condé, and Charles the Twelfth, won great battles at a still earlier age; but those princes were surrounded by veteran generals of distinguished skill, to whose suggestions must be attributed the victories of the Granicus, of Rocroi, and of Narva. Clive, an inexperienced youth, had yet more experience than any of those who served under him. He had to form himself, to form his officers, and to form his army. The only man, as far as we recollect, who at an equally early age ever gave equal proof of talents for war, was Napoleon Bonaparte.

From Clive's second visit to India dates the political ascendency of the English in that country. His dexterity and resolution realised, in the course of a few months, more than all the gorgeous visions which had floated before the imagination of Dupleix. Such an extent of cultivated territory, such an amount of revenue, such a multitude of subjects, was never added to the dominion of Rome by the most successful proconsul. Nor were such wealthy spoils ever borne under arches of triumph, down the Sacred Way, and through the crowded Forum, to the threshold of Tarpeian Jove. The fame of those who subdued Antiochus and Tigranes grows dim when compared with the splendour of the exploits which the young English adventurer achieved at the head of an army not equal in numbers to one half of a Roman legion.

From Clive's third visit to India dates the purity of the administration of our Eastern empire. When he landed in Calcutta in 1765, Bengal was regarded as a place to which Englishmen were sent only to get rich, by any means, in the shortest possible time. He first made dauntless and unsparing war on that gigantic system of oppression, extortion, and corruption. In that war he manfully put to hazard his ease, his fame, and his splendid fortune. The same sense of justice which forbids us to conceal or extenuate the faults of his earlier days compels us to admit that those faults were nobly repaired. If the reproach of the Company and of its servants has been taken away, if in India the yoke of foreign masters, elsewhere the heaviest of all yokes, has been found lighter than that of any native dynasty, if to that gang of public robbers which formerly spread terror through the whole plain of Bengal has succeeded a body of functionaries not more highly distinguished by ability and diligence than by integrity, disinterestedness and public spirit, if we now see such men as Munro, Elphinstone, and Metcalfe, after leading victorious armies, after making and deposing kings, return, proud of their honourable poverty, from a land which once held out to every greedy factor the hope of boundless wealth, the praise is in no small measure due to Clive. His name stands high on the roll of conquerors. But it is found in a better list, in the list of those who have done and suffered much for the happiness of mankind. To the warrior, history will assign a place i

the same rank with Lucullus and Trajan. Nor will she deny to the reformer a share of that veneration with which France cherishes the memory of Turgot, and with which the latest generations of Hindoos will contemplate the statue of Lord William Bentinck.

WARREN HASTINGS. (October, 1841.)*

This book seems to have been manufactured in pursuan of a contract, by which the representatives of Warre Hastings, on the one part, bound themselves to furnis papers, and Mr Gleig, on the other part, bound himself t furnish praise. It is but just to say that the covenan on both sides have been most faithfully kept; and th result is before us in the form of three big bad volume full of undigested correspondence and undiscerning pan gyric.

If it were worth while to examine this performance detail, we could easily make a long article by merely poin ing out inaccurate statements, inelegant expressions, ar immoral doctrines. But it would be idle to waste criticis on a bookmaker; and, whatever credit Mr Gleig may hav justly earned by former works, it is as a bookmaker, ar nothing more, that he now comes before us. More emine men than Mr Gleig have written nearly as ill as he, wh they have stooped to similar drudgery. It would be unju to estimate Goldsmith by the History of Greece, or Sco by the Life of Napoleon. Mr Gleig is neither a Goldsmi nor a Scott; but it would be unjust to deny that he capable of something better than these Memoirs. It wou also, we hope and believe, be unjust to charge any Christia minister with the guilt of deliberately maintaining sor propositions which we find in this book. It is not t much to say that Mr Gleig has written several passage which bear the same relation to the Prince of Machiave that the Prince of Machiavelli bears to the Whole Du

* *Memoirs of the Life of Warren Hastings, first Governor-General Bengal.* Compiled from Original Papers, by the Rev. G. R. Gle M.A. 3 vols. 8vo. London: 1841.

of Man, and which would excite amazement in a den of robbers, or on board of a schooner of pirates. But we are willing to attribute these offences to haste, to thoughtlessness, and to that disease of the understanding which may be called the *Furor Biographicus*, and which is to writers of lives what the *goitre* is to an Alpine shepherd, or dirt-eating to a Negro slave.

We are inclined to think that we shall best meet the wishes of our readers, if, instead of dwelling on the faults of this book, we attempt to give, in a way necessarily hasty and imperfect, our own view of the life and character of Mr Hastings. Our feeling towards him is not exactly that of the House of Commons which impeached him in 1787; neither is it that of the House of Commons which uncovered and stood up to receive him in 1813. He had great qualities, and he rendered great services to the state. But to represent him as a man of stainless virtue is to make him ridiculous; and from regard for his memory, if from no other feeling, his friends would have done well to lend no countenance to such puerile adulation. We believe that, if he were now living, he would have sufficient judgment and sufficient greatness of mind to wish to be shown as he was. He must have known that there were dark spots on his fame. He might also have felt with pride that the splendour of his fame would bear many spots. He would have preferred, we are confident, even the severity of Mr Mill to the puffing of Mr Gleig. He would have wished posterity to have a likeness of him, though an unfavourable likeness, rather than a daub at once insipid and unnatural, resembling neither him nor any body else. "Paint me as I am," said Oliver Cromwell, while sitting to young Lely. "If you leave out the scars and wrinkles, I will not pay you a shilling." Even in such a trifle, the great Protector showed both his good sense and his magnanimity. He did not wish all that was characteristic in his countenance to be lost, in the vain attempt to give him the regular features and smooth blooming cheeks of the curl-pated minions of James the First. He was content that his face should go forth marked with all the blemishes which had been put on it by time, by war, by sleepless nights, by anxiety, perhaps by remorse; but with valour, policy, authority, and public care written in all its princely lines.

If men truly great knew their own interest, it is thus that they would wish their minds to be portrayed.

Warren Hastings sprang from an ancient and illustrious race. It has been affirmed that his pedigree can be traced back to the great Danish sea-king, whose sails were long the terror of both coasts of the British Channel, and who after many fierce and doubtful struggles, yielded at last to the valour and genius of Alfred. But the undoubted splendour of the line of Hastings needs no illustration from fable. One branch of that line wore, in the fourteenth century, the coronet of Pembroke. From another branch sprang the renowned Chamberlain, the faithful adherent of the White Rose, whose fate has furnished so striking a theme both to poets and to historians. His family received from the Tudors the earldom of Huntingdon, which, after long dispossession, was regained in our time by a series of events scarcely paralleled in romance.

The lords of the manor of Daylesford, in Worcestershire, claimed to be considered as the heads of this distinguished family. The main stock, indeed, prospered less than some of the younger shoots. But the Daylesford family, though not ennobled, was wealthy and highly considered, till about two hundred years ago, it was overwhelmed by the great ruin of the civil war. The Hastings of that time was a zealous cavalier. He raised money on his lands, sent his plate to the mint at Oxford, joined the royal army, and, after spending half his property in the cause of King Charles, was glad to ransom himself by making over most of the remaining half to Speaker Lenthal. The old seat at Daylesford still remained in the family; but it could no longer be kept up; and in the following generation it was sold to a merchant of London.

Before this transfer took place, the last Hastings of Daylesford had presented his second son to the rectory of the parish in which the ancient residence of the family stood. The living was of little value; and the situation of the poor clergyman, after the sale of the estate, was deplorable. He was constantly engaged in lawsuits about his tithes with the new lord of the manor, and was at length utterly ruined. His eldest son, Howard, a well-conducted young man, obtained a place in the Customs. The second son, Pynaston, an idle worthless boy, married before he

vas sixteen, lost his wife in two years, and died in the Vest Indies, leaving to the care of his unfortunate father little orphan, destined to strange and memorable vicissiudes of fortune.

Warren, the son of Pynaston, was born on the sixth of December, 1732. His mother died a few days later, and e was left dependent on his distressed grandfather. The hild was early sent to the village school, where he learned is letters on the same bench with the sons of the peasantry. Vor did any thing in his garb or fare indicate that his life vas to take a widely different course from that of the young ustics with whom he studied and played. But no cloud ould overcast the dawn of so much genius and so much mbition. The very ploughmen observed, and long remembered, how kindly little Warren took to his book. The daily sight of the lands which his ancestors had possessed, and which had passed into the hands of strangers, lled his young brain with wild fancies and projects. He oved to hear stories of the wealth and greatness of his rogenitors, of their splendid housekeeping, their loyalty, nd their valour. On one bright summer day, the boy, hen just seven years old, lay on the bank of the rivulet hich flows through the old domain of his house to join he Isis. There, as threescore and ten years later he told he tale, rose in his mind a scheme which, through all he turns of his eventful career, was never abandoned. Ie would recover the estate which had belonged to his thers. He would be Hastings of Daylesford. This urpose, formed in infancy and poverty, grew stronger s his intellect expanded and as his fortune rose. He ursued his plan with that calm but indomitable force f will which was the most striking peculiarity of his chareter. When, under a tropical sun, he ruled fifty millions f Asiatics, his hopes, amidst all the cares of war, finance, nd legislation, still pointed to Daylesford. And when s long public life, so singularly chequered with good nd evil, with glory and obloquy, had at length closed r ever, it was to Daylesford that he retired to die.

When he was eight years old, his uncle Howard determined to take charge of him, and to give him a liberal ducation. The boy went up to London, and was sent a school at Newington, where he was well taught but

ill fed. He always attributed the smallness of his statur to the hard and scanty fare of this seminary. At te he was removed to Westminster School, then flourish ing under the care of Dr Nichols. Vinny Bourne, as hi pupils affectionately called him, was one of the masters Churchill, Colman, Lloyd, Cumberland, Cowper, were amon the students. With Cowper, Hastings formed a friendshi which neither the lapse of time, nor a wide dissimilarit of opinions and pursuits, could wholly dissolve. It doe not appear that they ever met after they had grown t manhood. But forty years later, when the voices of man great orators were crying for vengeance on the oppresso of India, the shy and secluded poet could image to himse Hastings the Governor-General only as the Hastings wit whom he had rowed on the Thames, and played in th cloister, and refused to believe that so good-tempered fellow could have done any thing very wrong. His ow life had been spent in praying, musing, and rhyming amon the water-lilies of the Ouse. He had preserved in no con mon measure the innocence of childhood. His spirit ha indeed been severely tried, but not by temptations whic impelled him to any gross violation of the rules of soci morality. He had never been attacked by combinatio of powerful and deadly enemies. He had never bee compelled to make a choice between innocence and grea ness, between crime and ruin. Firmly as he held in theor the doctrine of human depravity, his habits were such tha he was unable to conceive how far from the path of righ even kind and noble natures may be hurried by the rag of conflict and the lust of dominion.

Hastings had another associate at Westminster of whor we shall have occasion to make frequent mention, Elija Impey. We know little about their school days. Bu we think, we may safely venture to guess that, whenev Hastings wished to play any trick more than usually naught he hired Impey with a tart or a ball to act as fag in th worst part of the prank.

Warren was distinguished among his comrades as a excellent swimmer, boatman, and scholar. At fourtee he was first in the examination for the foundation. H name in gilded letters on the walls of the dormitory st attests his victory over many older competitors. H

tayed two years longer at the school, and was look-
ng forward to a studentship at Christ Church, when an
vent happened which changed the whole course of his life.
Howard Hastings died, bequeathing his nephew to the care
f a friend and distant relation, named Chiswick. This
entleman, though he did not absolutely refuse the charge,
as desirous to rid himself of it as soon as possible. Dr
ichols made strong remonstrances against the cruelty
f interrupting the studies of a youth who seemed likely
o be one of the first scholars of the age. He even offered
o bear the expense of sending his favourite pupil to Oxford.
ut Mr Chiswick was inflexible. He thought the years
hich had already been wasted on hexameters and penta-
eters quite sufficient. He had it in his power to obtain
or the lad a writership in the service of the East India
ompany. Whether the young adventurer, when once
ipped off, made a fortune, or died of a liver complaint,
e equally ceased to be a burden to any body. Warren was
ccordingly removed from Westminster school, and placed
or a few months at a commercial academy to study
rithmetic and book-keeping. In January, 1750, a few
ays after he had completed his seventeenth year, he sailed
or Bengal, and arrived at his destination in the October
llowing.

He was immediately placed at a desk in the Secretary's
ffice at Calcutta, and laboured there during two years.
ort William was then a purely commercial settlement.
the south of India the encroaching policy of Dupleix
ad transformed the servants of the English Company,
gainst their will, into diplomatists and generals. The
ar of the succession was raging in the Carnatic; and the
de had been suddenly turned against the French by the
enius of young Robert Clive. But in Bengal the European
ttlers, at peace with the natives and with each other,
ere wholly occupied with ledgers and bills of lading.

After two years passed in keeping accounts at Calcutta,
astings was sent up the country to Cossimbazar, a town
hich lies on the Hoogley, about a mile from Moorshedabad,
ad which then bore to Moorshedabad a relation, if we
ay compare small things with great, such as the city of
ondon bears to Westminster. Moorshedabad was the
ode of the prince who, by an authority ostensibly derived

from the Mogul, but really independent, ruled the three great provinces of Bengal, Orissa, and Bahar. At Moorshedabad were the court, the haram, and the public offices. Cossimbazar was a port and a place of trade, renowned for the quantity and excellence of the silks which were sold in its marts, and constantly receiving and sending forth fleets of richly laden barges. At this important point, the Company had established a small factory subordinate to that of Fort William. Here, during several years, Hastings was employed in making bargains for stuffs with native brokers. While he was thus engaged, Surajah Dowlah succeeded to the government, and declared war against the English. The defenceless settlement of Cossimbazar, lying close to the tyrant's capital, was instantly seized. Hastings was sent a prisoner to Moorshedabad; but, in consequence of the humane intervention of the servants of the Dutch Company, was treated with indulgence. Meanwhile the Nabob marched on Calcutta; the governor and the commandant fled; the town and citadel were taken, and most of the English prisoners perished in the Black Hole.

In these events originated the greatness of Warren Hastings. The fugitive governor and his companions had taken refuge on the dreary islet of Fulda, near the mouth of the Hoogley. They were naturally desirous to obtain full information respecting the proceedings of the Nabob; and no person seemed so likely to furnish it as Hastings, who was a prisoner at large in the immediate neighbourhood of the court. He thus became a diplomatic agent, and soon established a high character for ability and resolution. The treason which at a later period was fatal to Surajah Dowlah was already in progress; and Hastings was admitted to the deliberations of the conspirators. But the time for striking had not arrived. It was necessary to postpone the execution of the design; and Hastings, who was now in extreme peril, fled to Fulda.

Soon after his arrival at Fulda, the expedition from Madras, commanded by Clive, appeared in the Hoogley. Warren, young, intrepid, and excited probably by the example of the Commander of the Forces who, having like himself been a mercantile agent of the Company, had been turned by public calamities into a soldier, determined

o serve in the ranks. During the early operations of the ar he carried a musket. But the quick eye of Clive soon erceived that the head of the young volunteer would be ore useful than his arm. When, after the battle of lassey, Meer Jaffier was proclaimed Nabob of Bengal, lastings was appointed to reside at the court of the new rince as agent for the Company.

He remained at Moorshedabad till the year 1761, when e became a member of Council, and was consequently orced to reside at Calcutta. This was during the interval etween Clive's first and second administration, an interval hich has left on the fame of the East India Company a tain, not wholly effaced by many years of just and humane overnment. Mr Vansittart, the Governor, was at the head f a new and anomalous empire. On the one side was a and of English functionaries, daring, intelligent, eager to e rich. On the other side was a great native population, elpless, timid, accustomed to crouch under oppression. o keep the stronger race from preying on the weaker as an undertaking which tasked to the utmost the talents nd energy of Clive. Vansittart, with fair intentions, as a feeble and inefficient ruler. The master caste, as was atural, broke loose from all restraint; and then was seen hat we believe to be the most frightful of all spectacles, he strength of civilisation without its mercy. To all ther despotism there is a check, imperfect indeed, and able to gross abuse, but still sufficient to preserve society om the last extreme of misery. A time comes when he evils of submission are obviously greater than those f resistance, when fear itself begets a sort of courage, when convulsive burst of popular rage and despair warns rants not to presume too far on the patience of mankind. ut against misgovernment such as then afflicted Bengal was impossible to struggle. The superior intelligence nd energy of the dominant class made their power irre-stible. A war of Bengalees against Englishmen was like war of sheep against wolves, of men against dæmons. he only protection which the conquered could find was the moderation, the clemency, the enlarged policy of e conquerors. That protection, at a later period, they und. But at first English power came among them naccompanied by English morality. There was an interval

between the time at which they became our subjects and the time at which we began to reflect that we were bound to discharge towards them the duties of rulers. During that interval the business of a servant of the Company was simply to wring out of the natives a hundred or two hundred thousand pounds as speedily as possible that he might return home before his constitution had suffered from the heat, to marry a peer's daughter, to buy rotten boroughs in Cornwall, and to give balls in St James's Square. Of the conduct of Hastings at this time, little is known; but the little that is known, and the circumstances that little is known, must be considered as honourable to him. He could not protect the natives: all that he could do was to abstain from plundering and oppressing them; and this he appears to have done. It is certain that at this time he continued poor; and it is equally certain, that by cruelty and dishonesty he might easily have become rich. It is certain that he was never charged with having borne a share in the worst abuses which then prevailed; and it is almost equally certain that, if he had borne a share in those abuses, the able and bitter enemies who afterwards persecuted him would not have failed to discover and to proclaim his guilt. The keen, severe and even malevolent scrutiny to which his whole public life was subjected, a scrutiny unparalleled, as we believe, in the history of mankind, is in one respect advantageous to his reputation. It brought many lamentable blemishes to light; but it entitles him to be considered pure from every blemish which has not been brought to light.

The truth is that the temptations to which so many English functionaries yielded in the time of Mr Vansittart were not temptations addressed to the ruling passions of Warren Hastings. He was not squeamish in pecuniary transactions; but he was neither sordid nor rapacious. He was far too enlightened a man to look on a great empire merely as a buccaneer would look on a galleon. Had his heart been much worse than it was, his understanding would have preserved him from that extremity of baseness. He was an unscrupulous, perhaps, an unprincipled statesman, but still he was a statesman, and not a freebooter.

In 1764 Hastings returned to England. He had realized only a very moderate fortune; and that moderate fortune

was soon reduced to nothing, partly by his praiseworthy liberality, and partly by his mismanagement. Towards his relations he appears to have acted very generously. The greater part of his savings he left in Bengal, hoping probably to obtain the high usury of India. But high usury and bad security generally go together; and Hastings lost both interest and principal.

He remained four years in England. Of his life at this time very little is known. But it has been asserted, and is highly probable, that liberal studies and the society of men of letters occupied a great part of his time. It is to be remembered to his honour, that in days when the languages of the East were regarded by other servants of the Company merely as the means of communicating with weavers and money-changers, his enlarged and accomplished mind sought in Asiatic learning for new forms of intellectual enjoyment, and for new views of government and society. Perhaps, like most persons who have paid much attention to departments of knowledge which lie out of the common track, he was inclined to overrate the value of his favourite studies. He conceived that the cultivation of Persian literature might with advantage be made a part of the liberal education of an English gentleman; and he drew up a plan with that view. It is said that the University of Oxford, in which Oriental learning had never, since the revival of letters, been wholly neglected, was to be the seat of the institution which he contemplated. An endowment was expected from the munificence of the Company; and professors thoroughly competent to interpret Hafiz and Ferdusi were to be engaged in the East. Hastings called on Johnson, with the hope, as it should seem, of interesting in this project a man who enjoyed the highest literary reputation, and who was particularly connected with Oxford. The interview appears to have left on Johnson's mind a most favourable impression of the talents and attainments of his visitor. Long after, when Hastings was ruling the immense population of British India, the old philosopher wrote to him, and referred to the most courtly terms, though with great dignity, to their short but agreeable intercourse.

Hastings soon began to look again towards India. He had little to attach him to England; and his pecuniary

embarrassments were great. He solicited his old master the Directors for employment. They acceded to his request with high compliments both to his abilities and to his integrity, and appointed him a Member of Council at Madras. It would be unjust not to mention that, though forced to borrow money for his outfit, he did not withdraw any portion of the sum which he had appropriated to the relief of his distressed relations. In the spring of 1769 he embarked on board of the Duke of Grafton, and commenced a voyage distinguished by incidents which might furnish matter for a novel.

Among the passengers in the Duke of Grafton was a German of the name of Imhoff. He called himself a baron, but he was in distressed circumstances, and was going out to Madras as a portrait-painter, in the hope of picking up some of the pagodas which were then lightly got and as lightly spent by the English in India. The baron was accompanied by his wife, a native, we have somewhere read, of Archangel. This young woman who, born under the Arctic circle, was destined to play the part of a Queen under the tropic of Cancer, had an agreeable person, a cultivated mind, and manners in the highest degree engaging. She despised her husband heartily, and, as the story which we have to tell sufficiently proves, not without reason. She was interested by the conversation and flattered by the attentions of Hastings. The situation was indeed perilous. No place is so propitious to the formation either of close friendships or of deadly enmities as an Indiaman. There are very few people who do not find a voyage which lasts several months insupportably dull. Any thing is welcome which may break that long monotony, a sail, a shark, an albatross, a man overboard. Most passengers find some resource in eating twice as many meals as on land. But the great devices for killing the time are quarrelling and flirting. The facilities for both these exciting pursuits are great. The inmates of the ship are thrown together far more than in any country-seat or boarding-house. None can escape from the rest except by imprisoning himself in a cell in which he can hardly turn. All food, all exercise is taken in company. Ceremony is to a great extent banished. It is every day in the power of a mischievous person to inflict innumerable annoyances; it is every day in the

power of an amiable person to confer little services. It not seldom happens that serious distress and danger call forth in genuine beauty and deformity heroic virtues and abject vices which, in the ordinary intercourse of good society, might remain during many years unknown even to intimate associates. Under such circumstances met Warren Hastings and the Baroness Imhoff, two persons whose accomplishments would have attracted notice in any court of Europe. The gentleman had no domestic ties. The lady was tied to a husband for whom she had no regard, and who had no regard for his own honour. An attachment sprang up, which was soon strengthened by events such as could hardly have occurred on land. Hastings fell ill. The baroness nursed him with womanly tenderness, gave him his medicines with her own hand, and even sat up in his cabin while he slept. Long before the Duke of Grafton reached Madras, Hastings was in love. But his love was of a most characteristic description. Like his hatred, like his ambition, like all his passions, it was strong, but not impetuous. It was calm, deep, earnest, patient of delay, unconquerable by time. Imhoff was called into council by his wife and his wife's lover. It was arranged that the baroness should institute a suit for a divorce in the courts of Franconia, that the baron should afford every facility to the proceeding, and that, during the years which might elapse before the sentence should be pronounced, they should continue to live together. It was also agreed that Hastings should bestow some very substantial marks of gratitude on the complaisant husband, and should, when the marriage was dissolved, make the lady his wife, and adopt the children whom she had already borne to Imhoff.

We are not inclined to judge either Hastings or the baroness severely. There was undoubtedly much to extenuate their fault. But we can by no means concur with the Reverend Mr Gleig, who carries his partiality so injudicious an extreme as to describe the conduct of Imhoff, conduct the baseness of which is the best excuse for the lovers, as "wise and judicious."

At Madras, Hastings found the trade of the Company in very disorganised state. His own tastes would have led him rather to political than to commercial pursuits:

but he knew that the favour of his employers depende chiefly on their dividends, and that their dividends de pended chiefly on the investment. He therefore, wit great judgment, determined to apply his vigorous min for a time to this department of business, which had bee much neglected, since the servants of the Company ha ceased to be clerks, and had become warriors and negot ators.

In a very few months he effected an important reform The Directors notified to him their high approbatio and were so much pleased with his conduct that the determined to place him at the head of the governmer of Bengal. Early in 1772 he quitted Fort St George for h new post. The Imhoffs, who were still man and wife, accon panied him, and lived at Calcutta "on the same wise an judicious plan,"—we quote the words of Mr Gleig,—whic they had already followed during more than two years.

When Hastings took his seat at the head of the counci board, Bengal was still governed according to the syste which Clive had devised, a system which was, perhap skilfully contrived for the purpose of facilitating and co cealing a great revolution, but which, when that revolutio was complete and irrevocable, could produce nothing bu inconvenience. There were two governments, the re and the ostensible. The supreme power belonged the Company, and was in truth the most despotic pow that can be conceived. The only restraint on the Englis masters of the country was that which their own justi and humanity imposed on them. There was no co stitutional check on their will, and resistance to them w utterly hopeless.

But, though thus absolute in reality, the English had n yet assumed the style of sovereignty. They held the territories as vassals of the throne of Delhi; they raise their revenues as collectors appointed by the imperial co mission; their public seal was inscribed with the imperi titles; and their mint struck only the imperial coin.

There was still a nabob of Bengal, who stood to t English rulers of his country in the same relation in whi Augustulus stood to Odoacer, or the last Merovingians Charles Martel and Pepin. He lived at Moorshedaba surrounded by princely magnificence. He was approach

vith outward marks of reverence, and his name was used n public instruments. But in the government of the ountry he had less real share than the youngest writer r cadet in the Company's service.

The English council which represented the Company at alcutta was constituted on a very different plan from hat which has since been adopted. At present the Governor s, as to all executive measures, absolute. He can declare var, conclude peace, appoint public functionaries or remove hem, in opposition to the unanimous sense of those who it with him in council. They are, indeed, entitled to know ll that is done, to discuss all that is done, to advise, to emonstrate, to send protests to England. But it is with he Governor that the supreme power resides, and on him hat the whole responsibility rests. This system, which as introduced by Mr Pitt and Mr Dundas in spite of the trenuous opposition of Mr Burke, we conceive to be on he whole the best that was ever devised for the govern- nent of a country where no materials can be found for a epresentative constitution. In the time of Hastings he governor had only one vote in council, and, in case f an equal division, a casting vote. It therefore happened ot unfrequently that he was overruled on the gravest uestions; and it was possible that he might be wholly xcluded, for years together, from the real direction of ublic affairs.

The English functionaries at Fort William had as yet aid little or no attention to the internal government of engal. The only branch of politics about which they nuch busied themselves was negotiation with the native rinces. The police, the administration of justice, the etails of the collection of revenue they almost entirely eglected. We may remark that the phraseology of the ompany's servants still bears the traces of this state of ings. To this day they always use the word "political" s synonymous with "diplomatic." We could name a entleman still living who was described by the highest uthority as an invaluable public servant, eminently fit o be at the head of the internal administration of a whole residency, but unfortunately quite ignorant of all political usiness.

The internal government of Bengal the English rulers

delegated to a great native minister, who was stationed at Moorshedabad. All military affairs, and, with the exception of what pertains to mere ceremonial, all foreign affairs, were withdrawn from his control; but the other departments of the administration were entirely confided to him. His own stipend amounted to near a hundred thousand pounds sterling a year. The personal allowance of the nabobs, amounting to more than three hundred thousand pounds a year, passed through the minister's hands, and was, to a great extent, at his disposal. The collection of the revenue, the administration of justice, the maintenance of order, were left to this high functionary; and for the exercise of his immense power he was responsible to none but the British masters of the country.

A situation so important, lucrative, and splendid, was naturally an object of ambition to the ablest and most powerful natives. Clive had found it difficult to decide between conflicting pretensions. Two candidates stood out prominently from the crowd, each of them the representative of a race and of a religion.

The one was Mahommed Reza Khan, a Mussulman of Persian extraction, able, active, religious after the fashion of his people, and highly esteemed by them. In England he might perhaps have been regarded as a corrupt and greedy politician. But, tried by the lower standard of Indian morality, he might be considered as a man of integrity and honour.

His competitor was a Hindoo Brahmin whose name has, by a terrible and melancholy event, been inseparably associated with that of Warren Hastings, the Maharajah Nuncomar. This man had played an important part in all the revolutions which, since the time of Surajah Dowlah, had taken place in Bengal. To the consideration which in that country belongs to high and pure caste, he added the weight which is derived from wealth, talents, and experience. Of his moral character it is difficult to give a notion to those who are acquainted with human nature only as it appears in our island. What the Italian is to the Englishman, what the Hindoo is to the Italian, what the Bengalee is to other Hindoos, that was Nuncomar to other Bengalees. The physical organization of the Bengalee is feeble even to effeminacy. He lives in a constant vapour bath. His

pursuits are sedentary, his limbs delicate, his movements languid. During many ages he has been trampled upon by men of bolder and more hardy breeds. Courage, independence, veracity, are qualities to which his constitution and his situation are equally unfavourable. His mind bears a singular analogy to his body. It is weak even to helplessness, for purposes of manly resistance; but its suppleness and its tact move the children of sterner climates to admiration not unmingled with contempt. All those arts which are the natural defence of the weak are more familiar to this subtle race than to the Ionian of the time of Juvenal, or to the Jew of the dark ages. What the horns are to the buffalo, what the paw is to the tiger, what the sting is to the bee, what beauty, according to the old Greek song, is to woman, deceit is to the Bengalee. Large promises, smooth excuses, elaborate tissues of circumstantial falsehood, chicanery, perjury, forgery, are the weapons, offensive and defensive, of the people of the Lower Ganges. All those millions do not furnish one sepoy to the armies of the Company. But as usurers, as money-changers, as sharp legal practitioners, no class of human beings can bear a comparison with them. With all his softness, the Bengalee is by no means placable in his enmities or prone to pity. The pertinacity with which he adheres to his purposes yields only to the immediate pressure of fear. Nor does he lack a certain kind of courage which is often wanting in his masters. To inevitable evils he is sometimes found to oppose a passive fortitude, such as the Stoics attributed to their ideal sage. An European warrior who rushes on a battery of cannon with a loud hurrah will sometimes shriek under the surgeon's knife, and fall into an agony of despair at the sentence of death. But the Bengalee who would see his country overrun, his house laid in ashes, his children murdered or dishonoured, without having the spirit to strike one blow, has yet been known to endure torture with the firmness of Mucius, and to mount the scaffold with the steady step and even pulse of Algernon Sydney.

In Nuncomar, the national character was strongly and with exaggeration personified. The Company's servants had repeatedly detected him in the most criminal intrigues. On one occasion he brought a false charge against another Hindoo, and tried to substantiate it by producing forged

documents. On another occasion it was discovered that while professing the strongest attachment to the English, he was engaged in several conspiracies against them, and in particular that he was the medium of a correspondence between the court of Delhi and the French authorities in the Carnatic. For these and similar practices he had been long detained in confinement. But his talents and influence had not only procured his liberation, but had obtained for him a certain degree of consideration even among the British rulers of his country.

Clive was extremely unwilling to place a Mussulman at the head of the administration of Bengal. On the other hand, he could not bring himself to confer immense power on a man to whom every sort of villany had repeatedly been brought home. Therefore, though the nabob, over whom Nuncomar had by intrigue acquired great influence, begged that the artful Hindoo might be intrusted with the government, Clive, after some hesitation, decided honestly and wisely in favour of Mahommed Reza Khan, who had held his high office seven years when Hastings became Governor. An infant son of Meer Jaffier was now nabob; and the guardianship of the young prince's person had been confided to the minister.

Nuncomar, stimulated at once by cupidity and malice, had been constantly attempting to undermine his successful rival. This was not difficult. The revenues of Bengal, under the administration established by Clive, did not yield such a surplus as had been anticipated by the Company; for, at that time, the most absurd notions were entertained in England respecting the wealth of India. Palaces of porphyry, hung with the richest brocade, heaps of pearls and diamonds, vaults from which pagodas and gold mohurs were measured out by the bushel, filled the imagination even of men of business. Nobody seemed to be aware of what nevertheless was most undoubtedly the truth, that India was a poorer country than countries which in Europe are reckoned poor, than Ireland, for example, or than Portugal. It was confidently believed by lords of the treasury and members for the city that Bengal would not only defray its own charges, but would afford an increased dividend to the proprietors of India stock, and large relief to the English finances. These absurd expectations were disappointed;

and the directors, naturally enough, chose to attribute the disappointment rather to the mismanagement of Mahommed Reza Khan than to their own ignorance of the country intrusted to their care. They were confirmed in their error by the agents of Nuncomar; for Nuncomar had agents even in Leadenhall Street. Soon after Hastings reached Calcutta, he received a letter addressed by the Court of Directors, not to the Council generally but to himself in particular. He was directed to remove Mahommed Reza Khan, to arrest him, together with all his family and all his partisans, and to institute a strict inquiry into the whole administration of the province. It was added that the Governor would do well to avail himself of the assistance of Nuncomar in the investigation. The vices of Nuncomar were acknowledged. But even from his vices, it was said, much advantage might at such a conjuncture be derived; and, though he could not safely be trusted, it might still be proper to encourage him by hopes of reward.

The Governor bore no good will to Nuncomar. Many years before, they had known each other at Moorshedabad; and then a quarrel had risen between them which all the authority of their superiors could hardly compose. Widely as they differed in most points, they resembled each other in this, that both were men of unforgiving natures. To Mahommed Reza Khan, on the other hand, Hastings had no feelings of hostility. Nevertheless he proceeded to execute the instructions of the Company with an alacrity which he never showed, except when instructions were in perfect conformity with his own views. He had, wisely as we think, determined to get rid of the system of double government in Bengal. The orders of the directors furnished him with the means of effecting his purpose, and dispensed him from the necessity of discussing the matter with his council. He took his measures with his usual vigour and dexterity. At midnight, the palace of Mahommed Reza Khan at Moorshedabad was surrounded by a battalion of sepoys. The minister was roused from his slumbers, and informed that he was a prisoner. With the Mussulman gravity, he bent his head and submitted himself to the will of God. He fell not alone. A chief named Schitab Roy had been intrusted with the government of Bahar. His valour and his attachment to the English had more than once been

signally proved. On that memorable day on which the people of Patna saw from their walls the whole army of the Mogul scattered by the little band of Captain Knox, the voice of the British conquerors assigned the palm of gallantry to the brave Asiatic. "I never," said Knox, when he introduced Schitab Roy, covered with blood and dust, to the English functionaries assembled in the factory, "I never saw a native fight so before." Schitab Roy was involved in the ruin of Mahommed Reza Khan, was removed from office, and was placed under arrest. The members of the council received no intimation of these measures till the prisoners were on their road to Calcutta.

The inquiry into the conduct of the minister was postponed on different pretences. He was detained in an easy confinement during many months. In the mean time, the great revolution which Hastings had planned was carried into effect. The office of minister was abolished. The internal administration was transferred to the servants of the Company. A system, a very imperfect system, it is true, of civil and criminal justice, under English superintendence, was established. The nabob was no longer to have even an ostensible share in the government; but he was still to receive a considerable annual allowance, and to be surrounded with the state of sovereignty. As he was an infant, it was necessary to provide guardians for his person and property. His person was intrusted to a lady of his father's haram, known by the name of the Munny Begum. The office of treasurer of the household was bestowed on a son of Nuncomar, named Goordas. Nuncomar's services were wanted, yet he could not safely be trusted with power; and Hastings thought it a masterstroke of policy to reward the able and unprincipled parent by promoting the inoffensive child.

The revolution completed, the double government dissolved, the Company installed in the full sovereignty of Bengal, Hastings had no motive to treat the late ministers with rigour. Their trial had been put off on various pleas till the new organization was complete. They were then brought before a committee, over which the Governor presided. Schitab Roy was speedily acquitted with honour. A formal apology was made to him for the restraint to which he had been subjected. All the Eastern marks of respect

were bestowed on him. He was clothed in a robe of state, presented with jewels and with a richly harnessed elephant, and sent back to his government at Patna. But his health had suffered from confinement; his high spirit had been cruelly wounded; and soon after his liberation he died of a broken heart.

The innocence of Mahommed Reza Khan was not so clearly established. But the Governor was not disposed to deal harshly. After a long hearing, in which Nuncomar appeared as the accuser, and displayed both the art and the inveterate rancour which distinguished him, Hastings pronounced that the charges had not been made out, and ordered the fallen minister to be set at liberty.

Nuncomar had purposed to destroy the Mussulman administration, and to rise on its ruin. Both his malevolence and his cupidity had been disappointed. Hastings had made him a tool, had used him for the purpose of accomplishing the transfer of the government from Moorshedabad to Calcutta, from native to European hands. The rival, the enemy, so long envied, so implacably persecuted, had been dismissed unhurt. The situation so long and ardently desired had been abolished. It was natural that the Governor should be from that time an object of the most intense hatred to the vindictive Brahmin. As yet, however, it was necessary to suppress such feelings. The time was coming when that long animosity was to end in a desperate and deadly struggle.

In the mean time, Hastings was compelled to turn his attention to foreign affairs. The object of his diplomacy was at this time simply to get money. The finances of his government were in an embarrassed state; and this embarrassment he was determined to relieve by some means, fair or foul. The principle which directed all his dealings with his neighbours is fully expressed by the old motto of one of the great predatory families of Teviotdale, "Thou shalt want ere I want." He seems to have laid it down, as a fundamental proposition which could not be disputed, that, when he had not as many lacs of rupees as the public service required, he was to take them from any body who had. One thing, indeed, is to be said in excuse for him. The pressure applied to him by his employers at home was such as only the highest virtue could have with-

stood, such as left him no choice except to commit great wrongs, or to resign his high post, and with that post all his hopes of fortune and distinction. The directors, it is true, never enjoined or applauded any crime. Far from it. Whoever examines their letters written at that time will find there many just and humane sentiments, many excellent precepts, in short, an admirable code of political ethics. But every exhortation is modified or nullified by a demand for money. "Govern leniently, and send more money; practise strict justice and moderation towards neighbouring powers, and send more money;" this is in truth the sum of almost all the instructions that Hastings ever received from home. Now these instructions, being interpreted, mean simply, "Be the father and the oppressor of the people; be just and unjust, moderate and rapacious." The directors dealt with India, as the church, in the good old times, dealt with a heretic. They delivered the victim over to the executioners, with an earnest request that all possible tenderness might be shown. We by no means accuse or suspect those who framed these despatches of hypocrisy. It is probable that, writing fifteen thousand miles from the place where their orders were to be carried into effect, they never perceived the gross inconsistency of which they were guilty. But the inconsistency was at once manifest to their lieutenant at Calcutta, who, with an empty treasury, with an unpaid army, with his own salary often in arrear, with deficient crops, with government tenants daily running away, was called upon to remit home another half million without fail. Hastings saw that it was absolutely necessary for him to disregard either the moral discourses or the pecuniary requisitions of his employers. Being forced to disobey them in something, he had to consider what kind of disobedience they would most readily pardon; and he correctly judged that the safest course would be to neglect the sermons and to find the rupees.

A mind so fertile as his, and so little restrained by conscientious scruples, speedily discovered several modes of relieving the financial embarrassments of the government. The allowance of the Nabob of Bengal was reduced at a stroke from three hundred and twenty thousand pounds a year to half that sum. The Company had bound itself to pay near three hundred thousand pounds a year to the

reat Mogul, as a mark of homage for the provinces which
ie had intrusted to their care; and they had ceded to him
he districts of Corah and Allahabad. On the plea that the
Iogul was not really independent, but merely a tool in the
ands of others, Hastings determined to retract these con-
essions. He accordingly declared that the English would
ay no more tribute, and sent troops to occupy Allahabad
nd Corah. The situation of these places was such, that
here would be little advantage and great expense in retain-
ng them. Hastings, who wanted money and not territory,
letermined to sell them. A purchaser was not wanting.
The rich province of Oude had, in the general dissolution
f the Mogul Empire, fallen to the share of the great Mussul-
nan house by which it is still governed. About twenty
ears ago, this house, by the permission of the British
overnment, assumed the royal title; but, in the time
f Warren Hastings, such an assumption would have been
onsidered by the Mahommedans of India as a monstrous
mpiety. The Prince of Oude, though he held the power,
id not venture to use the style of sovereignty. To the
ppellation of Nabob or Viceroy, he added that of Vizier
f the monarchy of Hindostan, just as in the last century
he Electors of Saxony and Brandenburg, though inde-
endent of the Emperor, and often in arms against him,
ere proud to style themselves his Grand Chamberlain and
Grand Marshal. Sujah Dowlah, then Nabob Vizier, was on
xcellent terms with the English. He had a large treasure.
llahabad and Corah were so situated that they might be
f use to him and could be of none to the Company. The
uyer and seller soon came to an understanding; and the
rovinces which had been torn from the Mogul were made
ver to the government of Oude for about half a million
terling.

But there was another matter still more important to
e settled by the Vizier and the Governor. The fate of a
rave people was to be decided. It was decided in a manner
hich has left a lasting stain on the fame of Hastings and of
England.

The people of Central Asia had always been to the inhabit-
nts of India what the warriors of the German forests were
o the subjects of the decaying monarchy of Rome. The
ark, slender, and timid Hindoo shrank from a conflict

with the strong muscle and resolute spirit of the fair race, which dwelt beyond the passes. There is reason to believe that, at a period anterior to the dawn of regular history, the people who spoke the rich and flexible Sanscrit came from regions lying far beyond the Hyphasis and the Hystaspes, and imposed their yoke on the children of the soil. It is certain that, during the last ten centuries, a succession of invaders descended from the west on Hindostan; nor was the course of conquest ever turned back towards the setting sun, till that memorable campaign in which the cross of Saint George was planted on the walls of Ghizni.

The Emperors of Hindostan themselves came from the other side of the great mountain ridge; and it had always been their practice to recruit their army from the hardy and valiant race from which their own illustrious house sprang. Among the military adventurers who were allured to the Mogul standards from the neighbourhood of Cabul and Candahar, were conspicuous several gallant bands, known by the name of the Rohillas. Their services had been rewarded with large tracts of land, fiefs of the spear, if we may use an expression drawn from an analogous state of things, in that fertile plain through which the Ramgunga flows from the snowy heights of Kumaon to join the Ganges. In the general confusion which followed the death of Aurungzebe, the warlike colony became virtually independent. The Rohillas were distinguished from the other inhabitants of India by a peculiarly fair complexion. They were more honourably distinguished by courage in war, and by skill in the arts of peace. While anarchy raged from Lahore to Cape Comorin, their little territory enjoyed the blessings of repose under the guardianship of valour. Agriculture and commerce flourished among them; nor were they negligent of rhetoric and poetry. Many persons now living have heard aged men talk with regret of the golden days when the Afghan princes ruled in the vale of Rohilcund.

Sujah Dowlah had set his heart on adding this rich district to his own principality. Right, or show of right, he had absolutely none. His claim was in no respect better founded than that of Catherine to Poland, or that of the Bonaparte family to Spain. The Rohillas held their country by exactly the same title by which he held his, and had governed their country far better than his had ever been governed.

Nor were they a people whom it was perfectly safe to attack. Their land was indeed an open plain, destitute of natural defences; but their veins were full of the high blood of Afghanistan. As soldiers, they had not the steadiness which is seldom found except in company with strict discipline; but their impetuous valour had been proved on many fields of battle. It was said that their chiefs, when united by common peril, could bring eighty thousand men into the field. Sujah Dowlah had himself seen them fight, and wisely shrank from a conflict with them. There was in India one army, and only one, against which even those proud Caucasian tribes could not stand. It had been abundantly proved that neither tenfold odds, nor the martial ardour of the boldest Asiatic nations, could avail aught against English science and resolution. Was it possible to induce the Governor of Bengal to let out to hire the irresistible energies of the imperial people, the skill against which the ablest chiefs of Hindostan were helpless as infants, the discipline which had so often triumphed over the frantic struggles of fanaticism and despair, the unconquerable British courage which is never so sedate and stubborn as towards the close of a doubtful and murderous day?

This was what the Nabob Vizier asked, and what Hastings granted. A bargain was soon struck. Each of the negotiators had what the other wanted. Hastings was in need of funds to carry on the government of Bengal, and to send remittances to London; and Sujah Dowlah had an ample revenue. Sujah Dowlah was bent on subjugating the Rohillas; and Hastings had at his disposal the only force by which the Rohillas could be subjugated. It was agreed that an English army should be lent to the Nabob Vizier, and that, for the loan, he should pay four hundred thousand pounds sterling, besides defraying all the charge of the troops while employed in his service.

"I really cannot see," says the Reverend Mr Gleig, "upon what grounds, either of political or moral justice, this proposition deserves to be stigmatised as infamous." If we understand the meaning of words, it is infamous to commit a wicked action for hire, and it is wicked to engage in war without provocation. In this particular war, scarcely one aggravating circumstance was wanting. The object of the Rohilla war was this, to deprive a large population,

who had never done us the least harm, of a good government, and to place them, against their will, under an execrably bad one. Nay, even this is not all. England now descended far below the level even of those petty German princes who, about the same time, sold us troops to fight the Americans. The hussar-mongers of Hesse and Anspach had at least the assurance that the expeditions on which their soldiers were to be employed would be conducted in conformity with the humane rules of civilised warfare. Was the Rohilla war likely to be so conducted? Did the Governor stipulate that it should be so conducted? He well knew what Indian warfare was. He well knew that the power which he covenanted to put into Sujah Dowlah's hands would, in all probability, be atrociously abused; and he required no guarantee, no promise that it should not be so abused. He did not even reserve to himself the right of withdrawing his aid in case of abuse, however gross. Mr Gleig repeats Major Scott's absurd plea, that Hastings was justified in letting out English troops to slaughter the Rohillas, because the Rohillas were not of Indian race, but a colony from a distant country. What were the English themselves? Was it for them to proclaim a crusade for the expulsion of all intruders from the countries watered by the Ganges? Did it lie in their mouths to contend that a foreign settler who establishes an empire in India is a *caput lupinum?* What would they have said if any other power had, on such a ground, attacked Madras or Calcutta, without the slightest provocation? Such a defence was wanting to make the infamy of the transaction complete. The atrocity of the crime, and the hypocrisy of the apology, are worthy of each other.

One of the three brigades of which the Bengal army consisted was sent under Colonel Champion to join Sujah Dowlah's forces. The Rohillas expostulated, entreated, offered a large ransom, but in vain. They then resolved to defend themselves to the last. A bloody battle was fought. "The enemy," says Colonel Champion, "gave proof of a good share of military knowledge; and it is impossible to describe a more obstinate firmness of resolution than they displayed." The dastardly sovereign of Oude fled from the field. The English were left unsupported; but their fire and their charge were irresistible. It was not,

however, till the most distinguished chiefs had fallen, fighting bravely at the head of their troops, that the Rohilla ranks gave way. Then the Nabob Vizier and his rabble made their appearance, and hastened to plunder the camp of the valiant enemies, whom they had never dared to look in the face. The soldiers of the Company, trained in an exact discipline, kept unbroken order, while the tents were pillaged by these worthless allies. But many voices were heard to exclaim, "We have had all the fighting, and those rogues are to have all the profit."

Then the horrors of Indian war were let loose on the fair valleys and cities of Rohilcund. The whole country was in a blaze. More than a hundred thousand people fled from their homes to pestilential jungles, preferring famine, and fever, and the haunts of tigers, to the tyranny of him, to whom an English and a Christian government had, for shameful lucre, sold their substance, and their blood, and the honour of their wives and daughters. Colonel Champion remonstrated with the Nabob Vizier, and sent strong representations to Fort William; but the Governor had made no conditions as to the mode in which the war was to be carried on. He had troubled himself about nothing but his forty lacs; and, though he might disapprove of Sujah Dowlah's wanton barbarity, he did not think himself entitled to interfere, except by offering advice. This delicacy excites the admiration of the reverend biographer. "Mr Hastings," he says, "could not himself dictate to the Nabob, nor permit the commander of the Company's troops to dictate, how the war was to be carried on." No, to be sure. Mr Hastings had only to put down by main force the brave struggles of innocent men fighting for their liberty. Their military resistance crushed, his duties ended; and he had then only to fold his arms and look on, while their villages were burned, their children butchered, and their women violated. Will Mr Gleig seriously maintain this opinion? Is any rule more plain than this, that whoever voluntarily gives to another irresistible power over human beings, is bound to take order that such power shall not be barbarously abused? But we beg pardon of our readers for arguing a point so clear.

We hasten to the end of this sad and disgraceful story. The war ceased. The finest population in India was subjected

to a greedy, cowardly, cruel tyrant. Commerce and agriculture languished. The rich province which had tempted the cupidity of Sujah Dowlah became the most miserable part even of his miserable dominions. Yet is the injured nation not extinct. At long intervals gleams of its ancient spirit have flashed forth; and even at this day, valour, and self-respect, and a chivalrous feeling rare among Asiatics, and a bitter remembrance of the great crime of England, distinguish that noble Afghan race. To this day they are regarded as the best of all sepoys at the cold steel; and it was very recently remarked, by one who had enjoyed great opportunities of observation, that the only natives of India to whom the word "gentleman" can with perfect propriety be applied are to be found among the Rohillas.

Whatever we may think of the morality of Hastings, it cannot be denied that the financial results of his policy did honour to his talents. In less than two years after he assumed the government, he had, without imposing any additional burdens on the people subject to his authority, added about four hundred and fifty thousand pounds to the annual income of the Company, besides procuring about a million in ready money. He had also relieved the finances of Bengal from military expenditure, amounting to near a quarter of a million a year, and had thrown that charge on the Nabob of Oude. There can be no doubt that this was a result which, if it had been obtained by honest means, would have entitled him to the warmest gratitude of his country, and which, by whatever means obtained, proved that he possessed great talents for administration.

In the mean time, Parliament had been engaged in long and grave discussions on Asiatic affairs. The ministry of Lord North, in the session of 1773, introduced a measure which made a considerable change in the constitution of the Indian government. This law, known by the name of the Regulating Act, provided that the presidency of Bengal should exercise a control over the other possessions of the Company; that the chief of that presidency should be styled Governor-General; that he should be assisted by four Councillors; and that a supreme court of judicature, consisting of a chief justice and three inferior judges, should be established at Calcutta. This court was made independent of the Governor-General and Council, and was intrusted with

civil and criminal jurisdiction of immense, and, at the same ime, of undefined extent.

The Governor-General and Councillors were named in he act, and were to hold their situations for five years. Hastings was to be the first Governor-General. One of the our new Councillors, Mr Barwell, an experienced servant of the Company, was then in India. The other three, General Clavering, Mr Monson, and Mr Francis, were sent out from England.

The ablest of the new Councillors was, beyond all doubt, Philip Francis. His acknowledged compositions prove that he possessed considerable eloquence and information. Several years passed in the public offices had formed him to habits of business. His enemies have never denied that he had a fearless and manly spirit; and his friends, we are afraid, must acknowledge that his estimate of himself was extravagantly high, that his temper was irritable, that his deportment was often rude and petulant, and that his hatred was of intense bitterness and of long duration.

It is scarcely possible to mention this eminent man without adverting for a moment to the question which his name at once suggests to every mind. Was he the author of the Letters of Junius? Our own firm belief is that he was. The evidence is, we think, such as would support a verdict in a civil, nay, in a criminal proceeding. The handwriting of Junius is the very peculiar handwriting of Francis, slightly disguised. As to the position, pursuits, and connections of Junius, the following are the most important facts which can be considered as clearly proved: first, that he was acquainted with the technical forms of the secretary of state's office; secondly, that he was intimately acquainted with the business of the war-office; thirdly, that he, during the year 1770, attended debates in the House of Lords, and took notes of speeches, particularly of the speeches of Lord Chatham; fourthly, that he bitterly resented the appointment of Mr Chamier to the place of deputy secretary-at-war; fifthly, that he was bound by some strong tie to the first Lord Holland. Now, Francis passed some years in the secretary of state's office. He was subsequently chief clerk of the war-office. He repeatedly mentioned that he had himself, in 1770, heard speeches of Lord Chatham; and some of these speeches were actually printed from his notes.

He resigned his clerkship at the war-office from resentment at the appointment of Mr Chamier. It was by Lord Holland that he was first introduced into the public service. Now, here are five marks, all of which ought to be found in Junius. They are all five found in Francis. We do not believe that more than two of them can be found in any other person whatever. If this argument does not settle the question, there is an end of all reasoning on circumstantial evidence.

The internal evidence seems to us to point the same way. The style of Francis bears a strong resemblance to that of Junius; nor are we disposed to admit, what is generally taken for granted, that the acknowledged compositions of Francis are very decidedly inferior to the anonymous letters. The argument from inferiority, at all events, is one which may be urged with at least equal force against every claimant that has ever been mentioned, with the single exception of Burke; and it would be a waste of time to prove that Burke was not Junius. And what conclusion, after all, can be drawn from mere inferiority? Every writer must produce his best work; and the interval between his best work and his second best work may be very wide indeed. Nobody will say that the best letters of Junius are more decidedly superior to the acknowledged works of Francis than three or four of Corneille's tragedies to the rest, than three or four of Ben Jonson's comedies to the rest, than the Pilgrim's Progress to the other works of Bunyan, than Don Quixote to the other works of Cervantes. Nay, it is certain that the Man in the Mask, whoever he may have been, was a most unequal writer. To go no further than the letters which bear the signature of Junius; the letter to the king, and the letters to Horne Tooke, have little in common, except the asperity; and asperity was an ingredient seldom wanting either in the writings or in the speeches of Francis.

Indeed one of the strongest reasons for believing that Francis was Junius is the moral resemblance between the two men. It is not difficult, from the letters which, under various signatures, are known to have been written by Junius, and from his dealings with Woodfall and others, to form a tolerably correct notion of his character. He was clearly a man not destitute of real patriotism and magnanimity, a man whose vices were not of a sordid kind. But he must

lso have been a man in the highest degree arrogant and nsolent, a man prone to malevolence, and prone to the error f mistaking his malevolence for public virtue. "Doest hou well to be angry?" was the question asked in old time f the Hebrew prophet. And he answered, "I do well." his was evidently the temper of Junius; and to this cause ve attribute the savage cruelty which disgraces several of is letters. No man is so merciless as he who, under a strong elf-delusion, confounds his antipathies with his duties. t may be added that Junius, though allied with the demoratic party by common enmities, was the very opposite of democratic politician. While attacking individuals with a erocity which perpetually violated all the laws of literary arfare, he regarded the most defective parts of old institutions with a respect amounting to pedantry, pleaded the ause of Old Sarum with fervour, and contemptuously told ne capitalists of Manchester and Leeds that, if they wanted otes, they might buy land and become freeholders of ancashire and Yorkshire. All this, we believe, might tand, with scarcely any change, for a character of Philip rancis.

It is not strange that the great anonymous writer should ave been willing at that time to leave the country which ad been so powerfully stirred by his eloquence. Every ning had gone against him. That party which he clearly referred to every other, the party of George Grenville, ad been scattered by the death of its chief; and Lord uffolk had led the greater part of it over to the ministerial enches. The ferment produced by the Middlesex election ad gone down. Every faction must have been alike an bject of aversion to Junius. His opinions on domestic fairs separated him from the ministry; his opinions on olonial affairs from the opposition. Under such circumances, he had thrown down his pen in misanthropical espair. His farewell letter to Woodfall bears date the nineenth of January, 1773. In that letter, he declared that he ust be an idiot to write again; that he had meant well by e cause and the public; that both were given up; that ere were not ten men who would act steadily together on y question. "But it is all alike," he added, "vile and ntemptible. You have never flinched that I know of; d I shall always rejoice to hear of your prosperity."

These were the last words of Junius. In a year from that time, Philip Francis was on his voyage to Bengal.

With the three new Councillors came out the judges of the Supreme Court. The chief justice was Sir Elijah Impey. He was an old acquaintance of Hastings; and it is probable that the Governor-General, if he had searched through all the inns of court, could not have found an equally serviceable tool. But the members of Council were by no means in an obsequious mood. Hastings greatly disliked the new form of government, and had no very high opinion of his coadjutors. They had heard of this, and were disposed to be suspicious and punctilious. When men are in such a frame of mind, any trifle is sufficient to give occasion for dispute. The members of Council expected a salute of twenty-one guns from the batteries of Fort William. Hastings allowed them only seventeen. They landed in ill-humour. The first civilities were exchanged with cold reserve. On the morrow commenced that long quarrel which, after distracting British India, was renewed in England, and in which all the most eminent statesmen and orators of the age took active part on one or the other side.

Hastings was supported by Barwell. They had not always been friends. But the arrival of the new members of Council from England naturally had the effect of uniting the old servants of the Company. Clavering, Monson, and Francis formed the majority. They instantly wrested the government out of the hands of Hastings; condemned, certainly not without justice, his late dealings with the Nabob Vizier; recalled the English agent from Oude, and sent thither a creature of their own; ordered the brigade which had conquered the unhappy Rohillas to return to the Company's territories; and instituted a severe inquiry into the conduct of the war. Next, in spite of the Governor-General's remonstrances, they proceeded to exercise, in the most indiscreet manner, their new authority over the subordinate presidencies; threw all the affairs of Bombay into confusion; and interfered, with an incredible union of rashness and feebleness, in the intestine disputes of the Mahratta government. At the same time, they fell on the internal administration of Bengal, and attacked the whole fiscal and judicial system, a system which was undoubtedly defective, but which it was very improbable that gentlemen fresh from England would

be competent to amend. The effect of their reforms was that all protection to life and property was withdrawn, and that gangs of robbers plundered and slaughtered with impunity in the very suburbs of Calcutta. Hastings continued to live in the Government-house, and to draw the salary of Governor-General. He continued even to take the lead at the council-board in the transaction of ordinary business; for his opponents could not but feel that he knew much of which they were ignorant, and that he decided, both surely and speedily, many questions which to them would have been hopelessly puzzling. But the higher powers of government and the most valuable patronage had been taken from him.

The natives soon found this out. They considered him as a fallen man; and they acted after their kind. Some of our readers may have seen, in India, a cloud of crows pecking a sick vulture to death, no bad type of what happens in that country, as often as fortune deserts one who has been great and dreaded. In an instant, all the sycophants who had lately been ready to lie for him, to forge for him, to pander for him, to poison for him, hasten to purchase the favour of his victorious enemies by accusing him. An Indian government has only to let it be understood that it wishes a particular man to be ruined; and, in twenty-four hours, it will be furnished with grave charges, supported by depositions so full and circumstantial that any person unaccustomed to Asiatic mendacity would regard them as decisive. It is well if the signature of the destined victim is not counterfeited at the foot of some illegal compact, and if some treasonable paper is not slipped into a hiding-place in his house. Hastings was now regarded as helpless. The power to make or mar the fortune of every man in Bengal had passed, as it seemed, into the hands of the new Councillors. Immediately charges against the Governor-General began to pour in. They were eagerly welcomed by the majority, who, to do them justice, were men of too much honour knowingly to countenance false accusations, but who were not sufficiently acquainted with the East to be aware that, in that part of the world, a very little encouragement from power will call forth, in a week, more Oateses, and Bedloes, and Dangerfields, than Westminster Hall sees in a century.

It would have been strange indeed if, at such a juncture,

Nuncomar had remained quiet. That bad man was stimulated at once by malignity, by avarice, and by ambition. Now was the time to be avenged on his old enemy, to wreak a grudge of seventeen years, to establish himself in the favour of the majority of the Council, to become the greatest native in Bengal. From the time of the arrival of the new Councillors, he had paid the most marked court to them, and had in consequence been excluded, with all indignity, from the Government-house. He now put into the hands of Francis, with great ceremony, a paper containing several charges of the most serious description. By this document Hastings was accused of putting offices up to sale, and of receiving bribes for suffering offenders to escape. In particular, it was alleged that Mahommed Reza Khan had been dismissed with impunity, in consideration of a great sum paid to the Governor-General.

Francis read the paper in Council. A violent altercation followed. Hastings complained in bitter terms of the way in which he was treated, spoke with contempt of Nuncomar and of Nuncomar's accusation, and denied the right of the Council to sit in judgment on the Governor. At the next meeting of the Board, another communication from Nuncomar was produced. He requested that he might be permitted to attend the Council, and that he might be heard in support of his assertions. Another tempestuous debate took place. The Governor-General maintained that the council-room was not a proper place for such an investigation; that from persons who were heated by daily conflict with him he could not expect the fairness of judges; and that he could not, without betraying the dignity of his post, submit to be confronted with such a man as Nuncomar. The majority, however, resolved to go into the charges. Hastings rose, declared the sitting at an end, and left the room followed by Barwell. The other members kept their seats, voted themselves a council, put Clavering in the chair, and ordered Nuncomar to be called in. Nuncomar not only adhered to the original charges, but, after the fashion of the East, produced a large supplement. He stated that Hastings had received a great sum for appointing Rajah Goordas treasurer of the Nabob's household, and for committing the care of his Highness's person to the Munny Begum. He put in a letter purporting to bear the seal of the Munny

Begum, for the purpose of establishing the truth of his story. The seal, whether forged, as Hastings affirmed, or genuine, as we are rather inclined to believe, proved nothing. Nuncomar, as every body knows who knows India, had only to tell the Munny Begum that such a letter would give pleasure to the majority of the Council, in order to procure her attestation. The majority, however, voted that the charge was made out; that Hastings had corruptly received between thirty and forty thousand pounds; and that he ought to be compelled to refund.

The general feeling among the English in Bengal was strongly in favour of the Governor-General. In talents for business, in knowledge of the country, in general courtesy of demeanour, he was decidedly superior to his persecutors. The servants of the Company were naturally disposed to side with the most distinguished member of their own body against a clerk from the war-office, who, profoundly ignorant of the native languages and the native character, took on himself to regulate every department of the administration. Hastings, however, in spite of the general sympathy of his countrymen, was in a most painful situation. There was still an appeal to higher authority in England. If that authority took part with his enemies, nothing was left to him but to throw up his office. He accordingly placed his resignation in the hands of his agent in London, Colonel Macleane. But Macleane was instructed not to produce the resignation, unless it should be fully ascertained that the feeling at the India House was adverse to the Governor-General.

The triumph of Nuncomar seemed to be complete. He held a daily levee, to which his countrymen resorted in crowds, and to which, on one occasion, the majority of the Council condescended to repair. His house was an office for the purpose of receiving charges against the Governor-General. It was said that, partly by threats, and partly by wheedling, the villanous Brahmin had induced many of the wealthiest men of the province to send in complaints. But he was playing a perilous game. It was not safe to drive to despair a man of such resources and of such determination as Hastings. Nuncomar, with all his acuteness, did not understand the nature of the institutions under which he lived. He saw that he had with him the majority

of the body which made treaties, gave places, raised taxes. The separation between political and judicial functions was a thing of which he had no conception. It had probably never occurred to him that there was in Bengal an authority perfectly independent of the Council, an authority which could protect one whom the Council wished to destroy, and send to the gibbet one whom the Council wished to protect. Yet such was the fact. The Supreme Court was, within the sphere of its own duties, altogether independent of the Government. Hastings, with his usual sagacity, had seen how much advantage he might derive from possessing himself of this stronghold; and he had acted accordingly. The Judges, especially the Chief Justice, were hostile to the majority of the Council. The time had now come for putting this formidable machinery into action.

On a sudden, Calcutta was astounded by the news that Nuncomar had been taken up on a charge of felony, committed, and thrown into the common gaol. The crime imputed to him was that six years before he had forged a bond. The ostensible prosecutor was a native. But it was then, and still is, the opinion of every body, idiots and biographers excepted, that Hastings was the real mover in the business.

The rage of the majority rose to the highest point. They protested against the proceedings of the Supreme Court, and sent several urgent messages to the Judges, demanding that Nuncomar should be admitted to bail. The Judges returned haughty and resolute answers. All that the Council could do was to heap honours and emoluments on the family of Nuncomar; and this they did. In the mean time the assizes commenced; a true bill was found; and Nuncomar was brought before Sir Elijah Impey and a jury composed of Englishmen. A great quantity of contradictory swearing, and the necessity of having every word of the evidence interpreted, protracted the trial to a most unusual length. At last a verdict of guilty was returned, and the Chief Justice pronounced sentence of death on the prisoner.

Mr Gleig is so strangely ignorant as to imagine that the judges had no further discretion in the case, and that the power of extending mercy to Nuncomar resided with the Council. He therefore throws on Francis and Francis's party the whole blame of what followed. We should have

thought that a gentleman who has published five or six bulky volumes on Indian affairs might have taken the trouble to inform himself as to the fundamental principles of the Indian Government. The Supreme Court had, under the Regulating Act, the power to respite criminals till the pleasure of the Crown should be known. The Council had, at that time, no power to interfere.

That Impey ought to have respited Nuncomar we hold to be perfectly clear. Whether the whole proceeding was not illegal, is a question. But it is certain that, whatever may have been, according to technical rules of construction, the effect of the statute under which the trial took place, it was most unjust to hang a Hindoo for forgery. The law which made forgery capital in England was passed without the smallest reference to the state of society in India. It was unknown to the natives of India. It had never been put in execution among them, certainly not for want of delinquents. It was in the highest degree shocking to all their notions. They were not accustomed to the distinction which many circumstances, peculiar to our own state of society, have led us to make between forgery and other kinds of cheating. The counterfeiting of a seal was, in their estimation, a common act of swindling; nor had it ever crossed their minds that it was to be punished as severely as gang-robbery or assassination. A just judge would, beyond all doubt, have reserved the case for the consideration of the sovereign. But Impey would not hear of mercy or delay.

The excitement among all classes was great. Francis and Francis's few English adherents described the Governor-General and the Chief Justice as the worst of murderers. Clavering, it was said, swore that, even at the foot of the gallows, Nuncomar should be rescued. The bulk of the European society, though strongly attached to the Governor-General, could not but feel compassion for a man who, with all his crimes, had so long filled so large a space in their sight, who had been great and powerful before the British Empire in India began to exist, and to whom, in the old times, governors and members of council, then mere commercial factors, had paid court for protection. The feeling of the Hindoos was infinitely stronger. They were, indeed, not a people to strike one blow for their countryman. But his sentence filled them with sorrow and dismay. Tried even by their

low standard of morality, he was a bad man. But, bad as he was, he was the head of their race and religion, a Brahmin of the Brahmins. He had inherited the purest and highest caste. He had practised with the greatest punctuality all those ceremonies to which the superstitious Bengalees ascribe far more importance than to the correct discharge of the social duties. They felt, therefore, as a devout Catholic in the dark ages would have felt, at seeing a prelate of the highest dignity sent to the gallows by a secular tribunal. According to their old national laws, a Brahmin could not be put to death for any crime whatever. And the crime for which Nuncomar was about to die was regarded by them in much the same light in which the selling of an unsound horse, for a sound price, is regarded by a Yorkshire jockey.

The Mussulmans alone appear to have seen with exultation the fate of the powerful Hindoo, who had attempted to rise by means of the ruin of Mahommed Reza Khan. The Mahommedan historian of those times takes delight in aggravating the charge. He assures us that in Nuncomar's house a casket was found containing counterfeits of the seals of all the richest men of the province. We have never fallen in with any other authority for this story, which in itself is by no means improbable.

The day drew near; and Nuncomar prepared himself to die with that quiet fortitude with which the Bengalee, so effeminately timid in personal conflict, often encounters calamities for which there is no remedy. The sheriff, with the humanity which is seldom wanting in an English gentleman, visited the prisoner on the eve of the execution, and assured him that no indulgence, consistent with the law, should be refused to him. Nuncomar expressed his gratitude with great politeness and unaltered composure. Not a muscle of his face moved. Not a sigh broke from him. He put his finger to his forehead, and calmly said that fate would have its way, and that there was no resisting the pleasure of God. He sent his compliments to Francis, Clavering, and Monson, and charged them to protect Rajah Goordas, who was about to become the head of the Brahmins of Bengal. The sheriff withdrew, greatly agitated by what had passed, and Nuncomar sat composedly down to write notes and examine accounts.

The next morning, before the sun was in his power, an immense concourse assembled round the place where the

gallows had been set up. Grief and horror were on every face; yet to the last the multitude could hardly believe that the English really proposed to take the life of the great Brahmin. At length the mournful procession came through the crowd. Nuncomar sat up in his palanquin, and looked round him with unaltered serenity. He had just parted from those who were most nearly connected with him. Their cries and contortions had appalled the European ministers of justice, but had not produced the smallest effect on the iron stoicism of the prisoner. The only anxiety which he expressed was that men of his own priestly caste might be in attendance to take charge of his corpse. He again desired to be remembered to his friends in the Council, mounted the scaffold with firmness, and gave the signal to the executioner. The moment that the drop fell, a howl of sorrow and despair rose from the innumerable spectators. Hundreds turned away their faces from the polluting sight, fled with loud wailings towards the Hoogley, and plunged into its holy waters, as if to purify themselves from the guilt of having looked on such a crime. These feelings were not confined to Calcutta. The whole province was greatly excited; and the population of Dacca, in particular, gave strong signs of grief and dismay.

Of Impey's conduct it is impossible to speak too severely. We have already said that, in our opinion, he acted unjustly in refusing to respite Nuncomar. No rational man can doubt that he took this course in order to gratify the Governor-General. If we had ever had any doubts on that point, they would have been dispelled by a letter which Mr Gleig has published. Hastings, three or four years later, described Impey as the man "to whose support he was at one time indebted for the safety of his fortune, honour, and reputation." These strong words can refer only to the case of Nuncomar; and they must mean that Impey hanged Nuncomar in order to support Hastings. It is, therefore, our deliberate opinion that Impey, sitting as a judge, put a man unjustly to death in order to serve a political purpose.

But we look on the conduct of Hastings in a somewhat different light. He was struggling for fortune, honour, liberty, all that makes life valuable. He was beset by rancorous and unprincipled enemies. From his colleagues he could expect no justice. He cannot be blamed for wishing

to crush his accusers. He was indeed bound to use only legitimate means for that end. But it was not strange that he should have thought any means legitimate which were pronounced legitimate by the sages of the law, by men whose peculiar duty it was to deal justly between adversaries, and whose education might be supposed to have peculiarly qualified them for the discharge of that duty. Nobody demands from a party the unbending equity of a judge. The reason that judges are appointed is, that even a good man cannot be trusted to decide a cause in which he is himself concerned. Not a day passes on which an honest prosecutor does not ask for what none but a dishonest tribunal would grant. It is too much to expect that any man, when his dearest interests are at stake, and his strongest passions excited, will, as against himself, be more just than the sworn dispensers of justice. To take an analogous case from the history of our own island: suppose that Lord Stafford, when in the Tower on suspicion of being concerned in the Popish plot, had been apprised that Titus Oates had done something which might, by a questionable construction, be brought under the head of felony. Should we severely blame Lord Stafford, in the supposed case, for causing a prosecution to be instituted, for furnishing funds, for using all his influence to intercept the mercy of the Crown? We think not. If a judge, indeed, from favour to the Catholic lords, were to strain the law in order to hang Oates, such a judge would richly deserve impeachment. But it does not appear to us that the Catholic lord, by bringing the case before the judge for decision, would materially overstep the limits of a just self-defence.

While, therefore, we have not the least doubt that this memorable execution is to be attributed to Hastings, we doubt whether it can with justice be reckoned among his crimes. That his conduct was dictated by a profound policy is evident. He was in a minority in Council. It was possible that he might long be in a minority. He knew the native character well. He knew in what abundance accusations are certain to flow in against the most innocent inhabitant of India who is under the frown of power. There was not in the whole black population of Bengal a place-holder, a place-hunter, a government tenant, who did not think that he might better himself by sending up a deposition

against the Governor-General. Under these circumstances, the persecuted statesman resolved to teach the whole crew of accusers and witnesses that, though in a minority at the council board, he was still to be feared. The lesson which he gave them was indeed a lesson not to be forgotten. The head of the combination which had been formed against him, the richest, the most powerful, the most artful of the Hindoos, distinguished by the favour of those who then held the government, fenced round by the superstitious reverence of millions, was hanged in broad day before many thousands of people. Everything that could make the warning impressive, dignity in the sufferer, solemnity in the proceeding, was found in this case. The helpless rage and vain struggles of the Council made the triumph more signal. From that moment the conviction of every native was that it was safer to take the part of Hastings in a minority than that of Francis in a majority, and that he who was so venturous as to join in running down the Governor-General might chance, in the phrase of the Eastern poet, to find a tiger, while beating the jungle for a deer. The voices of a thousand informers were silenced in an instant. From that time, whatever difficulties Hastings might have to encounter, he was never molested by accusations from natives of India.

It is a remarkable circumstance that one of the letters of Hastings to Dr Johnson bears date a very few hours after the death of Nuncomar. While the whole settlement was in commotion, while a mighty and ancient priesthood were weeping over the remains of their chief, the conqueror in that deadly grapple sat down, with characteristic self-possession, to write about the Tour to the Hebrides, Jones's Persian Grammar, and the history, traditions, arts, and natural productions of India.

In the mean time, intelligence of the Rohilla war, and of the first disputes between Hastings and his colleagues, had reached London. The directors took part with the majority, and sent out a letter filled with severe reflections on the conduct of Hastings. They condemned, in strong but just terms, the iniquity of undertaking offensive wars merely for the sake of pecuniary advantages. But they utterly forgot that, if Hastings had by illicit means obtained pecuniary advantages, he had done so, not for his own benefit, but in order to meet their demands. To enjoin honesty, and to

insist on having what could not be honestly got, was then th constant practice of the Company. As Lady Macbeth say of her husband, they "would not play false, and yet woul wrongly win."

The Regulating Act, by which Hastings had been ap pointed Governor-General for five years, empowered th Crown to remove him on an address from the Company Lord North was desirous to procure such an address. Th three members of Council who had been sent out fron England were men of his own choice. General Clavering in particular, was supported by a large parliamentar connection, such as no cabinet could be inclined to disobligc The wish of the Minister was to displace Hastings, and to pu Clavering at the head of the government. In the Court c Directors parties were very nearly balanced. Eleven vote against Hastings; ten for him. The Court of Proprietor was then convened. The great sale-room presented a sin gular appearance. Letters had been sent by the Secretary c the Treasury, exhorting all the supporters of governmen who held India stock to be in attendance. Lord Sandwic marshalled the friends of the administration with his usua dexterity and alertness. Fifty peers and privy councillors seldom seen so far eastward, were counted in the crowd. Th debate lasted till midnight. The opponents of Hastings had small superiority on the division; but a ballot was demanded and the result was that the Governor-General triumphe by a majority of above a hundred votes over the combine efforts of the Directors and the Cabinet. The ministers wer greatly exasperated by this defeat. Even Lord North los his temper, no ordinary occurrence with him, and threatene to convoke Parliament before Christmas, and to bring in bill for depriving the Company of all political power, and fc restricting it to its old business of trading in silks and teas.

Colonel Macleane, who through all this conflict ha zealously supported the cause of Hastings, now thought tha his employer was in imminent danger of being turned ou branded with parliamentary censure, perhaps prosecuted The opinion of the crown lawyers had already been take respecting some parts of the Governor-General's conduc It seemed to be high time to think of securing an honourabl retreat. Under these circumstances, Macleane thought him self justified in producing the resignation with which he ha

een intrusted. The instrument was not in very accurate orm; but the Directors were too eager to be scrupulous. hey accepted the resignation, fixed on Mr Wheler, one of neir own body, to succeed Hastings, and sent out orders nat Cereral Clavering, as senior member of Council, nould exercise the functions of Governor-General till Mr Vheler should arrive.

But, while these things were passing in England, a great nange had taken place in Bengal. Monson was no more. nly four members of the government were left. Clavering nd Francis were on one side, Barwell and the Governor-eneral on the other; and the Governor-General had the asting vote. Hastings, who had been during two years estitute of all power and patronage, became at once ab-olute. He instantly proceeded to retaliate on his adver-aries. Their measures were reversed; their creatures were splaced. A new valuation of the lands of Bengal, for the urposes of taxation, was ordered; and it was provided that ne whole inquiry should be conducted by the Governor-eneral, and that all the letters relating to it should run in s name. He began, at the same time, to revolve vast ans of conquest and dominion, plans which he lived to see alised, though not by himself. His project was to form bsidiary alliances with the native princes, particularly ith those of Oude and Berar, and thus to make Britain the aramount power in India. While he was meditating these eat designs, arrived the intelligence that he had ceased be Governor-General, that his resignation had been ac-pted, that Wheler was coming out immediately, and that, l Wheler arrived, the chair was to be filled by Clavering.

Had Hastings still been in a minority, he would probably ve retired without a struggle; but he was now the real aster of British India, and he was not disposed to quit his gh place. He asserted that he had never given any in-ructions which could warrant the steps taken at home. hat his instructions had been, he owned he had forgotten. he had kept a copy of them he had mislaid it. But he as certain that he had repeatedly declared to the Directors at he would not resign. He could not see how the court, ssessed of that declaration from himself, could receive s resignation from the doubtful hands of an agent. If the signation were invalid, all the proceedings which were

founded on that resignation were null, and Hastings was stil Governor-General.

He afterwards affirmed that, though his agents had no acted in conformity with his instructions, he would never theless have held himself bound by their acts, if Clavering had not attempted to seize the supreme power by violence Whether this assertion were or were not true, it cannot b doubted that the imprudence of Clavering gave Hasting an advantage. The General sent for the keys of the fort an of the treasury, took possession of the records, and held council at which Francis attended. Hastings took the chai in another apartment, and Barwell sat with him. Each c the two parties had a plausible show of right. There wa no authority entitled to their obedience within fiftee thousand miles. It seemed that there remained no way c settling the dispute except an appeal to arms; and fro such an appeal Hastings, confident of his influence over hi countrymen in India, was not inclined to shrink. H directed the officers of the garrison of Fort William and of a the neighbouring stations to obey no orders but his. At th same time, with admirable judgment, he offered to submi the case to the Supreme Court, and to abide by its decisio By making this proposition he risked nothing; yet it was proposition which his opponents could hardly reject. No body could be treated as a criminal for obeying what th judges should solemnly pronounce to be the lawful govern ment. The boldest man would shrink from taking arms i defence of what the judges should pronounce to be usurpa tion. Clavering and Francis, after some delay, unwillingl consented to abide by the award of the court. The cou pronounced that the resignation was invalid, and that there fore Hastings was still Governor-General under the Regu lating Act; and the defeated members of the Counci finding that the sense of the whole settlement was agains them, acquiesced in the decision.

About this time arrived the news that, after a suit whic had lasted several years, the Franconian courts had decree a divorce between Imhoff and his wife. The Baron le Calcutta, carrying with him the means of buying an estate i Saxony. The lady became Mrs Hastings. The event wa celebrated by great festivities; and all the most conspicuou persons at Calcutta, without distinction of parties, were in

vited to the Government-house. Clavering, as the Mahommedan chronicler tells the story, was sick in mind and body, and excused himself from joining the splendid assembly. But Hastings, whom, as it should seem, success in ambition and in love had put into high good-humour, would take no denial. He went himself to the General's house, and at length brought his vanquished rival in triumph to the gay circle which surrounded the bride. The exertion was too much for a frame broken by mortification as well as by disease. Clavering died a few days later.

Wheler, who came out expecting to be Governor-General, and was forced to content himself with a seat at the Council Board, generally voted with Francis. But the Governor-General, with Barwell's help and his own casting vote, was still the master. Some change took place at this time in the feeling both of the Court of Directors and of the Ministers of the Crown. All designs against Hastings were dropped; and when his original term of five years expired, he was quietly re-appointed. The truth is, that the fearful dangers to which the public interests in every quarter were now exposed, made both Lord North and the Company unwilling to part with a Governor whose talents, experience, and resolution, enmity itself was compelled to acknowledge.

The crisis was indeed formidable. That great and victorious empire, on the throne of which George the Third had taken his seat eighteen years before, with brighter hopes than had attended the accession of any of the long line of English sovereigns, had, by the most senseless misgovernment, been brought to the verge of ruin. In America millions of Englishmen were at war with the country from which their blood, their language, their religion, and their institutions were derived, and to which, but a short time before, they had been as strongly attached as the inhabitants of Norfolk and Leicestershire. The great powers of Europe, humbled to the dust by the vigour and genius which had guided the councils of George the Second, now rejoiced in the prospect of a signal revenge. The time was approaching when our island, while struggling to keep down the United States of America, and pressed with a still nearer danger by the too just discontents of Ireland, was to be assailed by France, Spain, and Holland, and to be threatened by the armed neutrality of the Baltic; when even our maritime

supremacy was to be in jeopardy ; when hostile fleets we to command the Straits of Calpe and the Mexican Sea ; whe the British flag was to be scarcely able to protect the Britis Channel. Great as were the faults of Hastings, it was happ for our country that at that conjuncture, the most terrib through which she has ever passed, he was the ruler of h Indian dominions.

An attack by sea on Bengal was little to be apprehende The danger was that the European enemies of Englar might form an alliance with some native power, might furni that power with troops, arms, and ammunition, and mig thus assail our possessions on the side of the land. It w chiefly from the Mahrattas that Hastings anticipated dange The original seat of that singular people was the wild ran of hills which runs along the western coast of India. In t reign of Aurungzebe the inhabitants of those regions, led b the great Sevajee, began to descend on the possessions their wealthier and less warlike neighbours. The energ ferocity, and cunning of the Mahrattas, soon made them t most conspicuous among the new powers which were gene ated by the corruption of the decaying monarchy. At fi they were only robbers. They soon rose to the dignity conquerors. Half the provinces of the empire were turn into Mahratta principalities. Freebooters, sprung from lo castes, and accustomed to menial employments, becar mighty Rajahs. The Bonslas, at the head of a band plunderers, occupied the vast region of Berar. The Guicowa which is, being interpreted, the Herdsman, founded th dynasty which still reigns in Guzerat. The houses of Scind and Holkar waxed great in Malwa. One adventurous ca tain made his nest on the impregnable rock of Gooti. A other became the lord of the thousand villages which a scattered among the green rice-fields of Tanjore.

That was the time, throughout India, of double gover ment. The form and the power were every where separate The Mussulman nabobs who had become sovereign prince the Vizier in Oude, and the Nizam at Hyderabad, still call themselves the viceroys of the house of Tamerlane. In t same manner the Mahratta states, though really independe of each other, pretended to be members of one empi They all acknowledged, by words and ceremonies, t supremacy of the heir of Sevajee, a *roi fainéant* who chew

ang and toyed with dancing girls in a state prison at attara, and of his Peshwa or mayor of the palace, a great ereditary magistrate, who kept a court with kingly state t Poonah, and whose authority was obeyed in the spacious rovinces of Aurungabad and Bejapoor.

Some months before war was declared in Europe the overnment of Bengal was alarmed by the news that a rench adventurer, who passed for a man of quality, had rrived at Poonah. It was said that he had been received here with great distinction, that he had delivered to the eshwa letters and presents from Louis the Sixteenth, nd that a treaty, hostile to England, had been concluded etween France and the Mahrattas.

Hastings immediately resolved to strike the first blow. he title of the Peshwa was not undisputed. A portion f the Mahratta nation was favourable to a pretender. he Governor-General determined to espouse this pre-nder's interest, to move an army across the peninsula f India, and to form a close alliance with the chief of the ouse of Bonsla, who ruled Berar, and who, in power and gnity, was inferior to none of the Mahratta princes.

The army had marched, and the negotiations with Berar ere in progress, when a letter from the English consul Cairo brought the news that war had been proclaimed oth in London and Paris. All the measures which the isis required were adopted by Hastings without a mo-ent's delay. The French factories in Bengal were seized. rders were sent to Madras that Pondicherry should in-antly be occupied. Near Calcutta, works were thrown which were thought to render the approach of a hostile rce impossible. A maritime establishment was formed r the defence of the river. Nine new battalions of sepoys ere raised, and a corps of native artillery was formed t of the hardy Lascars of the Bay of Bengal. Having ade these arrangements, the Governor-General with lm confidence pronounced his presidency secure from attack, unless the Mahrattas should march against it conjunction with the French.

The expedition which Hastings had sent westward was t so speedily or completely successful as most of his dertakings. The commanding officer procrastinated. he authorities at Bombay blundered. But the Governor-

General persevered. A new commander repaired the errors of his predecessor. Several brilliant actions spread the military renown of the English through regions where no European flag had ever been seen. It is probable that, if a new and more formidable danger had not compelled Hastings to change his whole policy, his plans respecting the Mahratta empire would have been carried into complete effect.

The authorities in England had wisely sent out to Bengal as commander of the forces and member of the council one of the most distinguished soldiers of that time. Sir Eyre Coote had, many years before, been conspicuous among the founders of the British empire in the East. At the council of war which preceded the battle of Plassey he earnestly recommended, in opposition to the majority, that daring course which, after some hesitation, was adopted, and which was crowned with such splendid success. He subsequently commanded in the south of India against the brave and unfortunate Lally, gained the decisive battle of Wandewash over the French and their native allies, took Pondicherry, and made the English power supreme in the Carnatic. Since those great exploits near twenty years had elapsed. Coote had no longer the bodily activity which he had shown in earlier days; nor was the vigour of his mind altogether unimpaired. He was capricious and fretful, and required much coaxing to keep him in good-humour. It must, we fear, be added that the love of money had grown upon him, and that he thought more about his allowances, and less about his duties, than might have been expected from so eminent a member of so noble a profession. Still he was perhaps the ablest officer that was then to be found in the British army. Among the native soldiers his name was great and his influence unrivalled. Nor is he yet forgotten by them. Now and then a white-bearded old sepoy may still be found, who loves to talk of Porto Novo and Pollilore. It is but a short time since one of those aged men came to present a memorial to an English officer, who holds one of the highest employments in India. A print of Coote hung in the room. The veteran recognised at once that face and figure which he had not seen for more than half a century, and, forgetting his salam to the living, halted, drew himself up, lifted his

hand, and with solemn reverence paid his military obeisance to the dead.

Coote, though he did not, like Barwell, vote constantly with the Governor-General, was by no means inclined to join in systematic opposition, and on most questions concurred with Hastings, who did his best, by assiduous courtship, and by readily granting the most exorbitant allowances, to gratify the strongest passions of the old soldier.

It seemed likely at this time that a general reconciliation would put an end to the quarrels which had, during some years, weakened and disgraced the government of Bengal. The dangers of the empire might well induce men of patriotic feeling—and of patriotic feeling neither Hastings nor Francis was destitute—to forget private enmities, and to co-operate heartily for the general good. Coote had never been concerned in faction. Wheler was thoroughly tired of it. Barwell had made an ample fortune, and, though he had promised that he would not leave Calcutta while his help was needed in Council, was most desirous to return to England, and exerted himself to promote an arrangement which would set him at liberty. A compact was made, by which Francis agreed to desist from opposition, and Hastings engaged that the friends of Francis should be admitted to a fair share of the honours and emoluments of the service. During a few months after this treaty there was apparent harmony at the council-board.

Harmony, indeed, was never more necessary; for at this moment internal calamities, more formidable than war itself, menaced Bengal. The authors of the Regulating Act of 1773 had established two independent powers, the one judicial, the other political; and, with a carelessness scandalously common in English legislation, had omitted to define the limits of either. The judges took advantage of the indistinctness, and attempted to draw to themselves supreme authority, not only within Calcutta, but through the whole of the great territory subject to the presidency of Fort William. There are few Englishmen who will not admit that the English law, in spite of modern improvements, is neither so cheap nor so speedy as might be wished. Still, it is a system which has grown up among us. In some points, it has been fashioned to suit our feelings; in others, it has gradually fashioned our feelings to suit

itself. Even to its worst evils we are accustomed; and, therefore, though we may complain of them, they do not strike us with the horror and dismay which would be produced by a new grievance of smaller severity. In India the case is widely different. English law, transplanted to that country, has all the vices from which we suffer here; it has them all in a far higher degree; and it has other vices, compared with which the worst vices from which we suffer are trifles. Dilatory here, it is far more dilatory in a land where the help of an interpreter is needed by every judge and by every advocate. Costly here, it is far more costly in a land into which the legal practitioners must be imported from an immense distance. All English labour in India, from the labour of the Governor-General and the Commander-in-Chief, down to that of a groom or a watchmaker, must be paid for at a higher rate than at home. No man will be banished, and banished to the torrid zone, for nothing. The rule holds good with respect to the legal profession. No English barrister will work, fifteen thousand miles from all his friends, with the thermometer at ninety-six in the shade, for the emoluments which will content him in chambers that overlook the Thames. Accordingly, the fees at Calcutta are about three times as great as the fees of Westminster Hall; and this, though the people of India are, beyond all comparison, poorer than the people of England. Yet the delay and the expense, grievous as they are, form the smallest part of the evil which English law, imported without modifications into India, could not fail to produce. The strongest feelings of our nature, honour, religion, female modesty, rose up against the innovation. Arrest on mesne process was the first step in most civil proceedings; and to a native of rank arrest was not merely a restraint, but a foul personal indignity. Oaths were required in every stage of every suit; and the feeling of a Quaker about an oath is hardly stronger than that of a respectable native. That the apartments of a woman of quality should be entered by strange men, or that her face should be seen by them, are, in the East, intolerable outrages, outrages which are more dreaded than death, and which can be expiated only by the shedding of blood. To these outrages the most distinguished families of Bengal, Bahar, and Orissa, were

ow exposed. Imagine what the state of our own country vould be, if a jurisprudence were on a sudden introduced mong us, which should be to us what our jurisprudence vas to our Asiatic subjects. Imagine what the state of ur country would be, if it were enacted that any man, y merely swearing that a debt was due to him, should cquire a right to insult the persons of men of the most onourable and sacred callings and of women of the most hrinking delicacy, to horsewhip a general officer, to put bishop in the stocks, to treat ladies in the way which alled forth the blow of Wat Tyler. Something like this vas the effect of the attempt which the Supreme Court nade to extend its jurisdiction over the whole of the Comany's territory.

A reign of terror began, of terror heightened by mystery; or even that which was endured was less horrible than hat which was anticipated. No man knew what was next o be expected from this strange tribunal. It came from eyond the black water, as the people of India, with ysterious horror, call the sea. It consisted of judges ot one of whom was familiar with the usages of the millions ver whom they claimed boundless authority. Its records ere kept in unknown characters; its sentences were ronounced in unknown sounds. It had already collected ound itself an army of the worst part of the native popula-on, informers, and false witnesses, and common barrators, nd agents of chicane, and, above all, a banditti of bailiffs' llowers, compared with whom the retainers of the worst nglish spunging-houses, in the worst times, might be onsidered as upright and tender-hearted. Many natives, ghly considered among their countrymen, were seized, urried up to Calcutta, flung into the common gaol, not r any crime even imputed, not for any debt that had een proved, but merely as a precaution till their cause ould come to trial. There were instances in which men the most venerable dignity, persecuted without a cause y extortioners, died of rage and shame in the gripe of the le alguazils of Impey. The harams of noble Mahomedans, sanctuaries respected in the East, by governments hich respected nothing else, were burst open by gangs bailiffs. The Mussulmans, braver and less accustomed submission than the Hindoos, sometimes stood on their

defence; and there were instances in which they shed their blood in the doorway, while defending, sword in hand, the sacred apartments of their women. Nay, it seemed as if even the faint-hearted Bengalee, who had crouched at the feet of Surajah Dowlah, who had been mute during the administration of Vansittart, would at length find courage in despair. No Mahratta invasion had ever spread through the province such dismay as this inroad of English lawyers. All the injustice of former oppressors, Asiatic and European, appeared as a blessing when compared with the justice of the Supreme Court.

Every class of the population, English and native, with the exception of the ravenous pettifoggers who fattened on the misery and terror of an immense community, cried out loudly against this fearful oppression. But the judges were immovable. If a bailiff was resisted, they ordered the soldiers to be called out. If a servant of the Company, in conformity with the orders of the government, withstood the miserable catchpoles who, with Impey's writs in their hands, exceeded the insolence and rapacity of gang-robbers, he was flung into prison for a contempt. The lapse of sixty years, the virtue and wisdom of many eminent magistrates who have during that time administered justice in the Supreme Court, have not effaced from the minds of the people of Bengal the recollection of those evil days.

The members of the government were, on this subject, united as one man. Hastings had courted the judges; he had found them useful instruments. But he was not disposed to make them his own masters, or the masters of India. His mind was large; his knowledge of the native character most accurate. He saw that the system pursued by the Supreme Court was degrading to the government and ruinous to the people; and he resolved to oppose it manfully. The consequence was, that the friendship, if that be the proper word for such a connection, which had existed between him and Impey, was for a time completely dissolved. The government placed itself firmly between the tyrannical tribunal and the people. The Chief Justice proceeded to the wildest excesses. The Governor-General and all the members of Council were served with writs, calling on them to appear before the King's justices, and to answer for their public acts. This was too much. Hastings, with

just scorn, refused to obey the call, set at liberty the persons wrongfully detained by the Court, and took measures for resisting the outrageous proceedings of the sheriffs' officers, if necessary, by the sword. But he had in view another device which might prevent the necessity of an appeal to arms. He was seldom at a loss for an expedient; and he knew Impey well. The expedient, in this case, was a very simple one, neither more nor less than a bribe. Impey was, by act of parliament, a judge, independent of the government of Bengal, and entitled to a salary of eight thousand a year. Hastings proposed to make him also a judge in the Company's service, removable at the pleasure of the government of Bengal; and to give him, in that capacity, about eight thousand a year more. It was understood that, in consideration of this new salary, Impey would desist from urging the high pretensions of his court. If he did urge these pretensions, the government could, at a moment's notice, eject him from the new place which had been created for him. The bargain was struck; Bengal was saved; an appeal to force was averted; and the Chief Justice was rich, quiet, and infamous.

Of Impey's conduct it is unnecessary to speak. It was of a piece with almost every part of his conduct that comes under the notice of history. No other such judge has dishonoured the English ermine, since Jefferies drank himself to death in the Tower. But we cannot agree with those who have blamed Hastings for this transaction. The case stood thus. The negligent manner in which the Regulating Act had been framed put it in the power of the Chief Justice to throw a great country into the most dreadful confusion. He was determined to use his power to the utmost, unless he was paid to be still; and Hastings consented to pay him. The necessity was to be deplored. It is also to be deplored that pirates should be able to exact ransom by threatening to make their captives walk the plank. But to ransom a captive from pirates has always been held a humane and Christian act; and it would be absurd to charge the payer of the ransom with corrupting the virtue of the corsair. This, we seriously think, is a not unfair illustration of the relative position of Impey, Hastings, and the people of India. Whether it was right in Impey to demand or to accept a price for powers which,

if they really belonged to him, he could not abdicate, which, if they did not belong to him, he ought never to have usurped, and which in neither case he could honestly sell, is one question. It is quite another question, whether Hastings was not right to give any sum, however large, to any man, however worthless, rather than either surrender millions of human beings to pillage, or rescue them by civil war.

Francis strongly opposed this arrangement. It may, indeed, be suspected that personal aversion to Impey was as strong a motive with Francis as regard for the welfare of the province. To a mind burning with resentment, it might seem better to leave Bengal to the oppressors than to redeem it by enriching them. It is not improbable, on the other hand, that Hastings may have been the more willing to resort to an expedient agreeable to the Chief Justice, because that high functionary had already been so serviceable, and might, when existing dissensions were composed, be serviceable again.

But it was not on this point alone that Francis was now opposed to Hastings. The peace between them proved to be only a short and hollow truce, during which their mutual aversion was constantly becoming stronger. At length an explosion took place. Hastings publicly charged Francis with having deceived him, and with having induced Barwell to quit the service by insincere promises. Then came a dispute, such as frequently arises even between honourable men, when they may make important agreements by mere verbal communication. An impartial historian will probably be of opinion that they had misunderstood each other; but their minds were so much embittered that they imputed to each other nothing less than deliberate villany. "I do not," said Hastings, in a minute recorded on the Consultations of the Government, "I do not trust to Mr Francis's promises of candour, convinced that he is incapable of it. I judge of his public conduct by his private, which I have found to be void of truth and honour." After the Council had risen, Francis put a challenge into the Governor-General's hand. It was instantly accepted. They met, and fired. Francis was shot through the body. He was carried to a neighbouring house, where it appeared that the wound, though severe, was not mortal. Hastings inquired repeatedly after his

enemy's health, and proposed to call on him; but Francis coldly declined the visit. He had a proper sense, he said, of the Governor-General's politeness, but could not consent to any private interview. They could meet only at the council-board.

In a very short time it was made signally manifest to how great a danger the Governor-General had, on this occasion, exposed his country. A crisis arrived with which he, and he alone, was competent to deal. It is not too much to say that, if he had been taken from the head of affairs, the years 1780 and 1781 would have been as fatal to our power in Asia as to our power in America.

The Mahrattas had been the chief objects of apprehension to Hastings. The measures which he had adopted for the purpose of breaking their power, had at first been frustrated by the errors of those whom he was compelled to employ; but his perseverance and ability seemed likely to be crowned with success, when a far more formidable danger showed itself in a distant quarter.

About thirty years before this time, a Mahommedan soldier had begun to distinguish himself in the wars of Southern India. His education had been neglected; his extraction was humble. His father had been a petty officer of revenue; his grandfather a wandering dervise. But though thus meanly descended, though ignorant even of the alphabet, the adventurer had no sooner been placed at the head of a body of troops than he approved himself a man born for conquest and command. Among the crowd of chiefs who were struggling for a share of India, none could compare with him in the qualities of the captain and the statesman. He became a general; he became a sovereign. Out of the fragments of old principalities, which had gone to pieces in the general wreck, he formed for himself a great, compact, and vigorous empire. That empire he ruled with the ability, severity, and vigilance of Louis the Eleventh. Licentious in his pleasures, implacable in his revenge, he had yet enlargement of mind enough to perceive how much the prosperity of subjects adds to the strength of governments. He was an oppressor; but he had at least the merit of protecting his people against all oppression except his own. He was now in extreme old age; but his intellect was as clear, and his spirit as

high, as in the prime of manhood. Such was the great Hyder Ali, the founder of the Mahommedan kingdom of Mysore, and the most formidable enemy with whom the English conquerors of India have ever had to contend.

Had Hastings been governor of Madras, Hyder would have been either made a friend, or vigorously encountered as an enemy. Unhappily the English authorities in the south provoked their powerful neighbour's hostility, without being prepared to repel it. On a sudden, an army of ninety thousand men, far superior in discipline and efficiency to any other native force that could be found in India, came pouring through those wild passes which, worn by mountain torrents, and dark with jungle, lead down from the table-land of Mysore to the plains of the Carnatic. This great army was accompanied by a hundred pieces of cannon; and its movements were guided by many French officers, trained in the best military schools of Europe.

Hyder was every where triumphant. The sepoys in many British garrisons flung down their arms. Some forts were surrendered by treachery, and some by despair. In a few days the whole open country north of the Coleroon had submitted. The English inhabitants of Madras could already see by night, from the top of Mount St Thomas, the eastern sky reddened by a vast semicircle of blazing villages. The white villas, to which our countrymen retire after the daily labours of government and of trade, when the cool evening breeze springs up from the bay, were now left without inhabitants; for bands of the fierce horsemen of Mysore had already been seen prowling among the tulip-trees, and near the gay verandas. Even the town was not thought secure, and the British merchants and public functionaries made haste to crowd themselves behind the cannon of Fort St George.

There were the means indeed of assembling an army which might have defended the presidency, and even driven the invader back to his mountains. Sir Hector Munro was at the head of one considerable force; Baillie was advancing with another. United, they might have presented a formidable front even to such an enemy as Hyder. But the English commanders, neglecting those fundamental rules of the military art of which the propriety is obvious even to men who had never received a military

education, deferred their junction, and were separately attacked. Baillie's detachment was destroyed. Munro was forced to abandon his baggage, to fling his guns into the tanks, and to save himself by a retreat which might be called a flight. In three weeks from the commencement of the war, the British empire in Southern India had been brought to the verge of ruin. Only a few fortified places remained to us. The glory of our arms had departed. It was known that a great French expedition might soon be expected on the coast of Coromandel. England, beset by enemies on every side, was in no condition to protect such remote dependencies.

Then it was that the fertile genius and serene courage of Hastings achieved their most signal triumph. A swift ship, flying before the south-west monsoon, brought the evil tidings in few days to Calcutta. In twenty-four hours the Governor-General had framed a complete plan of policy adapted to the altered state of affairs. The struggle with Hyder was a struggle for life and death. All minor objects must be sacrificed to the preservation of the Carnatic. The disputes with the Mahrattas must be accommodated. A large military force and a supply of money must be instantly sent to Madras. But even these measures would be insufficient, unless the war, hitherto so grossly mismanaged, were placed under the direction of a vigorous mind. It was no time for trifling. Hastings determined to resort to an extreme exercise of power, to suspend the incapable governor of Fort St George, to send Sir Eyre Coote to oppose Hyder, and to intrust that distinguished general with the whole administration of the war.

In spite of the sullen opposition of Francis, who had now recovered from his wound, and had returned to the council, the Governor-General's wise and firm policy was approved by the majority of the board. The reinforcements were sent off with great expedition, and reached Madras before the French armament arrived in the Indian seas. Coote, broken by age and disease, was no longer the Coote of Wandewash; but he was still a resolute and skilful commander. The progress of Hyder was arrested; and in a few months the great victory of Porto Novo retrieved the honour of the English arms.

In the mean time Francis had returned to England, and

Hastings was now left perfectly unfettered. Wheler had gradually been relaxing in his opposition, and, after the departure of his vehement and implacable colleague, co-operated heartily with the Governor-General, whose influence over the British in India, always great, had, by the vigour and success of his recent measures, been considerably increased.

But though the difficulties arising from factions within the Council were at an end, another class of difficulties had become more pressing than ever. The financial embarrassment was extreme. Hastings had to find the means not only of carrying on the government of Bengal, but of maintaining a most costly war against both Indian and European enemies in the Carnatic, and of making remittances to England. A few years before this time he had obtained relief by plundering the Mogul and enslaving the Rohillas; nor were the resources of his fruitful mind by any means exhausted.

His first design was on Benares, a city which in wealth, population, dignity, and sanctity, was among the foremost of Asia. It was commonly believed that half a million of human beings was crowded into that labyrinth of lofty alleys, rich with shrines, and minarets, and balconies, and carved oriels, to which the sacred apes clung by hundreds. The traveller could scarcely make his way through the press of holy mendicants and not less holy bulls. The broad and stately flights of steps which descended from these swarming haunts to the bathing-places along the Ganges were worn every day by the footsteps of an innumerable multitude of worshippers. The schools and temples drew crowds of pious Hindoos from every province where the Brahminical faith was known. Hundreds of devotees came thither every month to die: for it was believed that a peculiarly happy fate awaited the man who should pass from the sacred city into the sacred river. Nor was superstition the only motive which allured strangers to that great metropolis. Commerce had as many pilgrims as religion. All along the shores of the venerable stream lay great fleets of vessels laden with rich merchandise. From the looms of Benares went forth the most delicate silks that adorned the balls of St James's and of the *Petit Trianon*: and in the bazaars the muslins of Bengal and the sabres of Oude were mingled

with the jewels of Golconda and the shawls of Cashmere. This rich capital, and the surrounding tract, had long been under the immediate rule of a Hindoo prince who rendered homage to the Mogul emperors. During the great anarchy of India the lords of Benares became independent of the court of Delhi, but were compelled to submit to the authority of the Nabob of Oude. Oppressed by this formidable neighbour, they invoked the protection of the English. The English protection was given; and at length the Nabob Vizier, by a solemn treaty, ceded all his rights over Benares to the Company. From that time the Rajah was the vassal of the government of Bengal, acknowledged its supremacy, and engaged to send an annual tribute to Fort William. This tribute Cheyte Sing, the reigning prince, had paid with strict punctuality.

Respecting the precise nature of the legal relation between the Company and the Rajah of Benares, there has been much warm and acute controversy. On the one side, it has been maintained that Cheyte Sing was merely a great subject on whom the superior power had a right to call for aid in the necessities of the empire. On the other side it has been contended that he was an independent prince, that the only claim which the Company had upon him was for a fixed tribute, and that, while the fixed tribute was regularly paid, as it assuredly was, the English had no more right to exact any further contribution from him than to demand subsidies from Holland or Denmark. Nothing is easier than to find precedents and analogies in favour of either view.

Our own impression is that neither view is correct. It was too much the habit of English politicians to take it for granted that there was in India a known and definite constitution by which questions of this kind were to be decided. The truth is that, during the interval which elapsed between the fall of the House of Tamerlane and the establishment of the British ascendency, there was no such constitution. The old order of things had passed away: the new order of things was not yet formed. All was transition, confusion, obscurity. Every body kept his head as he best might, and scrambled for whatever he could get. There have been similar seasons in Europe. The time of the dissolution of the Carlovingian empire is an instance. Who would think

of seriously discussing the question, what extent of pecuniary aid and of obedience Hugh Capet had a constitutional right to demand from the Duke of Brittany or the Duke of Normandy? The words "constitutional right" had, in that state of society, no meaning. If Hugh Capet laid hands on all the possessions of the Duke of Normandy, this might be unjust and immoral; but it would not be illegal, in the sense in which the ordinances of Charles the Tenth were illegal. If, on the other hand, the Duke of Normandy made war on Hugh Capet, this might be unjust and immoral; but it would not be illegal, in the sense in which the expedition of Prince Louis Bonaparte was illegal.

Very similar to this was the state of India sixty years ago. Of the existing governments not a single one could lay claim to legitimacy, or could plead any other title than recent occupation. There was scarcely a province in which the real sovereignty and the nominal sovereignty were not disjoined. Titles and forms were still retained which implied that the heir of Tamerlane was an absolute ruler, and that the Nabobs of the provinces were his lieutenants. In reality, he was a captive. The Nabobs were in some places independent princes. In other places, as in Bengal and the Carnatic, they had, like their master, become mere phantoms, and the Company was supreme. Among the Mahrattas again the heir of Sevajee still kept the title of Rajah; but he was a prisoner, and his prime minister, the Peshwa, had become the hereditary chief of the state. The Peshwa, in his turn, was fast sinking into the same degraded situation to which he had reduced the Rajah. It was, we believe, impossible to find, from the Himalayas to Mysore, a single government which was at once a government *de facto* and a government *de jure*, which possessed the physical means of making itself feared by its neighbours and subjects, and which had at the same time the authority derived from law and long prescription.

Hastings clearly discerned, what was hidden from most of his contemporaries, that such a state of things gave immense advantages to a ruler of great talents and few scruples. In every international question that could arise he had his option between the *de facto* ground and the *de jure* ground; and the probability was that one of those grounds would sustain any claim that it might be convenient

for him to make, and enable him to resist any claim made by others. In every controversy, accordingly, he resorted to the plea which suited his immediate purpose, without troubling himself in the least about consistency; and thus he scarcely ever failed to find what, to persons of short memories and scanty information, seemed to be a justification for what he wanted to do. Sometimes the Nabob of Bengal is a shadow, sometimes a monarch. Sometimes the Vizier is a mere deputy, sometimes an independent potentate. If it is expedient for the Company to show some legal title to the revenues of Bengal, the grant under the seal of the Mogul is brought forward as an instrument of the highest authority. When the Mogul asks for the rents which were reserved to him by that very grant, he is told that he is a mere pageant, that the English power rests on a very different foundation from a charter given by him, that he is welcome to play at royalty as long as he likes, but that he must expect no tribute from the real masters of India.

It is true that it was in the power of others, as well as of Hastings, to practise this legerdemain; but in the controversies of governments, sophistry is of little use unless it be backed by power. There is a principle which Hastings was fond of asserting in the strongest terms, and on which he acted with undeviating steadiness. It is a principle which, we must own, though it may be grossly abused, can hardly be disputed in the present state of public law. It is this, that where an ambiguous question arises between two governments, there is, if they cannot agree, no appeal except to force, and that the opinion of the stronger must prevail. Almost every question was ambiguous in India. The English government was the strongest in India. The consequences are obvious. The English government might do exactly what it chose.

The English government now chose to wring money out of Cheyte Sing. It had formerly been convenient to treat him as a sovereign prince; it was now convenient to treat him as a subject. Dexterity inferior to that of Hastings could easily find, in the general chaos of laws and customs, arguments for either course. Hastings wanted a great supply. It was known that Cheyte Sing had a large revenue, and it was suspected that he had accumulated a treasure. Nor was he a favourite at Calcutta. He had, when the

Governor-General was in great difficulties, courted the favour of Francis and Clavering. Hastings, who, less we believe from evil passions than from policy, seldom left an injury unpunished, was not sorry that the fate of Cheyte Sing should teach neighbouring princes the same lesson which the fate of Nuncomar had already impressed on the inhabitants of Bengal.

In 1778, on the first breaking out of the war with France, Cheyte Sing was called upon to pay, in addition to his fixed tribute, an extraordinary contribution of fifty thousand pounds. In 1779, an equal sum was exacted. In 1780, the demand was renewed. Cheyte Sing, in the hope of obtaining some indulgence, secretly offered the Governor-General a bribe of twenty thousand pounds. Hastings took the money, and his enemies have maintained that he took it intending to keep it. He certainly concealed the transaction, for a time, both from the Council in Bengal and from the Directors at home; nor did he ever give any satisfactory reason for the concealment. Public spirit, or the fear of detection, however, determined him to withstand the temptation. He paid over the bribe to the Company's treasury, and insisted that the Rajah should instantly comply with the demands of the English government. The Rajah, after the fashion of his countrymen, shuffled, solicited, and pleaded poverty. The grasp of Hastings was not to be so eluded. He added to the requisition another ten thousand pounds as a fine for delay, and sent troops to exact the money.

The money was paid. But this was not enough. The late events in the south of India had increased the financial embarrassments of the Company. Hastings was determined to plunder Cheyte Sing, and, for that end, to fasten a quarrel on him. Accordingly, the Rajah was now required to keep a body of cavalry for the service of the British government. He objected and evaded. This was exactly what the Governor-General wanted. He had now a pretext for treating the wealthiest of his vassals as a criminal. "I resolved"—these are the words of Hastings himself—"to draw from his guilt the means of relief to the Company's distresses, to make him pay largely for his pardon, or to exact a severe vengeance for past delinquency." The plan was simply this, to demand larger and larger contributions till the Rajah should be driven to remonstrate, then to call his

remonstrance a crime, and to punish him by confiscating all his possessions.

Cheyte Sing was in the greatest dismay. He offered two hundred thousand pounds to propitiate the British government. But Hastings replied that nothing less than half a million would be accepted. Nay, he began to think of selling Benares to Oude, as he had formerly sold Allahabad and Rohilcund. The matter was one which could not be well managed at a distance; and Hastings resolved to visit Benares.

Cheyte Sing received his liege lord with every mark of reverence, came near sixty miles, with his guards, to meet and escort the illustrious visitor, and expressed his deep concern at the displeasure of the English. He even took off his turban, and laid it in the lap of Hastings, a gesture which in India marks the most profound submission and devotion. Hastings behaved with cold and repulsive severity. Having arrived at Benares, he sent to the Rajah a paper containing the demands of the government of Bengal. The Rajah, in reply, attempted to clear himself from the accusations brought against him. Hastings, who wanted money and not excuses, was not to be put off by the ordinary artifices of Eastern negotiation. He instantly ordered the Rajah to be arrested and placed under the custody of two companies of sepoys.

In taking these strong measures, Hastings scarcely showed his usual judgment. It is probable that, having had little opportunity of personally observing any part of the population of India, except the Bengalees, he was not fully aware of the difference between their character and that of the tribes which inhabit the upper provinces. He was now in a land far more favourable to the vigour of the human frame than the Delta of the Ganges; in a land fruitful of soldiers, who have been found worthy to follow English battalions to the charge and into the breach. The Rajah was popular among his subjects. His administration had been mild; and the prosperity of the district which he governed presented a striking contrast to the depressed state of Bahar under our rule, and a still more striking contrast to the misery of the provinces which were cursed by the tyranny of the Nabob Vizier. The national and religious prejudices with which the English were re-

garded throughout India were peculiarly intense in the metropolis of the Brahminical superstition. It can therefore scarcely be doubted that the Governor-General, before he outraged the dignity of Cheyte Sing by an arrest, ought to have assembled a force capable of bearing down all opposition. This had not been done. The handful of sepoys who attended Hastings would probably have been sufficient to overawe Moorshedabad, or the Black Town of Calcutta. But they were unequal to a conflict with the hardy rabble of Benares. The streets surrounding the palace were filled by an immense multitude, of whom a large proportion, as is usual in Upper India, wore arms. The tumult became a fight, and the fight a massacre. The English officers defended themselves with desperate courage against overwhelming numbers, and fell, as became them, sword in hand. The sepoys were butchered. The gates were forced. The captive prince, neglected by his jailers during the confusion, discovered an outlet which opened on the precipitous bank of the Ganges, let himself down to the water by a string made of the turbans of his attendants, found a boat, and escaped to the opposite shore.

If Hastings had, by indiscreet violence, brought himself into a difficult and perilous situation, it is only just to acknowledge that he extricated himself with even more than his usual ability and presence of mind. He had only fifty men with him. The building in which he had taken up his residence was on every side blockaded by the insurgents. But his fortitude remained unshaken. The Rajah from the other side of the river sent apologies and liberal offers. They were not even answered. Some subtle and enterprising men were found who undertook to pass through the throng of enemies, and to convey the intelligence of the late events to the English cantonments. It is the fashion of the natives of India to wear large ear-rings of gold. When they travel, the rings are laid aside, lest the precious metal should tempt some gang of robbers, and in place of the ring, a quill or a roll of paper is inserted in the orifice to prevent it from closing. Hastings placed in the ears of his messengers letters rolled up in the smallest compass. Some of these letters were addressed to the commanders of the English troops. One was written to assure his wife of his safety. One was to the envoy whom he had sent

to negotiate with the Mahrattas. Instructions for the negotiation were needed; and the Governor-General framed them in that situation of extreme danger, with as much composure as if he had been writing in his palace at Calcutta.

Things, however, were not yet at the worst. An English officer of more spirit than judgment, eager to distinguish himself, made a premature attack on the insurgents beyond the river. His troops were entangled in narrow streets, and assailed by a furious population. He fell, with many of his men; and the survivors were forced to retire.

This event produced the effect which has never failed to follow every check, however slight, sustained in India by the English arms. For hundreds of miles round, the whole country was in commotion. The entire population of the district of Benares took arms. The fields were abandoned by the husbandmen, who thronged to defend their prince. The infection spread to Oude. The oppressed people of that province rose up against the Nabob Vizier, refused to pay their imposts, and put the revenue officers to flight. Even Bahar was ripe for revolt. The hopes of Cheyte Sing began to rise. Instead of imploring mercy in the humble style of a vassal, he began to talk the language of a conqueror, and threatened, it was said, to sweep the white usurpers out of the land. But the English troops were now assembling fast. The officers, and even the private men, regarded the Governor-General with enthusiastic attachment, and flew to his aid with an alacrity which, as he boasted, had never been shown on any other occasion. Major Popham, a brave and skilful soldier, who had highly distinguished himself in the Mahratta war, and in whom the Governor-General reposed the greatest confidence, took the command. The tumultuary army of the Rajah was put to rout. His fastnesses were stormed. In a few hours, above thirty thousand men left his standard, and returned to their ordinary avocations. The unhappy prince fled from his country for ever. His fair domain was added to the British dominions. One of his relations indeed was appointed rajah; but the Rajah of Benares was henceforth to be, like the Nabob of Bengal, a mere pensioner.

By this revolution, an addition of two hundred thousand pounds a year was made to the revenues of the Company. But the immediate relief was not as great as had been ex-

pected. The treasure laid up by Cheyte Sing had been popularly estimated at a million sterling. It turned out to be about a fourth part of that sum; and, such as it was, it was seized by the army, and divided as prize-money.

Disappointed in his expectations from Benares, Hastings was more violent than he would otherwise have been, in his dealings with Oude. Sujah Dowlah had long been dead. His son and successor, Asaph-ul-Dowlah, was one of the weakest and most vicious even of Eastern princes. His life was divided between torpid repose and the most odious forms of sensuality. In his court there was boundless waste, throughout his dominions wretchedness and disorder. He had been, under the skilful management of the English government, gradually sinking from the rank of an independent prince to that of a vassal of the Company. It was only by the help of a British brigade that he could be secure from the aggressions of neighbours who despised his weakness, and from the vengeance of subjects who detested his tyranny. A brigade was furnished; and he engaged to defray the charge of paying and maintaining it. From that time his independence was at an end. Hastings was not a man to lose the advantage which he had thus gained. The Nabob soon began to complain of the burden which he had undertaken to bear. His revenues, he said, were falling off; his servants were unpaid; he could no longer support the expense of the arrangement which he had sanctioned. Hastings would not listen to these representations. The Vizier, he said, had invited the government of Bengal to send him troops, and had promised to pay for them. The troops had been sent. How long the troops were to remain in Oude was a matter not settled by the treaty. It remained, therefore, to be settled between the contracting parties. But the contracting parties differed. Who then must decide? The stronger.

Hastings also argued that, if the English force was withdrawn, Oude would certainly become a prey to anarchy, and would probably be overrun by a Mahratta army. That the finances of Oude were embarrassed he admitted. But he contended, not without reason, that the embarrassment was to be attributed to the incapacity and vices of Asaph-ul-Dowlah himself, and that, if less were spent on the troops, the only effect would be that more would be squandered on worthless favourites.

Hastings had intended, after settling the affairs of Benares, to visit Lucknow, and there to confer with Asaph-ul-Dowlah. But the obsequious courtesy of the Nabob Vizier prevented this visit. With a small train he hastened to meet the Governor-General. An interview took place in the fortress which, from the crest of the precipitous rock of Chunar, looks down on the waters of the Ganges.

At first sight it might appear impossible that the negotiation should come to an amicable close. Hastings wanted an extraordinary supply of money. Asaph-ul-Dowlah wanted to obtain a remission of what he already owed. Such a difference seemed to admit of no compromise. There was, however, one course satisfactory to both sides, one course by which it was possible to relieve the finances both of Oude and of Bengal; and that course was adopted. It was simply this, that the Governor-General and the Nabob Vizier should join to rob a third party; and the third party whom they determined to rob was the parent of one of the robbers.

The mother of the late Nabob, and his wife, who was the mother of the present Nabob, were known as the Begums or Princesses of Oude. They had possessed great influence over Sujah Dowlah, and had, at his death, been left in possession of a splendid dotation. The domains of which they received the rents and administered the government were of wide extent. The treasure hoarded by the late Nabob, a treasure which was popularly estimated at near three millions sterling, was in their hands. They continued to occupy his favourite palace at Fyzabad, the Beautiful Dwelling; while Asaph-ul-Dowlah held his court in the stately Lucknow, which he had built for himself on the shores of the Goomti, and had adorned with noble mosques and colleges.

Asaph-ul-Dowlah had already extorted considerable sums from his mother. She had at length appealed to the English; and the English had interfered. A solemn compact had been made, by which she consented to give her son some pecuniary assistance, and he in his turn promised never to commit any further invasion of her rights. This compact was formally guaranteed by the government of Bengal. But times had changed; money was wanted; and the power which had given the guarantee was not ashamed to instigate the spoiler to excesses such that even he shrank from them.

It was necessary to find some pretext for a confiscation inconsistent, not merely with plighted faith, not merely with the ordinary rules of humanity and justice, but also with that great law of filial piety which, even in the wildest tribes of savages, even in those more degraded communities which wither under the influence of a corrupt half-civilization, retains a certain authority over the human mind. A pretext was the last thing that Hastings was likely to want. The insurrection at Benares had produced disturbances in Oude. These disturbances it was convenient to impute to the Princesses. Evidence for the imputation there was scarcely any; unless reports wandering from one mouth to another, and gaining something by every transmission, may be called evidence. The accused were furnished with no charge; they were permitted to make no defence; for the Governor-General wisely considered that, if he tried them, he might not be able to find a ground for plundering them. It was agreed between him and the Nabob Vizier that the noble ladies should, by a sweeping measure of confiscation, be stripped of their domains and treasures for the benefit of the Company, and that the sums thus obtained should be accepted by the government of Bengal in satisfaction of its claims on the government of Oude.

While Asaph-ul-Dowlah was at Chunar, he was completely subjugated by the clear and commanding intellect of the English statesman. But when they had separated, the Vizier began to reflect with uneasiness on the engagement into which he had entered. His mother and grandmother protested and implored. His heart, deeply corrupted by absolute power and licentious pleasures, yet not naturally unfeeling, failed him in this crisis. Even the English resident at Lucknow, though hitherto devoted to Hastings, shrank from extreme measures. But the Governor-General was inexorable. He wrote to the resident in terms of the greatest severity, and declared that, if the spoliation which had been agreed upon were not instantly carried into effect, he would himself go to Lucknow, and do that from which feebler minds recoil with dismay. The resident, thus menaced, waited on his Highness, and insisted that the treaty of Chunar should be carried into full and immediate effect. Asaph-ul-Dowlah yielded, making at the same time a solemn protestation that he yielded to compul-

sion. The lands were resumed; but the treasure was not so easily obtained. It was necessary to use violence. A body of the Company's troops marched to Fyzabad, and forced the gates of the palace. The Princesses were confined to their own apartments. But still they refused to submit. Some more stringent mode of coercion was to be found. A mode was found of which, even at this distance of time, we cannot speak without shame and sorrow.

There were at Fyzabad two ancient men, belonging to that unhappy class which a practice, of immemorial antiquity in the East, has excluded from the pleasures of love and from the hope of posterity. It has always been held in Asiatic courts that beings thus estranged from sympathy with their kind are those whom princes may most safely trust. Sujah Dowlah had been of this opinion. He had given his entire confidence to the two eunuchs; and after his death they remained at the head of the household of his widow.

These two men were, by the orders of the British government, seized, imprisoned, ironed, starved almost to death, in order to extort money from the Princesses. After they had been two months in confinement, their health gave way. They implored permission to take a little exercise in the garden of their prison. The officer who was in charge of them stated that, if they were allowed this indulgence, there was not the smallest chance of their escaping, and that their irons really added nothing to the security of the custody in which they were kept. He did not understand the plan of his superiors. Their object in these inflictions was not security but torture; and all mitigation was refused. Yet this was not the worst. It was resolved by an English government that these two infirm old men should be delivered to the tormentors. For that purpose they were removed to Lucknow. What horrors their dungeon there witnessed can only be guessed. But there remains on the records of Parliament, this letter, written by a British resident to a British soldier.

"Sir, the Nabob having determined to inflict corporal punishment upon the prisoners under your guard, this is to desire that his officers, when they shall come, may have free access to the prisoners, and be permitted to do with them as they shall see proper."

While these barbarities were perpetrated at Lucknow,

the Princesses were still under duresse at Fyzabad. Food was allowed to enter their apartments only in such scanty quantities that their female attendants were in danger of perishing with hunger. Month after month this cruelty continued, till at length, after twelve hundred thousand pounds had been wrung out of the Princesses, Hastings began to think that he had really got to the bottom of their revenue, and that no rigour could extort more. Then at length the wretched men who were detained at Lucknow regained their liberty. When their irons were knocked off, and the doors of their prison opened, their quivering lips, the tears which ran down their cheeks, and the thanksgivings which they poured forth to the common Father of Mussulmans and Christians, melted even the stout hearts of the English warriors who stood by.

There is a man to whom the conduct of Hastings, through the whole of these proceedings, appears not only excusable but laudable. There is a man who tells us that he "must really be pardoned if he ventures to characterize as something pre-eminently ridiculous and wicked, the sensibility which would balance against the preservation of British India a little personal suffering, which was applied only so long as the sufferers refused to deliver up a portion of that wealth, the whole of which their own and their mistresses' treason had forfeited." We cannot, we must own, envy the reverend biographer, either his singular notion of what constitutes pre-eminent wickedness, or his equally singular perception of the pre-eminently ridiculous. Is this the generosity of an English soldier? Is this the charity of a Christian priest? Could neither of Mr Gleig's professions teach him the first rudiments of morality? Or is morality a thing which may be well enough in sermons, but which has nothing to do with biography?

But we must not forget to do justice to Sir Elijah Impey's conduct on this occasion. It was not indeed easy for him to intrude himself into a business so entirely alien from all his official duties. But there was something inexpressibly alluring, we must suppose, in the peculiar rankness of the infamy which was then to be got at Lucknow. He hurried thither as fast as relays of palanquin-bearers could carry him. A crowd of people came before him with affidavits against the Begums, ready drawn in their hands. Those affidavits

he did not read. Some of them, indeed, he could not read; for they were in the dialects of Northern India, and no interpreter was employed.* He administered the oath to the deponents, with all possible expedition, and asked not a single question, not even whether they had perused the statements to which they swore. This work performed, he got again into his palanquin, and posted back to Calcutta, to be in time for the opening of term. The cause was one which, by his own confession, lay altogether out of his jurisdiction. Under the charter of justice, he had no more right to inquire into crimes committed by natives in Oude than the Lord President of the Court of Session of Scotland to hold an assize at Exeter. He had no right to try the Begums, nor did he pretend to try them. With what object, then, did he undertake so long a journey? Evidently in order that he might give, in an irregular manner, that sanction which in a regular manner he could not give, to the crimes of those who had recently hired him; and in order that a confused mass of testimony which he did not sift, which he did not even read, might acquire an authority not properly belonging to it, from the signature of the highest judicial functionary in India.

The time was approaching, however, when he was to be stripped of that robe which has never, since the Revolution, been disgraced so foully as by him. The state of India had for some time occupied much of the attention of the British Parliament. Towards the close of the American war, two committees of the Commons sat on Eastern affairs. In one Edmund Burke took the lead. The other was under the presidency of the able and versatile Henry Dundas, then Lord Advocate of Scotland. Great as are the changes which, during the last sixty years, have taken place in our

* This passage has been slightly altered. As it originally stood, Sir Elijah Impey was described as ignorant of all the native languages in which the depositions were drawn. A writer who apparently has had access to some private source of information has contradicted this statement, and has asserted that Sir Elijah knew Persian and Bengalee. Some of the depositions were certainly in Persian. Those therefore Sir Elijah might have read if he had chosen to do so. But others were in the vernacular dialects of Upper India, with which it is not alleged that he had any acquaintance. Why the Bengalee is mentioned it is not easy to guess. Bengalee at Lucknow would have been as useless as Portuguese in Switzerland.

Asiatic dominions, the reports which those committees laid on the table of the House will still be found most interesting and instructive.

There was as yet no connection between the Company and either of the great parties in the state. The ministers had no motive to defend Indian abuses. On the contrary, it was for their interest to show, if possible, that the government and patronage of our Oriental empire might, with advantage, be transferred to themselves. The votes therefore which, in consequence of the reports made by the two committees, were passed by the Commons, breathed the spirit of stern and indignant justice. The severest epithets were applied to several of the measures of Hastings, especially to the Rohilla war; and it was resolved, on the motion of Mr Dundas, that the Company ought to recall a Governor-General who had brought such calamities on the Indian people, and such dishonour on the British name. An act was passed for limiting the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. The bargain which Hastings had made with the Chief Justice was condemned in the strongest terms; and an address was presented to the King, praying that Impey might be ordered home to answer for his misdeeds.

Impey was recalled by a letter from the Secretary of State. But the proprietors of India Stock resolutely refused to dismiss Hastings from their service, and passed a resolution affirming, what was undeniably true, that they were intrusted by law with the right of naming and removing their Governor-General, and that they were not bound to obey the direction of a single branch of the legislature with respect to such nomination or removal.

Thus supported by his employers, Hastings remained at the head of the government of Bengal till the spring of 1785. His administration, so eventful and stormy, closed in almost perfect quiet. In the Council there was no regular opposition to his measures. Peace was restored to India. The Mahratta war had ceased. Hyder was no more. A treaty had been concluded with his son, Tippoo; and the Carnatic had been evacuated by the armies of Mysore. Since the termination of the American war, England had no European enemy or rival in the Eastern seas.

On a general review of the long administration of Hastings, it is impossible to deny that, against the great crimes

which it is blemished, we have to set off great public vices. England had passed through a perilous crisis. e still, indeed, maintained her place in the foremost nk of European powers; and the manner in which she had fended herself against fearful odds had inspired surround- g nations with a high opinion both of her spirit and of her ength. Nevertheless, in every part of the world, except e, she had been a loser. Not only had she been compelled acknowledge the independence of thirteen colonies opled by her children, and to conciliate the Irish by giving the right of legislating for them; but, in the Mediterra- an, in the Gulf of Mexico, on the coast of Africa, on the ntinent of America, she had been compelled to cede the its of her victories in former wars. Spain regained Minorca d Florida; France regained Senegal, Goree, and several est Indian Islands. The only quarter of the world in which itain had lost nothing was the quarter in which her inter- s had been committed to the care of Hastings. In spite the utmost exertions both of European and Asiatic enemies, e power of our country in the East had been greatly aug- nted. Benares was subjected; the Nabob Vizier reduced vassalage. That our influence had been thus extended, y, that Fort William and Fort St George had not been cupied by hostile armies, was owing, if we may trust the neral voice of the English in India, to the skill and resolu- n of Hastings.

His internal administration, with all its blemishes, gives n a title to be considered as one of the most remarkable n in our history. He dissolved the double government. transferred the direction of affairs to English hands. t of a frightful anarchy, he educed at least a rude and perfect order. The whole organization by which justice s dispensed, revenue collected, peace maintained through- a territory not inferior in population to the dominions Louis the Sixteenth or of the Emperor Joseph, was formed d superintended by him. He boasted that every public ce, without exception, which existed when he left Bengal, s his creation. It is quite true that this system, after the improvements suggested by the experience of ty years, still needs improvement, and that it was first far more defective than it now is. But whoever iously considers what it is to construct from the beginning

the whole of a machine so vast and complex as a governmen will allow that what Hastings effected deserves high admira tion. To compare the most celebrated European minister to him seems to us as unjust as it would be to compare th best baker in London with Robinson Crusoe, who, befor he could bake a single loaf, had to make his plough an his harrow, his fences and his scarecrows, his sickle and h flail, his mill and his oven.

The just fame of Hastings rises still higher, when w reflect that he was not bred a statesman; that he was ser from school to a counting-house; and that he was employe during the prime of his manhood as a commercial agen far from all intellectual society.

Nor must we forget that all, or almost all, to whom when placed at the head of affairs, he could apply fo assistance, were persons who owed as little as himself, c less than himself, to education. A minister in Euro finds himself, on the first day on which he commences h functions, surrounded by experienced public servants, th depositaries of official traditions. Hastings had no suc help. His own reflection, his own energy, were to suppl the place of all Downing Street and Somerset House. Havin had no facilities for learning, he was forced to teach. H had first to form himself, and then to form his instrument and this not in a single department, but in all the depar ments of the administration.

It must be added that, while engaged in this most arduou task, he was constantly trammelled by orders from hom and frequently borne down by a majority in council. Th preservation of an Empire from a formidable combinatio of foreign enemies, the construction of a government in a its parts, were accomplished by him, while every sh brought out bales of censure from his employers, and whi the records of every consultation were filled with acrimon ous minutes by his colleagues. We believe that the never was a public man whose temper was so severe tried; not Marlborough, when thwarted by the Dut Deputies; not Wellington, when he had to deal at on with the Portuguese Regency, the Spanish Juntas, and M Percival. But the temper of Hastings was equal to almo any trial. It was not sweet; but it was calm. Quick ar vigorous as his intellect was, the patience with which h

ndured the most cruel vexations till a remedy could be ound, resembled the patience of stupidity. He seems to ave been capable of resentment, bitter and long-enduring; et his resentment so seldom hurried him into any blunder iat it may be doubted whether what appeared to be venge was any thing but policy.

The effect of this singular equanimity was that he always id the full command of all the resources of one of the most rtile minds that ever existed. Accordingly no complica- on of perils and embarrassments could perplex him. For ery difficulty he had a contrivance ready; and, whatever ay be thought of the justice and humanity of some of his ntrivances, it is certain that they seldom failed to serve e purpose for which they were designed.

Together with this extraordinary talent for devising ex- dients, Hastings possessed, in a very high degree, another lent scarcely less necessary to a man in his situation; e mean the talent for conducting political controversy. is as necessary to an English statesman in the East at he should be able to write, as it is to a minister in this untry that he should be able to speak. It is chiefly by e oratory of a public man here that the nation judges of s powers. It is from the letters and reports of a public an in India that the dispensers of patronage form their timate of him. In each case, the talent which receives culiar encouragement is developed, perhaps at the expense the other powers. In this country, we sometimes hear en speak above their abilities. It is not very unusual to d gentlemen in the Indian service who write above their ilities. The English politician is a little too much of a de- ter; the Indian politician a little too much of an essayist. Of the numerous servants of the Company who have dis- guished themselves as framers of minutes and despatches, astings stands at the head. He was indeed the person o gave to the official writing of the Indian governments e character which it still retains. He was matched against common antagonist. But even Francis was forced to knowledge, with sullen and resentful candour, that there s no contending against the pen of Hastings. And, in th, the Governor-General's power of making out a case, perplexing what it was inconvenient that people should derstand, and of setting in the clearest point of view

whatever would bear the light, was incomparable. His style must be praised with some reservation. It was in general forcible, pure, and polished; but it was sometimes though not often, turgid, and, on one or two occasions, even bombastic. Perhaps the fondness of Hastings for Persian literature may have tended to corrupt his taste.

And, since we have referred to his literary tastes, it would be most unjust not to praise the judicious encouragement which, as a ruler, he gave to liberal studies and curious researches. His patronage was extended, with prudent generosity, to voyages, travels, experiments, publications. He did little, it is true, towards introducing into India the learning of the West. To make the young natives of Bengal familiar with Milton and Adam Smith, to substitute the geography, astronomy, and surgery of Europe for the dotages of the Brahminical superstition, or for the imperfect science of ancient Greece transfused through Arabian expositions this was a scheme reserved to crown the beneficent administration of a far more virtuous ruler. Still, it is impossible to refuse high commendation to a man who, taken from the ledger to govern an empire, overwhelmed by public business, surrounded by people as busy as himself, and separated by thousands of leagues from almost all literary society, gave both by his example and by his munificence, a great impulse to learning. In Persian and Arabic literature he was deeply skilled. With the Sanscrit he was not himself acquainted, but those who first brought that language to the knowledge of European students owed much to his encouragement. It was under his protection that the Asiatic Society commenced its honourable career. That distinguished body selected him to be its first president; but, with excellent taste and feeling, he declined the honour in favour of Sir William Jones. But the chief advantage which the student of Oriental letters derived from his patronage remains to be mentioned. The Pundits of Bengal had always looked with great jealousy on the attempts of foreigners to pry into those mysteries which were locked up in the sacred dialect. Their religion had been persecuted by the Mahommedans. What they knew of the spirit of the Portuguese government might warrant them in apprehending persecution from Christians. That apprehension, the wisdom and moderation of Hastings removed. He was the first foreig

ler who succeeded in gaining the confidence of the heredi-
ry priests of India, and who induced them to lay open to
nglish scholars the secrets of the old Brahminical theology
id jurisprudence.

It is indeed impossible to deny that, in the great art of in-
iring large masses of human beings with confidence and at-
chment, no ruler ever surpassed Hastings. If he had made
mself popular with the English by giving up the Bengalese
extortion and oppression, or if, on the other hand, he
d conciliated the Bengalese and alienated the English,
ere would have been no cause for wonder. What is peculiar
him is that, being the chief of a small band of strangers
no exercised boundless power over a great indigenous
opulation, he made himself beloved both by the subject
any and by the dominant few. The affection felt for him
the civil service was singularly ardent and constant.
rough all his disasters and perils, his brethren stood by
m with steadfast loyalty. The army, at the same time,
ved him as armies have seldom loved any but the greatest
iefs who have led them to victory. Even in his disputes
th distinguished military men, he could always count
the support of the military profession. While such was
s empire over the hearts of his countrymen, he enjoyed
nong the natives a popularity, such as other governors
ve perhaps better merited, but such as no other governor
s been able to attain. He spoke their vernacular dialects
th facility and precision. He was intimately acquainted
th their feelings and usages. On one or two occasions,
great ends, he deliberately acted in defiance of their
inion; but on such occasions he gained more in their
pect than he lost in their love. In general, he carefully
oided all that could shock their national or religious
judices. His administration was indeed in many respects
lty; but the Bengalee standard of good government
s not high. Under the Nabobs, the hurricane of Mahratta
valry had passed annually over the rich alluvial plain.
t even the Mahratta shrank from a conflict with the mighty
ldren of the sea; and the immense rice-harvests of the
wer Ganges were safely gathered in, under the protection
the English sword. The first English conquerors had
n more rapacious and merciless even than the Mahrattas;
that generation had passed away. Defective as was the

police, heavy as were the public burdens, it is probable that the oldest man in Bengal could not recollect a season of equal security and prosperity. For the first time within living memory, the province was placed under a government strong enough to prevent others from robbing, and not inclined to play the robber itself. These things inspired good-will. At the same time, the constant success of Hastings and the manner in which he extricated himself from every difficulty made him an object of superstitious admiration; and the more than regal splendour which he sometimes displayed dazzled a people who have much in common with children. Even now, after the lapse of more than fifty years, the natives of India still talk of him as the greatest of the English; and nurses sing children to sleep with a jingling ballad about the fleet horses and richly caparisoned elephants of Sahib Warren Hostein.

The gravest offences of which Hastings was guilty did not affect his popularity with the people of Bengal; for those offences were committed against neighbouring states. Those offences, as our readers must have perceived, we are not disposed to vindicate; yet, in order that the censure may be justly apportioned to the transgression, it is fit that the motive of the criminal should be taken into consideration. The motive which prompted the worst acts of Hastings was misdirected and ill-regulated public spirit. The rules of justice, the sentiments of humanity, the plighted faith of treaties, were in his view as nothing, when opposed to the immediate interest of the state. This is no justification, according to the principles either of morality, or of what we believe to be identical with morality, namely, far-sighted policy. Nevertheless the common sense of mankind, which in questions of this sort seldom goes far wrong, will always recognise a distinction between crimes which originate in an inordinate zeal for the common wealth, and crimes which originate in selfish cupidity. To the benefit of this distinction Hastings is fairly entitled. There is, we conceive, no reason to suspect that the Rohilla war, the revolution of Benares, or the spoliation of the Princesses of Oude, added a rupee to his fortune. We will not affirm that, in all pecuniary dealings, he showed that punctilious integrity, that dread of the faintest appearance of evil, which is now the glory of the Indian civil service

ıt when the school in which he had been trained and e temptations to which he was exposed are considered, e are more inclined to praise him for his general upright- ss with respect to money, than rigidly to blame him for few transactions which would now be called indelicate d irregular, but which even now would hardly be desig- ted as corrupt. A rapacious man he certainly was not. ad he been so, he would infallibly have returned to his untry the richest subject in Europe. We speak within mpass, when we say that, without applying any extra- dinary pressure, he might easily have obtained from the mindars of the Company's provinces and from neighbour- g princes, in the course of thirteen years, more than three llions sterling, and might have outshone the splendour Carlton House and of the *Palais Royal*. He brought me a fortune such as a Governor-General, fond of state, d careless of thrift, might easily, during so long a tenure office, save out of his legal salary. Mrs Hastings, we e afraid, was less scrupulous. It was generally believed at she accepted presents with great alacrity, and that e thus formed, without the connivance of her husband, private hoard amounting to several lacs of rupees. We e the more inclined to give credit to this story, because Gleig, who cannot but have heard it, does not, as far we have observed, notice or contradict it.

The influence of Mrs Hastings over her husband was leed such that she might easily have obtained much ger sums than she was ever accused of receiving. At gth her health began to give way; and the Governor- neral, much against his will, was compelled to send to England. He seems to have loved her with that e which is peculiar to men of strong minds, to men ose affection is not easily won or widely diffused. The k of Calcutta ran for some time on the luxurious manner which he fitted up the round-house of an Indiaman for accommodation, on the profusion of sandal-wood and ved ivory which adorned her cabin, and on the thousands rupees which had been expended in order to procure her the society of an agreeable female companion dur- the voyage. We may remark here that the letters of stings to his wife are exceedingly characteristic. They tender, and full of indications of esteem and confidence;

but, at the same time, a little more ceremonious than usual in so intimate a relation. The solemn courtesy wit which he compliments "his elegant Marian" reminds u now and then of the dignified air with which Sir Charl Grandison bowed over Miss Byron's hand in the ced parlour.

After some months Hastings prepared to follow his wi to England. When it was announced that he was abo to quit his office, the feeling of the society which he ha so long governed manifested itself by many signs. A dresses poured in from Europeans and Asiatics, from civ functionaries, soldiers, and traders. On the day on whic he delivered up the keys of office, a crowd of friends an admirers formed a lane to the quay where he embarke Several barges escorted him far down the river; and son attached friends refused to quit him till the low coast Bengal was fading from the view, and till the pilot w leaving the ship.

Of his voyage little is known, except that he amuse himself with books and with his pen; and that, amo the compositions by which he beguiled the tediousness that long leisure, was a pleasing imitation of Horace's *Otiu Divos rogat*. This little poem was inscribed to Mr Sho afterwards Lord Teignmouth, a man of whose integrit humanity, and honour, it is impossible to speak too highly but who, like some other excellent members of the civ service, extended to the conduct of his friend Hastings a indulgence of which his own conduct never stood in need.

The voyage was, for those times, very speedy. Hastin was little more than four months on the sea. In Jun 1785, he landed at Plymouth, posted to London, appeare at Court, paid his respects in Leadenhall Street, and the retired with his wife to Cheltenham.

He was greatly pleased with his reception. The Kin treated him with marked distinction. The Queen, wh had already incurred much censure on account of the favo which, in spite of the ordinary severity of her virtue, sh had shown to the "elegant Marian," was not less gracio to Hastings. The Directors received him in a solem sitting; and their chairman read to him a vote of thank which they had passed without one dissentient voic "I find myself," said Hastings, in a letter written abo

quarter of a year after his arrival in England, "I find yself every where, and universally, treated with evidences, pparent even to my own observation, that I possess the od opinion of my country."

The confident and exultant tone of his correspondence out this time is the more remarkable, because he had eady received ample notice of the attack which was in eparation. Within a week after he landed at Plymouth, urke gave notice in the House of Commons of a motion riously affecting a gentleman lately returned from India. he session, however, was then so far advanced, that it was possible to enter on so extensive and important a subject. Hastings, it is clear, was not sensible of the danger of s position. Indeed that sagacity, that judgment, that adiness in devising expedients, which had distinguished n in the East, seemed now to have forsaken him; not at his abilities were at all impaired; not that he was t still the same man who had triumphed over Francis d Nuncomar, who had made the Chief Justice and the abob Vizier his tools, who had deposed Cheyte Sing, and pelled Hyder Ali. But an oak, as Mr Grattan finely d, should not be transplanted at fifty. A man who, ving left England when a boy, returns to it after thirty forty years passed in India, will find, be his talents what ey may, that he has much both to learn and to unlearn fore he can take a place among English statesmen. The rking of a representative system, the war of parties, e arts of debate, the influence of the press, are startling velties to him. Surrounded on every side by new ma-nes and new tactics, he is as much bewildered as Hannibal uld have been at Waterloo, or Themistocles at Trafalgar. s very acuteness deludes him. His very vigour causes n to stumble. The more correct his maxims, when plied to the state of society to which he is accustomed, e more certain they are to lead him astray. This was ikingly the case with Hastings. In India he had a bad nd; but he was master of the game, and he won every ke. In England he held excellent cards, if he had known w to play them; and it was chiefly by his own errors that was brought to the verge of ruin.

Of all his errors the most serious was perhaps the choice a champion. Clive, in similar circumstances, had made

a singularly happy selection. He put himself into th hands of Wedderburn, afterwards Lord Loughborough one of the few great advocates who have also been grea in the House of Commons. To the defence of Clive, there fore, nothing was wanting, neither learning nor knowledg of the world, neither forensic acuteness nor that eloquenc which charms political assemblies. Hastings intrusted h interests to a very different person, a major in the Benga army, named Scott. This gentleman had been sent ove from India some time before as the agent of the Governo General. It was rumoured that his services were rewarde with Oriental munificence ; and we believe that he receive much more than Hastings could conveniently spare. Th major obtained a seat in Parliament, and was there re garded as the organ of his employer. It was evidentl impossible that a gentleman so situated could speak wit the authority which belongs to an independent positio Nor had the agent of Hastings the talents necessary fo obtaining the ear of an assembly which, accustomed t listen to great orators, had naturally become fastidiou He was always on his legs ; he was very tedious ; an he had only one topic, the merits and wrongs of Hasting Every body who knows the House of Commons will easil guess what followed. The Major was soon considere as the greatest bore of his time. His exertions were n confined to Parliament. There was hardly a day on whic the newspapers did not contain some puff upon Hastin signed *Asiaticus* or *Bengalensis*, but known to be writte by the indefatigable Scott ; and hardly a month in whic some bulky pamphlet on the same subject, and from th same pen, did not pass to the trunk-makers and the pastr cooks. As to this gentleman's capacity for conducting delicate question through Parliament, our readers w want no evidence beyond that which they will find in lette preserved in these volumes. We will give a single specim of his temper and judgment. He designated the greate man then living as " that reptile Mr. Burke."

In spite, however, of this unfortunate choice, the gener aspect of affairs was favourable to Hastings. The Ki was on his side. The Company and its servants we zealous in his cause. Among public men he had ma ardent friends. Such were Lord Mansfield, who had ou

lived the vigour of his body, but not that of his mind; and Lord Lansdowne, who, though unconnected with any party, retained the importance which belongs to great talents and knowledge. The ministers were generally believed to be favourable to the late Governor-General. They owed their power to the clamour which had been raised against Mr Fox's East India Bill. The authors of that bill, when accused of invading vested rights, and of setting up powers unknown to the constitution, had defended themselves by pointing to the crimes of Hastings, and by arguing that abuses so extraordinary justified extraordinary measures. Those who, by opposing that bill, had raised themselves to the head of affairs, would naturally be inclined to extenuate the evils which had been made the plea for administering so violent a remedy; and such, in fact, was their general disposition. The Lord Chancellor Thurlow, in particular, whose great place and force of intellect gave him a weight in the government inferior only to that of Mr Pitt, espoused the cause of Hastings with indecorous violence. Mr Pitt, though he had censured many parts of the Indian system, had studiously abstained from saying a word against the late chief of the Indian government. To Major Scott, indeed, the young minister had in private extolled Hastings as a great, a wonderful man, who had the highest claims on the government. There was only one objection to granting all that so eminent a servant of the public could ask. The resolution of censure still remained on the Journals of the House of Commons. That resolution was, indeed, unjust; but, till it was rescinded, could the minister advise the King to bestow any mark of approbation on the person censured? If Major Scott is to be trusted, Mr Pitt declared that this was the only reason which prevented the government from conferring a peerage on the late Governor-General. Mr Dundas was the only important member of the administration who was deeply committed to a different view of the subject. He had moved the resolutions which created the difficulty; but even from him little was to be apprehended. Since he presided over the committee on Eastern affairs, great changes had taken place. He was surrounded by new allies; he had fixed his hopes on new objects; and whatever may have been his good qualities,—and he

had many,—flattery itself never reckoned rigid consistency in the number.

From the ministry, therefore, Hastings had every reason to expect support; and the ministry was very powerful. The Opposition was loud and vehement against him. But the Opposition, though formidable from the wealth and influence of some of its members, and from the admirable talents and eloquence of others, was outnumbered in parliament, and odious throughout the country. Nor, as far as we can judge, was the Opposition generally desirous to engage in so serious an undertaking as the impeachment of an Indian Governor. Such an impeachment must last for years. It must impose on the chiefs of the party an immense load of labour. Yet it could scarcely, in any manner, affect the event of the great political game. The followers of the coalition were therefore more inclined to revile Hastings than to prosecute him. They lost no opportunity of coupling his name with the names of the most hateful tyrants of whom history makes mention. The wits of Brooks's aimed their keenest sarcasms both at his public and at his domestic life. Some fine diamonds which he had presented, as it was rumoured, to the royal family, and a certain richly carved ivory bed which the Queen had done him the honour to accept from him, were favourite subjects of ridicule. One lively poet proposed that the great acts of the fair Marian's present husband should be immortalized by the pencil of his predecessor; and that Imhoff should be employed to embellish the House of Commons with paintings of the bleeding Rohillas, of Nuncomar swinging, of Cheyte Sing letting himself down to the Ganges. Another, in an exquisitely humorous parody of Virgil's third eclogue, propounded the question what that mineral could be of which the rays had power to make the most austere of princesses the friend of a wanton. A third described, with gay malevolence, the gorgeous appearance of Mrs Hastings at St James's, the galaxy of jewels, torn from Indian Begums, which adorned her head-dress, her necklace gleaming with future votes, and the depending questions that shone upon her ears. Satirical attacks of this description, and perhaps a motion for a vote of censure, would have satisfied the great body of the Opposition. But there were two men whose indig-

nation was not to be so appeased, Philip Francis and Edmund Burke.

Francis had recently entered the House of Commons, and had already established a character there for industry and talent. He laboured indeed under one most unfortunate defect, want of fluency. But he occasionally expressed himself with a dignity and energy worthy of the greatest orators. Before he had been many days in parliament, he incurred the bitter dislike of Pitt, who constantly treated him with as much asperity as the laws of debate would allow. Neither lapse of years nor change of scene had mitigated the enmities which Francis had brought back from the East. After his usual fashion, he mistook his malevolence for virtue, nursed it, as preachers tell us that we ought to nurse our good dispositions, and paraded it, on all occasions, with Pharisaical ostentation.

The zeal of Burke was still fiercer; but it was far purer. Men unable to understand the elevation of his mind have tried to find out some discreditable motive for the vehemence and pertinacity which he showed on this occasion. But they have altogether failed. The idle story that he had some private slight to revenge has long been given up, even by the advocates of Hastings. Mr Gleig supposes that Burke was actuated by party spirit, that he retained a bitter remembrance of the fall of the coalition, that he attributed that fall to the exertions of the East India interest, and that he considered Hastings as the head and the representative of that interest. This explanation seems to be sufficiently refuted by a reference to dates. The hostility of Burke to Hastings commenced long before the coalition; and lasted long after Burke had become a strenuous supporter of those by whom the coalition had been defeated. It began when Burke and Fox, closely allied together, were attacking the influence of the crown, and calling for peace with the American republic. It continued till Burke, alienated from Fox, and loaded with the favours of the crown, died, preaching a crusade against the French republic. It seems absurd to attribute to the events of 1784 an enmity which began in 1781, and which retained undiminished force long after persons far more deeply implicated than Hastings in the events of 1784 had been cordially forgiven. And why should we look

for any other explanation of Burke's conduct than that which we find on the surface? The plain truth is that Hastings had committed some great crimes, and that the thought of those crimes made the blood of Burke boil in his veins. For Burke was a man in whom compassion for suffering, and hatred of injustice and tyranny, were as strong as in Las Casas or Clarkson. And although in him, as in Las Casas and in Clarkson, these noble feelings were alloyed with the infirmity which belongs to human nature, he is, like them, entitled to this great praise, that he devoted years of intense labour to the service of a people with whom he had neither blood nor language, neither religion nor manners in common, and from whom no requital, no thanks, no applause, could be expected.

His knowledge of India was such as few even of those Europeans who have passed many years in that country have attained, and such as certainly was never attained by any public man who had not quitted Europe. He had studied the history, the laws, and the usages of the East with an industry such as is seldom found united to so much genius and so much sensibility. Others have perhaps been equally laborious, and have collected an equal mass of materials. But the manner in which Burke brought his higher powers of intellect to work on statements of facts, and on tables of figures, was peculiar to himself. In every part of those huge bales of Indian information which repelled almost all other readers, his mind, at once philosophical and poetical, found something to instruct or to delight. His reason analysed and digested those vast and shapeless masses; his imagination animated and coloured them. Out of darkness, and dulness, and confusion, he formed a multitude of ingenious theories and vivid pictures. He had, in the highest degree, that noble faculty whereby man is able to live in the past and in the future, in the distant and in the unreal. India and its inhabitants were not to him, as to most Englishmen, mere names and abstractions, but a real country and a real people. The burning sun, the strange vegetation of the palm and the cocoa tree, the rice-field, the tank, the huge trees, older than the Mogul empire, under which the village crowds assemble, the thatched roof of the peasant's hut, the rich tracery of the mosque where the imaum prays with

his face to Mecca, the drums, and banners, and gaudy dols, the devotees swinging in the air, the graceful maiden, with the pitcher on her head, descending the steps to the river-side, the black faces, the long beards, the yellow streaks of sect, the turbans and the flowing robes, the spears and the silver maces, the elephants with their canopies of state, the gorgeous palanquin of the prince, and the close litter of the noble lady, all those things were to him as the objects amidst which his own life had been passed, as the objects which lay on the road between Beaconsfield and St James's Street. All India was present to the eye of his mind, from the halls where suitors laid gold and perfumes at the feet of sovereigns to the wild moor where the gipsy camp was pitched, from the bazars, humming like bee-hives with the crowd of buyers and sellers, to the jungle where the lonely courier shakes his bunch of iron rings to scare away the hyenas. He had just as lively an idea of the insurrection at Benares as of Lord George Gordon's riots, and of the execution of Nuncomar as of the execution of Dr Dodd. Oppression in Bengal was to him the same thing as oppression in the streets of London.

He saw that Hastings had been guilty of some most unjustifiable acts. All that followed was natural and necessary in a mind like Burke's. His imagination and his passions, once excited, hurried him beyond the bounds of justice and good sense. His reason, powerful as it was, became the slave of feelings which it should have controlled. His indignation, virtuous in its origin, acquired too much of the character of personal aversion. He could see no mitigating circumstance, no redeeming merit. His temper, which, though generous and affectionate, had always been irritable, had now been made almost savage by bodily infirmities and mental vexations. Conscious of great powers and great virtues, he found himself, in age and poverty, a mark for the hatred of a perfidious court and a deluded people. In Parliament his eloquence was out of date. A young generation, which knew him not, had filled the House. Whenever he rose to speak, his voice was drowned by the unseemly interruptions of lads who were in their cradles when his orations on the Stamp Act called forth the applause of the great Earl of Chatham.

These things had produced on his proud and sensitive spirit an effect at which we cannot wonder. He could no longer discuss any question with calmness, or make allowance for honest differences of opinion. Those who think that he was more violent and acrimonious in debates about India than on other occasions are ill informed respecting the last years of his life. In the discussions on the Commercial Treaty with the Court of Versailles, on the Regency, on the French Revolution, he showed even more virulence than in conducting the impeachment. Indeed it may be remarked that the very persons who called him a mischievous maniac, for condemning in burning words the Rohilla war and the spoliation of the Begums, exalted him into a prophet as soon as he began to declaim, with greater vehemence, and not with greater reason, against the taking of the Bastile and the insults offered to Marie Antoinette. To us he appears to have been neither a maniac in the former case, nor a prophet in the latter, but in both cases a great and good man, led into extravagance by a tempestuous sensibility which domineered over all his faculties.

It may be doubted whether the personal antipathy of Francis, or the nobler indignation of Burke, would have led their party to adopt extreme measures against Hastings, if his own conduct had been judicious. He should have felt that, great as his public services had been, he was not faultless; and should have been content to make his escape, without aspiring to the honours of a triumph. He and his agent took a different view. They were impatient for the rewards which, as they conceived, were deferred only till Burke's attack should be over. They accordingly resolved to force on a decisive action, with an enemy for whom, if they had been wise, they would have made a bridge of gold. On the first day of the session of 1786, Major Scott reminded Burke of the notice given in the preceding year, and asked whether it was seriously intended to bring any charge against the late Governor-General. This challenge left no course open to the Opposition, except to come forward as accusers, or to acknowledge themselves calumniators. The administration of Hastings had not been so blameless, nor was the great party of Fox and North so feeble, that it could be prudent to venture on so

bold a defiance. The leaders of the Opposition instantly returned the only answer which they could with honour return; and the whole party was irrevocably pledged to a prosecution.

Burke began his operations by applying for Papers. Some of the documents for which he asked were refused by the ministers, who, in the debate, held language such as strongly confirmed the prevailing opinion, that they intended to support Hastings. In April the charges were laid on the table. They had been drawn by Burke with great ability, though in a form too much resembling that of a pamphlet. Hastings was furnished with a copy of the accusation; and it was intimated to him that he might, if he thought fit, be heard in his own defence at the bar of the Commons.

Here again Hastings was pursued by the same fatality which had attended him ever since the day when he set foot on English ground. It seemed to be decreed that this man, so politic and so successful in the East, should commit nothing but blunders in Europe. Any judicious adviser would have told him that the best thing which he could do would be to make an eloquent, forcible, and affecting oration at the bar of the House; but that, if he could not trust himself to speak, and found it necessary to read, he ought to be as concise as possible. Audiences accustomed to extemporaneous debating of the highest excellence are always impatient of long written compositions. Hastings, however, sat down as he would have done at the Government-house in Bengal, and prepared a paper of immense length. That paper, if recorded on the consultations of an Indian administration, would have been justly praised as a very able minute. But it was now out of place. It fell flat, as the best written defence must have fallen flat, on an assembly accustomed to the animated and strenuous conflicts of Pitt and Fox. The members, as soon as their curiosity about the face and demeanour of so eminent a stranger was satisfied, walked away to dinner, and left Hastings to tell his story till midnight to the clerks and the Sergeant-at-arms.

All preliminary steps having been duly taken, Burke, in the beginning of June, brought forward the charge relating to the Rohilla war. He acted discreetly in placing this accusation in the van; for Dundas had formerly moved,

and the House had adopted, a resolution condemning, in the most severe terms, the policy followed by Hastings with regard to Rohilcund. Dundas had little, or rather nothing, to say in defence of his own consistency; but he put a bold face on the matter, and opposed the motion. Among other things, he declared that, though he still thought the Rohilla war unjustifiable, he considered the services which Hastings had subsequently rendered to the state as sufficient to atone even for so great an offence. Pitt did not speak, but voted with Dundas; and Hastings was absolved by a hundred and nineteen votes against sixty-seven.

Hastings was now confident of victory. It seemed, indeed, that he had reason to be so. The Rohilla war was, of all his measures, that which his accusers might with greatest advantage assail. It had been condemned by the Court of Directors. It had been condemned by the House of Commons. It had been condemned by Mr Dundas, who had since become the chief minister of the Crown for Indian affairs. Yet Burke, having chosen this strong ground, had been completely defeated on it. That, having failed here, he should succeed on any point, was generally thought impossible. It was rumoured at the clubs and coffee-houses that one or perhaps two more charges would be brought forward, that if, on those charges, the sense of the House of Commons should be against impeachment, the Opposition would let the matter drop, that Hastings would be immediately raised to the peerage, decorated with the star of the Bath, sworn of the privy council, and invited to lend the assistance of his talents and experience to the India board. Lord Thurlow, indeed, some months before, had spoken with contempt of the scruples which prevented Pitt from calling Hastings to the House of Lords; and had even said, that if the Chancellor of the Exchequer was afraid of the Commons, there was nothing to prevent the Keeper of the Great Seal from taking the royal pleasure about a patent of peerage. The very title was chosen. Hastings was to be Lord Daylesford. For, through all changes of scene and changes of fortune, remained unchanged his attachment to the spot which had witnessed the greatness and the fall of his family, and which had borne so great a part in the first dreams of his young ambition.

But in a very few days these fair prospects were overcast. On the thirteenth of June, Mr Fox brought forward, with great ability and eloquence, the charge respecting the treatment of Cheyte Sing. Francis followed on the same side. The friends of Hastings were in high spirits when Pitt rose. With his usual abundance and felicity of language, the Minister gave his opinion on the case. He maintained that the Governor-General was justified in calling on the Rajah of Benares for pecuniary assistance, and in imposing a fine when that assistance was contumaciously withheld. He also thought that the conduct of the Governor-General during the insurrection had been distinguished by ability and presence of mind. He censured, with great bitterness, the conduct of Francis, both in India and in Parliament, as most dishonest and malignant. The necessary inference from Pitt's arguments seemed to be that Hastings ought to be honourably acquitted; and both the friends and the opponents of the Minister expected from him a declaration to that effect. To the astonishment of all parties, he concluded by saying that, though he thought it right in Hastings to fine Cheyte Sing for contumacy, yet the amount of the fine was too great for the occasion. On this ground, and on this ground alone, did Mr Pitt, applauding every other part of the conduct of Hastings with regard to Benares, declare that he should vote in favour of Mr Fox's motion.

The House was thunderstruck; and it well might be so. For the wrong done to Cheyte Sing, even had it been as flagitious as Fox and Francis contended, was a trifle when compared with the horrors which had been inflicted on Rohilcund. But if Mr Pitt's view of the case of Cheyte Sing were correct, there was no ground for an impeachment, or even for a vote of censure. If the offence of Hastings was really no more than this, that, having a right to impose a mulct, the amount of which mulct was not defined, but was left to be settled by his discretion, he had, not for his own advantage, but for that of the state, demanded too much, was this an offence which required a criminal proceeding of the highest solemnity, a criminal proceeding, to which, during sixty years, no public functionary had been subjected? We can see, we think, in what way a man of sense and integrity might have been induced to take any course respecting Hastings, except the course which Mr

Pitt took. Such a man might have thought a great example necessary, for the preventing of injustice, and for the vindicating of the national honour, and might, on that ground, have voted for impeachment both on the Rohilla charge, and on the Benares charge. Such a man might have thought that the offences of Hastings had been atoned for by great services, and might, on that ground, have voted against the impeachment, on both charges. With great diffidence, we give it as our opinion that the most correct course would, on the whole, have been to impeach on the Rohilla charge, and to acquit on the Benares charge. Had the Benares charge appeared to us in the same light in which it appeared to Mr Pitt, we should, without hesitation, have voted for acquittal on that charge. The one course which it is inconceivable that any man of a tenth part of Mr Pitt's abilities can have honestly taken was the course which he took. He acquitted Hastings on the Rohilla charge. He softened down the Benares charge till it became no charge at all; and then he pronounced that it contained matter for impeachment.

Nor must it be forgotten that the principal reason assigned by the ministry for not impeaching Hastings on account of the Rohilla war was this, that the delinquencies of the early part of his administration had been atoned for by the excellence of the later part. Was it not most extraordinary that men who had held this language could afterwards vote that the later part of his administration furnished matter for no less than twenty articles of impeachment? They first represented the conduct of Hastings in 1780 and 1781 as so highly meritorious that, like works of supererogation in the Catholic theology, it ought to be efficacious for the cancelling of former offences; and they then prosecuted him for his conduct in 1780 and 1781.

The general astonishment was the greater, because, only twenty-four hours before, the members on whom the minister could depend had received the usual notes from the Treasury, begging them to be in their places and to vote against Mr Fox's motion. It was asserted by Mr Hastings that, early on the morning of the very day on which the debate took place, Dundas called on Pitt, woke him, and was closeted with him many hours. The result of this conference was a determination to give up the late Governor-General to the

vengeance of the Opposition. It was impossible even for the most powerful minister to carry all his followers with him in so strange a course. Several persons high in office, the Attorney-General, Mr Glenville, and Lord Mulgrave, divided against Mr Pitt. But the devoted adherents who stood by the head of the government without asking questions, were sufficiently numerous to turn the scale. A hundred and nineteen members voted for Mr Fox's motion; seventy-nine against it. Dundas silently followed Pitt.

That good and great man, the late William Wilberforce, often related the events of this remarkable night. He described the amazement of the House, and the bitter reflections which were muttered against the Prime Minister by some of the habitual supporters of government. Pitt himself appeared to feel that his conduct required some explanation. He left the treasury bench, sat for some time next to Mr Wilberforce, and very earnestly declared that he had found it impossible, as a man of conscience, to stand any longer by Hastings. The business, he said, was too bad. Mr Wilberforce, we are bound to add, fully believed that his friend was sincere, and that the suspicions to which this mysterious affair gave rise were altogether unfounded.

Those suspicions, indeed, were such as it is painful to mention. The friends of Hastings, most of whom, it is to be observed, generally supported the administration, affirmed that the motive of Pitt and Dundas was jealousy. Hastings was personally a favourite with the king. He was the idol of the East India Company and of its servants. If he were absolved by the Commons, seated among the Lords, admitted to the Board of Control, closely allied with the strong-minded and imperious Thurlow, was it not almost certain that he would soon draw to himself the entire management of Eastern affairs? Was it not possible that he might become a formidable rival in the cabinet? It had probably got abroad that very singular communications had taken place between Thurlow and Major Scott, and that, if the First Lord of the Treasury was afraid to recommend Hastings for a peerage, the Chancellor was ready to take the responsibility of that step on himself. Of all ministers, Pitt was the least likely to submit with patience to such an encroachment on his functions. If the Commons impeached Hastings, all danger was at an end. The proceeding, however it might

terminate, would probably last some years. In the mean time, the accused person would be excluded from honour and public employments, and could scarcely venture eve to pay his duty at court. Such were the motives attribute by a great part of the public to the young minister, whos ruling passion was generally believed to be avarice of powe

The prorogation soon interrupted the discussions respec ing Hastings. In the following year, those discussions wer resumed. The charge touching the spoliation of the Begum was brought forward by Sheridan, in a speech which wa so imperfectly reported that it may be said to be wholl lost, but which was, without doubt, the most elaborate brilliant of all the productions of his ingenious mind. Th impression which it produced was such as has never bee equalled. He sat down, not merely amidst cheering, bu amidst the loud clapping of hands, in which the Lord below the bar and the strangers in the gallery joined. Th excitement of the House was such that no other speak could obtain a hearing; and the debate was adjourne The ferment spread fast through the town. Within fo and twenty hours, Sheridan was offered a thousand poun for the copyright of the speech, if he would himself corre it for the press. The impression made by this remarkab display of eloquence on severe and experienced critics, who discernment may be supposed to have been quickened b emulation, was deep and permanent. Mr Wyndham, twen years later, said that the speech deserved all its fam and was, in spite of some faults of taste, such as were seldo wanting either in the literary or in the parliamentary pe formances of Sheridan, the finest that had been deliver within the memory of man. Mr Fox, about the same tim being asked by the late Lord Holland what was the be speech ever made in the House of Commons, assigned t first place, without hesitation, to the great oration of She dan on the Oude charge.

When the debate was resumed, the tide ran so strong against the accused that his friends were coughed and scrap down. Pitt declared himself for Sheridan's motion; a the question was carried by a hundred and seventy-f votes against sixty-eight.

The Opposition, flushed with victory and strongly su ported by the public sympathy, proceeded to bring forwa

a succession of charges relating chiefly to pecuniary transactions. The friends of Hastings were discouraged, and, having now no hope of being able to avert an impeachment, were not very strenuous in their exertions. At length the House, having agreed to twenty articles of charge, directed Burke to go before the Lords, and to impeach the late Governor-General of High Crimes and Misdemeanours. Hastings was at the same time arrested by the Sergeant-at-arms, and carried to the bar of the Peers.

The session was now within ten days of its close. It was, therefore, impossible that any progress could be made in the trial till the next year. Hastings was admitted to bail; and further proceedings were postponed till the Houses should reassemble.

When Parliament met in the following winter, the Commons proceeded to elect a committee for managing the impeachment. Burke stood at the head; and with him were associated most of the leading members of the Opposition. But when the name of Francis was read a fierce contention arose. It was said that Francis and Hastings were notoriously on bad terms, that they had been at feud during many years, that on one occasion their mutual aversion had impelled them to seek each other's lives, and that it would be improper and indelicate to select a private enemy to be a public accuser. It was urged on the other side with great force, particularly by Mr Wyndham, that impartiality, though the first duty of a judge, had never been reckoned among the qualities of an advocate; that in the ordinary administration of criminal justice among the English, the aggrieved party, the very last person who ought to be admitted into the jury-box, is the prosecutor; that what was wanted in a manager was, not that he should be free from bias, but that he should be able, well informed, energetic, and active. The ability and information of Francis were admitted; and the very animosity with which he was reproached, whether a virtue or a vice, was at least a pledge for his energy and activity. It seems difficult to refute these arguments. But the inveterate hatred borne by Francis to Hastings had excited general disgust. The House decided that Francis should not be a manager. Pitt voted with the majority, Dundas with the minority.

In the mean time, the preparations for the trial had

proceeded rapidly; and on the thirteenth of February, 1788, the sittings of the Court commenced. There have been spectacles more dazzling to the eye, more gorgeous with jewellery and cloth of gold, more attractive to grown-up children, than that which was then exhibited at Westminster; but, perhaps, there never was a spectacle so well calculated to strike a highly cultivated, a reflecting, an imaginative mind. All the various kinds of interest which belong to the near and to the distant, to the present and to the past, were collected on one spot, and in one hour. All the talents and all the accomplishments which are developed by liberty and civilisation were now displayed, with every advantage that could be derived both from co-operation and from contrast. Every step in the proceedings carried the mind either backward, through many troubled centuries, to the days when the foundations of our constitution were laid; or far away over boundless seas and deserts, to dusky nations living under strange stars, worshipping strange gods, and writing strange characters from right to left. The High Court of Parliament was to sit, according to forms handed down from the days of the Plantagenets, on an Englishman accused of exercising tyranny over the lord of the holy city of Benares, and over the ladies of the princely house of Oude.

The place was worthy of such a trial. It was the great hall of William Rufus, the hall which had resounded with acclamations at the inauguration of thirty kings, the hall which had witnessed the just sentence of Bacon and the just absolution of Somers, the hall where the eloquence of Strafford had for a moment awed and melted a victorious party inflamed with just resentment, the hall where Charles had confronted the High Court of Justice with the placid courage which has half redeemed his fame. Neither military nor civil pomp was wanting. The avenues were lined with grenadiers. The streets were kept clear by cavalry. The peers, robed in gold and ermine, were marshalled by the heralds under Garter King-at-arms. The judges in their vestments of state attended to give advice on points of law. Near a hundred and seventy lords, three fourths of the Upper House as the Upper House then was, walked in solemn order from their usual place of assembling to the tribunal. The junior baron present led the way, George

Eliott, Lord Heathfield, recently ennobled for his memorable defence of Gibraltar against the fleets and armies of France and Spain. The long procession was closed by the Duke of Norfolk, Earl Marshal of the realm, by the great dignitaries, and by the brothers and sons of the King. Last of all came the Prince of Wales, conspicuous by his fine person and noble bearing. The grey old walls were hung with scarlet. The long galleries were crowded by an audience such as has rarely excited the fears or the emulation of an orator. There were gathered together, from all parts of a great, free, enlightened, and prosperous empire, grace and female loveliness, wit and learning, the representatives of every science and of every art. There were seated round the Queen the fair-haired young daughters of the house of Brunswick. There the Ambassadors of great Kings and Commonwealths gazed with admiration on a spectacle which no other country in the world could present. There Siddons, in the prime of her majestic beauty, looked with emotion on a scene surpassing all the imitations of the stage. There the historian of the Roman Empire thought of the days when Cicero pleaded the cause of Sicily against Verres, and when, before a senate which still retained some show of freedom, Tacitus thundered against the oppressor of Africa. There were seen, side by side, the greatest painter and the greatest scholar of the age. The spectacle had allured Reynolds from that easel which has preserved to us the thoughtful foreheads of so many writers and statesmen, and the sweet smiles of so many noble matrons. It had induced Parr to suspend his labours in that dark and profound mind from which he had extracted a vast treasure of erudition, a treasure too often buried in the earth, too often paraded with injudicious and inelegant ostentation, but still precious, massive, and splendid. There appeared the voluptuous charms of her to whom the heir of the throne had in secret plighted his faith. There too was she, the beautiful mother of a beautiful race, the Saint Cecilia whose delicate features, lighted up by love and music, art has rescued from the common decay. There were the members of that brilliant society which quoted, criticized, and exchanged repartees, under the rich peacock-hangings of Mrs Montague. And there the ladies whose lips, more persuasive than those of Fox himself, had carried the

Westminster election against palace and treasury, shone round Georgiana Duchess of Devonshire.

The Sergeants made proclamation. Hastings advanced to the bar, and bent his knee. The culprit was indeed not unworthy of that great presence. He had ruled an extensive and populous country, and made laws and treaties, had sent forth armies, had set up and pulled down princes. And in his high place he had so borne himself, that all had feared him, that most had loved him, and that hatred itself could deny him no title to glory, except virtue. He looked like a great man, and not like a bad man. A person small and emaciated, yet deriving dignity from a carriage which, while it indicated deference to the court, indicated also habitual self-possession and self-respect, a high and intellectual forehead, a brow pensive, but not gloomy, a mouth of inflexible decision, a face pale and worn, but serene, on which was written, as legibly as under the picture in the council-chamber at Calcutta, *Mens æqua in arduis;* such was the aspect with which the great proconsul presented himself to his judges.

His counsel accompanied him, men all of whom were afterwards raised by their talents and learning to the highest posts in their profession, the bold and strong-minded Law afterwards Chief Justice of the King's Bench; the more humane and eloquent Dallas, afterwards Chief Justice of the Common Pleas; and Plomer who, near twenty years later, successfully conducted in the same high court the defence of Lord Melville, and subsequently became Vice-chancellor and Master of the Rolls.

But neither the culprit nor his advocates attracted so much notice as the accusers. In the midst of the blaze of red drapery, a space had been fitted up with green benches and tables for the Commons. The managers, with Burke at their head, appeared in full dress. The collectors of gossip did not fail to remark that even Fox, generally so regardless of his appearance, had paid to the illustrious tribunal the compliment of wearing a bag and sword. Pitt had refused to be one of the conductors of the impeachment; and his commanding, copious, and sonorous eloquence was wanting to that great muster of various talents. Age and blindness had unfitted Lord North for the duties of a public prosecutor, and his friends were left without the help of his excellent

sense, his tact, and his urbanity. But, in spite of the absence of these two distinguished members of the Lower House, the box in which the managers stood contained an array of speakers such as perhaps had not appeared together since the great age of Athenian eloquence. There were Fox and Sheridan, the English Demosthenes and the English Hyperides. There was Burke, ignorant, indeed, or negligent of the art of adapting his reasonings and his style to the capacity and taste of his hearers, but in amplitude of comprehension and richness of imagination superior to every orator, ancient or modern. There, with eyes reverentially fixed on Burke, appeared the finest gentleman of the age, his form developed by every manly exercise, his face beaming with intelligence and spirit, the ingenious, the chivalrous, the high-souled Windham. Nor, though surrounded by such men, did the youngest manager pass unnoticed. At an age when most of those who distinguished themselves in life are still contending for prizes and fellowships at college, he had won for himself a conspicuous place in parliament. No advantage of fortune or connection was wanting that could set off to the height his splendid talents and his unblemished honour. At twenty-three he had been thought worthy to be ranked with the veteran statesmen who appeared as the delegates of the British Commons, at the bar of the British nobility. All who stood at that bar, save him alone, are gone, culprit, advocates, accusers. To the generation which is now in the vigour of life, he is the sole representative of a great age which has passed away. But those who, within the last ten years, have listened with delight, till the morning sun shone on the tapestries of the House of Lords, to the lofty and animated eloquence of Charles Earl Grey, are able to form some estimate of the powers of a race of men among whom he was not the foremost.

The charges and the answers of Hastings were first read. The ceremony occupied two whole days, and was rendered less tedious than it would otherwise have been by the silver voice and just emphasis of Cowper, the clerk of the court, a near relation of the amiable poet. On the third day Burke rose. Four sittings were occupied by his opening speech, which was intended to be a general introduction to all the charges. With an exuberance of thought and a splendour of diction which more than satisfied the highly-

raised expectation of the audience, he described the character and institutions of the natives of India, recounted the circumstances in which the Asiatic empire of Britain had originated, and set forth the constitution of the Company and of the English Presidencies. Having thus attempted to communicate to his hearers an idea of Eastern society, as vivid as that which existed in his own mind, he proceeded to arraign the administration of Hastings as systematically conducted in defiance of morality and public law. The energy and pathos of the great orator extorted expressions of unwonted admiration from the stern and hostile Chancellor, and, for a moment, seemed to pierce even the resolute heart of the defendant. The ladies in the galleries, unaccustomed to such displays of eloquence, excited by the solemnity of the occasion, and perhaps not unwilling to display their taste and sensibility, were in a state of uncontrollable emotion. Handkerchiefs were pulled out; smelling-bottles were handed round; hysterical sobs and screams were heard; and Mrs Sheridan was carried out in a fit. At length the orator concluded. Raising his voice till the old arches of Irish oak resounded, "Therefore," said he, "hath it with all confidence been ordered by the Commons of Great Britain, that I impeach Warren Hastings of high crimes and misdemeanours. I impeach him in the name of the Commons' House of Parliament, whose trust he has betrayed. I impeach him in the name of the English nation, whose ancient honour he has sullied. I impeach him in the name of the people of India, whose rights he has trodden under foot, and whose country he has turned into a desert. Lastly, in the name of human nature itself, in the name of both sexes, in the name of every age, in the name of every rank, I impeach the common enemy and oppressor of all!"

When the deep murmur of various emotions had subsided, Mr Fox rose to address the Lords respecting the course of proceeding to be followed. The wish of the accusers was that the Court would bring to a close the investigation of the first charge before the second was opened. The wish of Hastings and of his counsel was that the managers should open all the charges, and produce all the evidence for the prosecution, before the defence began. The Lords retired to their own House to consider the question. The Chancellor

took the side of Hastings. Lord Loughborough, who was now in opposition, supported the demand of the managers. The division showed which way the inclination of the tribunal leaned. A majority of near three to one decided in favour of the course for which Hastings contended.

When the Court sat again, Mr Fox, assisted by Mr Grey, opened the charge respecting Cheyte Sing, and several days were spent in reading papers and hearing witnesses. The next article was that relating to the Princesses of Oude. The conduct of this part of the case was intrusted to Sheridan. The curiosity of the public to hear him was unbounded. His sparkling and highly finished declamation lasted two days; but the Hall was crowded to suffocation during the whole time. It was said that fifty guineas had been paid for a single ticket. Sheridan, when he concluded, contrived, with a knowledge of stage-effect which his father might have envied, to sink back, as if exhausted, into the arms of Burke, who hugged him with the energy of generous admiration.

June was now far advanced. The session could not last much longer; and the progress which had been made in the impeachment was not very satisfactory. There were twenty charges. On two only of these had even the case for the prosecution been heard; and it was now a year since Hastings had been admitted to bail.

The interest taken by the public in the trial was great when the Court began to sit, and rose to the height when Sheridan spoke on the charge relating to the Begums. From that time the excitement went down fast. The spectacle had lost the attraction of novelty. The great displays of rhetoric were over. What was behind was not of a nature to entice men of letters from their books in the morning, or to tempt ladies who had left the masquerade at two to be out of bed before eight. There remained examinations and cross-examinations. There remained statements of accounts. There remained the reading of papers, filled with words unintelligible to English ears, with lacs and crores, zemindars and aumils, sunnuds and perwannahs, jaghires and nuzzurs. There remained bickerings, not always carried on with the best taste or with the best temper, between the managers of the impeachment and the counsel for the defence, particularly between Mr Burke and Mr

Law. There remained the endless marches and counter marches of the Peers between their House and the Hall for as often as a point of law was to be discussed, their Lordships retired to discuss it apart; and the consequence was, as a peer wittily said, that the Judges walked and the trial stood still.

It is to be added that, in the spring of 1788 when the trial commenced, no important question, either of domestic or foreign policy, excited the public mind. The proceeding in Westminster Hall, therefore, naturally attracted most of the attention of Parliament and of the public. It was the one great event of that season. But in the following year the King's illness, the debates on the Regency, the expectation of a change of Ministry, completely diverted public attention from Indian affairs; and within a fortnight after George the Third had returned thanks in St Paul's for his recovery, the States-General of France met at Versailles. In the midst of the agitation produced by these events, the impeachment was for a time almost forgotten.

The trial in the Hall went on languidly. In the session of 1788, when the proceedings had the interest of novelty and when the Peers had little other business before them, only thirty-five days were given to the impeachment. In 1789, the Regency Bill occupied the Upper House till the session was far advanced. When the King recovered the circuits were beginning. The judges left town; the Lords waited for the return of the oracles of jurisprudence; and the consequence was that during the whole year only seventeen days were given to the case of Hastings. It was clear that the matter would be protracted to a length unprecedented in the annals of criminal law.

In truth, it is impossible to deny that impeachment, though it is a fine ceremony, and though it may have been useful in the seventeenth century, is not a proceeding from which much good can now be expected. Whatever confidence may be placed in the decisions of the Peers on an appeal arising out of ordinary litigation, it is certain that no man has the least confidence in their impartiality, when a great public functionary, charged with a great state crime, is brought to their bar. They are all politicians. There are hardly one among them whose vote on an impeachment may not be confidently predicted before a witness has been

examined; and, even if it were possible to rely on their justice, they would still be quite unfit to try such a cause as that of Hastings. They sit only during half the year. They have to transact much legislative and much judicial business. The law-lords, whose advice is required to guide the unlearned majority, are employed daily in administering justice elsewhere. It is impossible, therefore, that during a busy session, the Upper House should give more than a few days to an impeachment. To expect that their Lordships would give up partridge-shooting, in order to bring the greatest delinquent to speedy justice, or to relieve accused innocence by speedy acquittal, would be unreasonable indeed. A well-constituted tribunal, sitting regularly six days in the week, and nine hours in the day, would have brought the trial of Hastings to a close in less than three months. The Lords had not finished their work in seven years.

The result ceased to be matter of doubt, from the time when the Lords resolved that they would be guided by the rules of evidence which are received in the inferior courts of the realm. Those rules, it is well known, exclude much information which would be quite sufficient to determine the conduct of any reasonable man, in the most important transactions of private life. Those rules, at every assizes, save scores of culprits whom judges, jury, and spectators, firmly believe to be guilty. But when those rules were rigidly applied to offences committed many years before, at the distance of many thousand miles, conviction was, of course, out of the question. We do not blame the accused and his counsel for availing themselves of every legal advantage in order to obtain an acquittal. But it is clear that an acquittal so obtained cannot be pleaded in bar of the judgment of history.

Several attempts were made by the friends of Hastings to put a stop to the trial. In 1789 they proposed a vote of censure upon Burke, for some violent language which he had used respecting the death of Nuncomar and the connection between Hastings and Impey. Burke was then unpopular in the last degree both with the House and with the country. The asperity and indecency of some expressions which he had used during the debates on the Regency had annoyed even his warmest friends. The vote of censure

was carried; and those who had moved it hoped that the managers would resign in disgust. Burke was deeply hurt. But his zeal for what he considered as the cause of justice and mercy triumphed over his personal feelings. He received the censure of the House with dignity and meekness, and declared that no personal mortification or humiliation should induce him to flinch from the sacred duty which he had undertaken.

In the following year the Parliament was dissolved, and the friends of Hastings entertained a hope that the new House of Commons might not be disposed to go on with the impeachment. They began by maintaining that the whole proceeding was terminated by the dissolution. Defeated on this point, they made a direct motion that the impeachment should be dropped; but they were defeated by the combined forces of the Government and the Opposition. It was, however, resolved that, for the sake of expedition, many of the articles should be withdrawn. In truth, had not some such measure been adopted, the trial would have lasted till the defendant was in his grave.

At length, in the spring of 1795, the decision was pronounced, near eight years after Hastings had been brought by the Sergeant-at-arms of the Commons to the bar of the Lords. On the last day of this great procedure the public curiosity, long suspended, seemed to be revived. Anxiety about the judgment there could be none; for it had been fully ascertained that there was a great majority for the defendant. Nevertheless many wished to see the pageant, and the Hall was as much crowded as on the first day. But those who, having been present on the first day, now bore a part in the proceedings of the last, were few; and most of those few were altered men.

As Hastings himself said, the arraignment had taken place before one generation, and the judgment was pronounced by another. The spectator could not look at the woolsack, or at the red benches of the Peers, or at the green benches of the Commons, without seeing something that reminded him of the instability of all human things, of the instability of power and fame and life, of the more lamentable instability of friendship. The great seal was borne before Lord Loughborough who, when the trial commenced, was a fierce opponent of Mr Pitt's government, and who was now

a member of that government, while Thurlow, who presided in the court when it first sat, estranged from all his old allies, sat scowling among the junior barons. Of about a hundred and sixty nobles who walked in the procession on the first day, sixty had been laid in their family vaults. Still more affecting must have been the sight of the managers' box. What had become of that fair fellowship, so closely bound together by public and private ties, so resplendent with every talent and accomplishment? It had been scattered by calamities more bitter than the bitterness of death. The great chiefs were still living, and still in the full vigour of their genius. But their friendship was at an end. It had been violently and publicly dissolved, with tears and stormy reproaches. If those men, once so dear to each other, were now compelled to meet for the purpose of managing the impeachment, they met as strangers whom public business had brought together, and behaved to each other with cold and distant civility. Burke had in his vortex whirled away Windham. Fox had been followed by Sheridan and Grey.

Only twenty-nine Peers voted. Of these only six found Hastings guilty on the charges relating to Cheyte Sing and to the Begums. On other charges, the majority in his favour was still greater. On some, he was unanimously absolved. He was then called to the bar, was informed from the woolsack that the Lords had acquitted him, and was solemnly discharged. He bowed respectfully and retired.

We have said that the decision had been fully expected. It was also generally approved. At the commencement of the trial there had been a strong and indeed unreasonable feeling against Hastings. At the close of the trial there was a feeling equally strong and equally unreasonable in his favour. One cause of the change was, no doubt, what is commonly called the fickleness of the multitude, but what seems to us to be merely the general law of human nature. Both in individuals and in masses violent excitement is always followed by remission, and often by reaction. We are all inclined to depreciate whatever we have over praised, and, on the other hand, to show undue indulgence where we have shown undue rigour. It was thus in the case of Hastings. The length of his trial, moreover, made him

an object of compassion. It was thought, and not without reason, that, even if he was guilty, he was still an ill-used man, and that an impeachment of eight years was more than a sufficient punishment. It was also felt that, though, in the ordinary course of criminal law, a defendant is not allowed to set off his good actions against his crimes, a great political cause should be tried on different principles, and that a man who had governed an empire during thirteen years might have done some very reprehensible things, and yet might be on the whole deserving of rewards and honours rather than of fine and imprisonment. The press, an instrument neglected by the prosecutors, was used by Hastings and his friends with great effect. Every ship, too, that arrived from Madras or Bengal, brought a cuddy full of his admirers. Every gentleman from India spoke of the late Governor-General as having deserved better, and having been treated worse, than any man living. The effect of this testimony unanimously given by all persons who knew the East, was naturally very great. Retired members of the Indian services, civil and military, were settled in all corners of the kingdom. Each of them was, of course, in his own little circle, regarded as an oracle on an Indian question; and they were, with scarcely one exception, the zealous advocates of Hastings. It is to be added, that the numerous addresses to the late Governor-General, which his friends in Bengal obtained from the natives and transmitted to England, made a considerable impression. To these addresses we attach little or no importance. That Hastings was beloved by the people whom he governed is true; but the eulogies of pundits, zemindars, Mahommedan doctors, do not prove it to be true. For an English collector or judge would have found it easy to induce any native who could write to sign a panegyric on the most odious ruler that ever was in India. It was said that at Benares, the very place at which the acts set forth in the first article of impeachment had been committed, the natives had erected a temple to Hastings; and this story excited a strong sensation in England. Burke's observations on the apotheosis were admirable. He saw no reason for astonishment, he said, in the incident which had been represented as so striking. He knew something of the mythology of the Brahmins. He knew that as they

worshipped some gods from love, so they worshipped others from fear. He knew that they erected shrines, not only to the benignant deities of light and plenty, but also to the fiends who preside over small-pox and murder. Nor did he at all dispute the claim of Mr Hastings to be admitted into such a Pantheon. This reply has always struck us as one of the finest that ever was made in Parliament. It is a grave and forcible argument, decorated by the most brilliant wit and fancy.

Hastings was, however, safe. But in every thing except character, he would have been far better off if, when first impeached, he had at once pleaded guilty, and paid a fine of fifty thousand pounds. He was a ruined man. The legal expenses of his defence had been enormous. The expenses which did not appear in his attorney's bill were perhaps larger still. Great sums had been paid to Major Scott. Great sums had been laid out in bribing newspapers, rewarding pamphleteers, and circulating tracts. Burke, so early as 1790, declared in the House of Commons that twenty thousand pounds had been employed in corrupting the press. It is certain that no controversial weapon, from the gravest reasoning to the coarsest ribaldry, was left unemployed. Logan defended the accused governor with great ability in prose. For the lovers of verse, the speeches of the managers were burlesqued in Simpkin's letters. It is, we are afraid, indisputable that Hastings stooped so low as to court the aid of that malignant and filthy baboon John Williams, who called himself Anthony Pasquin. It was necessary to subsidise such allies largely. The private hoards of Mrs Hastings had disappeared. It is said that the banker to whom they had been intrusted had failed. Still if Hastings had practised strict economy, he would, after all his losses, have had a moderate competence; but in the management of his private affairs he was imprudent. The dearest wish of his heart had always been to regain Daylesford. At length, in the very year in which his trial commenced, the wish was accomplished; and the domain, alienated more than seventy years before, returned to the descendant of its old lords. But the manor house was a ruin; and the grounds round it had, during many years, been utterly neglected. Hastings proceeded to build, to plant, to form a sheet of water, to excavate a grotto; and, before

he was dismissed from the bar of the House of Lords, he had expended more than forty thousand pounds in adorning his seat.

The general feeling both of the Directors and of the proprietors of the East India Company was that he had great claims on them, that his services to them had been eminent and that his misfortunes had been the effect of his zeal for their interest. His friends in Leadenhall Street proposed to reimburse him for the costs of his trial, and to settle on him an annuity of five thousand pounds a year. But the consent of the Board of Control was necessary; and at the head of the Board of Control was Mr Dundas, who had himself been a party to the impeachment, who had, on that account, been reviled with great bitterness by the adherents of Hastings, and who, therefore, was not in a very complying mood. He refused to consent to what the Directors suggested. The Directors remonstrated. A long controversy followed. Hastings, in the mean time, was reduced to such distress, that he could hardly pay his weekly bills. At length a compromise was made. An annuity of four thousand a year was settled on Hastings; and in order to enable him to meet pressing demands, he was to receive ten years' annuity in advance. The Company was also permitted to lend him fifty thousand pounds, to be repaid by instalments without interest. This relief, though given in the most absurd manner, was sufficient to enable the retired governor to live in comfort, and even in luxury, if he had been a skilful manager. But he was careless and profuse, and was more than once under the necessity of applying to the Company for assistance, which was liberally given.

He had security and affluence, but not the power and dignity which, when he landed from India, he had reason to expect. He had then looked forward to a coronet, a ribband, a seat at the Council Board, an office at Whitehall. He was then only fifty-two, and might hope for many years of bodily and mental vigour. The case was widely different when he left the bar of the Lords. He was now too old a man to turn his mind to a new class of studies and duties. He had no chance of receiving any mark of royal favour while Mr Pitt remained in power; and, when Mr Pitt retired, Hastings was approaching his seventieth year.

Once, and only once, after his acquittal, he interfered

in politics; and that interference was not much to his honour. In 1804 he exerted himself strenuously to prevent Mr Addington, against whom Fox and Pitt had combined, from resigning the Treasury. It is difficult to believe that a man so able and energetic as Hastings can have thought that, when Bonaparte was at Boulogne with a great army, the defence of our island could safely be intrusted to a ministry which did not contain a single person whom flattery could describe as a great statesman. It is also certain that, on the important question which had raised Mr Addington to power, and on which he differed from both Fox and Pitt, Hastings, as might have been expected, agreed with Fox and Pitt, and was decidedly opposed to Addington. Religious intolerance has never been the vice of the Indian service, and certainly was not the vice of Hastings. But Mr Addington had treated him with marked favour. Fox had been a principal manager of the impeachment. To Pitt it was owing that there had been an impeachment; and Hastings, we fear, was on this occasion guided by personal considerations, rather than by a regard to the public interest.

The last twenty-four years of his life were chiefly passed at Daylesford. He amused himself with embellishing his grounds, riding fine Arab horses, fattening prize-cattle, and trying to rear Indian animals and vegetables in England. He sent for seeds of a very fine custard-apple, from the garden of what had once been his own villa, among the green hedgerows of Allipore. He tried also to naturalise in Worcestershire the delicious leechee, almost the only fruit of Bengal which deserves to be regretted even amidst the plenty of Covent Garden. The Mogul emperors, in the time of their greatness, had in vain attempted to introduce into Hindostan the goat of the table-land of Thibet, whose down supplies the looms of Cashmere with the materials of the finest shawls. Hastings tried, with no better fortune, to rear a breed at Daylesford; nor does he seem to have succeeded better with the cattle of Bootan, whose tails are in high esteem as the best fans for brushing away the mosquitoes.

Literature divided his attention with his conservatories and his menagerie. He had always loved books, and they were now necessary to him. Though not a poet, in any high sense of the word, he wrote neat and polished lines

with great facility, and was fond of exercising this talent. Indeed, if we must speak out, he seems to have been more of a Trissotin than was to be expected from the powers of his mind, and from the great part which he had played in life. We are assured in these Memoirs that the first thing which he did in the morning was to compose a copy of verses. When the family and guests assembled, the poem made its appearance as regularly as the eggs and rolls; and Mr Gleig requires us to believe that, if from any accident Hastings came to the breakfast-table without one of his charming performances in his hand, the omission was felt by all as a grievous disappointment. Tastes differ widely. For ourselves we must say that, however good the breakfasts at Daylesford may have been,—and we are assured that the tea was of the most aromatic flavour, and that neither tongue nor venison-pasty was wanting,—we should have thought the reckoning high if we had been forced to earn our repast by listening every day to a new madrigal or sonnet composed by our host. We are glad, however, that Mr Gleig has preserved this little feature of character, though we think it by no means a beauty. It is good to be often reminded of the inconsistency of human nature, and to learn to look without wonder or disgust on the weaknesses which are found in the strongest minds. Dionysius in old times, Frederic in the last century, with capacity and vigour equal to the conduct of the greatest affairs, united all the little vanities and affectations of provincial blue-stockings. These great examples may console the admirers of Hastings for the affliction of seeing him reduced to the level of the Hayleys and Sewards.

When Hastings had passed many years in retirement, and had long outlived the common age of men, he again became for a short time an object of general attention. In 1813 the charter of the East India Company was renewed; and much discussion about Indian affairs took place in Parliament. It was determined to examine witnesses at the bar of the Commons; and Hastings was ordered to attend. He had appeared at that bar once before. It was when he read his answer to the charges which Burke had laid on the table. Since that time twenty-seven years had elapsed; public feeling had undergone a complete change; the nation had now forgotten his faults,

and remembered only his services. The reappearance, too, of a man who had been among the most distinguished of a generation that had passed away, who now belonged to history, and who seemed to have risen from the dead, could not but produce a solemn and pathetic effect. The Commons received him with acclamations, ordered a chair to be set for him, and when he retired, rose and uncovered. There were, indeed, a few who did not sympathise with the general feeling. One or two of the managers of the impeachment were present. They sate in the same seats which they had occupied when they had been thanked for the services which they had rendered in Westminster Hall: for, by the courtesy of the House, a member who has been thanked in his place is considered as having a right always to occupy that place. These gentlemen were not disposed to admit that they had employed several of the best years of their lives in persecuting an innocent man. They accordingly kept their seats, and pulled their hats over their brows; but the exceptions only made the prevailing enthusiasm more remarkable. The Lords received the old man with similar tokens of respect. The University of Oxford conferred on him the degree of Doctor of Laws; and, in the Sheldonian Theatre, the under-graduates welcomed him with tumultuous cheering.

These marks of public esteem were soon followed by marks of royal favour. Hastings was sworn of the Privy Council, and was admitted to a long private audience of the Prince Regent, who treated him very graciously. When the Emperor of Russia and the King of Prussia visited England, Hastings appeared in their train both at Oxford and in the Guildhall of London, and, though surrounded by a crowd of princes and great warriors, was every where received by the public with marks of respect and admiration. He was presented by the Prince Regent both to Alexander and to Frederic William; and his Royal Highness went so far as to declare in public that honours far higher than a seat in the Privy Council were due, and would soon be paid, to the man who had saved the British dominions in Asia. Hastings now confidently expected a peerage; but, from some unexplained cause, he was again disappointed.

He lived about four years longer, in the enjoyment of

good spirits, of faculties not impaired to any painful or degrading extent, and of health such as is rarely enjoyed by those who attain such an age. At length, on the twenty-second of August, 1818, in the eighty-sixth year of his age, he met death with the same tranquil and decorous fortitude which he had opposed to all the trials of his various and eventful life.

With all his faults,—and they were neither few nor small,—only one cemetery was worthy to contain his remains. In that temple of silence and reconciliation where the enmities of twenty generations lie buried, in the Great Abbey which has during many ages afforded a quiet resting-place to those whose minds and bodies have been shattered by the contentions of the Great Hall, the dust of the illustrious accused should have mingled with the dust of the illustrious accusers. This was not to be. Yet the place of interment was not ill chosen. Behind the chancel of the parish church of Daylesford, in earth which already held the bones of many chiefs of the house of Hastings, was laid the coffin of the greatest man who has ever borne that ancient and widely extended name. On that very spot probably, fourscore years before, the little Warren, meanly clad and scantily fed, had played with the children of ploughmen. Even then his young mind had revolved plans which might be called romantic. Yet, however romantic, it is not likely that they had been so strange as the truth. Not only had the poor orphan retrieved the fallen fortunes of his line. Not only had he repurchased the old lands, and rebuilt the old dwelling. He had preserved and extended an empire. He had founded a polity. He had administered government and war with more than the capacity of Richelieu. He had patronised learning with the judicious liberality of Cosmo. He had been attacked by the most formidable combination of enemies that ever sought the destruction of a single victim; and over that combination, after a struggle of ten years, he had triumphed. He had at length gone down to his grave in the fulness of age, in peace, after so many troubles, in honour, after so much obloquy.

Those who look on his character without favour or malevolence will pronounce that, in the two great elements of all social virtue, in respect for the rights of others, and

in sympathy for the sufferings of others, he was deficient. His principles were somewhat lax. His heart was somewhat hard. But while we cannot with truth describe him either as a righteous or as a merciful ruler, we cannot regard without admiration the amplitude and fertility of his intellect, his rare talents for command, for administration, and for controversy, his dauntless courage, his honourable poverty, his fervent zeal for the interests of the state, his noble equanimity, tried by both extremes of fortune, and never disturbed by either.

FREDERIC THE GREAT. (April, 1842.)*

This work, which has the high honour of being introduced to the world by the author of Lochiel and Hohenlinden, is not wholly unworthy of so distinguished a *chaperon*. It professes, indeed, to be no more than a compilation; but it is an exceedingly amusing compilation, and we shall be glad to have more of it. The narrative comes down at present only to the commencement of the Seven Years' War, and therefore does not comprise the most interesting portion of Frederic's reign.

It may not be unacceptable to our readers that we should take this opportunity of presenting them with a slight sketch of the life of the greatest king that has, in modern times, succeeded by right of birth to a throne. It may, we fear, be impossible to compress so long and eventful a story within the limits which we must prescribe to ourselves. Should we be compelled to break off, we may perhaps, when the continuation of this work appears, return to the subject.

The Prussian monarchy, the youngest of the great European states, but in population and revenue the fifth among them, and in art, science, and civilisation entitled to the third, if not to the second place, sprang from a humble origin. About the beginning of the fifteenth century, the marquisate of Brandenburg was bestowed by the Emperor Sigismund on the noble family of Hohenzollern. In the sixteenth century that family embraced the Lutheran doctrines. It obtained from the King of Poland, early in the seventeenth century, the investiture of the duchy of Prussia. Even after this accession of territory, the chiefs of the house of Hohenzollern hardly ranked with the electors

* *Frederic the Great and his Times.* Edited, with an Introduction, by Thomas Campbell, Esq. 2 vols. 8vo. London: 1842.

of Saxony and Bavaria. The soil of Brandenburg was for the most part sterile. Even round Berlin, the capital of the province, and round Potsdam, the favourite residence of the Margraves, the country was a desert. In some places, the deep sand could with difficulty be forced by assiduous tillage to yield thin crops of rye and oats. In other places, the ancient forests, from which the conquerors of the Roman empire had descended on the Danube, remained untouched by the hand of man. Where the soil was rich it was generally marshy, and its insalubrity repelled the cultivators whom its fertility attracted. Frederic William, called the Great Elector, was the prince to whose policy his successors have agreed to ascribe their greatness. He acquired by the peace of Westphalia several valuable possessions, and among them the rich city and district of Magdeburg; and he left to his son Frederic a principality as considerable as any which was not called a kingdom.

Frederic aspired to the style of royalty. Ostentatious and profuse, negligent of his true interests and of his high duties, insatiably eager for frivolous distinctions, he added nothing to the real weight of the state which he governed: perhaps he transmitted his inheritance to his children impaired rather than augmented in value: but he succeeded in gaining the great object of his life, the title of King. In the year 1700 he assumed this new dignity. He had on that occasion to undergo all the mortifications which fall to the lot of ambitious upstarts. Compared with the other crowned heads of Europe, he made a figure resembling that which a Nabob or a Commissary, who had bought a title, would make in the company of Peers whose ancestors had been attainted for treason against the Plantagenets. The envy of the class which Frederic quitted, and the civil scorn of the class into which he intruded himself, were marked in very significant ways. The Elector of Saxony at first refused to acknowledge the new Majesty. Lewis the Fourteenth looked down on his brother King with an air not unlike that which the Count in Molière's play regards Monsieur Jourdain, just fresh from the mummery of being made a gentleman. Austria exacted large sacrifices in return for her recognition, and at last gave it ungraciously.

Frederic was succeeded by his son Frederic William, a prince who must be allowed to have possessed some talents

for administration, but whose character was disfigured by odious vices, and whose eccentricities were such as had never before been seen out of a madhouse. He was exact and diligent in the transacting of business; and he was the first who formed the design of obtaining for Prussia a place among the European powers, altogether out of proportion to her extent and population, by means of a strong military organization. Strict economy enabled him to keep up a peace establishment of sixty thousand troops. These troops were disciplined in such a manner, that placed beside them, the household regiments of Versailles and St James's would have appeared an awkward squad. The master of such a force could not but be regarded by all his neighbours as a formidable enemy and a valuable ally.

But the mind of Frederic William was so ill regulated, that all his inclinations became passions, and all his passions partook of the character of moral and intellectual disease. His parsimony degenerated into sordid avarice. His taste for military pomp and order became a mania, like that of a Dutch burgomaster for tulips, or that of a member of the Roxburghe Club for Caxtons. While the envoys of the Court of Berlin were in a state of such squalid poverty as moved the laughter of foreign capitals, while the food placed before the princes and princesses of the blood royal of Prussia was too scanty to appease hunger, and so bad that even hunger loathed it, no price was thought too extravagant for tall recruits. The ambition of the King was to form a brigade of giants, and every country was ransacked by his agents for men above the ordinary stature. These researches were not confined to Europe. No head that towered above the crowd in the bazaars of Aleppo, of Cairo, or of Surat, could escape the crimps of Frederic William. One Irishman more than seven feet high, who was picked up in London by the Prussian ambassador, received a bounty of near thirteen hundred pounds sterling, very much more than the ambassador's salary. This extravagance was the more absurd, because a stout youth of five feet eight, who might have been procured for a few dollars, would in all probability have been a much more valuable soldier. But to Frederic William, this huge Irishman was what a brass Otho, or a Vinegar Bible, is to a collector of a different kind.

It is remarkable that though the main end of Frederic William's administration was to have a great military force, though his reign forms an important epoch in the history of military discipline, and though his dominant passion was the love of military display, he was yet one of the most pacific of princes. We are afraid that his aversion to war was not the effect of humanity, but was merely one of his thousand whims. His feeling about his troops seems to have resembled a miser's feeling about his money. He loved to collect them, to count them, to see them increase; but he could not find it in his heart to break in upon the precious hoard. He looked forward to some future time when his Patagonian battalions were to drive hostile infantry before them like sheep: but this future time was always receding; and it is probable that, if his life had been prolonged thirty years, his superb army would never have seen any harder service than a sham fight in the fields near Berlin. But the great military means which he had collected were destined to be employed by a spirit far more daring and inventive than his own.

Frederic, surnamed the Great, son of Frederic William, was born in January, 1712. It may safely be pronounced that he had received from nature a strong and sharp understanding, and a rare firmness of temper and intensity of will. As to the other parts of his character, it is difficult to say whether they are to be ascribed to nature, or to the strange training which he underwent. The history of his boyhood is painfully interesting. Oliver Twist in the parish workhouse, Smike at Dotheboys Hall, were petted children when compared with this wretched heir apparent of a crown. The nature of Frederic William was hard and bad, and the habit of exercising arbitrary power had made him frightfully savage. His rage constantly vented itself to right and left in curses and blows. When his Majesty took a walk, every human being fled before him, as if a tiger had broken loose from a menagerie. If he met a lady in the street, he gave her a kick, and told her to go home and mind her brats. If he saw a clergyman staring at the soldiers, he admonished the reverend gentleman to betake himself to study and prayer, and enforced this pious advice by a sound caning administered on the spot. But it was in his own house that he was most unreasonable and ferocious. His palace was hell, and he the most execrable of fiends, a cross between

Moloch and Puck. His son Frederic and his daughter Wilhelmina, afterwards Margravine of Bareuth, were in an especial manner objects of his aversion. His own mind was uncultivated. He despised literature. He hated infidels, papists, and metaphysicians, and did not very well understand in what they differed from each other. The business of life, according to him, was to drill and to be drilled. The recreations suited to a prince were to sit in a cloud of tobacco smoke, to sip Swedish beer between the puffs of the pipe, to play backgammon for three halfpence a rubber, to kill wild hogs, and to shoot partridges by the thousand. The Prince Royal showed little inclination either for the serious employments or for the amusements of his father. He shirked the duties of the parade; he detested the fume of tobacco; he had no taste either for backgammon or for field sports. He had an exquisite ear, and performed skilfully on the flute. His earliest instructors had been French refugees, and they had awakened in him a strong passion for French literature and French society. Frederic William regarded these tastes as effeminate and contemptible, and, by abuse and persecution, made them still stronger. Things became worse when the Prince Royal attained that time of life at which the great revolution in the human mind and body takes place. He was guilty of some youthful indiscretions, which no good and wise parent would regard with severity. At a later period he was accused, truly or falsely, of vices from which History averts her eyes, and which even Satire blushes to name, vices such that, to borrow the energetic language of Lord Keeper Coventry, "the depraved nature of man, which of itself carrieth man to all other sin, abhorreth them." But the offences of his youth were not characterized by any peculiar turpitude. They excited, however, transports of rage in the King, who hated all faults except those to which he was himself inclined, and who conceived that he made ample atonement to Heaven for his brutality, by holding the softer passions in detestation. The Prince Royal, too, was not one of those who are content to take their religion on trust. He asked puzzling questions, and brought forward arguments which seemed to savour of something different from pure Lutheranism. The King suspected that his son was inclined to be a heretic of some sort or other, whether Calvinist or Atheist his

Majesty did not very well know. The ordinary malignity of Frederic William was bad enough. He now thought malignity a part of his duty as a Christian man, and all the conscience that he had stimulated his hatred. The flute was broken: the French books were sent out of the palace: the Prince was kicked and cudgelled, and pulled by the hair. At dinner the plates were hurled at his head: sometimes he was restricted to bread and water: sometimes he was forced to swallow food so nauseous that he could not keep it on his stomach. Once his father knocked him down, dragged him along the floor to a window, and was with difficulty prevented from strangling him with the cord of the curtain. The Queen, for the crime of not wishing to see her son murdered, was subjected to the grossest indignities. The Princess Wilhelmina, who took her brother's part, was treated almost as ill as Mrs Brownrigg's apprentices. Driven to despair, the unhappy youth tried to run away. Then the fury of the old tyrant rose to madness. The Prince was an officer in the army: his flight was therefore desertion; and, in the moral code of Frederic William, desertion was the highest of all crimes. "Desertion," says this royal theologian, in one of his half-crazy letters, "is from hell. It is a work of the children of the Devil. No child of God could possibly be guilty of it." An accomplice of the Prince, in spite of the recommendation of a court martial, was mercilessly put to death. It seemed probable that the Prince himself would suffer the same fate. It was with difficulty that the intercession of the States of Holland, of the Kings of Sweden and Poland, and of the Emperor of Germany, saved the House of Brandenburg from the stain of an unnatural murder. After months of cruel suspense, Frederic learned that his life would be spared. He remained, however, long a prisoner; but he was not on that account to be pitied. He found in his gaolers a tenderness which he had never found in his father; his table was not sumptuous, but he had wholesome food in sufficient quantity to appease hunger: he could read the Henriade without being kicked, and could play on his flute without having it broken over his head.

When his confinement terminated he was a man. He had nearly completed his twenty-first year, and could scarcely be kept much longer under the restraints which

had made his boyhood miserable. Suffering had matured his understanding, while it had hardened his heart and soured his temper. He had learnt self-command and dissimulation: he affected to conform to some of his father's views, and submissively accepted a wife, who was a wife only in name, from his father's hand. He also served with credit, though without any opportunity of acquiring brilliant distinction, under the command of Prince Eugene, during a campaign marked by no extraordinary events. He was now permitted to keep a separate establishment, and was therefore able to indulge with caution his own tastes. Partly in order to conciliate the king, and partly, no doubt, from inclination, he gave up a portion of his time to military and political business, and thus gradually acquired such an aptitude for affairs as his most intimate associates were not aware that he possessed.

His favourite abode was at Rheinsberg, near the frontier which separates the Prussian dominions from the Duchy of Mecklenburg. Rheinsberg is a fertile and smiling spot, in the midst of the sandy waste of the Marquisate. The mansion, surrounded by woods of oak and beech, looks out upon a spacious lake. There Frederic amused himself by laying out gardens in regular alleys and intricate mazes, by building obelisks, temples, and conservatories, and by collecting rare fruits and flowers. His retirement was enlivened by a few companions, among whom he seems to have preferred those who, by birth or extraction, were French. With these inmates he dined and supped well, drank freely, and amused himself sometimes with concerts, and sometimes with holding chapters of a fraternity which he called the Order of Bayard; but literature was his chief resource.

His education had been entirely French. The long ascendency which Lewis the Fourteenth had enjoyed, and the eminent merit of the tragic and comic dramatists, of the satirists, and of the preachers who had flourished under that magnificent prince, had made the French language predominant in Europe. Even in countries which had a national literature, and which could boast of names greater than those of Racine, of Molière, and of Massillon, in the country of Dante, in the country of Cervantes, in the country of Shakspeare and Milton, the intellectual fashions of

Paris had been to a great extent adopted. Germany had not yet produced a single masterpiece of poetry or eloquence. In Germany, therefore, the French taste reigned without rival and without limit. Every youth of rank was taught to speak and write French. That he should speak and write his own tongue with politeness, or even with accuracy and facility, was regarded as comparatively an unimportant object. Even Frederic William, with all his rugged Saxon prejudices, thought it necessary that his children should know French, and quite unnecessary that they should be well versed in German. The Latin was positively interdicted. "My son," his Majesty wrote, "shall not learn Latin; and, more than that, I will not suffer any body even to mention such a thing to me." One of the preceptors ventured to read the Golden Bull in the original with the Prince Royal. Frederic William entered the room, and broke out in his usual kingly style.

"Rascal, what are you at there?"

"Please your Majesty," answered the preceptor, "I was explaining the Golden Bull to his Royal Highness."

"I'll Golden Bull you, you rascal!" roared the Majesty of Prussia. Up went the King's cane; away ran the terrified instructor; and Frederic's classical studies ended for ever. He now and then affected to quote Latin sentences, and produced such exquisitely Ciceronian phrases as these:—"Stante pede morire,"—"De gustibus non est disputandus,"—"Tot verbas tot spondera." Of Italian, he had not enough to read a page of Metastasio with ease; and of the Spanish and English, he did not, as far as we are aware, understand a single word.

As the highest human compositions to which he had access were those of the French writers, it is not strange that his admiration for those writers should have been unbounded. His ambitious and eager temper early prompted him to imitate what he admired. The wish, perhaps, dearest to his heart was, that he might rank among the masters of French rhetoric and poetry. He wrote prose and verse as indefatigably as if he had been a starving hack of Cave or Osborn; but Nature, which had bestowed on him, in a large measure, the talents of a captain and of an administrator, had withheld from him those higher and rarer gifts, without which industry labours in vain to produce immortal

eloquence and song. And, indeed, had he been blessed wit more imagination, wit, and fertility of thought, than h appears to have had, he would still have been subject to on great disadvantage, which would, in all probability, hav for ever prevented him from taking a high place amon men of letters. He had not the full command of any lan guage. There was no machine of thought which he coul employ with perfect ease, confidence, and freedom. H had German enough to scold his servants, or to give th word of command to his grenadiers; but his gramma and pronunciation were extremely bad. He found it diff cult to make out the meaning even of the simplest Germa poetry. On one occasion a version of Racine's Iphigén was read to him. He held the French original in his hand but was forced to own that, even with such help, he coul not understand the translation. Yet, though he had ne lected his mother tongue in order to bestow all his attentio on French, his French was, after all, the French of a foreigne It was necessary for him to have always at his beck son men of letters from Paris to point out the solecisms an false rhymes of which, to the last, he was frequently guilt Even had he possessed the poetic faculty, of which, as f as we can judge, he was utterly destitute, the want of a lan guage would have prevented him from being a great poe No noble work of imagination, as far as we recollect, wa ever composed by any man, except in a dialect which h had learned without remembering how or when, and whic he had spoken with perfect ease before he had ever analyse its structure. Romans of great abilities wrote Greek verse but how many of those verses have deserved to live? Man men of eminent genius have, in modern times, written Lat poems; but, as far as we are aware, none of those poem not even Milton's, can be ranked in the first class of an or even very high in the second. It is not strange, there fore, that, in the French verses of Frederic, we can fin nothing beyond the reach of any man of good parts an industry, nothing above the level of Newdigate and Seatonia poetry. His best pieces may perhaps rank with the worst Dodsley's collection. In history, he succeeded better. W do not, indeed, find, in any part of his voluminous Memoi either deep reflection or vivid painting. But the narrati is distinguished by clearness, conciseness, good sense, an

certain air of truth and simplicity, which is singularly raceful in a man who, having done great things, sits down o relate them. On the whole, however, none of his writings re so agreeable to us as his Letters, particularly those which re written with earnestness, and are not embroidered with erses.

It is not strange that a young man devoted to literature, nd acquainted only with the literature of France, should ave looked with profound veneration on the genius of oltaire. "A man who has never seen the sun," says alderon, in one of his charming comedies, "cannot be amed for thinking that no glory can exceed that of the oon. A man who has seen neither moon nor sun, cannot e blamed for talking of the unrivalled brightness of the orning star." Had Frederic been able to read Homer nd Milton, or even Virgil and Tasso, his admiration of ie Henriade would prove that he was utterly destitute f the power of discerning what is excellent in art. Had e been familiar with Sophocles or Shakspeare, we should ave expected him to appreciate Zaire more justly. Had e been able to study Thucydides and Tacitus in the original reek and Latin, he would have known that there were eights in the eloquence of history far beyond the reach the author of the Life of Charles the Twelfth. But the nest heroic poem, several of the most powerful tragedies, nd the most brilliant and picturesque historical work iat Frederic had ever read, were Voltaire's. Such high nd various excellence moved the young Prince almost to loration. The opinions of Voltaire on religious and philo- phical questions had not yet been fully exhibited to the ublic. At a later period, when an exile from his country, nd at open war with the Church, he spoke out. But when rederic was at Rheinsberg, Voltaire was still a courtier; nd, though he could not always curb his petulant wit, he nd as yet published nothing that could exclude him from ersailles, and little that a divine of the mild and generous hool of Grotius and Tillotson might not read with pleasure. the Henriade, in Zaire, and in Alzire, Christian piety is hibited in the most amiable form; and, some years after e period of which we are writing, a Pope condescended to cept the dedication of Mahomet. The real sentiments of e poet, however, might be clearly perceived by a keen eye

through the decent disguise with which he veiled them, and could not escape the sagacity of Frederic, who held similar opinions, and had been accustomed to practise similar dissimulation.

The Prince wrote to his idol in the style of a worshipper; and Voltaire replied with exquisite grace and address. A correspondence followed, which may be studied with advantage by those who wish to become proficients in the ignoble art of flattery. No man ever paid compliments better than Voltaire. His sweetest confectionery had always a delicate yet stimulating flavour, which was delightful to palates wearied by the coarse preparations of inferior artists. It was only from his hand that so much sugar could be swallowed without making the swallower sick. Copies of verses, writing desks, trinkets of amber, were exchanged between the friends. Frederic confided his writings to Voltaire, and Voltaire applauded, as if Frederic had been Racine and Bossuet in one. One of his Royal Highness's performances was a refutation of Machiavelli. Voltaire undertook to convey it to the press. It was entitled the Anti-Machiavel, and was an edifying homily against rapacity, perfidy, arbitrary government, unjust war, in short, against almost everything for which its author is now remembered among men.

The old King uttered now and then a ferocious growl at the diversions of Rheinsberg. But his health was broken, his end was approaching; and his vigour was impaired. He had only one pleasure left, that of seeing tall soldiers. He could always be propitiated by a present of a grenadier of six feet four or six feet five; and such presents were from time to time judiciously offered by his son.

Early in the year 1740, Frederic William met death with a firmness and dignity worthy of a better and wiser man; and Frederic, who had just completed his twenty-eighth year, became King of Prussia. His character was little understood. That he had good abilities, indeed, no person who had talked with him, or corresponded with him could doubt. But the easy Epicurean life which he had led, his love of good cookery and good wine, of music, of conversation, of light literature, led many to regard him as a sensual and intellectual voluptuary. His habit of canting about moderation, peace, liberty, and the happiness which a good

mind derives from the happiness of others, had imposed on some who should have known better. Those who thought best of him, expected a Telemachus after Fénélon's pattern. Others predicted the approach of a Medicean age, an age propitious to learning and art, and not unpropitious to pleasure. Nobody had the least suspicion that a tyrant of extraordinary military and political talents, of industry more extraordinary still, without fear, without faith, and without mercy, had ascended the throne.

The disappointment of Falstaff at his old boon-companion's coronation was not more bitter than that which awaited some of the inmates of Rheinsberg. They had long looked forward to the accession of their patron, as to the event from which their own prosperity and greatness was to date. They had at last reached the promised land, the land which they had figured to themselves as flowing with milk and honey; and they found it a desert. "No more of these fooleries," was the short, sharp admonition given by Frederic to one of them. It soon became plain that, in the most important points, the new sovereign bore a strong family likeness to his predecessor. There was indeed a wide difference between the father and the son as respected the extent and vigour of intellect, speculative opinions, amusements, studies, outward demeanour. But the groundwork of the character was the same in both. To both were common the love of order, the love of business, the military taste, the parsimony, the imperious spirit, the temper irritable even to ferocity, the pleasure in the pain and humiliation of others. But these propensities had in Frederic William partaken of the general unsoundness of his mind, and wore a very different aspect when found in company with the strong and cultivated understanding of his successor. Thus for example, Frederic was as anxious as any prince could be about the efficiency of his army. But this anxiety never degenerated into a monomania, like that which led his father to pay fancy prices for giants. Frederic was as thrifty about money as any prince or any private man ought to be. But he did not conceive, like his father, that it was worth while to eat unwholesome cabbages for the purpose of saving four or five rixdollars in the year. Frederic was, in fear, as malevolent as his father; but Frederic's wit enabled him often to show his malevolence in ways more

decent than those to which his father resorted, and to inflic misery and degradation by a taunt instead of a blow. Frec eric, it is true, by no means relinquished his hereditar privilege of kicking and cudgelling. His practice, howeve as to that matter, differed in some important respects fro his father's. To Frederic William, the mere circumstanc that any persons whatever, men, women, or children, Pru sians or foreigners, were within reach of his toes and of h cane, appeared to be a sufficient reason for proceeding belabour them. Frederic required provocation as well vicinity; nor was he ever known to inflict this patern species of correction on any but his born subjects; thoug on one occasion M. Thiébault had reason, during a fe seconds, to anticipate the high honour of being an exceptic to this general rule.

The character of Frederic was still very imperfectly unde stood either by his subjects or by his neighbours, whe events occurred which exhibited it in a strong light. A fe months after his accession died Charles the Sixth, Emper of Germany, the last descendant, in the male line, of t house of Austria.

Charles left no son, and had, long before his death, reli quished all hopes of male issue. During the latter part his life, his principal object had been to secure to his d scendants in the female line the many crowns of the hou of Hapsburg. With this view, he had promulgated a ne law of succession, widely celebrated throughout Euro under the name of the Pragmatic Sanction. By virtue this law, his daughter, the Archduchess Maria Theresa, wi of Francis of Loraine, succeeded to the dominions of h ancestors.

No sovereign has ever taken possession of a throne by clearer title. All the politics of the Austrian cabinet ha during twenty years, been directed to one single end, t settlement of the succession. From every person who rights could be considered as injuriously affected, renu ciations in the most solemn form had been obtained. T new law had been ratified by the Estates of all the kingdor and principalities which made up the great Austrian monarch England, France, Spain, Russia, Poland, Prussia, Swede Denmark, the Germanic body, had bound themselves treaty to maintain the Pragmatic Sanction. That instr

ent was placed under the protection of the public faith
f the whole civilised world.

Even if no positive stipulations on this subject had existed, he arrangement was one which no good man would have een willing to disturb. It was a peaceable arrangement. t was an arrangement acceptable to the great population hose happiness was chiefly concerned. It was an arrangeent which made no change in the distribution of power mong the states of Christendom. It was an arrangement hich could be set aside only by means of a general war; nd, if it were set aside, the effect would be, that the equiorium of Europe would be deranged, that the loyal and atriotic feelings of millions would be cruelly outraged, nd that great provinces which had been united for centuries ould be torn from each other by main force.

The sovereigns of Europe were, therefore, bound by every bligation which those who are intrusted with power over eir fellow-creatures ought to hold most sacred, to respect nd defend the rights of the Archduchess. Her situation nd her personal qualities were such as might be expected move the mind of any generous man to pity, admiration, nd chivalrous tenderness. She was in her twenty-fourth ear. Her form was majestic, her features beautiful, her untenance sweet and animated, her voice musical, her portment gracious and dignified. In all domestic relaons she was without reproach. She was married to a sband whom she loved, and was on the point of giving rth to a child, when death deprived her of her father. he loss of a parent, and the new cares of empire, were too uch for her in the delicate state of her health. Her spirits ere depressed, and her cheek lost its bloom. Yet it seemed at she had little cause for anxiety. It seemed that justice, manity, and the faith of treaties would have their due eight, and that the settlement so solemnly guaranteed would quietly carried into effect. England, Russia, Poland, and olland, declared in form their intention to adhere to their gagements. The French ministers made a verbal declaraon to the same effect. But from no quarter did the young ueen of Hungary receive stronger assurances of friendship d support than from the King of Prussia.

Yet the King of Prussia, the Anti-Machiavel, had already ly determined to commit the great crime of violating his

plighted faith, of robbing the ally whom he was bound to defend, and of plunging all Europe into a long, bloody, and desolating war; and all this for no end whatever, except that he might extend his dominions, and see his name in the gazettes. He determined to assemble a great army with speed and secrecy, to invade Silesia before Maria Theresa should be apprised of his design, and to add that rich province to his kingdom.

We will not condescend to refute at length the plea which the compiler of the Memoirs before us has copied from Doctor Preuss. They amount to this, that the house of Brandenburg had some ancient pretensions to Silesia and had in the previous century been compelled, by hard usage on the part of the Court of Vienna, to waive those pretensions. It is certain that, whoever might originally have been in the right, Prussia had submitted. Prince after prince of the house of Brandenburg had acquiesced in the existing arrangement. Nay, the Court of Berlin had recently been allied with that of Vienna, and had guaranteed the integrity of the Austrian states. Is it not perfectly clear that, if antiquated claims are to be set up against recent treaties and long possession, the world can never be at peace for a day? The laws of all nations have wisely established a time of limitation, after which titles, however illegitimate in their origin, cannot be questioned. It is felt by every body, that to eject a person from his estate on the ground of some injustice committed in the time of the Tudors would produce all the evils which result from arbitrary confiscation, and would make all property insecure. It concerns the commonwealth—so runs the legal maxim—that there be an end of litigation. And surely this maxim is at least equally applicable to the great commonwealth of states; for in that commonwealth litigation means the devastation of provinces, the suspension of trade and industry, sieges like those of Badajoz and St Sebastian, pitched fields like those of Eylau and Borodino. We hold that the transfer of Norway from Denmark to Sweden was an unjustifiable proceeding; but would the King of Denmark be therefore justified in landing without any new provocation, in Norway, and commencing military operations there? The King of Holland thinks, no doubt, that he was unjustly deprived of the Belgian pro-

nces. Grant that it were so. Would he, therefore, be ustified in marching with an army on Brussels? The ase against Frederic was still stronger, inasmuch as the njustice of which he complained had been committed more han a century before. Nor must it be forgotten that he owed the highest personal obligations to the house of Austria. t may be doubted whether his life had not been preserved y the intercession of the prince whose daughter he was bout to plunder.

To do the King justice, he pretended to no more virtue han he had. In manifestoes he might, for form's sake, nsert some idle stories about his antiquated claim on ilesia; but in his conversations and Memoirs he took very different tone. His own words are: "Ambition, interest, the desire of making people talk about me, carried he day; and I decided for war."

Having resolved on his course, he acted with ability and igour. It was impossible wholly to conceal his preparaions; for throughout the Prussian territories regiments, uns, and baggage were in motion. The Austrian envoy t Berlin apprised his court of these facts, and expressed suspicion of Frederic's designs; but the ministers of Maria Theresa refused to give credit to so black an imutation on a young prince who was known chiefly by his igh professions of integrity and philanthropy. "We will ot," they wrote, "we cannot, believe it."

In the mean time the Prussian forces had been assembled. Without any declaration of war, without any demand for eparation, in the very act of pouring forth compliments nd assurances of good will, Frederic commenced hostilies. Many thousands of his troops were actually in Silesia efore the Queen of Hungary knew that he had set up any laim to any part of her territories. At length he sent her message which could be regarded only as an insult. If he would but let him have Silesia, he would, he said, tand by her against any power which should try to deprive er of her other dominions; as if he was not already bound o stand by her, or as if his new promise could be of more alue than the old one.

It was the depth of winter. The cold was severe, and he roads heavy with mire. But the Prussians pressed n. Resistance was impossible. The Austrian army was

then neither numerous nor efficient. The small portio of that army which lay in Silesia was unprepared for ho tilities. Glogau was blockaded; Breslau opened its gate Ohlau was evacuated. A few scattered garrisons still he out; but the whole open country was subjugated: enemy ventured to encounter the King in the field; an before the end of January, 1741, he returned to receive t congratulations of his subjects at Berlin.

Had the Silesian question been merely a question betwe Frederic and Maria Theresa, it would be impossible acquit the Prussian King of gross perfidy. But wh we consider the effects which his policy produced, and cou not fail to produce, on the whole community of civilis nations, we are compelled to pronounce a condemnati still more severe. Till he began the war, it seemed possib even probable, that the peace of the world would be pr served. The plunder of the great Austrian heritage w indeed a strong temptation; and in more than one cabin ambitious schemes were already meditated. But t treaties by which the Pragmatic Sanction had been gua anteed were express and recent. To throw all Euro into confusion for a purpose clearly unjust, was no lig matter. England was true to her engagements. T voice of Fleury had always been for peace. He had conscience. He was now in extreme old age, and was u willing, after a life which, when his situation was considere must be pronounced singularly pure, to carry the fro stain of a great crime before the tribunal of his God. Ev the vain and unprincipled Belle-Isle, whose whole l was one wild day-dream of conquest and spoliation, f that France, bound as she was by solemn stipulatio could not, without disgrace, make a direct attack on t Austrian dominions. Charles, Elector of Bavaria, p tended that he had a right to a large part of the inheritan which the Pragmatic Sanction gave to the Queen Hungary; but he was not sufficiently powerful to mo without support. It might, therefore, not unreasonal be expected that, after a short period of restlessness, the potentates of Christendom would acquiesce in t arrangements made by the late Emperor. But the self rapacity of the King of Prussia gave the signal to his neig bours. His example quieted their sense of shame. I

uccess led them to underrate the difficulty of dismember-
ng the Austrian monarchy. The whole world sprang to
rms. On the head of Frederic is all the blood which was
ied in a war which raged during many years and in every
uarter of the globe, the blood of the column of Fontenoy,
ie blood of the mountaineers who were slaughtered at
ulloden. The evils produced by his wickedness were felt
lands where the name of Prussia was unknown; and,
order that he might rob a neighbour whom he had pro-
ised to defend, black men fought on the coast of Coro-
andel, and red men scalped each other by the Great
akes of North America.

Silesia had been occupied without a battle; but the
ustrian troops were advancing to the relief of the fortresses
hich still held out. In the spring Frederic rejoined his
my. He had seen little of war, and had never com-
anded any great body of men in the field. It is not,
erefore, strange that his first military operations showed
ttle of that skill which, at a later period, was the admiration
Europe. What connoisseurs say of some pictures
ainted by Raphael in his youth, may be said of this cam-
ign. It was in Frederic's early bad manner. Fortu-
ately for him, the generals to whom he was opposed were
en of small capacity. The discipline of his own troops,
rticularly of the infantry, was unequalled in that age;
d some able and experienced officers were at hand to
sist him with their advice. Of these, the most distin-
ished was Field-Marshal Schwerin, a brave adventurer
Pomeranian extraction, who had served half the govern-
ents in Europe, had borne the commissions of the States
eneral of Holland and of the Duke of Mecklenburg, had
ught under Marlborough at Blenheim, and had been
th Charles the Twelfth at Bender.

Frederic's first battle was fought at Molwitz: and
ver did the career of a great commander open in a more
auspicious manner. His army was victorious. Not
ly, however, did he not establish his title to the character
an able general; but he was so unfortunate as to make
doubtful whether he possessed the vulgar courage of a
dier. The cavalry, which he commanded in person,
s put to flight. Unaccustomed to the tumult and carn-
e of a field of battle, he lost his self-possession, and

listened too readily to those who urged him to save himself. His English grey carried him many miles from the field, while Schwerin, though wounded in two places, manfully upheld the day. The skill of the old Field-Marshal and the steadiness of the Prussian battalions prevailed; and the Austrian army was driven from the field with the loss of eight thousand men.

The news was carried late at night to a mill in which the King had taken shelter. It gave him a bitter pang. He was successful; but he owed his success to dispositions which others had made, and to the valour of men who had fought while he was flying. So unpromising was the first appearance of the greatest warrior of that age.

The battle of Molwitz was the signal for a general explosion throughout Europe. Bavaria took up arms. France, not yet declaring herself a principal in the war, took part in it as an ally of Bavaria. The two great statesmen to whom mankind had owed many years of tranquillity, disappeared about this time from the scene, but not till they had both been guilty of the weakness of sacrificing their sense of justice and their love of peace to the vain hope of preserving their power. Fleury, sinking under age and infirmity, was borne down by the impetuosity of Belle-Isle. Walpole retired from the service of his ungrateful country to his woods and paintings at Houghton; and his power devolved on the daring and eccentric Carteret. As were the ministers, so were the nations. Thirty years during which Europe had, with few interruptions, enjoyed repose, had prepared the public mind for great military efforts. A new generation had grown up, which could not remember the siege of Turin or the slaughter of Malplaquet; which knew war by nothing but its trophies; and which, while it looked with pride on the tapestries at Blenheim, or the statue in the Place of Victories, little thought by what privations, by what waste of private fortunes, by how many bitter tears, conquests must be purchased.

For a time fortune seemed adverse to the Queen of Hungary. Frederic invaded Moravia. The French and Bavarians penetrated into Bohemia, and were there joined by the Saxons. Prague was taken. The Elector of Bavaria was raised by the suffrages of his colleagues to the Imperial throne, a throne which the practice of centuries had almost

entitled the House of Austria to regard as a hereditary possession.

Yet was the spirit of the haughty daughter of the Cæsars unbroken. Hungary was still hers by an unquestionable title; and although her ancestors had found Hungary the most mutinous of all their kingdoms, she resolved to trust herself to the fidelity of a people, rude indeed, turbulent, and impatient of oppression, but brave, generous, and simple-hearted. In the midst of distress and peril she had given birth to a son, afterwards the Emperor Joseph the Second. Scarcely had she risen from her couch, when she hastened to Presburg. There, in the sight of an innumerable multitude, she was crowned with the crown and robed with the robe of St Stephen. No spectator could restrain his tears when the beautiful young mother, still weak from child-bearing, rode, after the fashion of her fathers, up the mount of Defiance, unsheathed the ancient sword of state, shook it towards north and south, east and west, and, with a glow on her pale face, challenged the four corners of the world to dispute her rights and those of her boy. At the first sitting of the Diet she appeared clad in deep mourning for her father, and in pathetic and dignified words, implored her people to support her just cause. Magnates and deputies sprang up, half drew their sabres, and with eager voices vowed to stand by her with their lives and fortunes. Till then, her firmness had never once forsaken her before the public eye: but at that shout she sank down upon her throne, and wept aloud. Still more touching was the sight when, a few days later, she came again before the Estates of her realm, and held up before them the little Archduke in her arms. Then it was that the enthusiasm of Hungary broke forth into that war-cry which soon resounded throughout Europe, "Let us die for our King, Maria Theresa!"

In the mean time, Frederic was meditating a change of policy. He had no wish to raise France to supreme power in the continent, at the expense of the house of Hapsburg. His first object was to rob the Queen of Hungary. His second object was that, if possible, nobody should rob her but himself. He had entered into engagements with the powers leagued against Austria; but these engagements were in his estimation of no more force than the guarantee

formerly given to the Pragmatic Sanction. His plan no was to secure his share of the plunder by betraying h accomplices. Maria Theresa was little inclined to liste to any such compromise; but the English governmer represented to her so strongly the necessity of buyin off Frederic, that she agreed to negotiate. The negotiatic would not, however, have ended in a treaty, had not th arms of Frederic been crowned with a second victor Prince Charles of Loraine, brother-in-law to Maria Theres a bold and active though unfortunate general, gave batt to the Prussians at Chotusitz, and was defeated. Th king was still only a learner of the military art. He acknov ledged, at a later period, that his success on this occasic was to be attributed, not at all to his own generalship, b solely to the valour and steadiness of his troops. H completely effaced, however, by his personal courage ar energy, the stain which Molwitz had left on his reputation

A peace, concluded under the English mediation, w the fruit of this battle. Maria Theresa ceded Silesia Frederic abandoned his allies: Saxony followed his e ample: and the Queen was left at liberty to turn her whc force against France and Bavaria. She was every whe triumphant. The French were compelled to evacua Bohemia, and with difficulty effected their escape. Tl whole line of their retreat might be tracked by the corps of thousands who had died of cold, fatigue, and hung Many of those who reached their country carried wi them the seeds of death. Bavaria was overrun by bar of ferocious warriors from that bloody debatable land whi lies on the frontier between Christendom and Islam. T terrible names of the Pandoor, the Croat, and the Huss then first became familiar to western Europe. The u fortunate Charles of Bavaria, vanquished by Austria, trayed by Prussia, driven from his hereditary states, a neglected by his allies, was hurried by shame and remo to an untimely end. An English army appeared in heart of Germany and defeated the French at Detting The Austrian captains already began to talk of complet the work of Marlborough and Eugene, and of compell France to relinquish Alsace and the three Bishoprics.

The Court of Versailles, in this peril, looked to Fredd for help. He had been guilty of two great treasons: perhr

ıe might be induced to commit a third. The Duchess f Chateauroux then held the chief influence over the eeble Lewis. She determined to send an agent to Berlin; nd Voltaire was selected for the mission. He eagerly ndertook the task; for, while his literary fame filled ll Europe, he was troubled with a childish craving for olitical distinction. He was vain, and not without reason, f his address, and of his insinuating eloquence; and he attered himself that he possessed boundless influence ver the King of Prussia. The truth was that he knew, s yet, only one corner of Frederic's character. He was ell acquainted with all the petty vanities and affectations f the poetaster; but was not aware that these foibles ere united with all the talents and vices which lead to uccess in active life, and that the unlucky versifier who estered him with reams of middling Alexandrines, was ıe most vigilant, suspicious, and severe of politicians.

Voltaire was received with every mark of respect and iendship, was lodged in the palace, and had a seat daily t the royal table. The negotiation was of an extraordinary escription. Nothing can be conceived more whimsical ıan the conferences which took place between the first terary man and the first practical man of the age, whom strange weakness had induced to exchange their parts. he great poet would talk of nothing but treaties and uarantees, and the great King of nothing but metaphors ıd rhymes. On one occasion Voltaire put into his ajesty's hand a paper on the state of Europe, and received back with verses scrawled on the margin. In secret ey both laughed at each other. Voltaire did not spare the ing's poems; and the King has left on record his opinion Voltaire's diplomacy. "He had no credentials," says rederic, "and the whole mission was a joke, a mere farce."

But what the influence of Voltaire could not effect, the pid progress of the Austrian arms effected. If it should e in the power of Maria Theresa and George the Second dictate terms of peace to France, what chance was ere that Prussia would long retain Silesia? Frederic's nscience told him that he had acted perfidiously and humanly towards the Queen of Hungary. That her sentment was strong she had given ample proof; and her respect for treaties he judged by his own. Guar-

antees, he said, were mere filigree, pretty to look at, bu too brittle to bear the slightest pressure. He thoug it his safest course to ally himself closely to France, an again to attack the Empress Queen. Accordingly, in th autumn of 1744, without notice, without any decent pretex he recommenced hostilities, marched through the electorat of Saxony without troubling himself about the permissio of the Elector, invaded Bohemia, took Prague, and eve menaced Vienna.

It was now that, for the first time, he experienced th inconstancy of fortune. An Austrian army under Charl of Loraine threatened his communications with Silesi Saxony was all in arms behind him. He found it necessar to save himself by a retreat. He afterwards owned tha his failure was the natural effect of his own blunders. N general, he said, had ever committed greater faults. must be added, that to the reverses of this campaign l always ascribed his subsequent successes. It was in th midst of difficulty and disgrace that he caught the fir clear glimpse of the principles of the military art.

The memorable year 1745 followed. The war rage by sea and land, in Italy, in Germany, and in Flanders and even England, after many years of profound intern quiet, saw, for the last time, hostile armies set in batt array against each other. This year is memorable in th life of Frederic, as the date at which his novitiate in th art of war may be said to have terminated. There ha been great captains whose precocious and self-taug military skill resembled intuition. Condé, Clive, an Napoleon are examples. But Frederic was not one these brilliant portents. His proficiency in military scien was simply the proficiency which a man of vigorous facult makes in any science to which he applies his mind wi earnestness and industry. It was at Hohenfriedberg th he first proved how much he had profited by his erro and by their consequences. His victory on that day w chiefly due to his skilful dispositions, and convinced Euro that the prince who, a few years before, had stood agha in the rout of Molwitz, had attained in the military a a mastery equalled by none of his contemporaries, equalled by Saxe alone. The victory of Hohenfriedbe was speedily followed by that of Sorr.

In the mean time, the arms of France had been victorious in the Low Countries. Frederic had no longer reason to fear that Maria Theresa would be able to give law to Europe, and he began to meditate a fourth breach of his engagements. The Court of Versailles was alarmed and mortified. A letter of earnest expostulation, in the handwriting of Lewis, was sent to Berlin; but in vain. In the autumn of 1745, Frederic made peace with England, and, before the close of the year, with Austria also. The pretensions of Charles of Bavaria could present no obstacle to an accommodation. That unhappy prince was no more; and Francis of Loraine, the husband of Maria Theresa, was raised, with the general assent of the Germanic body, to the Imperial throne.

Prussia was again at peace; but the European war lasted till, in the year 1748, it was terminated by the treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle. Of all the powers that had taken part in it, the only gainer was Frederic. Not only had he added to his patrimony the fine province of Silesia: he had, by his unprincipled dexterity, succeeded so well in alternately depressing the scale of Austria and that of France, that he was generally regarded as holding the balance of Europe, a high dignity for one who ranked lowest among kings, and whose great-grandfather had been no more than a Margrave. By the public, the King of Prussia was considered as a politician destitute alike of morality and decency, insatiably rapacious, and shamelessly false; nor was the public much in the wrong. He was at the same time allowed to be a man of parts, a rising general, a shrewd negotiator and administrator. Those qualities wherein he surpassed all mankind were as yet unknown to others or to himself; for they were qualities which shine out only on a dark ground. His career had hitherto, with little interruption, been prosperous; and it was only in adversity, in adversity which seemed without hope or resource, in adversity which would have overwhelmed even men celebrated for strength of mind, that his real greatness could be shown.

He had, from the commencement of his reign, applied himself to public business after a fashion unknown among kings. Lewis the Fourteenth, indeed, had been his own prime minister, and had exercised a general superintendence

over all the departments of the government; but this w not sufficient for Frederic. He was not content w being his own prime minister: he would be his own s minister. Under him there was no room, not mer for a Richelieu or a Mazarin, but for a Colbert, a Louv or a Torcy. A love of labour for its own sake, a restl and insatiable longing to dictate, to intermeddle, to ma his power felt, a profound scorn and distrust of his fell creatures, made him unwilling to ask counsel, to conf important secrets, to delegate ample powers. The high functionaries under his government were mere clerks, a were not so much trusted by him as valuable clerks often trusted by the heads of departments. He was own treasurer, his own commander-in-chief, his own tendent of public works, his own minister for trade a justice, for home affairs and foreign affairs, his own mas of the horse, steward, and chamberlain. Matters which no chief of an office in any other government wo ever hear were, in this singular monarchy, decided by King in person. If a traveller wished for a good place see a review, he had to write to Frederic, and received n day, from a royal messenger, Frederic's answer signed Frederic's own hand. This was an extravagant, a mor activity. The public business would assuredly have b better done if each department had been put under a m of talents and integrity, and if the King had conten himself with a general control. In this manner the adv tages which belong to unity of design, and the advanta which belong to the division of labour, would have b to a great extent combined. But such a system wo not have suited the peculiar temper of Frederic. He co tolerate no will, no reason, in the state, save his own. wished for no abler assistance than that of penmen who h just understanding enough to translate and transcri to make out his scrawls, and to put his concise Yes and into an official form. Of the higher intellectual facult there is as much in a copying machine, or a lithograp press, as he required from a secretary of the cabinet.

His own exertions were such as were hardly to be pected from a human body or a human mind. At Potsda his ordinary residence, he rose at three in summer and f in winter. A page soon appeared, with a large bas

full of all the letters which had arrived for the King by the last courier, despatches from ambassadors, reports from officers of revenue, plans of buildings, proposals for draining marshes, complaints from persons who thought themselves aggrieved, applications from persons who wanted titles, military commissions, and civil situations. He examined the seals with a keen eye; for he was never for a moment free from the suspicion that some fraud might be practised on him. Then he read the letters, divided them into several packets, and signified his pleasure, generally by a mark, often by two or three words, now and then by some cutting epigram. By eight he had generally finished this part of his task. The adjutant-general was then in attendance, and received instructions for the day as to all the military arrangements of the kingdom. Then the King went to review his guards, not as kings ordinarily review their guards, but with the minute attention and severity of an old drill-sergeant. In the mean time the four cabinet secretaries had been employed in answering the letters on which the King had that morning signified his will. These unhappy men were forced to work all the year round like negro slaves in the time of the sugar-crop. They never had a holiday. They never knew what it was to dine. It was necessary that, before they stirred, they should finish the whole of their work. The King, always on his guard against treachery, took from the heap a handful of letters at random, and looked into them to see whether his instructions had been exactly followed. This was no bad security against foul play on the part of the secretaries; for if one of them were detected in a trick, he might think himself fortunate if he escaped with five years of imprisonment in a dungeon. Frederic then signed the replies, and all were sent off the same evening.

The general principles on which this strange government was conducted deserve attention. The policy of Frederic was essentially the same as his father's; but Frederic, while he carried that policy to lengths to which his father never thought of carrying it, cleared it at the same time from the absurdities with which his father had encumbered it. The King's first object was to have a great, efficient, and well-trained army. He had a kingdom which in

extent and population was hardly in the second rank European powers; and yet he aspired to a place not infer to that of the sovereigns of England, Arance, and Austr For that end it was necessary that Prussia should be sting. Lewis the Fifteenth, with five times as many su jects as Frederic, and more than five times as large a venue, had not a more formidable army. The proporti which the soldiers in Prussia bore to the people seems hard credible. Of the males in the vigour of life, a seven part were probably under arms; and this great force ha by drilling, by reviewing, and by the unsparing use cane and scourge, been taught to perform all evolutio with a rapidity and a precision which would have astonish Villars or Eugene. The elevated feelings which are nec sary to the best kind of army were then wanting to t Prussian service. In those ranks were not found t religious and political enthusiasm which inspired the pi men of Cromwell, the patriotic ardour, the devotion to great leader, which inflamed the Old Guard of Napole But in all the mechanical parts of the military callin the Prussians were as superior to the English and Fren troops of that day as the English and French troops to rustic militia.

Though the pay of the Prussian soldier was small, thou every rixdollar of extraordinary charge was scrutinis by Frederic with a vigilance and suspicion such as Joseph Hume never brought to the examination of an ar estimate, the expense of such an establishment was, the means of the country, enormous. In order that might not be utterly ruinous, it was necessary that eve other expense should be cut down to the lowest possi point. Accordingly Frederic, though his dominions b dered on the sea, had no navy. He neither had nor wish to have colonies. His judges, his fiscal officers, w meanly paid. His ministers at foreign courts walk on foot or drove shabby old carriages till the axletr gave way. Even to his highest diplomatic agents, w resided at London and Paris, he allowed less than a thousa pounds sterling a year. The royal household was ma aged with a frugality unusual in the establishments opulent subjects, unexampled in any other palace. T King loved good eating and drinking, and during gr

part of his life took pleasure in seeing his table surrounded by guests; yet the whole charge of his kitchen was brought within the sum of two thousand pounds sterling a year. He examined every extraordinary item with a care which might be thought to suit the mistress of a boarding-house better than a great prince. When more than four rix-dollars were asked of him for a hundred oysters, he stormed as if he had heard that one of his generals had sold a fortress to the Empress Queen. Not a bottle of Champagne was uncorked without his express order. The game of the royal parks and forests, a serious head of expenditure in most kingdoms, was to him a source of profit. The whole was farmed out; and though the farmers were almost ruined by their contract, the king would grant them no remission. His wardrobe consisted of one fine gala dress, which lasted him all his life; of two or three old coats fit for Monmouth Street, of yellow waistcoats soiled with snuff, and of huge boots embrowned by time. One taste alone sometimes allured him beyond the limits of parsimony, nay, even beyond the limits of prudence, the taste for building. In all other things his economy was such as we might call by a harsher name, if we did not reflect that his funds were drawn from a heavily taxed people, and that it was impossible for him, without excessive tyranny, to keep up at once a formidable army and a splendid court.

Considered as an administrator, Frederic had undoubtedly many titles to praise. Order was strictly maintained throughout his dominions. Property was secure. A great liberty of speaking and writing was allowed. Confident in the irresistible strength derived from a great army, the King looked down on malcontents and libellers with a wise disdain; and gave little encouragement to spies and informers. When he was told of the disaffection of one of his subjects, he merely asked, "How many thousand men can he bring into the field?" He once saw a crowd staring at something on a wall. He rode up and found that the object of curiosity was a scurrilous placard against himself. The placard had been posted up so high that it was not easy to read it. Frederic ordered his attendants to take it down and put it lower. "My people and I," he said, "have come to an agreement which satisfies us both. They are to say what they please, and I am to do what

I please." No person would have dared to publish London satires on George the Second approaching to t atrocity of those satires on Frederic, which the booksell at Berlin sold with impunity. One bookseller sent to t palace a copy of the most stinging lampoon that perha was ever written in the world, the Memoirs of Voltai published by Beaumarchais, and asked for his Majest orders. "Do not advertise it in an offensive manne said the King; "but sell it by all means. I hope it v pay you well." Even among statesmen accustomed the license of a free press, such steadfastness of mind this is not very common.

It is due also to the memory of Frederic to say th he earnestly laboured to secure to his people the great ble ing of cheap and speedy justice. He was one of the fi rulers who abolished the cruel and absurd practice torture. No sentence of death, pronounced by the ordina tribunals, was executed without his sanction; and sanction, except in cases of murder, was rarely giv Towards his troops he acted in a very different mann Military offences were punished with such barbarous scou ing that to be shot was considered by the Prussian sold as a secondary punishment. Indeed, the principle wh pervaded Frederic's whole policy was this, that the m severely the army is governed, the safer it is to treat rest of the community with lenity.

Religious persecution was unknown under his gove ment, unless some foolish and unjust restrictions which upon the Jews may be regarded as forming an excepti His policy with respect to the Catholics of Silesia presen an honourable contrast to the policy which, under v similar circumstances, England long followed with resp to the Catholics of Ireland. Every form of religion a irreligion found an asylum in his states. The sco whom the parliaments of France had sentenced to a cr death, was consoled by a commission in the Prussian serv The Jesuit who could show his face nowhere else, who Britain was still subject to penal laws, who was proscri by France, Spain, Portugal, and Naples, who had b given up even by the Vatican, found safety and the me of subsistence in the Prussian dominions.

Most of the vices of Frederic's administration reso

themselves into one vice, the spirit of meddling. The ndefatigable activity of his intellect, his dictatorial temper, his military habits, all inclined him to this great fault. He drilled his people as he drilled his grenadiers. Capital and industry were diverted from their natural direction by a crowd of preposterous regulations. There was a monopoly of coffee, a monopoly of tobacco, a monopoly of refined sugar. The public money, of which the King was generally so sparing, was lavishly spent in ploughing bogs, in planting mulberry trees amidst the sand, in bringing sheep from Spain to improve the Saxon wool, in bestowing prizes for fine yarn, in building manufactories of porcelain, manufactories of carpets, manufactories of hardware, manufactories of lace. Neither the experience of other rulers, nor his own, could ever teach him that something more than an edict and a grant of public money was required to create a Lyons, a Brussels, or a Birmingham.

For his commercial policy, however, there was some excuse. He had on his side illustrious examples and popular prejudice. Grievously as he erred, he erred in company with his age. In other departments his meddling was altogether without apology. He interfered with the course of justice as well as with the course of trade; and set up his own crude notions of equity against the law as expounded by the unanimous voice of the gravest magistrates. It never occurred to him that men whose lives were passed in adjudicating on questions of civil right were more likely to form correct opinions on such questions than a prince whose attention was divided among a thousand objects, and who had never read a law-book through. The resistance opposed to him by the tribunals inflamed him to fury. He reviled his Chancellor. He kicked the shins of his judges. He did not, it is true, intend to act unjustly. He firmly believed that he was doing right, and defending the cause of the poor against the wealthy. Yet this well-meant meddling probably did far more harm than all the explosions of his evil passions during the whole of his long reign. We could make shift to live under a debauchee or a tyrant; but to be ruled by a busybody is more than human nature can bear.

The same passion for directing and regulating appeared in every part of the King's policy. Every lad of a certain

station in life was forced to go to certain schools withi
the Prussian dominions. If a young Prussian repaire
though but for a few weeks, to Leyden or Gottingen fo
the purpose of study, the offence was punished with civ
disabilities, and sometimes with the confiscation of pro
perty. Nobody was to travel without the royal permissio
If the permission was granted, the pocket-money of th
tourist was fixed by royal ordinance. A merchant migh
take with him two hundred and fifty rixdollars in gold,
noble was allowed to take four hundred; for it may b
observed, in passing, that Frederic studiously kept u
the old distinction between the nobles and the community
In speculation, he was a French philosopher, but in actio
a German prince. He talked and wrote about the privilege
of blood in the style of Sieyés; but in practice no chapte
in the empire looked with a keener eye to genealogies an
quarterings.

Such was Frederic the Ruler. But there was anothe
Frederic, the Frederic of Rheinsberg, the fiddler and flut
player, the poetaster and metaphysician. Amidst the care
of state the King had retained his passion for music, fo
reading, for writing, for literary society. To these amuse
ments he devoted all the time that he could snatch from th
business of war and government: and perhaps more ligh
is thrown on his character by what passed during his hou
of relaxation, than by his battles or his laws.

It was the just boast of Schiller that, in his country, n
Augustus, no Lorenzo, had watched over the infancy o
poetry. The rich and energetic language of Luther, drive
by the Latin from the schools of pedants, and by the Frenc
from the palaces of kings, had taken refuge among th
people. Of the powers of that language Frederic had n
notion. He generally spoke of it, and of those who use
it, with the contempt of ignorance. His library consiste
of French books: at his table nothing was heard but Frenc
conversation. The associates of his hours of relaxation wer
for the most part, foreigners. Britain furnished to th
royal circle two distinguished men, born in the highest ran
and driven by civil dissensions from the land to whic
under happier circumstances, their talents and virtu
might have been a source of strength and glory. Georg
Keith, Earl Marischal of Scotland, had taken arms fo

the house of Stuart in 1715; and his younger brother James, then only seventeen years old, had fought gallantly by his side. When all was lost they retired together to the Continent, roved from country to country, served under various standards, and so bore themselves as to win the respect and good will of many who had no love for the Jacobite cause. Their long wanderings terminated at Potsdam; nor had Frederic any associates who deserved or obtained so large a share of his esteem. They were not only accomplished men, but nobles and warriors, capable of serving him in war and diplomacy, as well as of amusing him at supper. Alone of all his companions they appear never to have had reason to complain of his demeanour towards them. Some of those who knew the palace best pronounced that the Lord Marischal was the only human being whom Frederic ever really loved.

Italy sent to the parties at Potsdam the ingenious and amiable Algarotti, and Bastiani, the most crafty, cautious, and servile of Abbés. But the greater part of the society which Frederic had assembled round him, was drawn from France. Maupertuis had acquired some celebrity by the journey which he had made to Lapland, for the purpose of ascertaining, by actual measurement, the shape of our planet. He was placed in the chair of the Academy of Berlin, a humble imitation of the renowned academy of Paris. Baculard D'Arnaud, a young poet, who was thought to have given promise of great things, had been induced to quit his country, and to reside at the Prussian Court. The Marquess D'Argens was among the King's favourite companions, on account, as it should seem, of the strong opposition between their characters. The parts of D'Argens were good, and his manners those of a finished French gentleman; but his whole soul was dissolved in sloth, timidity, and self-indulgence. His was one of that abject class of minds which are superstitious without being religious. Hating Christianity with a rancour which made him incapable of rational enquiry, unable to see in the harmony and beauty of the universe the traces of divine power and wisdom, he was the slave of dreams and omens, would not sit down to table with thirteen in company, turned pale if the salt fell towards him, begged his guests not to cross their knives and forks on their plates, and would

not for the world commence a journey on Friday. His health was a subject of constant anxiety to him. Whenever his head ached, or his pulse beat quick, his dastardly fears and effeminate precautions were the jest of all Berlin. All this suited the King's purpose admirably. He wanted somebody by whom he might be amused, and whom he might despise. When he wished to pass half an hour in easy polished conversation, D'Argens was an excellent companion; when he wanted to vent his spleen and contempt, D'Argens was an excellent butt.

With these associates, and others of the same class, Frederic loved to spend the time which he could steal from public cares. He wished his supper-parties to be gay and easy. He invited his guests to lay aside all restraint and to forget that he was at the head of a hundred and sixty thousand soldiers, and was absolute master of the life and liberty of all who sat at meat with him. There was, therefore, at these parties the outward show of ease. The wit and learning of the company were ostentatiously displayed. The discussions on history and literature were often highly interesting. But the absurdity of all the religions known among men was the chief topic of conversation; and the audacity with which doctrines and names venerated throughout Christendom were treated on these occasions startled even persons accustomed to the society of French and English freethinkers. Real liberty, however, or real affection, was in this brilliant society not to be found. Absolute kings seldom have friends: and Frederic's faults were such as, even where perfect equality exists, make friendship exceedingly precarious. He had indeed many qualities which, on a first acquaintance, were captivating. His conversation was lively; his manners, to those whom he desired to please, were even caressing. No man could flatter with more delicacy. No man succeeded more completely in inspiring those who approached him with vague hopes of some great advantage from his kindness. But under this fair exterior he was a tyrant, suspicious, disdainful, and malevolent. He had one taste which may be pardoned in a boy, but which, when habitually and deliberately indulged by a man of mature age and strong understanding, is almost invariably the sign of a bad heart, a taste for severe practical jokes. If a courtier was fond of dress, or

was flung over his richest suit. If he was fond of money, some prank was invented to make him disburse more than he could spare. If he was hypochondriacal, he was made to believe that he had the dropsy. If he had particularly set his heart on visiting a place, a letter was forged to frighten him from going thither. These things, it may be said, are trifles. They are so; but they are indications, not to be mistaken, of a nature to which the sight of human suffering and human degradation is an agreeable excitement.

Frederic had a keen eye for the foibles of others, and loved to communicate his discoveries. He had some talent for sarcasm, and considerable skill in detecting the sore places where sarcasm would be most acutely felt. His vanity, as well as his malignity, found gratification in the vexation and confusion of those who smarted under his caustic jests. Yet in truth his success on these occasions belonged quite as much to the king as to the wit. We read that Commodus descended, sword in hand, into the arena against a wretched gladiator armed only with a foil of lead, and, after shedding the blood of the helpless victim, struck medals to commemorate the inglorious victory. The triumphs of Frederic in the war of repartee were of much the same kind. How to deal with him was the most puzzling of questions. To appear constrained in his presence was to disobey his commands, and to spoil his amusement. Yet if his associates were enticed by his graciousness to indulge in the familiarity of a cordial intimacy, he was certain to make them repent of their presumption by some cruel humiliation. To resent his affronts was perilous; yet not to resent them was to deserve and to invite them. In his view, those who mutinied were insolent and ungrateful; those who submitted were curs made to receive bones and kickings with the same fawning patience. It is, indeed, difficult to conceive how any thing short of the rage of hunger should have induced men to bear the misery of being the associates of the Great King. It was no lucrative post. His Majesty was as severe and economical in his friendships as in the other charges of his establishment, and as unlikely to give a rixdollar too much for his guests as for his dinners. The sum which he allowed to a poet or a philosopher was the very smallest sum for which such poet or philosopher

could be induced to sell himself into slavery; and the bondsman might think himself fortunate, if what had been so grudgingly given was not, after years of suffering, rudely and arbitrarily withdrawn.

Potsdam was, in truth, what it was called by one of its most illustrious inmates, the Palace of Alcina. At the first glance it seemed to be a delightful spot, where every intellectual and physical enjoyment awaited the happy adventurer. Every new comer was received with eager hospitality, intoxicated with flattery, encouraged to expect prosperity and greatness. It was in vain that a long succession of favourites who had entered that abode with delight and hope, and who, after a short term of delusive happiness, had been doomed to expiate their folly by years of wretchedness and degradation, raised their voices to warn the aspirant who approached the charmed threshold. Some had wisdom enough to discover the truth early, and spirit enough to fly without looking back; others lingered on to a cheerless and unhonoured old age. We have no hesitation in saying that the poorest author of that time in London, sleeping on a bulk, dining in a cellar, with a cravat of paper, and a skewer for a shirt-pin, was a happier man than any of the literary inmates of Frederic's court.

But of all who entered the enchanted garden in the inebriation of delight, and quitted it in agonies of rage and shame, the most remarkable was Voltaire. Many circumstances had made him desirous of finding a home at a distance from his country. His fame had raised him up enemies. His sensibility gave them a formidable advantage over him. They were, indeed, contemptible assailants. Of all that they wrote against him, nothing has survived except what he has himself preserved. But the constitution of his mind resembled the constitution of those bodies in which the slightest scratch of a bramble or the bite of a gnat, never fails to fester. Though his reputation was rather raised than lowered by the abuse of such writers as Fréron and Desfontaines, though the vengeance which he took on Fréron and Desfontaines was such, that scourging, branding, pillorying, would have been a trifle to it, there is reason to believe that they gave him far more pain than he ever gave them. Though he enjoyed during his own lifetime the reputation of a classic, though

he was extolled by his contemporaries above all poets, philosophers, and historians, though his works were read with as much delight and admiration at Moscow and Westminster, at Florence and Stockholm, as at Paris itself, he was yet tormented by that restless jealousy which should seem to belong only to minds burning with the desire of fame, and yet conscious of impotence. To men of letters who could by no possibility be his rivals he was, if they behaved well to him, not merely just, not merely courteous, but often a hearty friend and a munificent benefactor. But to every writer who rose to a celebrity approaching his own, he became either a disguised or an avowed enemy. He slily depreciated Montesquieu and Buffon. He publicly, and with violent outrage, made war on Rousseau. Nor had he the art of hiding his feelings under the semblance of good humour or of contempt. With all his great talents, and all his long experience of the world, he had no more self-command than a petted child or a hysterical woman. Whenever he was mortified, he exhausted the whole rhetoric of anger and sorrow to express his mortification. His torrents of bitter words, his stamping and cursing, his grimaces and his tears of rage, were a rich feast to those abject natures, whose delight is in the agonies of powerful spirits and in the abasement of immortal names. These creatures had now found out a way of galling him to the very quick. In one walk, at least, it had been admitted by envy itself that he was without a living competitor. Since Racine had been laid among the great men whose dust made the holy precinct of Port Royal holier, no tragic poet had appeared who could contest the palm with the author of Zaire, of Alzire, and of Merope. At length a rival was announced. Old Crébillon, who, many years before, had obtained some theatrical success, and who had long been forgotten, came forth from his garret in one of the meanest lanes near the Rue St Antoine, and was welcomed by the acclamations of envious men of letters, and of a capricious populace. A thing called Catiline, which he had written in his retirement, was acted with boundless applause. Of this execrable piece it is sufficient to say, that the plot turns on a love affair, carried on in all the forms of Scudery, between Catiline, whose confidant is the Prætor Lentulus, and Tullia, the daughter of Cicero. The theatre resounded with acclama-

tions. The king pensioned the successful poet; and the coffee-houses pronounced that Voltaire was a clever man but that the real tragic inspiration, the celestial fire which had glowed in Corneille and Racine, was to be found in Crébillon alone.

The blow went to Voltaire's heart. Had his wisdom and fortitude been in proportion to the fertility of his intellect and to the brilliancy of his wit, he would have seen that it was out of the power of all the puffers and detractors in Europe to put Catiline above Zaire; but he had none of the magnanimous patience with which Milton and Bentley left their claims to the unerring judgment of time. He eagerly engaged in an undignified competition with Crébillon, and produced a series of plays on the same subjects which his rival had treated. These pieces were coolly received. Angry with the court, angry with the capital, Voltaire began to find pleasure in the prospect of exile. His attachment for Madame du Châtelet long prevented him from executing his purpose. Her death set him at liberty; and he determined to take refuge at Berlin.

To Berlin he was invited by a series of letters couched in terms of the most enthusiastic friendship and admiration. For once the rigid parsimony of Frederic seemed to have relaxed. Orders, honourable offices, a liberal pension, a well-served table, stately apartments under a royal roof, were offered in return for the pleasure and honour which were expected from the society of the first wit of the age. A thousand louis were remitted for the charges of the journey. No ambassador setting out from Berlin for a court of the first rank, had ever been more amply supplied. But Voltaire was not satisfied. At a later period, when he possessed an ample fortune, he was one of the most liberal of men; but till his means had become equal to his wishes, his greediness for lucre was unrestrained either by justice or by shame. He had the effrontery to ask for a thousand louis more, in order to enable him to bring his niece, Madame Denis, the ugliest of coquettes, in his company. The indelicate rapacity of the poet produced its natural effect on the severe and frugal King. The answer was a dry refusal. "I did not," said his Majesty, "solicit the honour of the lady's society." On this, Voltaire went off into a paroxysm of childish rage. "Was there ever such avarice? He had

hundreds of tubs full of dollars in his vaults, and haggles with me about a poor thousand louis." It seemed that the negotiation would be broken off; but Frederic, with great dexterity, affected indifference, and seemed inclined to transfer his idolatry to Baculard d'Arnaud. His Majesty even wrote some bad verses, of which the sense was, that Voltaire was a setting sun, and that Arnaud was rising. Good-natured friends soon carried the lines to Voltaire. He was in his bed. He jumped out in his shirt, danced about the room with rage, and sent for his passport and his post-horses. It was not difficult to foresee the end of a connexion which had such a beginning.

It was in the year 1750 that Voltaire left the great capital, which he was not to see again till, after the lapse of near thirty years, he returned, bowed down by extreme old age, to die in the midst of a splendid and ghastly triumph. His reception in Prussia was such as might well have elated a less vain and excitable mind. He wrote to his friends at Paris, that the kindness and the attention with which he had been welcomed surpassed description, that the King was the most amiable of men, that Potsdam was the paradise of philosophers. He was created chamberlain, and received, together with his gold key, the cross of an order, and a patent ensuring to him a pension of eight hundred pounds sterling a year for life. A hundred and sixty pounds a year were promised to his niece if she survived him. The royal cooks and coachmen were put at his disposal. He was lodged in the same apartments in which Saxe had lived, when, at the height of power and glory, he visited Prussia. Frederic, indeed, stooped for a time even to use the language of adulation. He pressed to his lips the meagre hand of the little grinning skeleton, whom he regarded as the dispenser of immortal renown. He would add, he said, to the titles which he owed to his ancestors and his sword, another title, derived from his last and proudest acquisition. His style should run thus:—Frederic, King of Prussia, Margrave of Brandenburg, Sovereign Duke of Silesia, Possessor of Voltaire. But even midst the delights of the honeymoon, Voltaire's sensitive vanity began to take alarm. A few days after his arrival he could not help telling his niece that the amiable King had a trick of giving a sly scratch with one hand, while patting and stroking with the

other. Soon came hints, not the less alarming because mysterious. "The supper parties are delicious. The King is the life of the company. But—I have operas and comedies, reviews and concerts, my studies and books. But—but—Berlin is fine, the princesses are charming, the maids of honour handsome. But"——

This eccentric friendship was fast cooling. Never had there met two persons so exquisitely fitted to plague each other. Each of them had exactly the fault of which the other was most impatient; and they were, in different ways, the most impatient of mankind. Frederic was frugal, almost niggardly. When he had secured his plaything, he began to think that he had bought it too dear. Voltaire, on the other hand, was greedy, even to the extent of impudence and knavery; and conceived that the favourite of a monarch who had barrels full of gold and silver laid up in cellars ought to make a fortune which a receiver-general might envy. They soon discovered each other's feelings. Both were angry; and a war began, in which Frederic stooped to the part of Harpagon, and Voltaire to that of Scapin. It is humiliating to relate, that the great warrior and statesman gave orders that his guest's allowance of sugar and chocolate should be curtailed. It is, if possible, a still more humiliating fact, that Voltaire indemnified himself by pocketing the wax-candles in the royal antechamber. Disputes about money, however, were not the most serious disputes of these extraordinary associates. The sarcasms of the King soon galled the sensitive temper of the poet. D'Arnaud and D'Argens, Guichard and La Métrie, might, for the sake of a morsel of bread, be willing to bear the insolence of a master; but Voltaire was of another order. He knew that he was a potentate as well as Frederic, that his European reputation, and his incomparable power of covering whatever he hated with ridicule, made him an object of dread even to the leaders of armies and the rulers of nations. In truth, of all the intellectual weapons which have ever been wielded by man, the most terrible was the mockery of Voltaire. Bigots and tyrants, who had never been moved by the wailing and cursing of millions, turned pale at his name. Principles unassailable by reason, principles which had withstood the fiercest attacks of power, the most valuable truths, the most generous sentiments,

he noblest and most graceful images, the purest reputations, he most august institutions, began to look mean and oathsome as soon as that withering smile was turned upon them. To every opponent, however strong in his ause and his talents, in his station and his character, who entured to encounter the great scoffer, might be addressed he caution which was given of old to the Archangel :—

> " I forewarn thee, shun
> His deadly arrow; neither vainly hope
> To be invulnerable in those bright arms,
> Though temper'd heavenly; for that fatal dint,
> Save Him who reigns above, none can resist."

We cannot pause to recount how often that rare talent as exercised against rivals worthy of esteem; how often it as used to crush and torture enemies worthy only of silent isdain; how often it was perverted to the more noxious urpose of destroying the last solace of earthly misery, and he last restraint on earthly power. Neither can we pause o tell how often it was used to vindicate justice, humanity, nd toleration, the principles of sound philosophy, the rinciples of free government. This is not the place for a ull character of Voltaire.

Causes of quarrel multiplied fast. Voltaire, who, partly rom love of money, and partly from love of excitement, as always fond of stockjobbing, became implicated in trans- ctions of at least a dubious character. The King was de- ghted at having such an opportunity to humble his guest; nd bitter reproaches and complaints were exchanged. oltaire, too, was soon at war with the other men of letters ho surrounded the King; and this irritated Frederic, ho, however, had himself chiefly to blame: for, from hat love of tormenting which was in him a ruling passion, e perpetually lavished extravagant praises on small men nd bad books, merely in order that he might enjoy the ortification and rage which on such occasions Voltaire ook no pains to conceal. His majesty, however, soon had eason to regret the pains which he had taken to kindle alousy among the members of his household. The whole alace was in a ferment with literary intrigues and cabals. t was to no purpose that the imperial voice, which kept a undred and sixty thousand soldiers in order, was raised

to quiet the contention of the exasperated wits. It was far easier to stir up such a storm than to lull it. Nor was Frederic, in his capacity of wit, by any means without his own share of vexations. He had sent a large quantity of verses to Voltaire, and requested that they might be returned with remarks and corrections. "See," exclaimed Voltaire, "what a quantity of his dirty linen the King has sent me to wash!" Talebearers were not wanting to carry the sarcasm to the royal ear; and Frederic was as much incensed as a Grub Street writer who had found his name in the Dunciad.

This could not last. A circumstance which, when the mutual regard of the friends was in its first glow, would merely have been matter for laughter, produced a violent explosion. Maupertuis enjoyed as much of Frederic's good will as any man of letters. He was President of the Academy of Berlin; and he stood second to Voltaire, though at an immense distance, in the literary society which had been assembled at the Prussian court. Frederic had, by playing for his own amusement on the feelings of the two jealous and vainglorious Frenchmen, succeeded in producing a bitter enmity between them. Voltaire resolved to set his mark, a mark never to be effaced, on the forehead of Maupertuis, and wrote the exquisitely ludicrous Diatribe of Doctor Akakia. He showed this little piece to Frederic, who had too much taste and too much malice not to relish such delicious pleasantry. In truth, even at this time of day, it is not easy for any person who has the least perception of the ridiculous to read the jokes on the Latin city, the Patagonians, and the hole to the centre of the earth, without laughing till he cries. But though Frederic was diverted by this charming pasquinade, he was unwilling that it should get abroad. His self-love was interested. He had selected Maupertuis to fill the chair of his Academy. If all Europe were taught to laugh at Maupertuis, would not the reputation of the Academy, would not even the dignity of its royal patron, be in some degree compromised? The King, therefore, begged Voltaire to suppress this performance. Voltaire promised to do so, and broke his word. The Diatribe was published, and received with shouts of merriment and applause by all who could read the French language. The King stormed. Voltaire, with his usual disregard of

truth, asserted his innocence, and made up some lie about a printer or an amanuensis. The King was not to be so imposed upon. He ordered the pamphlet to be burned by the common hangman, and insisted upon having an apology from Voltaire, couched in the most abject terms. Voltaire sent back to the King his cross, his key, and the patent of his pension. After this burst of rage, the strange pair began to be ashamed of their violence, and went through the forms of reconciliation. But the breach was irreparable; and Voltaire took his leave of Frederic for ever. They parted with cold civility; but their hearts were big with resentment. Voltaire had in his keeping a volume of the King's poetry, and forgot to return it. This was, we believe, merely one of the oversights which men setting out upon a journey often commit. That Voltaire could have meditated plagiarism is quite incredible. He would not, we are confident, for the half of Frederic's kingdom, have consented to father Frederic's verses. The King, however, who rated his own writings much above their value, and who was inclined to see all Voltaire's actions in the worst light, was enraged to think that his favourite compositions were in the hands of an enemy, as thievish as a daw and as mischievous as a monkey. In the anger excited by this thought, he lost sight of reason and decency, and determined on committing an outrage at once odious and ridiculous.

Voltaire had reached Frankfort. His niece, Madame Denis, came thither to meet him. He conceived himself secure from the power of his late master, when he was arrested by the order of the Prussian resident. The precious volume was delivered up. But the Prussian agents had, no doubt, been instructed not to let Voltaire escape without some gross indignity. He was confined twelve days in a wretched hovel. Sentinels with fixed bayonets kept guard over him. His niece was dragged through the mire by the soldiers. Sixteen hundred dollars were extorted from him by his insolent gaolers. It is absurd to say that this outrage is not to be attributed to the King. Was any body punished for it? Was any body called in question for it? Was it not consistent with Frederic's character? Was it not of a piece with his conduct on other similar occasions? Is it not notorious that he repeatedly gave private directions to his officers to pillage and demolish the houses of persons

against whom he had a grudge, charging them at the sam time to take their measures in such a way that his nam might not be compromised? He acted thus towards Cour Bruhl in the Seven Years' War. Why should we believe tha he would have been more scrupulous with regard to Voltaire

When at length the illustrious prisoner regained his liberty the prospect before him was but dreary. He was an exil both from the country of his birth and from the country o his adoption. The French government had taken offenc at his journey to Prussia, and would not permit him t return to Paris; and in the vicinity of Prussia it was no safe for him to remain.

He took refuge on the beautiful shores of Lake Leman There, loosed from every tie which had hitherto restraine him, and having little to hope or to fear from courts an churches, he began his long war against all that, whethe for good or evil, had authority over man; for what Burk said of the Constituent Assembly, was eminently true o this its great forerunner: Voltaire could not build; h could only pull down: he was the very Vitruvius of ruin He has bequeathed to us not a single doctrine to be calle by his name, not a single addition to the stock of our pos tive knowledge. But no human teacher ever left behind hir so vast and terrible a wreck of truths and falsehoods, o things noble and things base, of things useful and thing pernicious. From the time when his sojourn beneath th Alps commenced, the dramatist, the wit, the historia was merged in a more important character. He was no the patriarch, the founder of a sect, the chief of a conspiracy the prince of a wide intellectual commonwealth. He ofte enjoyed a pleasure dear to the better part of his nature the pleasure of vindicating innocence which had no othe helper, of repairing cruel wrongs, of punishing tyranny i high places. He had also the satisfaction, not less acceptabl to his ravenous vanity, of hearing terrified Capuchins ca him the Antichrist. But whether employed in works o benevolence, or in works of mischief, he never forgot Potsdar and Frankfort; and he listened anxiously to every murmu which indicated that a tempest was gathering in Europe and that his vengeance was at hand.

He soon had his wish. Maria Theresa had never for moment forgotten the great wrong which she had receive

at the hand of Frederic. Young and delicate, just left an orphan, just about to be a mother, she had been compelled to fly from the ancient capital of her race; she had seen her fair inheritance dismembered by robbers, and of these robbers he had been the foremost. Without a pretext, without a provocation, in defiance of the most sacred engagements, he had attacked the helpless ally whom he was bound to defend. The Empress Queen had the faults as well as virtues which are connected with quick sensibility and a high spirit. There was no peril which she was not ready to brave, no calamity which she was not ready to bring on her subjects, or on the whole human race, if only she might once taste the sweetness of a complete revenge. Revenge, too, presented itself, to her narrow and superstitious mind, in the guise of duty. Silesia had been wrested not only from the House of Austria, but from the Church of Rome. The conqueror had indeed permitted his new subjects to worship God after their own fashion; but this was not enough. To bigotry it seemed an intolerable hardship that the Catholic Church, having long enjoyed ascendency, should be compelled to content itself with equality. Nor was this the only circumstance which led Maria Theresa to regard her enemy as the enemy of God. The profaneness of Frederic's writings and conversation, and the frightful rumours which were circulated respecting the immorality of his private life, naturally shocked a woman who believed with the firmest faith all that her confessor told her, and who, though surrounded by temptations, though young and beautiful, though ardent in all her passions, though possessed of absolute power, had preserved her fame unsullied even by the breath of slander.

To recover Silesia, to humble the dynasty of Hohenzollern to the dust, was the great object of her life. She toiled during many years for this end, with zeal as indefatigable as that which the poet ascribes to the stately goddess who tired out her immortal horses in the work of raising the nations against Troy, and who offered to give up to destruction her darling Sparta and Mycenæ, if only she might once see the smoke going up from the palace of Priam. With even such a spirit did the proud Austrian Juno strive to array against her foe a coalition such as Europe had never seen. Nothing would content her but that the whole

civilised world, from the White Sea to the Adriatic, from the Bay of Biscay to the pastures of the wild horses of the Tanais, should be combined in arms against one petty state.

She early succeeded by various arts in obtaining the adhesion of Russia. An ample share of spoil was promised to the King of Poland; and that prince, governed by his favourite, Count Bruhl, readily promised the assistance of the Saxon forces. The great difficulty was with France. That the Houses of Bourbon and of Hapsburg should ever cordially co-operate in any great scheme of European policy, had long been thought, to use the strong expression of Frederic, just as impossible as that fire and water should amalgamate. The whole history of the Continent, during two centuries and a half, had been the history of the mutual jealousies and enmities of France and Austria. Since the administration of Richelieu, above all, it had been considered as the plain policy of the Most Christian King to thwart on all occasions the Court of Vienna, and to protect every member of the Germanic body who stood up against the dictation of the Cæsars. Common sentiments of religion had been unable to mitigate this strong antipathy. The rulers of France, even while clothed in the Roman purple, even while persecuting the heretics of Rochelle and Auvergne, had still looked with favour on the Lutheran and Calvinistic princes who were struggling against the chief of the empire. If the French ministers paid any respect to the traditional rules handed down to them through many generations, they would have acted towards Frederic as the greatest of their predecessors acted towards Gustavus Adolphus. That there was deadly enmity between Prussia and Austria was of itself a sufficient reason for close friendship between Prussia and France. With France Frederic could never have any serious controversy. His territories were so situated that his ambition, greedy and unscrupulous as it was, could never impel him to attack her of his own accord. He was more than half a Frenchman: he wrote, spoke, read nothing but French; he delighted in French society: the admiration of the French he proposed to himself as the best reward of all his exploits. It seemed incredible that any French government, however notorious for levity or stupidity, could spurn away such an ally.

The Court of Vienna, however, did not despair. The

Austrian diplomatists propounded a new scheme of politics, which, it must be owned, was not altogether without plausibility. The great powers, according to this theory, had long been under a delusion. They had looked on each other as natural enemies while in truth they were natural allies. A succession of cruel wars had devastated Europe, had thinned the population, had exhausted the public resources, had loaded governments with an immense burden of debt; and when, after two hundred years of murderous hostility or of hollow truce, the illustrious Houses whose enmity had distracted the world sat down to count their gains, to what did the real advantage on either side amount? Simply to this, that they had kept each other from thriving. It was not the King of France, it was not the Emperor, who had reaped the fruits of the Thirty Years' War, or of the War of the Pragmatic Sanction. Those fruits had been pilfered by states of the second and third rank, which, secured against jealousy by their insignificance, had dexterously aggrandised themselves while pretending to serve the animosity of the great chiefs of Christendom. While the lion and tiger were tearing each other, the jackal had run off into the jungle with the prey. The real gainer by the Thirty Years' War had been neither France nor Austria, but Sweden. The real gainer by the war of the Pragmatic Sanction had been neither France nor Austria, but the upstart of Brandenburg. France had made great efforts, had added largely to her military glory, and largely to her public burdens; and for what end? Merely that Frederic might rule Silesia. For this and this alone one French army, wasted by sword and famine, had perished in Bohemia; and another had purchased, with floods of the noblest blood, the barren glory of Fontenoy. And this prince, for whom France had suffered so much, was he a grateful, was he even an honest ally? Had he not been as false to the Court of Versailles as to the Court of Vienna? Had he not played, on a large scale, the same part which, in private life, is played by the vile agent of chicane who sets his neighbours quarrelling, involves them in costly and interminable litigation, and betrays them to each other all round, certain that, whoever may be ruined, he shall be enriched? Surely the true wisdom of the great powers was to attack, not each other, but this common barrator, who, by inflaming the passions

of both, by pretending to serve both, and by deserting bot had raised himself above the station to which he was bor The great object of Austria was to regain Silesia ; the gre object of France was to obtain an accession of territory the side of Flanders. If they took opposite sides, the resu would probably be that, after a war of many years, aft the slaughter of many thousands of brave men, after t waste of many millions of crowns, they would lay down the arms without having achieved either object ; but, if th came to an understanding, there would be no risk, and difficulty. Austria would willingly make in Belgium su cessions as France could not expect to obtain by ten pitch battles. Silesia would easily be annexed to the monarc of which it had long been a part. The union of two su powerful governments would at once overawe the King Prussia. If he resisted, one short campaign would set his fate. France and Austria, long accustomed to rise fro the game of war both losers, would, for the first time, bo be gainers. There could be no room for jealousy betwe them. The power of both would be increased at onc the equilibrium between them would be preserved ; a the only sufferer would be a mischievous and unprincipl buccaneer, who deserved no tenderness from either.

These doctrines, attractive from their novelty and i genuity, soon became fashionable at the supper-parties a in the coffee-houses of Paris, and were espoused by eve gay marquis and every facetious abbé who was admitted see Madame de Pompadour's hair curled and powdered. was not, however, to any political theory that the stran coalition between France and Austria owed its origin. T real motive which induced the great continental powers forget their old animosities and their old state maxim was personal aversion to the King of Prussia. This feeli was strongest in Maria Theresa ; but it was by no mea confined to her. Frederic, in some respects a good mast was emphatically a bad neighbour. That he was hard all dealings, and quick to take all advantages, was not h most odious fault. His bitter and scoffing speech h inflicted keener wounds than his ambition. In his charact of wit he was under less restraint than even in his charact of ruler. Satirical verses against all the princes and ministe of Europe were ascribed to his pen. In his letters a

conversation he alluded to the greatest potentates of the age in terms which would have better suited Collé, in a war of repartee with young Crébillon at Pelletier's table, than a great sovereign speaking of great sovereigns. About women he was in the habit of expressing himself in a manner which it was impossible for the meekest of women to forgive: and unfortunately for him, almost the whole Continent was then governed by women who were by no means conspicuous for meekness. Maria Theresa herself had not escaped his scurrilous jests. The Empress Elizabeth of Russia knew that her gallantries afforded him a favourite theme for ribaldry and invective. Madame de Pompadour, who was really the head of the French government, had been even more keenly galled. She had attempted, by the most delicate flattery, to propitiate the King of Prussia; but her messages had drawn from him only dry and sarcastic replies. The Empress Queen took a very different course. Though the haughtiest of princesses, though the most austere of matrons, she forgot in her thirst for revenge both the dignity of her race and the purity of her character, and condescended to flatter the low-born and low-minded concubine, who, having acquired influence by prostituting herself, retained it by prostituting others. Maria Theresa actually wrote with her own hand a note, full of expressions of esteem and friendship, to her dear cousin, the daughter of the butcher Poisson, the wife of the publican D'Etioles, the kidnapper of young girls for the haram of an old rake, a strange cousin for the descendant of so many Emperors of the West! The mistress was completely gained over, and easily carried her point with Lewis, who had indeed wrongs of his own to resent. His feelings were not quick; but contempt, says the Eastern proverb, pierces even through the shell of the tortoise; and neither prudence nor decorum had ever restrained Frederic from expressing his measureless contempt for the sloth, the imbecility, and the baseness of Lewis. France was thus induced to join the coalition; and the example of France determined the conduct of Sweden, then completely subject to French influence.

The enemies of Frederic were surely strong enough to attack him openly; but they were desirous to add to all their other advantages the advantage of a surprise. He was not, however, a man to be taken off his guard. He

had tools in every court; and he now received from Vienna, from Dresden, and from Paris, accounts so circumstantial and so consistent, that he could not doubt of his danger. He learnt, that he was to be assailed at once by France, Austria, Russia, Saxony, Sweden, and the Germanic body; that the greater part of his dominions was to be portioned out among his enemies; that France, which from her geographical position could not directly share in his spoils, was to receive an equivalent in the Netherlands; that Austria was to have Silesia, and the Czarina East Prussia; that Augustus of Saxony expected Magdeburg; and that Sweden would be rewarded with part of Pomerania. If these designs succeeded, the house of Brandenburg would at once sink in the European system to a place lower than that of the Dukes of Wurtemburg or the Margrave of Baden.

And what hope was there that these designs would fail? No such union of the continental powers had been seen for ages. A less formidable confederacy had in a week conquered all the provinces of Venice, when Venice was at the height of power, wealth, and glory. A less formidable confederacy had compelled Lewis the Fourteenth to bow down his haughty head to the very earth. A less formidable confederacy has, within our own memory, subjugated a still mightier empire, and abased a still prouder name. Such odds had never been heard of in war. The people whom Frederic ruled were not five millions. The population of the countries which were leagued against him amounted to a hundred millions. The disproportion in wealth was at least equally great. Small communities, actuated by strong sentiments of patriotism or loyalty, have sometimes made head against great monarchies weakened by factions and discontents. But small as was Frederic's kingdom, it probably contained a greater number of disaffected subjects than were to be found in all the states of his enemies. Silesia formed a fourth part of his dominions; and from the Silesians, born under Austrian princes, the utmost that he could expect was apathy. From the Silesian Catholics he could hardly expect any thing but resistance.

Some states have been enabled by their geographical position to defend themselves, with advantage, against immense force. The sea has repeatedly protected England against the fury of the whole Continent. The Venetian

government, driven from its possessions on the land, could still bid defiance to the confederates of Cambray from the Arsenal amidst the lagoons. More than one great and well-appointed army, which regarded the shepherds of Switzerland as an easy prey, has perished in the passes of the Alps. Frederic had no such advantage. The form of his states, their situation, the nature of the ground, all were against him. His long, scattered, straggling territory seemed to have been shaped with an express view to the convenience of invaders, and was protected by no sea, by no chain of hills. Scarcely any corner of it was a week's march from the territory of the enemy. The capital itself, in the event of war, would be constantly exposed to insult. In truth there was hardly a politician or a soldier in Europe who doubted that the conflict would be terminated in a very few days by the prostration of the house of Brandenburg.

Nor was Frederic's own opinion very different. He anticipated nothing short of his own ruin, and of the ruin of his family. Yet there was still a chance, a slender chance, of escape. His states had at least the advantage of a central position; his enemies were widely separated from each other, and could not conveniently unite their overwhelming forces on one point. They inhabited different climates, and it was probable that the season of the year which would be best suited to the military operations of one portion of the league, would be unfavourable to those of another portion. The Prussian monarchy, too, was free from some infirmities which were found in empires far more extensive and magnificent. Its effective strength for a desperate struggle was not to be measured merely by the number of square miles or the number of people. In that spare but well knit and well exercised body, there was nothing but sinew, and muscle, and bone. No public creditors looked for dividends. No distant colonies required defence. No court, filled with flatterers and mistresses, devoured the pay of fifty battalions. The Prussian army, though far inferior in number to the troops which were about to be opposed to it, was yet strong out of all proportion to the extent of the Prussian dominions. It was also admirably trained and admirably officered, accustomed to obey and accustomed to conquer. The revenue was not only unincumbered by debt, but exceeded the ordinary outlay in time

of peace. Alone of all the European princes, Frederic had a treasure laid up for a day of difficulty. Above all, he was one, and his enemies were many. In their camps would certainly be found the jealousy, the dissension, the slackness, inseparable from coalitions; on his side was the energy, the unity, the secrecy of a strong dictatorship. To a certain extent the deficiency of military means might be supplied by the resources of military art. Small as the King's army was, when compared with the six hundred thousand men whom the confederates could bring into the field, celerity of movement might in some degree compensate for deficiency of bulk. It was thus just possible that genius, judgment, resolution, and good luck united, might protract the struggle during a campaign or two; and to gain even a month was of importance. It could not be long before the vices which are found in all extensive confederacies would begin to show themselves. Every member of the league would think his own share of the war too large, and his own share of the spoils too small. Complaints and recriminations would abound. The Turk might stir on the Danube; the statesmen of France might discover the error which they had committed in abandoning the fundamental principles of their national policy. Above all, death might rid Prussia of its most formidable enemies. The war was the effect of the personal aversion with which three or four sovereigns regarded Frederic; and the decease of any one of those sovereigns might produce a complete revolution in the state of Europe.

In the midst of a horizon generally dark and stormy Frederic could discern one bright spot. The peace which had been concluded between England and France in 1748 had been in Europe no more than an armistice; and had not even been an armistice in other quarters of the globe. In India the sovereignty of the Carnatic was disputed between two great Mussulman houses; Fort Saint George had taken one side, Pondicherry the other; and in a series of battles and sieges the troops of Lawrence and Clive had been opposed to those of Dupleix. A struggle less important in its consequences, but not less likely to produce irritation, was carried on between those French and English adventurers, who kidnapped negroes and collected gold dust on the coast of Guinea. But it was in North America that the

emulation and mutual aversion of the two nations were most conspicuous. The French attempted to hem in the English colonists by a chain of military posts, extending from the Great Lakes to the mouth of the Mississippi. The English took arms. The wild aboriginal tribes appeared on each side mingled with the Pale Faces. Battles were fought; forts were stormed; and hideous stories about stakes, scalpings, and death-songs reached Europe, and inflamed that national animosity which the rivalry of ages had produced. The disputes between France and England came to a crisis at the very time when the tempest which had been gathering was about to burst on Prussia. The tastes and interests of Frederic would have led him, if he had been allowed an option, to side with the house of Bourbon. But the folly of the Court of Versailles had left him no choice. France became the tool of Austria; and Frederic was forced to become the ally of England. He could not, indeed, expect that a power which covered the sea with its fleets, and which had to make war at once on the Ohio and the Ganges, would be able to spare a large number of troops for operations in Germany. But England, though poor compared with the England of our time, was far richer than any country on the Continent. The amount of her revenue, and the resources which she found in her credit, though they may be thought small by a generation which has seen her raise a hundred and thirty millions in a single year, appeared miraculous to the politicians of that age. A very moderate portion of her wealth, expended by an able and economical prince, in a country where prices were low, would be sufficient to equip and maintain a formidable army.

Such was the situation in which Frederic found himself. He saw the whole extent of his peril. He saw that there was still a faint possibility of escape; and with prudent temerity he determined to strike the first blow. It was in the month of August, 1756, that the great war of the Seven Years commenced. The King demanded of the Empress Queen a distinct explanation of her intentions, and plainly told her that he should consider a refusal as a declaration of war. "I want," he said, "no answer in the style of an oracle." He received an answer at once haughty and evasive. In an instant the rich electorate of Saxony was overflowed by sixty thousand Prussian

troops. Augustus with his army occupied a strong position at Pirna. The Queen of Poland was at Dresden. In a few days Pirna was blockaded and Dresden was taken. The first object of Frederic was to obtain possession of the Saxon State Papers; for those papers he well knew contained ample proofs that, though apparently an aggressor, he was really acting in self-defence. The Queen of Poland, as well acquainted as Frederic with the importance of those documents, had packed them up, had concealed them in her bed-chamber, and was about to send them off to Warsaw when a Prussian officer made his appearance. In the hope that no soldier would venture to outrage a lady, a queen, the daughter of an emperor, the mother-in law of a dauphin, she placed herself before the trunk, and at length sat down on it. But all resistance was vain. The papers were carried to Frederic, who found in them, as he expected, abundant evidence of the designs of the coalition. The most important documents were instantly published, and the effect of the publication was great. It was clear that, of whatever sins the King of Prussia might formerly have been guilty, he was now the injured party, and had merely anticipated a blow intended to destroy him.

The Saxon camp at Pirna was in the mean time closely invested; but the besieged were not without hopes of succour. A great Austrian army under Marshal Brown was about to pour through the passes which separate Bohemia from Saxony. Frederic left at Pirna a force sufficient to deal with the Saxons, hastened into Bohemia, encountered Brown at Lowositz, and defeated him. This battle decided the fate of Saxony. Augustus and his favourite Bruhl fled to Poland. The whole army of the electorate capitulated. From that time till the end of the war, Frederic treated Saxony as a part of his dominions, or, rather, he acted towards the Saxons in a manner which may serve to illustrate the whole meaning of that tremendous sentence "subjectos tanquam suos, viles tanquam alienos." Saxony was as much in his power as Brandenburg; and he had no such interest in the welfare of Saxony as he had in the welfare of Brandenburg. He accordingly levied troops and exacted contributions throughout the enslaved province with far more rigour than in any part of his own dominions. Seventeen thousand men who had been in the camp at Pirna

were half compelled, half persuaded to enlist under their conqueror. Thus, within a few weeks from the commencement of hostilities, one of the confederates had been disarmed, and his weapons were now pointed against the rest.

The winter put a stop to military operations. All had hitherto gone well. But the real tug of war was still to come. It was easy to foresee that the year 1757 would be a memorable era in the history of Europe.

The King's scheme for the campaign was simple, bold, and judicious. The Duke of Cumberland with an English and Hanoverian army was in Western Germany, and might be able to prevent the French troops from attacking Prussia. The Russians, confined by their snows, would probably not stir till the spring was far advanced. Saxony was prostrated. Sweden could do nothing very important. During a few months Frederic would have to deal with Austria alone. Even thus the odds were against him. But ability and courage have often triumphed against odds still more formidable.

Early in 1757 the Prussian army in Saxony began to move. Through four defiles in the mountains they came pouring into Bohemia. Prague was the King's first mark; but the ulterior object was probably Vienna. At Prague lay Marshal Brown with one great army. Daun, the most cautious and fortunate of the Austrian captains, was advancing with another. Frederic determined to overwhelm Brown before Daun should arrive. On the sixth of May was fought under those walls which, a hundred and thirty years before, had witnessed the victory of the Catholic league and the flight of the unhappy Palatine, a battle more bloody than any which Europe saw during the long interval between Malplaquet and Eylau. The King and Prince Ferdinand of Brunswick were distinguished on that day by their valour and exertions. But the chief glory was with Schwerin. When the Prussian infantry wavered, the stout old marshal snatched the colours from an ensign, and, waving them in the air, led back his regiment to the charge. Thus at seventy-two years of age he fell in the thickest battle, still grasping the standard which bears the black eagle on the field argent. The victory remained with the King; but it had been dearly purchased. Whole columns of his bravest warriors had fallen. He admitted that he had lost eighteen thousand men.

Of the enemy, twenty-four thousand had been kille wounded, or taken.

Part of the defeated army was shut up in Prague. Pa fled to join the troops which, under the command of Dau were now close at hand. Frederic determined to play ov the same game which had succeeded at Lowositz. He le a large force to besiege Prague, and at the head of thir thousand men he marched against Daun. The cautio Marshal, though he had a great superiority in numbe would risk nothing. He occupied at Kolin a positi almost impregnable, and awaited the attack of the King.

It was the eighteenth of June, a day which, if the Gre superstition still retained its influence, would be held sacr to Nemesis, a day on which the two greatest princes modern times were taught, by a terrible experience, th neither skill nor valour can fix the inconstancy of fortun The battle began before noon: and part of the Prussia army maintained the contest till after the midsummer su had gone down. But at length the King found that h troops, having been repeatedly driven back with frightf carnage, could no longer be led to the charge. He was wi difficulty persuaded to quit the field. The officers of h personal staff were under the necessity of expostulating wi him, and one of them took the liberty to say, 'Does yo Majesty mean to storm the batteries alone?" Thirtee thousand of his bravest followers had perished. Nothi remained for him but to retreat in good order, to raise t siege of Prague, and to hurry his army by different rout out of Bohemia.

This stroke seemed to be final. Frederic's situation ha at best been such, that only an uninterrupted run of goo luck could save him, as it seemed, from ruin. And no almost in the outset of the contest, he had met with a che which, even in a war between equal powers, would ha been felt as serious. He had owed much to the opinion whi all Europe entertained of his army. Since his accession, h soldiers had in many successive battles been victorious ov the Austrians. But the glory had departed from his arm All whom his malevolent sarcasms had wounded, ma haste to avenge themselves by scoffing at the scoffer. H soldiers had ceased to confide in his star. In every part his camp his dispositions were severely criticised. Even

his own family he had detractors. His next brother, William, heir-presumptive, or rather, in truth, heir-apparent to the throne, and great-grandfather of the present king, could not refrain from lamenting his own fate and that of the House of Hohenzollern, once so great and so prosperous, but now, by the rash ambition of its chief, made a by-word to all nations. These complaints, and some blunders which William committed during the retreat from Bohemia, called forth the bitter displeasure of the inexorable King. The prince's heart was broken by the cutting reproaches of his brother; he quitted the army, retired to a country seat, and in a short time died of shame and vexation.

It seemed that the King's distress could hardly be increased. Yet at this moment another blow not less terrible than that of Kolin fell upon him. The French under Marshal D'Estrées had invaded Germany. The Duke of Cumberland had given them battle at Hastembeck, and had been defeated. In order to save the Electorate of Hanover from entire subjugation, he had made, at Closter Seven, an arrangement with the French Generals, which left them at liberty to turn their arms against the Prussian dominions.

That nothing might be wanting to Frederic's distress, he lost his mother just at this time; and he appears to have felt the loss more than was to be expected from the hardness and severity of his character. In truth, his misfortunes had now cut to the quick. The mocker, the tyrant, the most rigorous, the most imperious, the most cynical of men, was very unhappy. His face was so haggard and his form so thin, that when on his return from Bohemia he passed through Leipsic, the people hardly knew him again. His sleep was broken; the tears, in spite of himself, often started into his eyes; and the grave began to present itself to his agitated mind as the best refuge from misery and dishonour. His resolution was fixed never to be taken alive, and never to make peace on condition of descending from his place among the powers of Europe. He saw nothing left for him except to die; and he deliberately chose his mode of death. He always carried about with him a sure and speedy poison in a small glass case; and to the few in whom he placed confidence, he made no mystery of his resolution.

But we should very imperfectly describe the state of Frederic's mind, if we left out of view the laughable pecu-

liarities which contrasted so singularly with the gravity energy, and harshness of his character. It is difficult t say whether the tragic or the comic predominated in th strange scene which was then acting. In the midst of a the great King's calamities, his passion for writing indifferen poetry grew stronger and stronger. Enemies all round him despair in his heart, pills of corrosive sublimate hidden i his clothes, he poured forth hundreds upon hundreds c lines, hateful to gods and men, the insipid dregs of Voltaire' Hippocrene, the faint echo of the lyre of Chaulieu. It i amusing to compare what he did during the last months c 1757, with what he wrote during the same time. It may b doubted whether any equal portion of the life of Hanniba of Cæsar, or of Napoleon, will bear a comparison with tha short period, the most brilliant in the history of Prussia an of Frederic. Yet at this very time the scanty leisure of th illustrious warrior was employed in producing odes an epistles, a little better than Cibber's and a little worse tha Hayley's. Here and there a manly sentiment which de serves to be in prose makes its appearance in company wit Prometheus and Orpheus, Elysium and Acheron, the plain tive Philomel, the poppies of Morpheus, and all the othe frippery which, like a robe tossed by a proud beauty to he waiting-woman, has long been contemptuously abandone by genius to mediocrity. We hardly know any instance c the strength and weakness of human nature so striking, an so grotesque, as the character of this haughty, vigilan resolute, sagacious blue-stocking, half Mithridates and ha Trissotin, bearing up against a world in arms, with an ounc of poison in one pocket and a quire of bad verses in th other.

Frederic had some time before made advances toward a reconciliation with Voltaire; and some civil letters ha passed between them. After the battle of Kolin their epi tolary intercourse became, at least in seeming, friendl and confidential. We do not know any collection of Lette which throws so much light on the darkest and most intr cate parts of human nature, as the correspondence of thes strange beings after they had exchanged forgiveness. Bot felt that the quarrel had lowered them in the public estima tion. They admired each other. They stood in need c each other. The great King wished to be handed down t

posterity by the great Writer. The great Writer felt himself exalted by the homage of the great King. Yet the wounds which they had inflicted on each other were too deep to be effaced, or even perfectly healed. Not only did the scars remain; the sore places often festered and bled afresh. The letters consisted for the most part of compliments, thanks, offers of service, assurances of attachment. But if any thing brought back to Frederic's recollection the cunning and mischievous pranks by which Voltaire had provoked him, some expression of contempt and displeasure broke forth in the midst of eulogy. It was much worse when any thing recalled to the mind of Voltaire the outrages which he and his kinswoman had suffered at Frankfort. All at once his flowing panegyric was turned into invective. "Remember how you behaved to me. For your sake I have lost the favour of my native king. For your sake I am an exile from my country. I loved you. I trusted myself to you. I had no wish but to end my life in your service. And what was my reward? Stripped of all that you had bestowed on me, the key, the order, the pension, I was forced to fly from your territories. I was hunted as if I had been a deserter from your grenadiers. I was arrested, insulted, plundered. My niece was dragged through the mud of Frankfort by your soldiers, as if she had been some wretched follower of your camp. You have great talents. You have good qualities. But you have one odious vice. You delight in the abasement of your fellow-creatures. You have brought disgrace on the name of philosopher. You have given some colour to the slanders of the bigots, who say that no confidence can be placed in the justice or humanity of those who reject the Christian faith." Then the King answers, with less heat but equal severity—"You know that you behaved shamefully in Prussia. It was well for you that you had to deal with a man so indulgent to the infirmities of genius as I am. You richly deserved to see the inside of a dungeon. Your talents are not more widely known than your faithlessness and your malevolence. The grave itself is no asylum from your spite. Maupertuis is dead; but you still go on calumniating and deriding him, as if you had not made him miserable enough while he was living. Let us have no more of this. And above all, let me hear no more of your niece. I am sick to

death of her name. I can bear with your faults for the sa
of your merits; but she has not written Mahomet or M
rope."

An explosion of this kind, it might be supposed, wou
necessarily put an end to all amicable communication. B
it was not so. After every outbreak of ill humour th
extraordinary pair became more loving than before, ar
exchanged compliments and assurances of mutual rega
with a wonderful air of sincerity.

It may well be supposed that men who wrote thus to ea
other were not very guarded in what they said of ea
other. The English ambassador, Mitchell, who knew th
the King of Prussia was constantly writing to Voltaire wi
the greatest freedom on the most important subjects, w
amazed to hear his Majesty designate this highly favour
correspondent as a bad-hearted fellow, the greatest rasc
on the face of the earth. And the language which the po
held about the King was not much more respectful.

It would probably have puzzled Voltaire himself to sa
what was his real feeling towards Frederic. It was compound
of all sentiments, from enmity to friendship, and from sco
to admiration; and the proportions in which these elemen
were mixed, changed every moment. The old patriar
resembled the spoiled child who screams, stamps, cuf
laughs, kisses, and cuddles within one quarter of an hou
His resentment was not extinguished; yet he was not wit
out sympathy for his old friend. As a Frenchman, he wish
success to the arms of his country. As a philosopher,
was anxious for the stability of a throne on which a phil
sopher sat. He longed both to serve and to humble Freder
There was one way and only one in which all his conflicti
feelings could at once be gratified. If Frederic were pr
served by the interference of France, if it were known th
for that interference he was indebted to the mediation
Voltaire, this would indeed be delicious revenge; this wou
indeed be to heap coals of fire on that haughty head. N
did the vain and restless poet think it impossible that
might, from his hermitage near the Alps, dictate peace
Europe. D'Estrées had quitted Hanover, and the comma
of the French army had been intrusted to the Duke
Richelieu, a man whose chief distinction was derived fro
his success in gallantry. Richelieu was in truth the mo

eminent of that race of seducers by profession, who furnished Crébillon the younger and La Clos with models for their heroes. In his earlier days the royal house itself had not been secure from his presumptuous love. He was believed to have carried his conquests into the family of Orleans; and some suspected that he was not unconcerned in the mysterious remorse which embittered the last hours of the charming mother of Lewis the Fifteenth. But the Duke was now sixty years old. With a heart deeply corrupted by vice, a head long accustomed to think only on trifles, an impaired constitution, an impaired fortune, and worst of all, a very red nose, he was entering on a dull, frivolous, and unrespected old age. Without one qualification for military command, except that personal courage which was common between him and the whole nobility of France, he had been placed at the head of the army of Hanover; and in that situation he did his best to repair, by extortion and corruption, the injury which he had done to his property by a life of dissolute profusion.

The Duke of Richelieu to the end of his life hated the philosophers as a sect, not for those parts of their system which a good and wise man would have condemned, but for their virtues, for their spirit of free enquiry, and for their hatred of those social abuses of which he was himself the personification. But he, like many of those who thought with him, excepted Voltaire from the list of proscribed writers. He frequently sent flattering letters to Ferney. He did the patriarch the honour to borrow money of him, and even carried this condescending friendship so far as to forget to pay the interest. Voltaire thought that it might be in his power to bring the Duke and the King of Prussia into communication with each other. He wrote earnestly to both; and he so far succeeded that a correspondence between them was commenced.

But it was to very different means that Frederic was to owe his deliverance. At the beginning of November, the net seemed to have closed completely round him. The Russians were in the field, and were spreading devastation through his eastern provinces. Silesia was overrun by the Austrians. A great French army was advancing from the west under the command of Marshal Soubise, a prince of the great Armorican house of Rohan. Berlin itself had

been taken and plundered by the Croatians. Such was the situation from which Frederic extricated himself, with dazzling glory, in the short space of thirty days.

He marched first against Soubise. On the fifth of November the armies met at Rosbach. The French were two to one; but they were ill disciplined, and their general was a dunce. The tactics of Frederic, and the well-regulated valour of the Prussian troops, obtained a complete victory. Seven thousand of the invaders were made prisoners. Their guns, their colours, their baggage, fell into the hands of the conquerors. Those who escaped fled as confusedly as a mob scattered by cavalry. Victorious in the West, the King turned his arms towards Silesia. In that quarter everything seemed to be lost. Breslau had fallen; and Charles of Loraine, with a mighty power, held the whole province. On the fifth of December, exactly one month after the battle of Rosbach, Frederic, with forty thousand men, and Prince Charles, at the head of not less than sixty thousand, met at Leuthen, hard by Breslau. The King, who was, in general, perhaps too much inclined to consider the common soldier as a mere machine, resorted, on this great day, to means resembling those which Bonaparte afterwards employed with such signal success for the purpose of stimulating military enthusiasm. The principal officers were convoked. Frederic addressed them with great force and pathos, and directed them to speak to their men as he had spoken to them. When the armies were set in battle array, the Prussian troops were in a state of fierce excitement; but their excitement showed itself after the fashion of a grave people. The columns advanced to the attack chanting, to the sound of drums and fifes, the rude hymns of the old Saxon Sternholds. They had never fought so well; nor had the genius of their chief ever been so conspicuous. "That battle," said Napoleon, "was a masterpiece. Of itself it is sufficient to entitle Frederic to a place in the first rank among generals." The victory was complete. Twenty-seven thousand Austrians were killed, wounded, or taken; fifty stand of colours, a hundred guns, four thousand waggons fell into the hands of the Prussians. Breslau opened its gates; Silesia was reconquered; Charles of Loraine retired to hide his shame and sorrow at Brussels; and Frederic allowed his troops to take some repose in winter quarters.

after a campaign, to the vicissitudes of which it will be difficult to find any parallel in ancient or modern history.

The King's fame filled all the world. He had, during the last year, maintained a contest, on terms of advantage, against three powers, the weakest of which had more than three times his resources. He had fought four great pitched battles against superior forces. Three of these battles he had gained; and the defeat of Kolin, repaired as it had been, rather raised than lowered his military renown. The victory of Leuthen is, to this day, the proudest on the roll of Prussian fame. Leipsic indeed, and Waterloo, produced consequences more important to mankind. But the glory of Leipsic must be shared by the Prussians with the Austrians and Russians; and at Waterloo the British infantry bore the burden and heat of the day. The victory of Rosbach was, in a military point of view, less honourable than that of Leuthen; for it was gained over an incapable general and a disorganised army; but the moral effect which it produced was immense. All the preceding triumphs of Frederic had been triumphs over Germans, and could excite no emotions of national pride among the German people. It was impossible that a Hessian or a Hanoverian could feel any patriotic exultation at hearing that Pomeranians had slaughtered Moravians, or that Saxon banners had been hung in the churches of Berlin. Indeed, though the military character of the Germans justly stood high throughout the world, they could boast of no great day which belonged to them as a people; of no Agincourt, of no Bannockburn. Most of their victories had been gained over each other; and their most splendid exploits against foreigners had been achieved under the command of Eugene, who was himself a foreigner. The news of the battle of Rosbach stirred the blood of the whole of the mighty population from the Alps to the Baltic, and from the borders of Courland to those of Loraine. Westphalia and Lower Saxony had been deluged by a great host of strangers, whose speech was unintelligible, and whose petulant and licentious manners had excited the strongest feelings of disgust and hatred. That great host had been put to flight by a small band of German warriors, led by a prince of German blood on the side of father and mother, and marked by the fair hair and the clear blue eye of Germany. Never since the dissolution of the empire of Charle-

magne, had the Teutonic race won such a field against th French. The tidings called forth a general burst of delig and pride from the whole of the great family which spok the various dialects of the ancient language of Arminiu The fame of Frederic began to supply, in some degree, th place of a common government and of a common capita It became a rallying point for all true Germans, a subje of mutual congratulation to the Bavarian and the Wes phalian, to the citizen of Frankfort and the citizen of Nurem burg. Then first it was manifest that the Germans we truly a nation. Then first was discernible that patriot spirit which, in 1813, achieved the great deliverance central Europe, and which still guards, and long will guar against foreign ambition the old freedom of the Rhine.

Nor were the effects produced by that celebrated da merely political. The greatest masters of German poet and eloquence have admitted that, though the great Kin neither valued nor understood his native language, thou he looked on France as the only seat of taste and philosoph yet, in his own despite, he did much to emancipate the geni of his countrymen from the foreign yoke ; and that, in th act of vanquishing Soubise, he was, unintentionally, rousi the spirit which soon began to question the literary prec dence of Boileau and Voltaire. So strangely do events co found all the plans of man. A prince who read only Frenc who wrote only French, who aspired to rank as a Fren classic, became, quite unconsciously, the means of liberati half the Continent from the dominion of that French cri cism of which he was himself, to the end of his life, a slav Yet even the enthusiasm of Germany in favour of Freder hardly equalled the enthusiasm of England. The birth-da of our ally was celebrated with as much enthusiasm as th of our own sovereign ; and at night the streets of Lond were in a blaze with illuminations. Portraits of the He of Rosbach, with his cocked hat and long pigtail, were every house. An attentive observer will, at this day, fi in the parlours of old-fashioned inns, and in the po folios of print-sellers, twenty portraits of Frederic f one of George the Second. The sign-painters were eve where employed in touching up Admiral Vernon into t King of Prussia. This enthusiasm was strong among r ligious people, and especially among the Methodists, wi

knew that the French and Austrians were Papists, and supposed Frederic to be the Joshua or Gideon of the Reformed Faith. One of Whitfield's hearers, on the day on which thanks for the battle of Leuthen were returned at the Tabernacle, made the following exquisitely ludicrous entry in a diary, part of which has come down to us : " The Lord stirred up the King of Prussia and his soldiers to pray. They kept three fast days, and spent about an hour praying and singing psalms before they engaged the enemy. O! how good it is to pray and fight ! " Some young Englishmen of rank proposed to visit Germany as volunteers, for the purpose of learning the art of war under the greatest of commanders. This last proof of British attachment and admiration, Frederic politely but firmly declined. His camp was no place for amateur students of military science. The Prussian discipline was rigorous even to cruelty. The officers, while in the field, were expected to practise an abstemiousness and self-denial such as was hardly surpassed by the most rigid monastic orders. However noble their birth, however high their rank in the service, they were not permitted to eat from any thing better than pewter. It was a high crime even in a count and field-marshal to have a single silver spoon among his baggage. Gay young Englishmen of twenty thousand a year, accustomed to liberty and to luxury, would not easily submit to these Spartan restraints. The King could not venture to keep them in order as he kept his own subjects in order. Situated as he was with respect to England, he could not well imprison or shoot refractory Howards and Cavendishes. On the other hand, the example of a few fine gentlemen, attended by chariots and livery servants, eating in plate, and drinking Champagne and Tokay, was enough to corrupt his whole army. He thought it best to make a stand at first, and civilly refused to admit such dangerous companions among his troops.

The help of England was bestowed in a manner far more useful and more acceptable. An annual subsidy of near seven hundred thousand pounds enabled the King to add probably more than fifty thousand men to his army. Pitt, now at the height of power and popularity, undertook the task of defending Western Germany against France, and asked Frederic only for the loan of a general. The general selected was Prince Ferdinand of Brunswick, who had

attained high distinction in the Prussian service. He w
put at the head of an army, partly English, partly Han
verian, partly composed of mercenaries hired from the pet
princes of the empire. He soon vindicated the choice of t
two allied courts, and proved himself the second general
the age.

Frederic passed the winter at Breslau, in reading, writin
and preparing for the next campaign. The havoc which t
war had made among his troops was rapidly repaired; a
in the spring of 1758 he was again ready for the conflic
Prince Ferdinand kept the French in check. The Kin
in the mean time, after attempting against the Austria
some operations which led to no very important resu
marched to encounter the Russians, who, slaying, burnin
and wasting wherever they turned, had penetrated into t
heart of his realm. He gave them battle at Zorndorf, ne
Frankfort on the Oder. The fight was long and blood
Quarter was neither given nor taken; for the Germans a
Scythians regarded each other with bitter aversion, and t
sight of the ravages committed by the half-savage invade
had incensed the King and his army. The Russians we
overthrown with great slaughter; and for a few mont
no further danger was to be apprehended from the East.

A day of thanksgiving was proclaimed by the King, a
was celebrated with pride and delight by his people. T
rejoicings in England were not less enthusiastic or less sincer
This may be selected as the point of time at which the mi
tary glory of Frederic reached the zenith. In the sho
space of three quarters of a year he had won three gre
battles over the armies of three mighty and warlike mo
archies, France, Austria, and Russia.

But it was decreed that the temper of that strong mi
should be tried by both extremes of fortune in rapid su
cession. Close upon this series of triumphs came a series
disasters, such as would have blighted the fame and brok
the heart of almost any other commander. Yet Frederic,
the midst of his calamities, was still an object of admirati
to his subjects, his allies, and his enemies. Overwhelm
by adversity, sick of life, he still maintained the conte
greater in defeat, in flight, and in what seemed hopeless ru
than on the fields of his proudest victories.

Having vanquished the Russians, he hastened into Saxon

to oppose the troops of the Empress Queen, commanded by Daun, the most cautious, and Laudohn, the most inventive and enterprising of her generals. These two celebrated commanders agreed on a scheme, in which the prudence of the one and the vigour of the other seem to have been happily combined. At dead of night they surprised the King in his camp at Hochkirchen. His presence of mind saved his troops from destruction; but nothing could save them from defeat and severe loss. Marshal Keith was among the slain. The first roar of the guns roused the noble exile from his rest, and he was instantly in the front of the battle. He received a dangerous wound, but refused to quit the field, and was in the act of rallying his broken troops, when an Austrian bullet terminated his chequered and eventful life.

The misfortune was serious. But of all generals Frederic understood best how to repair defeat, and Daun understood least how to improve victory. In a few days the Prussian army was as formidable as before the battle. The prospect was, however, gloomy. An Austrian army under General Harsch had invaded Silesia, and invested the fortress of Neisse. Daun, after his success at Hochkirchen, had written to Harsch in very confident terms:—"Go on with your operations against Neisse. Be quite at ease as to the King. I will give a good account of him." In truth, the position of the Prussians was full of difficulties. Between them and Silesia lay the victorious army of Daun. It was not easy for them to reach Silesia at all. If they did reach it, they left Saxony exposed to the Austrians. But the vigour and activity of Frederic surmounted every obstacle. He made a circuitous march of extraordinary rapidity, passed Daun, hastened into Silesia, raised the siege of Neisse, and drove Harsch into Bohemia. Daun availed himself of the King's absence to attack Dresden. The Prussians defended it desperately. The inhabitants of that wealthy and polished capital begged in vain for mercy from the garrison within, and from the besiegers without. The beautiful suburbs were burned to the ground. It was clear that the town, if won at all, would be won street by street by the bayonet. At this conjuncture came news, that Frederic, having cleared Silesia of his enemies, was returning by forced marches into Saxony. Daun retired from before Dresden, and fell back into the Austrian

territories. The King, over heaps of ruins, made hi triumphant entry into the unhappy metropolis, which ha so cruelly expiated the weak and perfidious policy of it sovereign. It was now the twentieth of November. Th cold weather suspended military operations; and the Kin again took up his winter quarters at Breslau.

The third of the seven terrible years was over; an Frederic still stood his ground. He had been recentl tried by domestic as well as by military disasters. On th fourteenth of October, the day on which he was defeate at Hochkirchen, the day on the anniversary of whic forty-eight years later, a defeat far more tremendous laid th Prussian monarchy in the dust, died Wilhelmina, Margravin of Bareuth. From the accounts which we have of her, b her own hand, and by the hands of the most discerning c her contemporaries, we should pronounce her to have bee coarse, indelicate, and a good hater, but not destitute of kin and generous feelings. Her mind, naturally strong an observant, had been highly cultivated; and she was, an deserved to be, Frederic's favourite sister. He felt the los as much as it was in his iron nature to feel the loss of an thing but a province or a battle.

At Breslau, during the winter, he was indefatigable in h poetical labours. The most spirited lines, perhaps, that h ever wrote, are to be found in a bitter lampoon on Lew and Madame de Pompadour, which he composed at th time, and sent to Voltaire. The verses were, indeed, s good, that Voltaire was afraid that he might himself b suspected of having written them, or at least of havin corrected them; and partly from fright, partly, we fea from love of mischief, sent them to the Duke of Choiseu then prime minister of France. Choiseul very wisely dete mined to encounter Frederic at Frederic's own weapon and applied for assistance to Palissot, who had some skill a a versifier, and some little talent for satire. Palissot pr duced some very stinging lines on the moral and literar character of Frederic, and these lines the Duke sent t Voltaire. This war of couplets, following close on th carnage of Zorndorf and the conflagration of Dresde illustrates well the strangely compounded character of th King of Prussia.

At this moment he was assailed by a new enemy. Ben

dict the Fourteenth, the best and wisest of the two hundred and fifty successors of St Peter, was no more. During the short interval between his reign and that of his disciple Ganganelli, the chief seat in the Church of Rome was filled by Rezzonico, who took the name of Clement the Thirteenth. This absurd priest determined to try what the weight of his authority could effect in favour of the orthodox Maria Theresa against a heretic king. At the high mass on Christmas-day, a sword with a rich belt and scabbard, a hat of crimson velvet lined with ermine, and a dove of pearls, the mystic symbol of the Divine Comforter, were solemnly blessed by the supreme pontiff, and were sent with great ceremony to Marshal Daun, the conquerer of Kolin and Hochkirchen. This mark of favour had more than once been bestowed by the Popes on the great champions of the faith. Similar honours had been paid, more than six centuries earlier, by Urban the Second to Godfrey of Bouillon. Similar honours had been conferred on Alba for destroying the liberties of the Low Countries, and on John Sobiesky after the deliverance of Vienna. But the presents which were received with profound reverence by the Baron of the Holy Sepulchre in the eleventh century, and which had not wholly lost their value even in the seventeenth century, appeared inexpressibly ridiculous to a generation which read Montesquieu and Voltaire. Frederic wrote sarcastic verses on the gifts, the giver, and the receiver. But the public wanted no prompter; and an universal roar of laughter from Petersburg to Lisbon reminded the Vatican that the age of crusades was over.

The fourth campaign, the most disastrous of all the campaigns of this fearful war, had now opened. The Austrians filled Saxony and menaced Berlin. The Russians defeated the King's generals on the Oder, threatened Silesia, effected a junction with Laudohn, and intrenched themselves strongly at Kunersdorf. Frederic hastened to attack them. A great battle was fought. During the earlier part of the day every thing yielded to the impetuosity of the Prussians, and to the skill of their chief. The lines were forced. Half the Russian guns were taken. The King sent off a courier to Berlin with two lines, announcing a complete victory. But, in the mean time, the stubborn Russians, defeated yet unbroken, had taken up their stand

in an almost impregnable position, on an eminence where th
Jews of Frankfort were wont to bury their dead. Here th
battle recommenced. The Prussian infantry, exhauste
by six hours of hard fighting under a sun which equalle
the tropical heat, were yet brought up repeatedly to th
attack, but in vain. The King led three charges in perso
Two horses were killed under him. The officers of his sta
fell all round him. His coat was pierced by several bullet
All was in vain. His infantry was driven back with frightf
slaughter. Terror began to spread fast from man to ma
At that moment, the fiery cavalry of Laudohn, still fres
rushed on the wavering ranks. Then followed an univers
rout. Frederic himself was on the point of falling into th
hands of the conquerors, and was with difficulty saved by
gallant officer, who, at the head of a handful of Hussa
made good a diversion of a few minutes. Shattered in bod
shattered in mind, the King reached that night a villa
which the Cossacks had plundered; and there, in a ruine
and deserted farm-house, flung himself on a heap of stra
He had sent to Berlin a second despatch very different fro
his first:—"Let the royal family leave Berlin. Send th
archives to Potsdam. The town may make terms with th
enemy."

The defeat was, in truth, overwhelming. Of fifty tho
sand men who had that morning marched under the bla
eagles, not three thousand remained together. The Ki
bethought him again of his corrosive sublimate, and wrote
bid adieu to his friends, and to give directions as to t
measures to be taken in the event of his death:—"I have
resource left"—such is the language of one of his letters
"all is lost. I will not survive the ruin of my countr
Farewell for ever."

But the mutual jealousies of the confederates prevent
them from following up their victory. They lost a few da
in loitering and squabbling; and a few days, improved
Frederic, were worth more than the years of other me
On the morning after the battle, he had got together eighte
thousand of his troops. Very soon his force amounted
thirty thousand. Guns were procured from the neighbou
ing fortresses; and there was again an army. Ber
was for the present safe; but calamities came pouring on t
King in uninterrupted succession. One of his generals, wi

a large body of troops, was taken at Maxen; another was defeated at Meissen; and when at length the campaign of 1759 closed, in the midst of a rigorous winter, the situation of Prussia appeared desperate. The only consoling circumstance was that, in the West, Ferdinand of Brunswick had been more fortunate than his master; and by a series of exploits, of which the battle of Minden was the most glorious, had removed all apprehension of danger on the side of France.

The fifth year was now about to commence. It seemed impossible that the Prussian territories, repeatedly devastated by hundreds of thousands of invaders, could longer support the contest. But the King carried on war as no European power has ever carried on war, except the Committee of Public Safety during the great agony of the French Revolution. He governed his kingdom as he would have governed a besieged town, not caring to what extent property was destroyed, or the pursuits of civil life suspended, so that he did but make head against the enemy. As long as there was a man left in Prussia, that man might carry a musket; as long as there was a horse left, that horse might draw artillery. The coin was debased, the civil functionaries were left unpaid; in some provinces civil government altogether ceased to exist. But there were still rye-bread and potatoes: there were still lead and gunpowder; and, while the means of sustaining and destroying life remained, Frederic was determined to fight it out to the very last.

The earlier part of the campaign of 1760 was unfavourable to him. Berlin was again occupied by the enemy. Great contributions were levied on the inhabitants, and the royal palace was plundered. But at length, after two years of calamity, victory came back to his arms. At Lignitz he gained a great battle over Laudohn; at Torga, after a day of horrible carnage, he triumphed over Daun. The fifth year closed, and still the event was in suspense. In the countries where the war had raged, the misery and exhaustion were more appalling than ever; but still there were left men and beasts, arms and food, and still Frederic fought on. In truth he had now been baited into savageness. His heart was ulcerated with hatred. The implacable resentment with which his enemies persecuted him, though

originally provoked by his own unprincipled ambitio excited in him a thirst for vengeance which he did not eve attempt to conceal. "It is hard," he says in one of h letters, "for man to bear what I bear. I begin to feel tha as the Italians say, revenge is a pleasure for the gods. M philosophy is worn out by suffering. I am no saint, lik those of whom we read in the legends; and I will own that should die content if only I could first inflict a portion the misery which I endure."

Borne up by such feelings, he struggled with variou success, but constant glory, through the campaign of 176 On the whole, the result of this campaign was disastrous Prussia. No great battle was gained by the enemy; bu in spite of the desperate bounds of the hunted tiger, th circle of pursuers was fast closing round him. Laudoh had surprised the important fortress of Schweidnitz. Wi that fortress, half of Silesia, and the command of the mo important defiles through the mountains, had been tran ferred to the Austrians. The Russians had overpowere the King's generals in Pomerania. The country was completely desolated that he began, by his own confessio to look round him with blank despair, unable to imagi where recruits, horses, or provisions were to be found.

Just at this time two great events brought on a comple change in the relations of almost all the powers of Europ One of those events was the retirement of Mr Pitt fro office; the other was the death of the Empress Elizabeth Russia.

The retirement of Pitt seemed to be an omen of utter ru to the house of Brandenburg. His proud and veheme nature was incapable of any thing that looked like eith fear or treachery. He had often declared that, while he w in power, England should never make a peace of Utrech should never, for any selfish object, abandon an ally even the last extremity of distress. The Continental war w his own war. He had been bold enough, he who in form times had attacked, with irresistible powers of oratory, t Hanoverian policy of Carteret, and the German subsidies Newcastle, to declare that Hanover ought to be as dear us as Hampshire, and that he would conquer America Germany. He had fallen; and the power which he h exercised not always with discretion, but always with vigo

and genius, had devolved on a favourite who was the representative of the Tory party, of the party which had thwarted William, which had persecuted Marlborough, and which had given up the Catalans to the vengeance of Philip of Anjou. To make peace with France, to shake off, with all, or more than all, the speed compatible with decency, every Continental connexion, these were among the chief objects of the new Minister. The policy then followed inspired Frederic with an unjust, but deep and bitter aversion to the English name, and produced effects which are still felt throughout the civilised world. To that policy it was owing that, some years later, England could not find on the whole Continent a single ally to stand by her, in her extreme need, against the House of Bourbon. To that policy it was owing that Frederic, alienated from England, was compelled to connect himself closely, during his later years, with Russia, and was induced to assist in that great crime, the fruitful parent of other great crimes, the first partition of Poland.

Scarcely had the retreat of Mr Pitt deprived Prussia of her only friend, when the death of Elizabeth produced an entire revolution in the politics of the North. The Grand Duke Peter, her nephew, who now ascended the Russian throne, was not merely free from the prejudices which his aunt had entertained against Frederic, but was a worshipper, a servile imitator of the great King. The days of the new Czar's government were few and evil, but sufficient to produce a change in the whole state of Christendom. He set the Prussian prisoners at liberty, fitted them out decently, and sent them back to their master; he withdrew his troops from the provinces which Elizabeth had decided on incorporating with her dominions; and he absolved all those Prussian subjects, who had been compelled to swear fealty to Russia, from their engagements.

Not content with concluding peace on terms favourable to Prussia, he solicited rank in the Prussian service, dressed himself in a Prussian uniform, wore the Black Eagle of Prussia on his breast, made preparations for visiting Prussia, in order to have an interview with the object of his idolatry, and actually sent fifteen thousand excellent troops to reinforce the shattered army of Frederic. Thus strengthened, the King speedily repaired the losses of the preceding year, reconquered Silesia, defeated Daun at Buckersdorf, invested

and retook Schweidnitz, and, at the close of the year, pr
sented to the forces of Maria Theresa a front as formidab
as before the great reverses of 1759. Before the end of t
campaign, his friend the Emperor Peter, having, by a seri
of absurd insults to the institutions, manners, and feelin
of his people, united them in hostility to his person a
government, was deposed and murdered. The Empre
who, under the title of Catharine the Second, now assum
the supreme power, was, at the commencement of her a
ministration, by no means partial to Frederic, and refus
to permit her troops to remain under his command. B
she observed the peace made by her husband; and Pruss
was no longer threatened by danger from the East.

England and France at the same time paired off togeth
They concluded a treaty, by which they bound themselv
to observe neutrality with respect to the German w
Thus the coalitions on both sides were dissolved; and t
original enemies, Austria and Prussia, remained alo
confronting each other.

Austria had undoubtedly far greater means than Pruss
and was less exhausted by hostilities; yet it seemed hard
possible that Austria could effect alone what she had in va
attempted to effect when supported by France on the o
side, and by Russia on the other. Danger also began
menace the Imperial house from another quarter. The Ot
man Porte held threatening language, and a hundred thousa
Turks were mustered on the frontiers of Hungary. T
proud and revengeful spirit of the Empress Queen at leng
gave way; and, in February, 1763, the peace of Hubertsbu
put an end to the conflict which had, during seven yea
devastated Germany. The King ceded nothing. T
whole Continent in arms had proved unable to tear Sile
from that iron grasp.

The war was over. Frederic was safe. His glory w
beyond the reach of envy. If he had not made conque
as vast as those of Alexander, of Cæsar, and of Napole
if he had not, on fields of battle, enjoyed the constant succ
of Marlborough and Wellington, he had yet given an exam
unrivalled in history of what capacity and resolution c
effect against the greatest superiority of power and the utm
spite of fortune. He entered Berlin in triumph, after
absence of more than six years. The streets were brillian

lighted up; and, as he passed along in an open carriage, with Ferdinand of Brunswick at his side, the multitude saluted him with loud praises and blessings. He was moved by those marks of attachment, and repeatedly exclaimed, "Long live my dear people! Long live my children!" Yet, even in the midst of that gay spectacle, he could not but perceive every where the traces of destruction and decay. The city had been more than once plundered. The population had considerably diminished. Berlin, however, had suffered little when compared with most parts of the kingdom. The ruin of private fortunes, the distress of all ranks, was such as might appal the firmest mind. Almost every province had been the seat of war, and of war conducted with merciless ferocity. Clouds of Croatians had descended on Silesia. Tens of thousands of Cossacks had been let loose on Pomerania and Brandenburg. The mere contributions levied by the invaders amounted, it was said, to more than a hundred millions of dollars; and the value of what they extorted, was probably much less than the value of what they destroyed. The fields lay uncultivated. The very seed corn had been devoured in the madness of hunger. Famine, and contagious maladies produced by famine, had swept away the herds and flocks; and there was reason to fear that a great pestilence among the human race was likely to follow in the train of that tremendous war. Near fifteen thousand houses had been burned to the ground. The population of the kingdom had in seven years decreased to the frightful extent of ten per cent. A sixth of the males capable of bearing arms had actually perished on the field of battle. In some districts, no labourers, except women, were seen in the fields at harvest-time. In others, the traveller passed shuddering through a succession of silent villages, in which not a single inhabitant remained. The currency had been debased; the authority of laws and magistrates had been suspended; the whole social system was deranged. For, during that convulsive struggle, every thing that was not military violence was anarchy. Even the army was disorganised. Some great generals, and a crowd of excellent officers, had fallen, and it had been impossible to supply their place. The difficulty of finding recruits had, towards the close of the war, been so great, that selection and rejection were impossible. Whole battalions were

composed of deserters or of prisoners. It was hardly to b hoped that thirty years of repose and industry would repa the ruin produced by seven years of havoc. One consolator circumstance, indeed, there was. No debt had been i curred. The burdens of the war had been terrible, almo insupportable; but no arrear was left to embarrass th finances in time of peace.

Here, for the present, we must pause. We have accon panied Frederic to the close of his career as a warric Possibly, when these Memoirs are completed, we may resun the consideration of his character, and give some accou of his domestic and foreign policy, and of his private habit during the many years of tranquillity which followed th Seven Years' War.

THE END.

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN AT
THE PRESS OF THE PUBLISHERS.

M.1